Final Report for Project 2: User Program

Group Member

Jingrou Wu 11510281@mail.sustc.edu.cn

Task1 design and implementation, task2 and task3 design.

Zhihao Dai 11510415@mail.sustc.edu.cn

Task1 implementation, task2 and task3 design and implementation.

1. Design Document

In this part, we will discuss the plan for each tasks' implementation, including requirements, implementations, algorithms and so on.

1.1 Task1: Argument Passing

1.1.1 Data structures and functions

- userprog/process.c
 - define MAX ARGV SIZE 30: Set the upper boundary of the number of arguments.
 - o tid_t process_execute (const char *file_name_): Using strtok_r(char
 *,const char *,char **) in lib/string.c to split the command to get and set
 the user progarm's name.
 - o bool load (const char *arguments, void (**eip) (void), void **esp) : Split the command line arguments and store it in the char* argv[] and call push stack(esp,argc,argv).
 - void push_stack (void **esp, int argc, char **argv) : Push arguments into the stack.
- threads/thread.h
 - struct thread: Add process_file to pointer to the file to load.

1.1.2 Algorithms

We quickly review the **original implementation** in Pintos and describe **new implementation** in this part.

1.1.2.1 Original Implementation

In the user program part, after the kernel is excuted, a new thread to load user program is created with the function <code>start_process(void *filename)</code>. Then it Initializes interrupt frame and loads executable file according to the <code>filename</code>. After that, it starts the user process by simulating a return from an interrupt, implemented by <code>intr_exit</code> which push all arguments to the stack stored in the struct <code>intr_frame</code>.

1.1.1.2 New Implementation

Based on the understanding about how the user program is excuted, we modify the code by 2 steps.

1.1.1.2.1 Argument Parse(userprog/process.c)

Since the argument <code>filename</code> including the excutable file and the arguments, we need to split them. In the pintos's <code>lib</code>, the function, <code>strtok_r(char *,const char *,char **)</code>, used to split string is included in the c file <code>string.c</code>. Using it, we could get the actual excutable file's name which is used to name the new user process.

In the function start_process(void *file_name), after initialization, the excutable file should be loaded by the function load.

In the function <code>load</code>, we split the command line arguments and store it in the <code>char* argv[]</code>. Then, push these arguments into the stack using the newlly created function <code>push_stack(esp,argc,argv)</code>.

1.1.1.2.2 Push arguments into the stack(userprog/process.c)

Function <code>push_stack(esp,argc,argv)</code> push these elements as the figure 1 shows, where <code>esp</code> is the stack point, <code>argc</code> is the total number of arguments and <code>argv</code> stores all the arguments.

Address	Name	Data	Type
Oxbffffffc	argv[3][]	$\mathtt{bar} \setminus \mathtt{0}$	char[4]
0xbffffff8	argv[2][]	$foo \setminus 0$	char[4]
0xbffffff5	argv[1][]	-1\0	char[3]
0xbfffffed	argv[0][]	/bin/ls $ackslash 0$	char[8]
Oxbfffffec	word-align	0	$uint8_t$
0xbfffffe8	argv[4]	0	char *
0xbfffffe4	argv[3]	0xbffffffc	char *
0xbfffffe0	argv[2]	0xbffffff8	char *
0xbfffffdc	argv[1]	0xbffffff5	char *
0xbfffffd8	argv[0]	0xbfffffed	char *
0xbfffffd4	argv	0xbfffffd8	char **
0xbfffffd0	argc	4	int
Oxbfffffcc	return address	0	void (*) ()

Figure 1 push_stack

1.3 Synchronization

When we load the user program, we should load the process's file. Since, the file system in Pintos is not thread-safe, we should use filesys_lock to keep the process's file from being modified while opening it. Once, the file is loaded successfully, we should use this lock agian to deny wirte to the file using file_deny_write.

1.4 Rationale

We use process_file to record pointer to the excutable file to open and load it. Also, we split arguments and push them into the stack to record the arguments.

1.2 Task2: Process Control Syscalls

1.2.1 Data structures and functions

- userprog/syscall.c
 - o void pop1 (void *esp, uint32_t *a1): Pop one argument off the stack by esp.
 - o void pop2 (void *esp, uint32_t *a1,uint32_t *a2): Pop two arguments off the stack by esp.
 - o void pop3 (void *esp, uint32_t *a1, uint32_t *a2, uint32_t *a3): Pop three arguments off the stack by esp.
 - uint32_t dereference (uint32_t *addr): Return the page which the address pointers to.
 - o void exit (void *esp) : Systemcall EXIT.
 - void _halt (void *esp) : Systmencall HALT.
 - o int _exec (void *esp) : Systemcall EXEC.
 - o int wait (void *esp) : Systemcall WAIT.
 - o int practice (void *esp): And one to its first argument and return the result.
- userprog/process.c
 - void process_thread_exit (int status): The exit function for the user program.
 - o tid_t process_execute (const char *file_name_): Make another copy of FILE_NAME. Insert child process information into the children_list.
 - int process_wait (tid_t child_tid): Wait for thread TID to die and returns its exit status.
- threads/thread.h
 - o struct thread:
 - struct list children list: This is a list of child process.
 - struct child_process *process_ptr : This is a pointer to the child process information.
 - struct semaphore loaded sema: This semaphore is for load.
 - bool loaded: It is to record whether the process is successfully loaded.
 - o struct child_process:
 - struct list_elem children_elem : This is the list element for list of children of the process. It is easier for operators in the list`.
 - tid_t tid: Record the process's thread id. (Since, in the pintos, the process and the thread is one-to-one).
 - struct semaphore semaphore: This is for synch.
 - bool waited: It is to record whether the child process has been waited for.
 - struct thread *thread : It is a pointer to the child thread.
 - int exit_status : It records the exit status of the process.
- threads/thread.c
 - struct thread * thread_find (tid_t tid) : Find the thread by tid.

o static void init_thread (struct thread *, const char *name, int priority): Initialize children_list and loaded_sema.

1.2.2 Algorithms

We quickly review the **original implementation** in Pintos and describe **new implementation** in this part.

1.2.2.1 Original Implementation

There are only two functions in the <code>syscall.c</code>. One is <code>sys_init(void)</code> to initialize the system call to register it into the <code>intr_frame</code> and, while another is <code>syscall_handle(structintr_frame *f UNUSED)</code>. In this task, we need to implement 4 system call functions, <code>halt</code>, <code>exec</code>, <code>wait</code> and <code>practice</code>.

1.2.2.2 Function _halt(void *esp UNUSED)

This function is to turn off the pintos. Since there is a function called shut_down_power_off to shut down the system, we just call it in this funtion to implement it.

1.2.2.3 Function _exec(void *esp)

This function is called to exectue a new process. Firstly, we should use <code>pop1(esp,(unit32_t*)&file))</code> to get the 1 argument off the stack by <code>esp</code>. Then, we check if it is valid using the function <code>is_user_vaddr(const char*)</code>. If it is not valid, return -1. Otherwise, we call <code>process_execute(const char *file_name)</code> to execute the new process. After that, we need to check whether it is executed correctly (<code>if (tid == TID_ERROR))</code>, whether the thread could be found and if it is loaded successfully (<code>if (!(thread->loaded)))</code>. Finally, it works well, we return the thread ID <code>tid</code>.

- process_execute(const char *file_name): We do several modification here.
 - Make another copy of FILE_NAME. Otherwise there's a page fault when executing exec().
 - Disable the interruption before create a child process. Otherwise, the child process
 may start before the parent insert the info into children_list. If that happens, the child
 process's process_ptr will be NULL and will not be able to save its exit status when it
 exits. That is, the parent will "lose" the child's info.
 - o Insert child process information into the children_list. Firstly, we create the new thread, and then, check whether it is illegal. If not, we initilize the struct child process and insert it into the children_list.
- Struct child_process in threads/thread.h : We add the new struct child_process here.
 - struct list_elem children_elem: This is the list element for list of children of the process. It is easier for operators in the list.
 - <u>tid_t tid</u>: Record the process's thread id. (*Since, in the pintos, the process and the thread is one-to-one*).
 - struct semaphore semaphore: This is for synch.
 - o bool waited: It is to record whether the child process has been waited for.

- o struct thread *thread: It is a pointer to the child thread.
- o int exit status: It records the exit status of the process.
- USERPROG's thread in threads/thread.h: We also modify the definition of USERPROG's thread in the struct thread. Except for tid, status, name, stack, priority and allelem, we also add the followings.
 - struct list children list: This is a list of child process.
 - struct child_process *process_ptr : This is a pointer to the child process information.
 - struct semaphore loaded_sema : This semaphore is for load.
 - o bool loaded: It is to record whether the process is successfully loaded.
- Function thread_find(tid_t tid) in threads/thread.c: We add the function statement in the threads/thread.h and implement it in the threads/thread.c.
 - This is to find the thread by <u>tid</u>. If it is found, just return the thread with <u>tid</u>, otherwise, return NULL.
- Modification in in threads/thread.c: Since we have add more attributes in the struct thread, we should initilize these new attributes as well.
 - children_list: We should use list_init (&(t->children_list)) for initialization.
 - o loaded_sema: We also initilize the semaphore using sema_init (&(t->loaded_sema), 0).

1.2.2.4 Function _wait(void *esp)

Similarly, we firstly get the process id pid of the process which is called to wait. Then we call process wait(tid t child tid).

• process_wait(tid_t child_tid) in usrprog/process.c: We rewrite the code in this function. Firstly, we find the child process with child_tid. Then, if it has been waited, return -1. Otherwise.

```
child_process->waited = true;
sema_down (&(child_process->semaphore));
```

1.2.2.5 Function _practice (void *esp)

This function is to add 1 to first argument. Hence, we firstly use pop1(void *esp, uint32_t *a1) to get the first argument, add 1 to it and return it.

1.2.2.6 Function _exit(void *esp)

The main function to call is process_thread_exit(int status).

• process_thread_exit(int status): In this function, we free all "struct child_process" allocated for children_list. Then, we call thread_exit() which has been originally implemented in the threads/thread.c.

1.2.3 Synchronization

In process_wait, we use semaphore to avoid race condition. That is, whenever there is a child is been waiting, we use sema_down to indicate the parent thread to wait for it.

Meanwhile, in process_thread_wait, we sema_up to wake up its parent.

1.2.4 Rationale

Firstly, we use pop to get the arguments we want. Then, we call other functions to realize the system calls. In these procedure, we also give a eye on **synchronization**.

1.3 Task3: File Operation Syscalls

1.3.1 Data structures and functions

- userprog/syscall.c
 - struct file **init_opened_files (void) : Record files has been opened.
 - o bool is_fd_valid (int fd, struct file **file): Check whther the file descirptor is valid or not.
 - o bool create (void *esp) : Create a file.
 - o bool _remove (void *esp) : Remove a file.
 - o int _open (void *esp): Open a file.
 - o int filesize (void *esp): Return the file's size.
 - o int _read (void *esp): Read the file.
 - o int _write (void *esp): Write something to the file.
 - o void seek (void *esp): Change the file's writing and reading position.
 - o unsigned tell (void *esp): Return the file's writing and reading position.
 - o void close (void *esp) : Close a file.
- userprog/syscall.h
 - struct lock filesys lock: Lock for synchronizing the File System Calls.
- userprog/process.c
 - o Function process_thread_exit: Close the executable file of the process so that it may become writeable. Close all the files the process has opened and free the array of opened files and free the page allocated for opened_files and update process info.
- userprog/exception.c
 - Function page_fault(struct intr_frame *f): Release filesys_lock while there is an exception.
- threads/thread.h
 - Struct struct thread
 - struct file **opened_files : Record the filse has been opened by this
 thread.
- threads/thread.c
 - o init_thread(struct thread *t, const char *name, int priority): Initialize opened_files and process_file.

1.3.2 Algorithms

1.3.2.1 Function create(void *esp)

To create a file, we need two arguments <code>const char *file</code> and <code>unsigned initial_size</code> to call the function <code>filesys_create(const char* file, unsigned initial_size)</code> which has been implemented in the pintos's file system. Hence, firstly, we use <code>pop2(void *esp, uint32_t *a1, uint32_t *a2)</code> to get these arguments from the user program stack. Then, we call <code>filesys create</code>. Before that, we need to make it thread-safe. Hence, code it like this:

```
lock_acquire (&filesys_lock);
bool success = filesys_create (file, initial_size);
lock_release (&filesys_lock);
```

1.3.2.2 Function _remove(void *esp)

Similar with the function _create , but this time, we just need one argument const char* file , which indicate the file's name to be removed, to remove the file. After we get it using pop1 , we call filesys_remove(const char* file) to do this.

1.3.2.3 Function _open(void *esp)

Firslt, we get one argument from the stack. Then, we initialize the current thread's struct file **opened_files, if it dose not initialize. That is, this file is the first file that the thread opens. To initialize opened_files is to allocate a new page to it. After initilization, we call filesys_open(const char* file_name). If we could not open the file, we return file_descriptor with value of -1. Otherwise, find the first NULL element in opened_files such that we can allocate the index as a file descriptor to the file. If such element does not exist, return -1.

• struct file **opened_files in threads/thread.h: Introduced opened_files for File System Calls, which is **an array for storing File Descriptors**. It will not been allocated memory until the first time _open() is called, as we mentioned before.

1.3.2.4 Function _filesize(void *esp)

This function is to return the size of the file. Firslt, we get the index/file descriptor int fd.

Then, we use newly-written function is_fd_valid (int fd, struct file **file) to check whether the fd is valid for the current process. There are several cases that it could not pass the check.

- fd is not in the range.
- The thread's open_files is NULL.
- There is no such file in the open files.

Finally, we call file_length to get and return the file's size.

1.3.2.5 Function read(void *esp)

At this time, we need 3 arguments from the stack using the function <code>pop3(void *esp, uint32_t *a1, uint32_t *a2, uint32_t *a3)</code>. The first argument is file descriptor, buffer and size. Firstly, we call <code>is_user_vaddr</code> (const void *vaddr) in <code>threads/varr.h</code> to check whether buffer is valid user address. If not, exit the thread with <code>status</code>-1. There are several case according to different values of file descriptor.

- if fd==0: It means that we should read from the keyboard using input_getc() in devices/input.c. So we read the character with the number of size into the buffer and return size.
- else: We read it from the file. Similarly, we should check if fd is valid, and then call function file_read (struct file *file, void *buffer, off_t size) in filesys/file.c and return the bytes have been read.

1.3.2.6 Fuction write(void *esp)

Similar with the function _read , we need 3 arguments from the stack, int fd , const void *buffer and unsigned size. Then, check whether buffer is valid user address. If not, exit the thread with status -1.

- if fd==1: It means that we should write to the console. We call putbuf(const char *buffer, size_t n) in lib/kernel/console.c to do that.
- else: We write to the corresponding file using file_write(struct file *file, void *buffer, off_t size) in filesys/file.c. Before that ,we check the validity of fd. Finally, we return bytes_written.

1.3.2.7 Function seek(void *esp)

We need two arguments in this function, int fd and unsigned position. We check the validity and then call file_seek(struct file *file, off_t new_pos) to change the file's next byte to be read or written position.

1.3.2.8 Function _tell(void *esp)

We only need one argument now, <u>int fd</u>. We check the validity and then call <u>file_tell</u> in <u>filesys/file.c</u> to get and return

1.3.2.9 Function _close(void *esp)

To colose some file, we only need one argument, int fd. Check the validity and find the file. Eventually, call file_close(struct file *file) to colse it.

1.3.2.10 More implementations

Apart from the modifications above, we also need to change other codes in Pintos to run it.

- userprog/syscall.h
 - o struct lock filesys_lock: To keep thread-safe while using filesystem, we add struct lock filesys_lock to lock for **synchronizing** the File System Calls.
- userprog/syscall.c
 - Function dereference(uint32_t *addr): While pop arguments off the stack by

is_user_vaddr (const void *vaddr) in threads/vaddr.h to check if VADDR is a user virtual address and then we get and return the page with the fuction pagedir_get_page (uint32_t *pd, const void *uaddr) in userprog/pagedir.c to look up the physical address that corresponds to user virtual address UADDR in PD and return the kernel virtual address corresponding to that physical address, or a null pointer if UADDR is unmapped.

- Function syscall_handler (struct intr_frame *f): Since we have implemented different system calls, we should modify the syscall_handler to handle different system calls. We use switch case to deal with it.
- userprog/process.c
 - Function process_thread_exit: Since we have implemented file operation sys calls, we should close the executable file of the process so that it may become writeable.
 Meanwhile, we should close all the files the process has opened and free the array of opened files and free the page allocated for opened_files and update process info.
 - Function load: We use lock to thread-safely open the excutable file. Once loaded, we deny write access to the excutable file and close it.
- userprog/exception.c
 - o Function page_fault(struct intr_frame *f): As process_thred_exit() makes use of filesys_lock to release the process's resouces. If, unfortunately, an exception happens during the file system access, we should first release the filesys_lock held by the current thread.
- threads/thread.h
 - Struct struct thread: As for file operation syscalls, we add struct file **opened_files to record the filse has been opened by this thread. We also add struct file *process_file to record the executable file of the process in order to it could not be modified while excuting.
- threads/thread.c
 - Function init_thread(struct thread *t, const char *name, int priority):

 Since we have updated the struct thread, we should initialize opened_files and process_file as well.

1.3.3 Synchronization

Since Pintos filesystem is not thread-safe, before every call of the filesystem functions, we need get the filesys_lock, and after calling, we should release the lock.

1.3.4 Rationale

We implement file operator system call and use filesys_lock to keep thread-safe. We also use open files to record all the files.

1.2 Tests analysis

1.2.1 Tests with invalid stack pointer

One of the tests that uses invalid stack pointer is bad-jump.c which attempts to execute code at address 0, which is not mapped. Since we have called is_user_vaddr before we handle with the pointer, we pass these test cases.

1.2.2 Tests with a valid pointer close to a page boundary

boundary.c is the test that uses a valid pointer close to a page boundary. Utility function for tests that try to break system calls by passing them data that crosses from one virtual page to another.

1.2.3 Test Uncoverage

There is no test for the system call function practice.

Hence, we write down a test case to test it. In this test case, we call system call practice, starting from i=1 to i=1000 to see if the result equis to inpute plus one.

2. Hack Testing

In this project, it requires us to submit 2 new test cases, which exercise functionality that is not covered by existing tests. In this part, we will dicuss 2 new test cases in more detail.

2.1 tests/userprog/open-many.c and tests/userprog/open-many.ck

2.1.1 Description of the Feature

This test tests if the system **releases memory/pages** in time every time after the file closed.

2.1.2 Overview of the Mechanics

In a single process, we open (calling syscall <code>open(file_name)</code>) 5 files each time and close (calling syscall <code>close(file_name)</code>) them and repeat it for 1000 times to see if there is a **page** fault when the process has to assign new file descriptors to the newly opened files.

2.1.3 Output

```
🗎 💷 wu@ubuntu: ~/pintos/src/userprog/build/tests/userprog
 29 Executing 'open-many':
 30 (open-many) begin
31 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 2
32 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 3
33 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 4
34 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 5
35 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 6
35 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 6
36 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 2
       (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 3
38 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 4
 39 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 5
40 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 6
40 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 6
41 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 2
42 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 3
43 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 4
44 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 5
45 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 6
46 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 2
47 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 3
48 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 4
49 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 4
                                                                                  , handle = 2
                                                                                     handle = 3
                                                                                  , handle = 4
49 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 5
50 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 6
       (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 2
                                                                                                                              51,1
                                                                                                                                                               0%
```

Figure 4 Open Many Output 1

```
🕒 🗊 wu@ubuntu: ~/pintos/src/userprog/build/tests/userprog
10019 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 5
10020 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 6
10021 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 2
10022 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 3
10023 (open-many) open file "sample.txt", handle = 4
10024 (open-many) open file "sample.txt",
10025 (open-many) open file "sample.txt",
10026 (open-many) close file "sample.txt",
                                                                   handle = 5
                                                                   handle = 6
10027 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 2
10027 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 3
10028 (open-many) close file "
10027 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 3
10028 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 4
10029 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 5
10030 (open-many) close file "sample.txt", handle = 6
10031 (open-many) end
10031 (open-many) end
10032 open-many: exit(0)
10033 Execution of 'open-many' complete.
10034 Timer: 61953 ticks
10035 Thread: 612 idle ticks, 219 kernel ticks, 61129 user ticks
10036 hda2 (filesys): 16086 reads, 202 writes
10037 hda3 (scratch): 97 reads, 2 writes
10038 Console: 475953 characters output
 10039 Keyboard: O keys pressed
10040 Exception: 0 page faults
10041 Powering off..
                                                                                                    10041,1
                                                                                                                           Bot
```

Figure 5 Open Many Output 2

2.1.4 Potential kernel bug

Failure might happen even when currently there are relatively few opened files (say 5), if the array (or other data structure) for storing the file descriptors has no boundary and no reuse. A process should be able to call <code>open()</code> as many times as it wants, as long as it do not exceed the limit of opened files.

2.2 tests/userprog/exec-many.c and tests/userprog/exec-many.ck

2.2.1 Description of the Feature

Execute a "bad" child and a "good" one many times to test whether the kernel frees all the resouces of the thread (process) when it exits.

2.2.2 Overview of the Mechanics

For each time, the parent process run a bad child process and a good process and repeat for 1000 times. Since child-bad.c has been implemented in the original Pintos, we only need to implement child-good.c.

tests/userprog/child-good.c
 Child process run by opening a file. This is to test if it could run a child process without any problems.

2.2.3 Output

```
wu@ubuntu: ~/pintos/src/userprog/build/tests/userprog

33  Executing 'exec-many':
34  (exec-many) begin
35  (child-bad) begin
36  (exec-many) exec (child-bad) = 4
37  child-bad: exit(-1)
38  (exec-many) wait (4) = -1
39  (child-good) open "sample.txt"
40  (exec-many) exec (child-good) = 5
41  (child-good) close "sample.txt"
42  child-good: exit(0)
43  (exec-many) wait (5) = 0
44  (child-bad) begin
45  (exec-many) exec (child-bad) = 6
46  child-bad: exit(-1)
47  (exec-many) wait (6) = -1
48  (child-good) open "sample.txt"
49  (exec-many) exec (child-good) = 7
50  (child-good) close "sample.txt"
51  child-good: exit(0)
52  (exec-many) wait (7) = 0
53  (child-bad) begin
54  (exec-many) exec (child-bad) = 8
55  child-bad: exit(-1)
```

Figure 6 Exec Many Output 1

```
wu@ubuntu: ~/pintos/src/userprog/build/tests/userprog
 023 (child-good) close "sample.txt"
9024 child-good: exit(0)
9025 (exec-many) wait (2001) = 0
9026 (child-bad) begin
027 child-bad: exit(-1)
9028 (exec-many) exec (child-bad) = 2002

9029 (exec-many) wait (2002) = -1

9030 (child-good) open "sample.txt"

9031 (exec-many) exec (child-good) = 2003

9032 (child-good) close "sample.txt"
9033 child-good: exit(0)
0034 (exec-many) wait (2003) = 0
0035 (exec-many) end
9036 exec-many: exit(0)
0037 Execution of 'exec-many' complete.
9038 Timer: 135087 ticks
9039 Thread: 198 idle ticks, 42454 kernel ticks, 92442 user ticks
9040 hda2 (filesys): 94142 reads, 578 writes
0041 hda3 (scratch): 283 reads, 2 writes
0042 Console: 249852 characters output
043 Keyboard: 0 keys pressed
044 Exception: 1000 page faults
9045 Powering off..
                                                                                 9045.1
                                                                                                   Bot
```

Figure 7 Exec Many Output 2

2.2.4 Potential Kernel Bugs

A parent should be able to repeat this process as many as times as it wants, being assured that the kernel will free all the resouces allocated for the previous children. If it could not pass this test case, it means that the kernel did not free all the resources after the child is killed.

3. Reflection

3.1 What did each member do

Zhihao Dai is responsible for implementing task1, designing and implementing task2 and task3 and test cases. Jingrou Wu is responsible for understanding the userprog part in Pintos, design and implementing task1, designing task2 and task3, fixing bugs and writing the report.

3.2 What went well and wrong

We pass all the 76 (offered by Pintos) + 3 (Two above and one is for system call practice) tests.

To have a clear view of how we have done with tasks, we change the order to implement them. Since, if we do not implemented the system call wait, we could never get the real result. Hence, we firslt implemented task3 and task1, and finally the task1.

The most difficult test is oom which Recursively executes itself until the child fails to execute and it expects that at least 30 copies can run. If we do not release all the resources in time, it will fail. Through this tese, we modify our codes more carefully, especially for resource release.

Moreover, the synchronization also neede considering because file system in Pintos is not thread-safe. Hence, we use filesys lock to maintain it.

Through these tests, we've learned that dealing with operating system codes, we should consider more for something that is rarely considered in the user program inculing synchronization and resource release and so on.

4. Problems and Solutions

In the current version, there is no obvious problems with memory safety, memory leaks, poor error handling and race conditions.

• Memory safety and leaks

- In the former version, we do meet with these problems due to inappropriate operator with C strings. Problems like NULL POINTER, STACK OVERFLOW did happens.
- Thanksfully, we enforce the check of pointer and memory to solve this problem.

Poor error handling

- In the former version, we forget to release file lock while there is an exception which leads to dead lock.
- Currently, we have fixed this proble by releasing file lock in uerprog/exception.c 's function page_fault (struct intr_frame *f).

Race conditions

- This is the major problem during testing, since once it happens, the output will be very different and confused.
- **Solution**: whenever there is a file operator, we add lock to maintain thread-safe. We also deny writting while the excutable file is running to avoid thread choas. We use semaphore to keep parent process from exiting before the chid process.

5. Conclusion

Our code is consist with the exisiting Pintos code style and easy to understance. For those codes a little difficult for understanding, we add enough comments to explain them. Affter we complete the tasks, we also do the refactoring for the codes. In this project, instead of reimplementing linked list algorithms, we using them directly.

In this project, we changed 11files, added 849 insertions(+) and removed 20 deletions(-).