Axiomatic Semantics

Principles of Programming Languages

Fatma Başak Aydemir

basak.aydemir@boun.edu.tr



Axiomatic Semantics

- Developed as a formal method to prove the correctness of programs
- Given some constraints,a program is claimed to compute an output
- So, beginning from this constraint, we analyze the program statement by statement using logic, and we arrive at a description of the output. If this is the same as the output then we prove that the program is correct.
- Later, it is used to derive the semantics of programming languages
- Based on predicate logic

Axiomatic Semantics

```
{P}
S1
S2
S3
precondition A logical expression
precondition An assertion which indicates the input
constraints
postcondition n assertion which indicates the
constraints after the program is
Sn
executedx
{Q}
```

Rules for Language Constructs

Axiom

An assertion that is assumed to be true.

Example: Assignment Statement

 $\{P\}X=E\{Q\}$ Then, the axiom for X=E is $P=Q_{x\to E}$, meaning P is equal to Q where every occurence of X is replaced by E.

Rules for Language Constructs

```
\frac{\text{Inference Rule}}{A_1, \quad A_2, \quad \dots \quad A_n} \\ \hline Example: \text{ if statement} \\ \{P\} \text{ if } B \text{ then S1 else S2 } \{Q\} \\ \hline \{P \text{ and } B\}S1\{Q\}, \qquad \{P \text{ and } (\text{ not } B)\}S2\{Q\} \\ \hline \{P\} \text{if } B \text{ then S1 else S2} \{Q\} \\ \hline
```

Assignment Statement

- ightharpoonup Statement X=E
- ightharpoonup Axiom $P = Q_{x \to E}$
- ▶ Axiomatic semantics ${Q_{x \to E}}X = E{Q}$
- $\qquad \qquad \text{Inference rule version } \frac{X = E}{\{P(E)\}X = E\{P(X)\}}$

$$a = b/2 - 1\{a < 10\}$$

$$a = b/2 - 1\{a < 10\}$$

 $b/2 - 1 < 10 \implies b < 22$

$$a = b/2 - 1\{a < 10\}$$

 $b/2 - 1 < 10 \implies b < 22$
What about $b < 10$?

7/26

$$a = b/2 - 1\{a < 10\}$$

 $b/2 - 1 < 10 \implies b < 22$

What about b < 10?

We are looking for the least restrictive (weakest) precondition

$$x = x + y - 3\{x > 10\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x + y - 3\{x > 10\} \\ x + y - 3 &> 10 \implies y > 13 - x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x = x + y - 3\{x > 10\} \\ x + y - 3 > 10 \implies y > 13 - x \\ \text{Semantics: } \{y > 13 - x\}x = x + y - 3\{x > 10\} \end{array}$$

$$x = x + 1\{y < 10\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x + 1\{y < 10\} \\ \text{P is } \{y < 10\} \end{aligned}$$

If Statement

- ► Statement: if B then S1 else S2
- $\qquad \qquad \textbf{Inference Rule: } \frac{\{P \text{ and } B\}S1\{Q\}, \qquad \{P \text{ and } (\text{ not } B)\}S2\{Q\}}{\{P\} \text{if B then S1 else S2}\{Q\}}$
- Axiomatic semantics: P if B then S1 else S2 Q

```
if (x>0) then y=y-1 else y=y+1 \{y>0\}
For the then part
```

```
if (x>0) then y=y-1 else y=y+1 {y>0} For the then part y=y-1\{y>0\} \implies P is \{y>1\} For the else part
```

```
if (x>0) then y=y-1 else y=y+1 {y>0} For the then part y=y-1\{y>0\} \implies P is \{y>1\} For the else part y=y+1\{y>0\} \implies P is \{y>-1\}
```

```
if (x>0) then y=y-1 else y=y+1 {y>0} For the then part y=y-1\{y>0\} \implies \mathsf{P} \text{ is } \{y>1\} For the else part y=y+1\{y>0\} \implies \mathsf{P} \text{ is } \{y>1\} Since \{y>1\} implies \{y>-1\}, \{y>1\} \implies \{y>-1\}, we take \{y>1\} as \mathsf{P}.
```

```
if (x>0) then y=y-1 else y=y+1 \{y>0\}

For the then part y=y-1\{y>0\} \Longrightarrow P is \{y>1\}

For the else part y=y+1\{y>0\} \Longrightarrow P is \{y>-1\}

Since \{y>1\} implies \{y>-1\}, \{y>1\} \Longrightarrow \{y>-1\}, we take \{y>1\} as P.
```

Statement Sequence

$$y = 3x + 1; x = y + 3\{x < 10\}$$

Consequence Rule 1

$$\frac{\{P\}S\{Q\}, \quad P^{'} \implies P}{\{P^{'}\}S\{Q\}}$$

Example

$$\{x>0\}x = x+1\{x>1\}$$

Consequence Rule 2

$$\frac{\{P\}S\{Q\}, \qquad Q \implies Q^{'}}{\{P\}S\{Q^{'}\}}$$

Example

$$\{x>0\}x = x+1\{x>1\}$$

$$\langle stetement \rangle \ \rightarrow \ \text{while} \langle B \rangle \text{do} \langle S \rangle \text{end}$$

$$\langle stetement \rangle \ \rightarrow \ \text{while} \langle B \rangle \text{do} \langle S \rangle \text{end}$$

More complex

$$\langle stetement \rangle \ \rightarrow \ \text{while} \langle B \rangle \text{do} \langle S \rangle \text{end}$$

- ► More complex
- ► How many times do we execute S?

 $\overline{\{P\}}$ while B do S end $\{Q\}$

 $\overline{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{Q\}}$

Consider the post condition

B will be false after the loop terminates

 $\overline{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{Q\}}$

Consider the post condition

- B will be false after the loop terminates
- ► The loop can execute zero or more times

18/26

 $\overline{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{Q\}}$

Consider the post condition

- B will be false after the loop terminates
- ▶ The loop can execute zero or more times
- ▶ If the loop does not execute at all, P will remain true after the loop

 $\overline{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{Q\}}$

Consider the post condition

- B will be false after the loop terminates
- ▶ The loop can execute zero or more times
- ▶ If the loop does not execute at all, P will remain true after the loop

 $\overline{\{P\}}$ while B do S end $\overline{\{Q\}}$

Consider the post condition

- B will be false after the loop terminates
- ► The loop can execute zero or more times
- ► If the loop does not execute at all, P will remain true after the loop Idea:

18/26

$$\overline{\{P\}}$$
 while B do S end $\{Q\}$

Consider the post condition

- B will be false after the loop terminates
- ► The loop can execute zero or more times
- ▶ If the loop does not execute at all, P will remain true after the loop

Idea: use $\{P \text{ and (not } B)\}$ instead of Q, provided that $P \text{ and (not } B) \implies Q \text{ (consequence rule 2)}$

$$\overline{\{P\}}$$
 while B do S end $\{Q\}$

Consider the post condition

- B will be false after the loop terminates
- ▶ The loop can execute zero or more times
- ▶ If the loop does not execute at all, P will remain true after the loop

Idea: use $\{P \text{ and (not } B)\}$ instead of Q, provided that $P \text{ and (not } B) \implies Q \text{ (consequence rule 2)}$

$$\frac{\{P\} \text{ while } ... \{P \text{ and (not } B)\}, \qquad P \text{ and (not } B) \implies Q}{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{Q\}}$$

18/26

$$\overline{\{P\}}$$
 while B do S end $\{Q\}$

Consider the post condition

- B will be false after the loop terminates
- ▶ The loop can execute zero or more times
- ▶ If the loop does not execute at all, P will remain true after the loop

Idea: use $\{P \text{ and (not } B)\}$ instead of Q, provided that $P \text{ and (not } B) \implies Q \text{ (consequence rule 2)}$

$$\frac{\{P\} \text{ while } ... \{P \text{ and (not } B)\}, \qquad P \text{ and (not } B) \implies Q}{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{Q\}}$$

If we find a P such that P and (not B) is satisfied and this implies Q, then after the loop Q must be satisfied

 $\overline{\{P\}}$ while B do S end $\{P$ and (not $B)\}$

 $\overline{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{P \text{ and (not } B)\}}$

Consider the following antecedent:

$$\frac{\{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}}{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{P \text{ and (not } B)\}}$$

19/26

$$\overline{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{P \text{ and (not } B)\}}$$

Consider the following antecedent:

$$\frac{\{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}}{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{P \text{ and (not } B)\}}$$

If we can find such a P that when P and B are true, after executing S, P remains true, then the consequent obviously will be satisfied.

$$\overline{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{P \text{ and (not } B)\}}$$

Consider the following antecedent:

$$\frac{\{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}}{\{P\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ end } \{P \text{ and (not } B)\}}$$

If we can find such a P that when P and B are true, after executing S, P remains true, then the consequent obviously will be satisfied. P is called a *loop invariant* because it is true before and after the loop.

We want to find a P such that

 $ightharpoonup \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$

We want to find a P such that

- $ightharpoonup \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$

We want to find a P such that

- $ightharpoonup \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$
- ▶ {P}B{P} When replacing Q with {P and B} we have assumed that when B is executed and found false, (the loop does not execute at all) P remains true. Execution of B does not invalidate P.

- Sometimes beginning from Q executing loop body may give us a pattern
- Sometimes we can analyze the loop body to find such an invarying assertion
- ▶ There is no method to systematically find a loop invariant

while y <> x do y = y + 1 end $\{y = x\}$

while
$$y <> x$$
 do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x

while
$$y <> x$$
 do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

- ▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x
- ▶ If loop executes 1 time, P is y = x 1

while y <> x do y = y + 1 end $\{y = x\}$

- ▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x
- ▶ If loop executes 1 time, P is y = x 1
- ▶ If loop executes 2 times, P is y = x 2

while y <> x do y = y + 1 end $\{y = x\}$

- ▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x
- ▶ If loop executes 1 time, P is y = x 1
- ▶ If loop executes 2 times, P is y = x 2

while y <> x do y = y + 1 end $\{y = x\}$

- ▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x
- ▶ If loop executes 1 time, P is y = x 1
- ▶ If loop executes 2 times, P is y = x 2

Maybe, $y \le x$ Let's check if this is a suitable P.

 $\blacktriangleright \ \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$

So

$$\{y \le x\}$$
 while $y \le x$ do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

Do not forget to show that the loop terminates!

22/26

while
$$y <> x$$
 do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

- ▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x
- ▶ If loop executes 1 time, P is y = x 1
- ▶ If loop executes 2 times, P is y = x 2

Maybe, $y \le x$ Let's check if this is a suitable P.

- $P \text{ and } B \} S \{ P \}$
 - $\{y \le x \text{ and } y <> x\}y = y + 1\{y \le x\}$

$$\{y \le x\}$$
 while $y \le x$ do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

Do not forget to show that the loop terminates!

22/26

while
$$y <> x$$
 do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

- ▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x
- ▶ If loop executes 1 time, P is y = x 1
- ▶ If loop executes 2 times, P is y = x 2

Maybe, $y \le x$ Let's check if this is a suitable P.

- $\blacktriangleright \ \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$
 - $\{y \le x \text{ and } y <> x\}y = y + 1\{y \le x\}$

So

$$\{y \le x\}$$
 while $y \le x$ do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

while
$$y <> x$$
 do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

- ▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x
- ▶ If loop executes 1 time, P is y = x 1
- ▶ If loop executes 2 times, P is y = x 2

Maybe, $y \le x$ Let's check if this is a suitable P.

- $\blacktriangleright \ \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$
 - $\{y \le x \text{ and } y <> x\}y = y + 1\{y \le x\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$

So

$$\{y \le x\}$$
 while $y \le x$ do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

while
$$y <> x$$
 do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

- ▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x
- ▶ If loop executes 1 time, P is y = x 1
- ▶ If loop executes 2 times, P is y = x 2

Maybe, $y \le x$ Let's check if this is a suitable P.

- $\blacktriangleright \ \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$
 - $\{y \le x \text{ and } y <> x\}y = y + 1\{y \le x\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$
 - $\qquad \qquad (y \le x \text{ and } y = x) \implies y = x$

So

$$\{y \le x\}$$
 while $y \le x$ do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

while
$$y <> x$$
 do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

- ▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x
- ▶ If loop executes 1 time, P is y = x 1
- ▶ If loop executes 2 times, P is y = x 2

Maybe, $y \le x$ Let's check if this is a suitable P.

- $P \text{ and } B \} S \{ P \}$
 - $\{y \le x \text{ and } y <> x\}y = y + 1\{y \le x\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$
 - \triangleright $(y \le x \text{ and } y = x) \implies y = x$
 - $y = x \implies y = x$

So

$$\{y \le x\}$$
 while $y \le x$ do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

while
$$y <> x$$
 do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

- ▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x
- ▶ If loop executes 1 time, P is y = x 1
- ▶ If loop executes 2 times, P is y = x 2

Maybe, $y \le x$ Let's check if this is a suitable P.

- $\blacktriangleright \ \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$
 - $\{y \le x \text{ and } y <> x\}y = y + 1\{y \le x\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$
 - \triangleright $(y \le x \text{ and } y = x) \implies y = x$
 - $y = x \implies y = x$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \{P\}B\{P\}$

So

$$\{y \le x\}$$
 while $y \le x$ do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

while
$$y <> x$$
 do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

- ▶ If loop executes 0 times, P is y = x
- ▶ If loop executes 1 time, P is y = x 1
- ▶ If loop executes 2 times, P is y = x 2

Maybe, $y \le x$ Let's check if this is a suitable P.

- $\blacktriangleright \ \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$
 - $\{y \le x \text{ and } y <> x\}y = y + 1\{y \le x\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$
 - $(y \le x \text{ and } y = x) \implies y = x$
 - $y = x \implies y = x$
- $\blacktriangleright \{P\}B\{P\}$
 - This is true since B has not side effects

So

$$\{y \le x\}$$
 while $y \le x$ do $y = y + 1$ end $\{y = x\}$

```
{B>=0}
1 function multiply (A,B)
2 a=A
3 b=B
4 y=0
5 while b>0 do
6 y=y+a
7 b=b-1
8 end
{y=A*B}
```

	у	a.b
Initially	0	AB
After 1 iteration	а	AB-a
After 2 iterations	2a	AB-2a

	у	a.b
Initially	0	AB
After 1 iteration	а	AB-a
After 2 iterations	2a	AB-2a

What can be the pattern?

	у	a.b
Initially	0	AB
After 1 iteration	а	AB-a
After 2 iterations	2a	AB-2a

What can be the pattern? y + ab = AB is always true!

Check if this is valid

 $\blacktriangleright \ \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$

Check if this is valid

- $ightharpoonup \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{y+ab=AB \text{ and } b\geq 0 \text{ and } b>0\} \ldots \{y+ab=AB \text{ and } b\geq 0\}$

25/26

- $ightharpoonup \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$

- $ightharpoonup \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$

- ightharpoonup {P and B}S{P}

 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{y+ab=AB \text{ and } b>0\} \ldots \{y+ab=AB \text{ and } b\geq 0\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$
 - $ightharpoonup y+ab=AB ext{ and } b\geq 0 ext{ and } b\leq 0 \implies y=AB$

- ightharpoonup {P and B}S{P}
 - $\{y + ab = AB \text{ and } b \ge 0 \text{ and } b > 0\} \dots \{y + ab = AB \text{ and } b \ge 0\}$
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{y+ab=AB \text{ and } b>0\} \dots \{y+ab=AB \text{ and } b\geq 0\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$
 - $ightharpoonup y+ab=AB ext{ and } b\geq 0 ext{ and } b\leq 0 \implies y=AB$
 - ightharpoonup y + ab = AB and b = 0

- $ightharpoonup \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$

 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{y+ab=AB \text{ and } b>0\} \ldots \{y+ab=AB \text{ and } b\geq 0\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$
 - $ightharpoonup y+ab=AB ext{ and } b\geq 0 ext{ and } b\leq 0 \implies y=AB$
 - ightharpoonup y + ab = AB and b = 0
 - $ightharpoonup y + ab = AB \implies y = AB$

- $ightharpoonup \{P \text{ and } B\}S\{P\}$

 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{y+ab=AB \text{ and } b>0\} \ldots \{y+ab=AB \text{ and } b\geq 0\}$
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$
 - $ightharpoonup y+ab=AB ext{ and } b\geq 0 ext{ and } b\leq 0 \implies y=AB$
 - ightharpoonup y + ab = AB and b = 0
 - $ightharpoonup y + ab = AB \implies y = AB$
- $\blacktriangleright \{P\}B\{P\}$

- ightharpoonup {P and B}S{P}
- ightharpoonup P and (not B) $\implies Q$
 - $ightharpoonup y+ab=AB ext{ and } b\geq 0 ext{ and } b\leq 0 \implies y=AB$
 - ightharpoonup y + ab = AB and b = 0
 - $ightharpoonup y + ab = AB \implies y = AB$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \{P\}B\{P\}$
 - ightharpoonup b > 0 has no side efffects

Let's compute the precondition

```
{B>=0}
1 function multiply (A,B)
2 a=A
3 b=B
4 y=0
5 while b>0 do
6 y=y+a
7 b=b-1
8 end
{y=A*B}
```