



Functional Analysis

Author: Hui Sun

Date: September 2, 2023

Contents

1	Lecture 1	1
1.1	Lecture 2	3
1.2	Lecture 3	4
1.3	Lecture 4	6
1.4	Lecture 5	8

Chapter 1 Lecture 1

Here we go.

1.0.1 Course Overview and Logistics

Some administrative things. OH are Monday, Fridays 1:45 to 2:45, Wednesdays 12:45-1:45 in Evans 811.

Textbook: an introduction to functional analysis by Conway. We will be talking about operators on Hilbert spaces, and more generally, Banach spaces, and Frechet spaces (defined by a countable number of seminorms).

Remark Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, then the dual space \mathcal{H}^* is itself. $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^*$. Hilbert spaces are the best spaces to work with. They are self-dual, and identified with themselves.

Then in the next section, we will look at groups, motivated by their actions on Banach spaces, connected with Fourier transforms.

1.0.2 Motivation

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $C(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ continuous}\}$ be the algebra of continuous functions on X mapping in to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Define the norm as the sup norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty}$.

We will develop the spectral theorem of operators on the Hilbert space, i.e. self-adjoint operators can be diagonalized.

If T is a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space, then we take the product of T (polynomials of T), let $C^*(T, I_{\mathcal{H}})$ be the sub-algebra of operators generated by T and I the identity operator, then take the closure, i.e. making it closed in the operator norm.

Remark The $*$ is to remind us, T is self-adjoint and when you take the adjoint and generate with it, it gets back into the same space.

Proposition 1.1

We have the next two algebra isomorphic to each other.

$$C^*(T, I_{\mathcal{H}}) \cong C(X) \quad (1.1)$$

This is what we are aiming for. We can generalize this even further to finitely many self-adjoint operators, in some sense, we are diagonalizing finitely many operators at the same time. If T_1, \dots, T_n is a collection of self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{H} , and such all commute with each other, then we also have

$$C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n, I_{\mathcal{H}}) \cong C(X) \quad (1.2)$$

1.0.3 Groups

Let G be a group, B be a Banach space, for example, groups of automorphisms. Let

$$\text{Aut}(B) = \{T : T \text{ is isometric, onto, invertible on } B\}$$

Definition 1.1

Suppose that α is a group homomorphism, and $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B)$, is called a representation on B or an action of the group G on B .

Then we can consider the subalgebra $\mathcal{L}(B)$, consisting of the bounded linear operators on B , generated by

$$\{\alpha_x : x \in G\}$$

Remark The identity on G should be mapped into the identity operator on B , hence no need to include it.

Elements of the form $\sum_{z \in \Sigma} \alpha_x z_x \in \mathbb{C}$, (where Σ is a finite sum.)

Let's introduce, $f \in C_c(G)$ are functions with compact support and in discrete groups, imply they are of finite support.

$$\sum_{x \in G} f(x) \alpha_x = \alpha_f$$

note for except finitely many x , $f(x) = 0$.

Let $f, g \in C_c(G)$, then for

$$\alpha_f \alpha_g = \left(\sum f(x) \alpha_x \right) \left(\sum g(y) \alpha_y \right) = \sum_{x,y} f(x) g(y) \alpha_x \alpha_y = \sum_{x,y} f(x) g(y) \alpha_{xy}$$

The last inequality follows from α being a group homomorphism. And the sums are finite hence are able to exchange the orders. We further have,

$$\alpha_f \alpha_g = \sum_x \sum_y f(x) g(x^{-1}y) \alpha_y = \sum (f * g)(y) \alpha_y$$

where we define $f * g(y) = \sum f(x) g(x^{-1}y)$ as the convolution operator.

We get

$$\alpha_f \alpha_g = \alpha_{f * g}$$

This is how we define convolution on $C_c(G)$ Notice we have, by $\|\alpha_x\| = 1$,

$$\|\alpha_f\| = \left\| \sum f(x) \alpha_x \right\| \leq \sum |f(x)| \|\alpha_x\| = \sum |f(x)| = l^1(f) = \|f\|_{l^1}$$

It is therefore, easy to check

$$\|f * g\|_{l^1} \leq \|f\|_{l^1} \|g\|_{l^1}$$

We get $l^1(G)$ is an algebra with ??

For G commutative, it is easily connected with the Fourier transform.

Consider $l^2(G)$ with the counting measure on the group. For $x \in G$, let $\xi \in l^2(G)$ define $\alpha_x \xi(y) = \xi(x^{-1}y)$, α_x being unitary. $l^1(G)$ acts on operators in $l^2(G)$ via α .

If G is commutative, then we have

$$\overline{\alpha_{l^1(G)}} \cong C(X)$$

where X is some compact space. Note that $C_c(G)$ operators on $l^2(G)$, and $\|\alpha_f\| \leq \|f\|_{l^1}$.

1.1 Lecture 2

Let's do some math.

Let X be a Hausdorff compact space, and let $C(X)$ denote the space of continuous functions defined on X . This is an algebra. You can multiply them, associatively and commutatively. We equip it with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty}$. Note X , by assumption, is a normal space, you could have continuous functions mapped to 1 on one subset, 0 to the other subset. Hence there are many elements from $C(X)$.

Definition 1.2 (Normed Algebra)

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra on \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , is a normed algebra if it has a norm $\|\cdot\|$, as a vector space, such that for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|$$

The above is called submultiplicity.

Definition 1.3 (Banach Algebra)

A Banach Algebra is a normed algebra that is complete in the metric space from the norm.

Given $x \in X$, define $\varphi_x : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the evaluation map such that

$$\varphi_x(f) = f(x)$$

φ_x is an algebra homomorphisms between $C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or $C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This simply implies

$$\varphi_x(f + g) = (f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x), \varphi_x(fg) = (fg)(x) = f(x)g(x)$$

We now make the note that, $C(X)$ has an identity element, which is the constant function 1, under multiplication. Hence $C(X)$ is a unital algebra. Note that φ_x defined above is a unital homomorphism, meaning that it sends identity to identity.

Note φ_x is also a multiplicative linear functional, also unital.

Proposition 1.2

Every multiplicative linear functional on $C(X)$ is of the form φ_x for some $x \in X$.

Proof Main Claim: given a multiplicative linear functional φ , there exists a point x_0 and if we have some $f \in C(X)$, we have $\varphi(f) = 0$, then we have $f(x_0) = 0$. To prove this claim, we need compactness. Suppose the contrary of the claim. Suppose that for each $x \in X$, there is an $f_x \in C(X)$ such that $f_x(x) \neq 0$, but $\varphi(f_x) = 0$.

Set $g_x = \overline{f_x} f_x$, then we have $g_x(x) > 0$, but $\varphi(g_x) = \varphi(f_x) \varphi(\overline{f_x}) = 0$, then there is an open set O_x such that $x \in O_x$, and $g_x(y) > 0$ for all $y \in O_x$. Now by compactness, there is x_1, \dots, x_n such that $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^n O_{x_j}$, let $g = g_{x_1} + \dots + g_{x_n}$, then we have $g(y) > 0$ for all $y \in X$, and $\varphi(g) = 0$. Note that g is a continuous function, and g is invertible, and also $re(\frac{1}{g}) \in C(X)$, but we also have

$$\varphi\left(g \cdot \frac{1}{g}\right) = 1$$

Hence we've reached a contradiction. Then there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that if $\varphi(f) = 0$, this means $f(x_0) = 0$. For any f , consider $f - \varphi(f) \cdot 1$, apply φ , we have

$$\varphi(f - \varphi(f) \cdot 1) = 0, \text{ this implies there exists } x_0, \text{ such that } (f - \varphi(f)1)(x_0) = 0$$

This implies $f(x_0) = \varphi(f)$ which implies $\varphi(f) = \varphi_{x_0}(f)$.

For any unital commutative algebra \mathcal{A} and let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the set of unital homomorphisms of \mathcal{A} into the field.

For $\mathcal{A} = C(X)$, and $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Definition 1.4

For any unital commutative algebra \mathcal{A} and let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the set of unital homomorphisms of \mathcal{A} into the field.

Remark We have $|\varphi(f)| \leq \|\varphi\| \|f\|_{L^\infty}$, since φ is unital, we have $\|\varphi\| = 1$.

This is not always true for normed algebra, Let

$$\mathcal{A} := \text{Poly} \subset C([0, 1])$$

We define $\varphi(p) = p(2)$, p is a polynomial. This is not continuous, nor is the $\|\varphi\| = 1$.

Proposition 1.3

If \mathcal{A} is a unital commutative Banach algebra, and if $\phi \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, then we have $\|\varphi\| = 1$.

The word “unital” is key here.

Proposition 1.4

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra (not necessarily commutative), then if $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\|a\| < 1$, then we have

$$1_{\mathcal{A}} - a \text{ is invertible in } \mathcal{A}$$

Proof For this, we use completeness. $\frac{1}{1-a} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n$, $a^0 = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. You could look at the partial sums. $S_m = \sum_{n=0}^m a^n$, you want to show that $\{S_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and use completeness of Banach algebras. $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_m = \frac{1}{1-a}$.

To prove this is a Cauchy sequence:

$$\|S_n - S_m\| = \left\| \sum_{j=m+1}^n a^j \right\| \leq \sum_{j=m+1}^n \|a^j\| \leq \sum_{j=m+1}^n \|a\|^j$$

And the fact that $\|a\| \leq 1$, we have the sum bounded by ϵ , hence $\{S_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $b = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n$, we want to show that $b(1-a) = 1$.

$$b(1-a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(1-a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \right) (1-a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - a^{n+1}) = 0$$

The last inequality follows from $\|a^{n+1}\| \leq \|a\|^{n+1} \rightarrow 0$.

1.2 Lecture 3

We now begin.

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra, and if $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|a\| < 1$, then we have $(1-a)$ has an inverse and if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(B)$, where B is some Banach space, then $T \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\|T\| < 1$, then we have

$$(1-T)^{-1} = \sum T^n$$

The above is called the Neumann series.

Now we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1

If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|1-a\| < 1$, then a is invertible.

Proof $a = 1 - (1-a)$.

Proposition 1.5

The set of invertible elements of \mathcal{A} is an open subset of \mathcal{A} .

Proof The open ball about 1 consists of invertible elements. If d is any invertible element, then we define $a \mapsto da$. This map is continuous, i.e. it is the left representation $L_b(a) = ab$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If d is invertible, then the inverse is also continuous, hence it is a homeomorphism of \mathcal{A} onto itself.

Denote the unit ball about 1 as $B_1(1)$, and let d be some invertible element, under L_d , homeomorphism, $O \mapsto d \cdot O$, this set is open, and consists of invertible elements. We take the union of all these elements, which give us an open set including every invertible elements.

□

Proposition 1.6

Let $C(X)$ be the unital Banach algebra, and for $f \in C(X)$, we have $\alpha \in \text{Range}(f)$ if and only if $(f - \alpha \cdot 1)$ is not invertible.



Proof Let $f \in C(X)$, and if $\alpha \in \text{range of } f$, so $\alpha = f(x_0)$ for some x_0 . then

$$(f - \alpha \cdot 1)(x_0) = 0$$

Hence $(f - \alpha \cdot 1)$ is not invertible. Conversely, if we have $f - \alpha \cdot 1$ is not invertible, then there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$(f - \alpha \cdot 1)(x_0) = 0$$

Hence $f(x_0) = \alpha$, i.e., $\alpha \in \text{range of } f$.

□

Definition 1.5 (spectrum of an element)

For any unital algebra \mathcal{A} over some field \mathbb{F} , for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the set

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{F} : a - \lambda 1_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ is not invertible} \}$$

is called the spectrum of a , denoted as $\sigma(a)$.



Interpret this in our familiar linear map: λ is called an eigenvalue, i.e. is in the spectrum of T if we have $T - \lambda I$ is not invertible.

Proposition 1.7

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra, and let $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then if $\lambda \in \sigma(a)$, then

$$|\lambda| \leq \|a\|$$



Proof Suppose $|\lambda| > \|a\|$, then $\lambda \neq 0$, then

$$a - \lambda \cdot 1 = -\lambda \left(1 - \frac{a}{\lambda}\right)$$

And by assumption, $\|a/\lambda\| \leq 1$, hence $(1 - a/\lambda)$ is invertible. Hence $a - \lambda \cdot 1$ is invertible (product of two invertible elements), meaning $\lambda \notin \sigma(a)$.

□

Proposition 1.8

Let φ be a multiplicative linear functional on \mathcal{A} , i.e. $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, and then $\varphi(a) \in \sigma(a)$, and we have

$$|\varphi(a)| \leq \|a\|, \|\varphi\| = 1$$



Proof $\varphi(a - \varphi(a) \cdot 1) = 0$. Hence $a - \varphi(a)1$ is not invertible.

□

Proposition 1.9

$\sigma(a)$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} .



Proof Define the map $\phi : \lambda \mapsto a - \lambda 1$, the map ϕ is continuous (multiplication and subtraction are both continuous). We know the set of invertible elements of \mathcal{A} is open, hence

$$\sigma(a) = \phi^{-1}(\text{noninvertible}) = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A} \setminus \text{invertible})$$

Or simply,

$$\sigma(a) = (\phi^{-1}(\text{invertible}))^c$$

Hence the spectrum of an element is closed.

□

Let $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ then $\|\varphi\| = 1$. So $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a subset of the unit ball of \mathcal{A}' , which denotes the dual vector space of continuous linear transformations.

On \mathcal{A}' , we can equip the weak-* topology, i.e. the weakest topology, making the map $\psi \mapsto \psi(a)$ continuous.

Proposition 1.10

$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is closed for the weak-* topology.



Proof let $\{\varphi_\lambda\}$ be a net of elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, that converges to some $\psi \in \mathcal{A}'$ in the weak-* topology, i.e., for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varphi_\lambda(a) \rightarrow \psi(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Then $\varphi(a, b) = \lim \varphi_\lambda(ab) = \lim \varphi_\lambda(a)\varphi_\lambda(b) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$.

$\varphi(1) = \lim(\varphi_\lambda(1)) = \lim 1 = 1$.

Theorem 1.1 (Alaoglu's theorem)

For any normed vector space V , the closed unit ball of V' is compact in the weak-* topology.



As an immediate corollary, we have the following.

Corollary 1.2

$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is compact with respect to the weak-* topology.



Proof $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a closed subset of a compact set, hence is also compact. □

Let $\mathcal{A} = C(X)$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, we define $x \mapsto \varphi_x$ is a bijection. The weak-* topology in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ makes $\varphi_x \mapsto \varphi_x(f) = f(x)$ continuous. Such $x \mapsto \varphi_x$ is a homomorphism of X onto \mathcal{A} .

For \mathcal{A} unital Banach algebra, commutative, for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, define

$$\widehat{a} \in C(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}), \widehat{a}(\varphi) = \varphi(a)$$

Proposition 1.11

The map $a \mapsto \widehat{a}$ is a unital algebra homomorphism from \mathcal{A} into $C(\mathcal{A})$.



Proof we have

$$\widehat{ab}(\varphi) = \varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \widehat{a}(\varphi)\widehat{b}(\varphi) = (\widehat{ab})(\varphi)$$

Hence

$$(\widehat{ab}) = \widehat{a}\widehat{b}, \widehat{(a+b)} = \widehat{a} + \widehat{b}, \widehat{1_a} = 1$$



1.3 Lecture 4

Today we talk about the structure of $\widehat{l^1(S)}, \widehat{l^1(G)}$, where S, G are semigroups and groups, and how they naturally identify with the unit disk \mathbb{D} , and the unit circle \mathbb{T} .

Let S be a commutative discrete semigroups, for example $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $f \in C_c(S)$, then we can write $f = \sum_{x \in S} f(x)\delta_x$, where we define $\delta_x\delta_y = \delta_{xy}$. Note that $C_c(S)$ is dense in $l^1(S)$.

Definition 1.6 (Convolution)

Take any $f, g \in C_c(S)$, we consider the following:

$$\sum_{x \in S} f(x)\delta_x \sum_{y \in S} g(y)\delta_y = \sum_{x \cdot y} \delta_{xy} = \sum_{z \in S} \left(\sum_{xy=z} f(x)g(y) \right) \delta_z$$

where we define the convolution between two functions

$$f * g(z) = \sum_{x,y, xy=z} f(x)g(y)$$

And under this convolution operation, we have $l^1(S), *$ as a Banach algebra.

Example 1.1 If we consider polynomials of the form $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)x^n$, and consider the operation between two polynomials

$$\left(\sum f(m)x^m\right) \left(\sum g(n)x^n\right) = \sum_p \left(\sum_{m+n=p} f(m)g(n)x^p\right) = \sum_p (f * g)(p)$$

And let $f \in C_c(S)$, where $S = \mathbb{N}$. we define $\|f\|_{l^1} = \sum_{x \in S} |f(x)|$.

It is easy to check we have

$$\|f * g\|_{l^1} \leq \|f\|_{l^1} \|g\|_{l^1}$$

We let $\mathcal{A} = l^1(S)$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the set of unital homomorphisms from \mathcal{A} to \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} . Note that $\|\varphi\| = 1, \varphi \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Note that we know $(l^1(S))' = l^\infty(S)$, hence $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{A}'$. Note that we have $\|\varphi\| = 1$, hence if we $\varphi \in l^\infty(S)$, we have

$$\|\varphi\|_{l^\infty} = 1$$

Then for $z \in S, \|z\| \leq 1$, we have $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$.

Proposition 1.12

We naturally identify $\widehat{l^1(S)}$ with $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbb{D})$, i.e. $\{\varphi \in l^\infty(S) : \|\varphi\|_{l^\infty} = 1\}$.

Proof Given $f \in \widehat{l^1(S)}$, we know it's multiplicative, unital, hence all these transfer when viewing $\varphi \in l^\infty(S)$. This implies

$$\varphi(\delta_x)\varphi(\delta_y) = \varphi(\delta_{xy}) \Rightarrow \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = \varphi(xy)$$

Note here xy denotes the operation on S between x, y , for example, could be $x + y$. Hence naturally, if $\varphi \in \widehat{l^1(S)}$, φ can also be viewed as $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, and thus is in l^∞ , with $|\varphi(s)| \leq 1$. □

Furthermore, we can identify elements in $\widehat{l^1(S)}$ with the unit disk. Take $S = \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 1.13

$$\widehat{l^1(\mathbb{N})} \cong \mathbb{D}$$

where \mathbb{D} denotes the unit disk in \mathbb{C} .

Proof We motivate this by noticing \mathbb{N} is generated by 1, and thus viewing $\varphi \in \widehat{l^1(\mathbb{N})}$ as $\varphi \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$, we have φ is determined by $\varphi(1)$. And denote $\varphi(1) = z_0$, then we have

$$\varphi(n) = z_0^n$$

We thus define a map as follows, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$z \mapsto \varphi(n) = z^n$$

The map is continuous, bijective, and thus a homeomorphism between compact and Hausdorff space. □

Proposition 1.14

The standard topology on \mathbb{D} coincides with the weak-* topology on $\widehat{l^1(\mathbb{N})}$.

$$D_{std} \cong D_{weak-*}$$

Proof We just need to associate an element in \mathbb{D} with a function $\varphi \in \widehat{l^1(\mathbb{N})}$. And we do this by

$$z \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(n)x^n$$

Both maps are continuous, bijective, and between compact and Hausdorff space, hence is a homeomorphism.

1.3.1 On groups

We let G denote a discrete commutative group, and we see everything above follows, with one extra property.

Proposition 1.15

We have the following:

$$\widehat{l^1(G)} \cong \mathbb{T}$$

where \mathbb{T} denotes the unit circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$.

Proof For $\varphi \in \widehat{l^1(G)}$, we have

$$|\varphi(x \cdot x^{-1})| = |\varphi(e)| = 1$$

Because $|\varphi(x)| \leq 1, \forall x$, Hence we have

$$|\varphi(x)| = 1, \forall x$$

Hence we have $\widehat{l^1(G)}$ naturally identifies with \mathbb{T} . Like what we described above, we have what is desired. □

Remark Take $G = \mathbb{Z}$, if we denote $z \in \mathbb{T}$ as $z = e^{2\pi it}$, then we naturally identify with

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n)e^{2\pi int}$$

we denote this mapping as \widehat{f} , i.e.

$$\widehat{f}(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(m)e^{2\pi imt}$$

This is the Fourier transform.

1.4 Lecture 5

Last time, we talked about if we denote $\mathcal{A} = l^1(G)$, equipped with $\|\cdot\|_{l^1}$, under convolution, we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \cong \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$$

If we take $G = (\mathbb{Q}, +)$, one can ask the question if $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is big enough. And we will see later in the course, the answer is yes.

For pointwise multiplication, \widehat{G} forms a group, and in fact \widehat{G} is a compact topological group.

For any compact commutative group G , for example \mathbb{R}^n under $+$. Define

$$\widehat{G} = \text{continuous homomorphisms into } \mathbb{T}$$

Remark We now require continuous with this general G (previously was not required for discrete group G).

Proposition 1.16

Let G be a locally compact and commutative group, we have \widehat{G} as a locally compact, commutative group.

We define the pairing between G and \widehat{G} as follows: $x \in G, \varphi \in \widehat{G}$,

$$\varphi(x) = \langle x, \varphi \rangle$$

And we have the following map is a homeomorphism.

$$G \mapsto \widehat{\widehat{G}}$$

Now let G, H denote locally compact groups, and $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ be a continuous homomorphism. Note we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H \\ \widehat{G} & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \widehat{H} \end{array}$$

If we take an element $\psi \in \widehat{H}$, we consider $\psi \circ \phi$. We get $\psi \circ \phi \in \widehat{G}$.

Definition 1.7 (category, functor)

A category is specified by

1. a set of objects
 2. morphisms between objects
- (a). X, Y, Z are objects, and if

$$X \xrightarrow{\Phi} Y \xrightarrow{\Psi} Z$$

- (b). For each object X , there is an identity morphism 1_X .

And a functor is defined to be such a morphism between categories.



Example 1.2 For category of finite vector spaces V , passing from vector space to its dual V' is a functor.

Note that we have the following diagram, assuming they are vector spaces over the reals,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{T} & W \\ V' & \xleftarrow{T^t} & W' \\ V'' & \xrightarrow{T^{tt}} & W'' \end{array}$$

The map going in the same directions $V \rightarrow W$, and $V'' \rightarrow W''$ is called covariant, whereas $V' \leftarrow W'$ is called contravariant.

Example 1.3 For category of locally compact groups G, H , assigning the dual group is a functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \rightarrow & H \\ \widehat{G} & \leftarrow & \widehat{H} \\ \widehat{\widehat{G}} & \rightarrow & \widehat{\widehat{H}} \end{array}$$

Example 1.4 Now let X be a compact space. Given Φ continuous map between $X \rightarrow Y$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & Y \\ C(X) & \leftarrow C(\Phi) & C(Y) \end{array}$$

For $f \in C(Y)$, we define

$$C(\Phi)(f) = f \circ \Phi$$

Similarly, we take

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Z \\ C(X) & \xleftarrow{C(\varphi)} C(Y) & \xleftarrow{C(\phi)} C(Z) \end{array}$$

where for $f \in C(Y)$, $C(\varphi)(f) = f \circ \varphi$, and $g \in C(Z)$, $C(\phi) = g \circ \phi$. This is a contravariant functor from the category of compact Hausdorff space into the category of unital commutative Banach algebra.

Now we build an important intuition that given a unital algebra homomorphism map between $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$, there exists a map from X to Y .

Proposition 1.17

Suppose X, Y are compact, there exists a unital algebra homomorphism

$$C(X) \xleftarrow{F} C(Y)$$

Then there exists a continuous homomorphism $\check{F} : X \rightarrow Y$.



Proof Define $\varphi_x : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as the evaluation map: take $f \in C(X)$,

$$\varphi_x(f) = f(x)$$

Then $\varphi_x \circ F \in \widehat{C(Y)}$. And we know that any element in $\widehat{C(Y)}$ is a point evaluation, i.e. there exists $y \in Y$ such that

$$\varphi_y = \varphi_x \circ F$$

We thus define $\check{F}(x) = y$ as such that it satisfies the above equation. We need to show \check{F} is continuous. Note that X, Y are compact Hausdorff spaces, and the topology on Y is the coarsest topology making all functions $g \in C(Y)$ continuous.

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ \check{F}(x) &= g(\check{F}(x)) \\ &= g(y : \varphi_y = \varphi_x \circ F) \\ &= \varphi_y(g : \varphi_y = \varphi_x \circ F) \\ &= \varphi_x \circ F(g) \\ &= F(g)(x) \\ &= F \circ g(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence by F, g being continuous, we have \check{F} is also continuous.

□

There is a natural bijection between the continuous functions from X to Y , and the unital algebra homomorphism from $C(Y)$ to $C(X)$.

A quick reminder:

Remark For X compact, the weak-* topology coincides with the standard topology.