Final Report for Project 2: User Program

Group Member

• Jingrou Wu 11510281@mail.sustc.edu.cn

Task1 design and implementation, Task 2 and Task 3 design.

• Zhihao Dai 11510415@mail.sustc.edu.cn

Task 1 implementation, Task 2 and Task 3 design and implementation.

1. Design Document

In this part, we will discuss the plan for each task's implementation, including requirements, implementations, algorithms and so on.

1.1 Task1: Argument Passing

1.1.1 Data structures and functions

```
• userprog/process.c
```

O MAX ARGV SIZE 30

The upper boundary of the number of arguments.

```
o tid_t process_execute (const char *file_name_)
```

Using strtok_r() in lib/string.c to split the command to get and set the user progarm's name.

```
o bool load (const char *arguments, void (**eip) (void), void **esp)
```

Split the command line arguments and store it in the char* argv[] and call push_stack().

```
void push_stack (void **esp, int argc, char **argv)
```

Push arguments into the stack.

- threads/thread.h
 - o struct thread
 - process file

pointer to the executable file.

1.1.2 Algorithms

We review the **original implementation** in Pintos and describe **new implementation** in this part.

1.1.2.1 Original Implementation

In the user program part, after the kernel is started, a new thread to load user program is created with the function start process(void *filename).

Then it initializes interrupt frame and loads executable file according to the filename.

After that, it starts the user process by simulating a return from an interrupt, implemented by intr_exit which pushes all arguments to the stack stored in the struct intr_frame.

1.1.2.2 New Implementation

Based on the understanding about how the user program is excuted, we modify the code by 2 steps.

1.1.2.2.1 Parsing Arguments (userprog/process.c)

Since the argument filename including the excutable file and the arguments, we need to split them. In the pintos's lib, the function, strtok_r(char *,const char *,char **), used to split string is included in the c file string.c. Using it, we could get the actual excutable file's name which is used to name the new user process.

In the function <code>start_process(void *file_name)</code>, after initialization, the excutable file should be loaded by the function <code>load</code>.

In the function load, we split the command line arguments and store them in the char* argv[]. Then, push these arguments from right to left into the stack using the newly created function push stack(esp,argc,argv).

1.1.2.2.2 Pushing arguments into the stack(userprog/process.c)

Function <code>push_stack(esp,argc,argv)</code> pushes these elements as the figure 1 shows, where <code>esp</code> is the stack point, <code>argc</code> is the total number of arguments and <code>argv</code> stores all the arguments.

Address	Name	Data	Type
Oxbffffffc	argv[3][]	bar\0	char[4]
0xbffffff8	argv[2][]	foo\0	char[4]
0xbffffff5	argv[1][]	-1\0	char[3]
0xbfffffed	argv[0][]	/bin/ls $ackslash 0$	char[8]
Oxbfffffec	word-align	0	$uint8_t$
0xbfffffe8	argv[4]	0	char *
0xbfffffe4	argv[3]	0xbffffffc	char *
0xbfffffe0	argv[2]	0xbffffff8	char *
Oxbfffffdc	argv[1]	0xbffffff5	char *
0xbfffffd8	argv[0]	0xbfffffed	char *
0xbfffffd4	argv	0xbfffffd8	char **
0xbfffffd0	argc	4	int
0xbfffffcc	return address	0	void (*) ()

Figure 1 push_stack()

1.3 Synchronization

When we load the user program, we should load the process's file. Since, the file system in Pintos is not thread-safe, we should use filesys_lock to keep the process's file from being modified while opening it. Once, the file is loaded successfully, we should use this lock agian to deny wirte to the file using file deny write.

1.4 Rationale

We use process_file to record pointer to the excutable file to open and load it. Also, we split arguments and push them into the stack to record the arguments.

1.2 Task2: Process Control Syscalls

1.2.1 Data structures and functions

```
• userprog/syscall.c
    o void pop1 (void *esp, uint32 t *a1)
  Pop one argument off the stack by esp.
    o void pop2 (void *esp, uint32_t *a1,uint32_t *a2)
  Pop two arguments off the stack by esp.
    o void pop3 (void *esp, uint32_t *a1, uint32_t *a2, uint32 t *a3)
  Pop three arguments off the stack by esp.
    o uint32 t dereference (uint32 t *addr)
  Return the page which the address pointers to.
    o void _exit (void *esp)
  System call EXIT.
    o void halt (void *esp)
  System call HALT.
    o int exec (void *esp)
  System call EXEC.
    o int wait (void *esp)
  System call WAIT.
    o int practice (void *esp)
  Add one to its first argument and return the result.
 userprog/process.c
    o void process thread exit (int status)
  The exit function for the user program.
    o tid_t process_execute (const char *file_name_)
  Make another copy of FILE NAME. Insert child process information into the
   children list.
```

o int process_wait (tid_t child_tid)

Wait for thread TID to die and returns its exit status.

- threads/thread.h
 - o struct thread:
 - struct list children list

This is a list of child process.

struct child_process *process_ptr

This is a pointer to the child process information.

■ struct semaphore loaded_sema

This semaphore is for load.

■ bool loaded

It is to record whether the process is successfully loaded.

- o struct child_process:
 - struct list elem children elem

This is the list element for list of children of the process. It is easier for operators in the list.

■ tid t tid

Record the process's thread id. (Since, in Pintos, the process id and the thread id is one-to-one).

struct semaphore semaphore

This is for synch.

■ bool waited

It is to record whether the child process has been waited for.

■ struct thread *thread

It is a pointer to the child thread.

■ int exit status

It records the exit status of the process.

- threads/thread.c
 - o struct thread * thread_find (tid_t tid)

Find the thread by tid.

o static void init_thread (struct thread *, const char *name, int
priority)

Initialize children_list and loaded_sema.

1.2.2 Algorithms

We review the **original implementation** in Pintos and describe **new implementation** in this part.

1.2.2.1 Original Implementation

There are only two functions in the syscall.c. One is sys_init(void) which initializes the system call to register it into the intr_frameand, while another is syscall_handler(struct intr frame *f UNUSED).

In this task, we need to implement 4 system call functions, halt, exec, wait and practice.

```
In userprog/syscall.c , syscall_handler will assign those 4 system calls to _halt() ,
    exec() , _wait() , _practice() defined in userprog/syscall.c respectively.
```

```
1.2.2.2 Function halt(void *esp UNUSED)
```

This function is to turn off the pintos. Since there is a function called shut_down_power_off to shut down the system, we just call it in this funtion to implement it.

```
1.2.2.3 Function _exec(void *esp)
```

This function is called to exectue a new process.

Firstly, we should use <code>pop1(esp,(unit32_t *)&file))</code> to get the 1 argument off the stack by <code>esp</code>. Then, we check if it is valid using the function <code>is_user_vaddr(const char*)</code>. If it is not valid, return -1. Otherwise, we call <code>process_execute(const char *file_name)</code> to execute the new process.

After that, we need to check whether it is executed correctly (if (tid == TID_ERROR)), whether the thread could be found and if it is loaded successfully (if (!(thread->loaded))).

Finally, if the child process works well, we return the thread ID tid.

- Modifictions of process execute(const char *file name)
 - Make another copy of FILE_NAME. Otherwise there's a page fault when executing exec().
 - Disable the interruption before create a child process. Otherwise, the child process
 may start before the parent insert the info into children_list. If that happens, the child
 process's process_ptr will be NULL and will not be able to save its exit status when it
 exits. That is, the parent will "lose" the child's info.
 - o Insert child process information into the children_list. Firstly, we create the new thread, and then, check whether it is illegal. If not, we initilize the struct child process and insert it into the children list.
- Definition of struct child process in threads/thread.h
- Modifictions of struct thread in threads/thread.h
- Function thread_find(tid_t tid) in threads/thread.c
 - This is to find the thread by <u>tid</u>. If it is found, just return the thread with <u>tid</u>, otherwise, return NULL.

Modifications in init_thread(struct thread *t, const char *name, int priority)
 in threads/thread.c

Since we have add more attributes in the struct thread, we should initilize these new attributes as well.

o children list

We should use list_init (&(t->children_list)) for initialization.

o loaded sema

We also initilize the semaphore using sema init (&(t->loaded sema), 0).

1.2.2.4 Function wait(void *esp)

Similarly, we firstly get the process id pid of the process which is called to wait. Then we call process wait(tid_t child_tid).

• process_wait(tid_t child_tid) in usrprog/process.c

We rewrite the code in this function.

Firstly, we find the child process (struct child_process) with child_tid in list children_list. If the child process if not found, returns -1. If the child process is found but has been waited, that is, bool child process.waited is true, returns -1.

Otherwise, we set bool child_process.waited to true and sema_down on child_process.semaphore

1.2.2.5 Function _practice (void *esp)

This function is to add 1 to first argument. Hence, we firstly use pop1(void *esp, uint32_t
*a1) to get the first argument, add 1 to it and return it.

1.2.2.6 Function _exit(void *esp)

The main function to call is process_thread_exit(int status).

• process_thread_exit(int status)

In this function, we free all struct child_process allocated for children_list. Then, we call thread_exit() which has been originally implemented in the threads/thread.c.

1.2.3 Synchronization

wait()

In process_wait, we use semaphore to avoid race condition. That is, whenever there is a child is been waiting, we use sema_down to indicate the parent thread to wait for it.

Meanwhile, in process thread wait, we sema up() to wake up its parent.

exec()

When the parent calls <code>exec()</code> system call, it calls <code>process_execute()</code>, essentially, <code>start_process()</code> first, then downs the newly introduced <code>semaphore loaded_sema</code> in <code>struct thread</code> of the child process.

Once the child process finishes loading, its load result is saved into bool loaded and loaded_sema is upped, thus waking up the parent blocked in exec().

1.2.4 Rationale

Close a file.

userprog/syscall.h

Firstly, we use pop to get the arguments we want. Then, we call other functions to realize the system calls. In these procedure, we also give insignt into **synchronization**.

1.3 Task3: File Operation Syscalls

1.3.1 Data structures and functions

```
• userprog/syscall.c
    o struct file **init_opened_files (void)
  Record files has been opened.
    o bool is fd valid (int fd, struct file **file)
  Check whther the file descirptor is valid or not.
    o bool create (void *esp)
  Create a file.
    o bool remove (void *esp)
  Remove a file.
    o int _open (void *esp)
  Open a file.
    o int _filesize (void *esp)
  Return the file's size.
    o int _read (void *esp)
  Read the file.
    o int _write (void *esp)
  Write something to the file.
    o void _seek (void *esp)
  Change the file's writing and reading position.
    o unsigned _tell (void *esp)
  Return the file's writing and reading position.
    o void _close (void *esp)
```

o struct lock filesys lock

Lock for synchronizing the File System Calls.

- userprog/process.c
 - o process_thread_exit

Close the executable file of the process so that it may become writeable. Close all the files the process has opened and free the array of opened files and free the page allocated for opened files and update process info.

- userprog/exception.c
 - page_fault(struct intr_frame *f)

Release filesys lock while there is an exception.

- threads/thread.h
 - o struct thread
 - struct file **opened_files

Record the filse has been opened by this thread.

- threads/thread.c
 - o init_thread(struct thread *t, const char *name, int priority)

 Initialize opened_files and process_file.

1.3.2 Algorithms

1.3.2.1 Function _create(void *esp)

To create a file, we need two arguments <code>const char *file</code> and <code>unsigned initial_size</code> to call the function <code>filesys_create(const char* file, unsigned initial_size)</code> which has been implemented in the pintos's file system.

Hence, firstly, we use pop2(void *esp, uint32_t *a1, uint32_t *a2) to get these arguments from the user program stack.

Acquire the lock filesys lock. Then, we call filesys create. Release filesys lock.

1.3.2.2 Function _remove(void *esp)

Similar with the function _create , but this time, we just need one argument const char* file , which indicate the file's name to be removed, to remove the file.

After we get it using pop1, we acquire filesys_lock, call filesys_remove(const char* file) and release the lock after.

1.3.2.3 Function _open(void *esp)

Firslt, we get one argument from the stack. Then, we initialize the current thread's struct file **opened_files , if it dose not initialize. That is, this file is the first file that the thread opens.

To initialize opened_files is to allocate a new page to it. After initilization, we acquire filesys_lock, call filesys_open(const_char* file_name) and release after.

If we could not open the file, we return file_descriptor with value of -1. Otherwise, find the first NULL element in opened_files such that we can allocate the index as a file descriptor to the file. If such element does not exist, return -1.

• struct file **opened_files in threads/thread.h

Introduced opened_files for File System Calls, which is **an array for storing File Descriptors**. It will not been allocated memory until the first time open() is called, as we mentioned before.

```
1.3.2.4 Function _filesize(void *esp)
```

This function is to return the size of the file.

First, we get the index/file descriptor int fd. Then, we use newly-written function is_fd_valid (int fd, struct file **file) to check whether the fd is valid for the current process. There are several cases that it could not pass the check.

- fd is not in the range.
- The thread's open files is NULL.
- There is no such file in the opened files.

Finally, we acquire filesys lock, call file length to get the file's size and release after.

```
1.3.2.5 Function _read(void *esp)
```

At this time, we need 3 arguments from the stack using the function pop3(void *esp,
uint32_t *a1, uint32_t *a2, uint32_t *a3).

These arguments are file descriptor, buffer and size respectively.

Firstly, we call <code>is_user_vaddr</code> (const void *vaddr) in <code>threads/varr.h</code> to check whether buffer is valid user address. If not, exit the thread with <code>status</code> -1. There are several case according to different values of file descriptor.

- If fd==0: It means that we should read from the keyboard using input_getc() in devices/input.c. So we read the character with the number of size into the buffer and return size.
- Otherwise, we read it from the file. Similarly, we should check if fd is valid, and then call function file_read (struct file *file, void *buffer, off_t size) in filesys/file.c and return the bytes that have been read.

filesys_lock is acquired before file_read() is called and released after.

1.3.2.6 Fuction _write(void *esp)

Similar with the function _read, we need 3 arguments from the stack, int fd, const void *buffer and unsigned size. Then, check whether buffer is valid user address. If not, exit the thread with status -1.

- If fd==1: It means that we should write to the console. We call putbuf(const char *buffer, size_t n) in lib/kernel/console.c to do that.
- Otherwise, we write to the corresponding file using file_write(struct file *file, void *buffer, off_t size) in filesys/file.c. Before that ,we check the validity of fd.

filesys_lock is acquired before file_write() is called and released after.

Finally, we return bytes written.

1.3.2.7 Function _seek(void *esp)

We need two arguments in this function, int fd and unsigned position. We check the validity and then acquire filesys_lock, call file_seek(struct file *file, off_t new_pos) to change the file's next byte to be read or written position, and then release filesys_lock.

1.3.2.8 Function _tell(void *esp)

We only need one argument now, int fd. We check the validity and then acquire filesys_lock, call file_tell in filesys/file.c to get the current position, and then release filesys lock.

1.3.2.9 Function _close(void *esp)

To colose some file, we only need one argument, int fd. Check the validity and find the file. Eventually, acquire filesys_lock, call file_close(struct file *file) to colse it and release filesys lock after.

1.3.2.10 More implementations

Apart from the modifications above, we also need to change other codes in Pintos to run it.

- userprog/syscall.h
 - o struct lock filesys_lock

To keep thread-safe while using filesystem, we add struct lock filesys_lock to lock for synchronizing the File System Calls.

- userprog/syscall.c
 - Function dereference(uint32_t *addr): While pop arguments off the stack by ESP, we use this function to get the pointer.

Definitely, we should use <code>is_user_vaddr</code> (const void *vaddr) in <code>threads/vaddr.h</code> to check if VADDR is a user virtual address.

Then, we get and return the page with the fuction pagedir_get_page (uint32_t *pd, const void *uaddr) in userprog/pagedir.c to look up the physical address that corresponds to user virtual address UADDR in PD and return the kernel virtual address corresponding to that physical address, or a null pointer if UADDR is unmapped.

Function syscall_handler (struct intr_frame *f): Since we have implemented

different system calls, we should modify the syscall_handler to handle different system calls. We use switch case to deal with it.

- userprog/process.c
 - o process thread exit

Since we have implemented file operation sys calls, we should close the executable file of the process so that it may become writeable. Meanwhile, we should close all the files the process has opened and free the array of opened files and free the page allocated for opened_files and update process info.

o load()

We use lock to thread-safely open the excutable file. Once loaded, we deny write access to the excutable file and close it.

- userprog/exception.c
 - o page fault(struct intr frame *f)

As process_thred_exit() makes use of filesys_lock to release the process's resouces. If, unfortunately, an exception happens during the file system access, we should first release the filesys_lock held by the current thread.

- threads/thread.h
 - o struct thread

As for file operation syscalls, we add struct file **opened_files to record the filse has been opened by this thread. We also add struct file *process_file to record the executable file of the process in order to it could not be modified while excuting.

- threads/thread.c
 - o init_thread(struct thread *t, const char *name, int priority)

Since we have updated the struct thread, we should initialize opened_files and process_file as well.

1.3.3 Synchronization

Since Pintos file system is not thread-safe, before every call of the filesystem functions, we need get the filesys_lock, and after calling, we should release the lock.

1.3.4 Rationale

We implement file operator system calls and use filesys_lock to keep thread-safe. We also use open files to record all the files.

1.2 Tests analysis

1.2.1 Tests with invalid stack pointer

• bad-jump

One of the tests that uses invalid stack pointer is <code>bad-jump.c</code> which attempts to execute code at address 0, which is not mapped. Since we have called <code>is_user_vaddr</code> before we handle with the pointer, we pass these test cases.

1.2.2 Tests with a valid pointer close to a page boundary

• sc-boundary, sc-boundary-2, open-boundary, read-boundary, write-boundary

boundary.c is the test that uses a valid pointer close to a page boundary. Utility function for tests that try to break system calls by passing them data that crosses from one virtual page to another.

1.2.3 Test Uncoverage

There is no test for the system call function practice.

• practice

Hence, we write down a test case practice to test it. In this test case, we call system call practice, starting from i=1 to i=1000 to see if the result equis to inpute plus one.

2. Hack Testing

In this project, it requires us to submit 2 new test cases, which exercise functionality that is not covered by existing tests. In this part, we will dicuss 2 new test cases in more detail.

2.1 tests/userprog/open-many.c and tests/userprog/open-many.ck

2.1.1 Description of the Feature

This test tests if the system **releases memory/pages** for file descriptors in time every time after the file closed.

2.1.2 Overview of the Mechanics

In a single process, we open (calling syscall <code>open(file_name)</code>) 5 files each time and close (calling syscall <code>close(file_name)</code>) them and repeat it for 1000 times to see if there is a **page** fault when the process has to assign new file descriptors to the newly opened files.

2.1.3 Output

```
🗦 📵 wu@ubuntu: ~/pintos/src/userprog/build/tests/userprog
 29 Executing 'open-many':
 30 (open-many) begin
31 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 2
32 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 3
33 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 4
34 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 5
35 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 6
35 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 6
36 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 2
       (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 3
38 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 4
 39 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 5
40 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 6
40 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 6
41 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 2
42 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 3
43 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 4
44 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 5
45 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 6
46 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 2
47 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 3
48 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 4
49 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 4
                                                                                  , handle = 2
                                                                                     handle = 3
                                                                                  , handle = 4
49 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 5
50 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 6
       (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 2
                                                                                                                              51,1
                                                                                                                                                               0%
```

Figure 4 Open Many Output 1

```
🕒 🗊 wu@ubuntu: ~/pintos/src/userprog/build/tests/userprog
10019 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 5
10020 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 6
10021 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 2
10022 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 3
10023 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 4
10024 (open-many) open file "sample.txt",
10025 (open-many) open file "sample.txt",
10026 (open-many) close file "sample.txt",
                                                                    handle = 5
                                                                    handle = 6
 10027 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 2
10027 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 3
10028 (open-many) close file "
10027 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 3
10028 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 4
10029 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 5
10030 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 6
10031 (open-many) end
10031 (open-many) end
10032 open-many: exit(0)
10033 Execution of 'open-many' complete.
10034 Timer: 61953 ticks
10035 Thread: 612 idle ticks, 219 kernel ticks, 61129 user ticks
10036 hda2 (filesys): 16086 reads, 202 writes
10037 hda3 (scratch): 97 reads, 2 writes
10038 Console: 475953 characters output
 10039 Keyboard: O keys pressed
10040 Exception: 0 page faults
10041 Powering off..
                                                                                                     10041,1
                                                                                                                           Bot
```

Figure 5 Open Many Output 2

2.1.4 Potential kernel bug

Failure might happen even when currently there are relatively few opened files (say 5), if the array (or other data structure) for storing the file descriptors has no boundary and no reuse.

A process should be able to call <code>open()</code> as many times as it wants, as long as it do not exceed the limit of opened files.

2.2 tests/userprog/exec-many.c and tests/userprog/exec-many.ck

2.2.1 Description of the Feature

Execute a "bad" child and a "good" one many times to test whether the kernel frees all the resouces of the thread (process) when it exits.

2.2.2 Overview of the Mechanics

For each time, the parent process run a bad child process and a good process and repeat for 1000 times. Since child-bad.c has been implemented in the original Pintos, we only need to implement child-good.c.

tests/userprog/child-good.c
 Child process run by opening a file. This is to test if it could run a child process without any problems.

2.2.3 Output

```
wu@ubuntu: ~/pintos/src/userprog/build/tests/userprog

33 Executing 'exec-many':

34 (exec-many) begin

35 (child-bad) begin

36 (exec-many) exec (child-bad) = 4

37 child-bad: exit(-1)

38 (exec-many) wait (4) = -1

39 (child-good) open "sample.txt"

40 (exec-many) exec (child-good) = 5

41 (child-good) close "sample.txt"

42 child-good: exit(0)

43 (exec-many) wait (5) = 0

44 (child-bad) begin

45 (exec-many) exec (child-bad) = 6

46 child-bad: exit(-1)

47 (exec-many) wait (6) = -1

48 (child-good) open "sample.txt"

49 (exec-many) exec (child-good) = 7

50 (child-good) close "sample.txt"

51 child-good: exit(0)

52 (exec-many) wait (7) = 0

53 (child-bad) begin

54 (exec-many) exec (child-bad) = 8

55 child-bad: exit(-1)
```

Figure 6 Exec Many Output 1

```
wu@ubuntu: ~/pintos/src/userprog/build/tests/userprog
 023 (child-good) close "sample.txt"
9024 child-good: exit(0)
9025 (exec-many) wait (2001) = 0
9026 (child-bad) begin
027 child-bad: exit(-1)
9028 (exec-many) exec (child-bad) = 2002

9029 (exec-many) wait (2002) = -1

9030 (child-good) open "sample.txt"

9031 (exec-many) exec (child-good) = 2003

9032 (child-good) close "sample.txt"
9033 child-good: exit(0)
0034 (exec-many) wait (2003) = 0
035 (exec-many) end
9036 exec-many: exit(0)
0037 Execution of 'exec-many' complete.
9038 Timer: 135087 ticks
9039 Thread: 198 idle ticks, 42454 kernel ticks, 92442 user ticks
9040 hda2 (filesys): 94142 reads, 578 writes
9041 hda3 (scratch): 283 reads, 2 writes
0042 Console: 249852 characters output
043 Keyboard: 0 keys pressed
 044 Exception: 1000 page faults
9045 Powering off..
                                                                                 9045.1
                                                                                                   Bot
```

Figure 7 Exec Many Output 2

2.2.4 Potential Kernel Bugs

A parent should be able to repeat this process as many as times as it wants, being assured that the kernel will free all the resouces allocated for the previous children. If it could not pass this test case, it means that the kernel did not free all the resources after the child is killed.

2.3 Reflection

• What can be improved about the Pintos testing system? (There's a lot of room for improvement.)

Running large test cases like multi-oom, exec-many and open-many may take a lot of time. If the testing system can be ran in multiple threads, as many computers nowadays are multi-thread, that could be huge boost to the testing procedure.

• What did you learn from writing test cases?

I learnt that writing test cases is not only essential to writing softwares, but also to operating systems. Writing simple test cases to test different functionalities of the system under normal circumstances is far from enough.

As a programmer, we must write test cases that push the system to its limit and sometimes to face "the very unlikely" that could happen.

3. Reflection

3.1 What did each member do

Zhihao DAI is responsible for implementing Task 1, designing and implementing Task 2 and Task 3 and test cases.

Jingrou Wu is responsible for understanding the userprog part in Pintos, designing and implementing Task 1, designing Task 2 and Task 3, fixing bugs and writing the report.

3.2 What went well and wrong

We pass all the 79 (76 offered by Pintos, 2 for Hack Testing and 1 for system call practice) tests.

To have a clear view of how we have done with tasks, we change the order to implement them. Since, if we do not implemented the system call wait, we could never get the real result. Hence, we firslt implemented Task 1 and part of Task 2, and finally all of Task 2 and Task 3.

The most difficult test is multi-oom which recursively executes itself until the child fails to execute and it expects that at least 30 copies can run.

If we do not release all the resources in time, it will fail. To pass this tese, we modify our codes more carefully, especially for resource release.

Moreover, the synchronization also needs considering because file system in Pintos is not thread-safe. Hence, we use filesys_lock to maintain it.

Through these tests, we've learned that dealing with operating system codes, we should consider more for something that is rarely considered in the user program inculing synchronization and resource release and so on.

4. Problems and Solutions

• Does your code exhibit any major memory safety problems (especially regarding C strings), memory leaks, poor error handling, or race conditions?

In the current version, there is no obvious problems with memory safety, memory leaks, poor error handling and race conditions.

Memory safety and leaks

- In the former version, we do meet with these problems due to inappropriate operator with C strings. Problems like NULL POINTER, STACK OVERFLOW did happens.
- **Solution**: Thanksfully, we enforce the check of pointer and memory to solve this problem.

• Poor error handling

- In the former version, we forget to release file lock while there is an exception in the file system.
- **Solution**: Currently, we have fixed this proble by releasing filesys_lock in uerprog/exception.c 's function page fault (struct intr frame *f).

Race conditions

- This is the major problem during testing, since once it happens, the output will be very different and confused.
- **Solution**: Whenever there is a file operator, we add lock to ensure thread-safe. We also deny writting while the excutable file is running to avoid thread choas.

• Did you use consistent code style? Is your code simple and easy to understand? If you have very complex sections of code in your solution, did you add enough comments to explain them?

Our code is consistent with the exisiting Pintos code style and easy to understand. For those codes a little difficult for understanding, we add enough comments to explain them.

- Did you leave commented-out code in your final submission?
 No.
- Did you copy-paste code instead of creating reusable functions?
 In this project, instead of re-implementing linked list algorithms, we using them directly.
- Did you re-implement linked list algorithms instead of using the provided list manipulation?

We try to create as many as reusable functions (e.g. pop1(), pop2(), pop3() in userprog/syscall.c to pop 1, 2, 3 arguments off the stack) as possible to keep our code short and tidy.

Are your lines of source code excessively long? (more than 100 characters)?
 We try to keep our lines of code as short as possible. If there are excessively long lines, we may divide them into several lines.

5. Conclusion

Through this project, we have learnt the real-world implementations to system calls in Pintos and how to synchronize between different processes.

We have made a careless mistake when implementing the file system calls as we do not acquire filesys_lock before accessing Pintos file system. The mistake has cost us huge amount of debugging time, especially debugging the test multi-oom, before we finally located the synchronization problem.

Synchronization is one of the most important (also the most dangerous) issues in Operating System, which we learnt in a hard way. As we dives deeper into this topic, our understanding of the operating system also grows deeper.

In this project, we changed 24 files, added 1071 insertions(+) and removed 27 deletions(-).

```
git diff --stat 933b1b846ecc8440fedf9423e52d61600e30e3a7
                                | 15 ++
.travis.yml
                                   71 ++++++
README.md
README.txt
                                  2 -
install.sh
                                18 ++
                               1 +
src/lib/syscall-nr.h
src/lib/user/syscall.c
                               6 +
src/lib/user/syscall.h
                               1 +
src/tests/threads/alarm-priority.c | 8 +-
src/tests/userprog/Make.tests | 14 +-
src/tests/userprog/child-good.c | 15 ++
src/tests/userprog/exec-many.c
                               39 ++++
```

```
src/tests/userprog/exec-many.ck
src/tests/userprog/open-many.c
49 +++++
src/tests/userprog/open-many.ck 8 +
src/tests/userprog/practice.c | 19 ++
src/tests/userprog/practice.ck
                           8 +
src/threads/init.c
                              9 +-
src/threads/thread.c
                            40 +++-
src/threads/thread.h
                           73 ++++++
src/userprog/exception.c
                           15 ++
src/userprog/process.c
                            244 +++++++++++++++++
src/userprog/process.h
                            2 +
                           425
src/userprog/syscall.c
src/userprog/syscall.h
                           8 +
```