Question 1(a) Can this be done using the sample function? If yes, what table would we call sample on? (1 point)

Yes, with "studios\_with\_counts"

Question 1(b) Can this be done using the np.random.choice function? If yes, what array would we call np.random.choice on? (1 point)

Yes, with "studios $\_$ with $\_$ counts"

Question 1(c) Can this be done using the sample\_proportions function? If yes, what array would we call sample\_proportions on?  $(1\ point)$ 

Yes, with "studios\_proportions\_only"

Question 2(a) Can this be done using the sample function? If yes, what table would we call sample on? (1 point)

Yes, with "studios\_with\_counts"

Question 2(b) Can this be done using the np.random.choice function? If yes, what array would we call np.random.choice on? (1 point)

Yes, with "studios\_with\_counts"

Question 2(c) Can this be done using the sample\_proportions function? If yes, what array would we call sample\_proportions on?  $(1\ point)$ 

Yes, with "studios\_proportions\_only"

**Question 5.** Given your observed value, do you believe that Jade's model is reasonable, or is our alternative (that our deck is rigged) more likely? Explain your answer using the histogram of statistics simulated using Jade's model (produced above). **(4 Points)** 

Jade's model is pretty reasonable since all card ban be drawn equally