

# Conjugate Gradient Method

Nobuyuki Umetani

July 16, 2018

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Positive definite matrix . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Basic concept of CG method</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Basic procedure</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Increment of the solution . . . . .	4
3.2	Determination of coefficient $\beta$ . . . . .	4
3.3	Determination of the coefficient $\alpha$ . . . . .	5
3.4	Algorithm (CG method) . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Krylov subspace and CG method</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	Proof: the CG method is a Krylov subspace method . . . . .	7
4.2	Proof: orthogonality of the search direction vectors and residual vectors	8
4.3	Proof: all the residual vectors are orthogonal . . . . .	9
4.4	CG method converge in finite iterations (only theoretically!) . . . . .	10

## 1 Introduction

The CG method (Conjugate Gradient Methods) is a method proposed by M. R. Hestenes and E. Stiefel in 1952 cite hestenes - methods

The CG method is a method for solving linear equations used for positive definite symmetric matrices by an iterative method.

## 1.1 Positive definite matrix

We write the inner product of vector  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  such as  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ . The real-valued matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive definite if

$$(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (\text{equality holds only if } \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}). \quad (1)$$

It means that  $\mathbf{A}$  is symmetric

$$(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{b}) \quad \forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (2)$$

Note that we define positive definite matrix and symmetric matrix using the inner product. Inner product is very general concept that can be applied for the infinite dimensional vectors (or matrices). If you learn the functional analysis you probably learn inner product space in the beginning, don't you? In this document, we are dealing with a  $n$ -dimensional matrix and vectors. We can simply written the symmetry as

$$\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{A} \quad (3)$$

Why the positive definite property of the matrix is a big deal? It is because a positive definite matrix can define a norm that can be written as

$$\|\mathbf{e}\|_{\mathbf{A}} = (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}). \quad (4)$$

In this document, we call this norm as  $\mathbf{A}$ -norm. There are a lot of names for this norm, in the FEM literature it may be called energy norm and in the functional analysis literature it may be called operator norm.

## 2 Basic concept of CG method

Now, let's solve the linear equation  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  using the CG method.

In the  $k$ -th iteration of the CG method, the  $\mathbf{A}$ -norm of the error defined as

$$\|\mathbf{e}\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 = (\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}) \quad (5)$$

$$= \|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 = (\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x})) \geq 0 \quad (\text{equality holds only if } \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x}). \quad (6)$$

Here  $\mathbf{x}_k$  is the intermediate solution at the iteration  $k$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  is the true solution of the linear system. In between the intermediate solution and true solution is the error  $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}$ .

The CG method is a method to find the best approximate solution  $\mathbf{x}_k$  that minimizes the error in the subspace  $\mathcal{K}_k + \mathbf{x}_0$ .


$$\textit{find } \mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathcal{K}_k \quad \textit{that minimize } \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k\|_A, \quad (7)$$
$$\mathcal{K}_k = span\{\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{r}_0, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{k-1}\mathbf{r}_0\}, \quad (8)$$

$$\textit{find } \mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathcal{K}_k \quad \textit{so that} \quad (\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x})_{\perp_{\mathbf{A}}} \mathcal{K}_k \quad (11)$$

3

This means that the solution is looked for in the  $\mathcal{K}_k + \mathbf{x}_0$  so that the residual  $\mathbf{r}_k$  is orthogonal to the subspace  $\mathcal{K}_k$ .

The CG method has a deep relationship with the Lanczos method, which is a method of creating an orthonormal basis of Krylov subspace.

## 3 Basic procedure

### 3.1 Increment of the solution

Suppose that the increment of the solution from the  $k$  iterative solution  $\mathbf{x}_k$  to the  $k + 1$  iterative solution  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1}$  is written using the coefficient  $\alpha_k$  and the vector  $\mathbf{p}_k$  as

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_k = \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k. \quad (13)$$

Since  $\mathbf{p}$  determines the direction of solution increment, it is called *search direction vector*.

Updating the solution to the  $k+2$  iterative solution  $\mathbf{x}_{k+2}$  The vector  $\mathbf{p}_{k+1}$  is determined as follows using the residual  $\mathbf{r}_{k+1}$  of the solution  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1}$ , the coefficient  $\beta_k$ , and the update vector  $\mathbf{p}_k$  of the previous solution as follows

$$\mathbf{p}_{k+1} = \mathbf{r}_{k+1} + \beta_k \mathbf{p}_k \quad (14)$$

Below, we explain how to determine the coefficients  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$ .

### 3.2 Determination of coefficient $\beta$

From the previous discussion, in the CG method, the difference between the exact solution  $\mathbf{x}$  and the iterative solution  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1}$  was orthogonal in the  $\mathbf{A}$  norm to the Krylov subspace  $\mathcal{K}_{k+1}$ . In other words,

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x} \perp_{\mathbf{A}} \mathcal{K}_{k+1} \quad (15)$$

Therefore, since the difference  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}$  from the exact solution lies in the orthogonal space in the Krylov subspace  $\mathcal{K}_{k+1}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  norm, update the solution update vector  $\mathbf{p}_{k+1}$  to the next iterative solution  $\mathbf{x}_{k+2}$  from the orthogonal space with  $\mathbf{A}$  norm to this  $\mathcal{K}_{k+1}$   $\mathbf{x}_{k+2}$  should be closer to the exact solution.

As shown later, the previous search direction vector  $\mathbf{p}_k$  of  $\mathbf{p}_{k+1}$  is in the subspace  $\mathcal{K}_{k+1}$  trying to make it orthogonal. In other words,

$$\mathbf{p}_k \in \mathcal{K}_{k+1} \quad (16)$$

Therefore, in order for the search direction vector  $\mathbf{p}_{k+1}$  to be orthogonal to  $\mathcal{K}_{k+1}$  with the  $\mathbf{A}$  norm, it is necessary to orthogonalize to the minimum  $\mathbf{p}_k$  with  $\mathbf{A}$  norm. In other words,

$$(\mathbf{p}_{k+1}, \mathbf{p}_k)_{\mathbf{A}} = (\mathbf{p}_{k+1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) = 0 \quad (17)$$

As shown later, the search direction chosen as above is orthogonal not only to  $\mathbf{p}_k$  but also to the  $\mathcal{K}_{k+1}$  space by the  $\mathbf{A}$  norm.

An expression that defines a search direction vector from the above residual and the previous search direction vector,

$$\mathbf{p}_{k+1} = \mathbf{r}_{k+1} + \beta_k \mathbf{p}_k \quad (18)$$

, It means that a new search direction vector  $\mathbf{p}_{k+1}$  can be obtained by projecting the residual difference  $\mathbf{r}_{k+1}$  to the orthogonal space with the Krylov subspace  $\mathcal{K}_{k+1}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  norm.

From this equation, we can determine  $\beta$ .

Substituting this expression into the expression  $(\mathbf{p}_{k+1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) = 0$  where the two search directions are orthogonal, the parameter  $\beta$  becomes as follows.

$$\beta = -\frac{(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)}{(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)} \quad (19)$$

Furthermore, using the relational expression  $(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = 0 \quad (i \neq j)$  of orthogonality of residuals to be described later,

$$(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) = \left( \mathbf{r}_{k+1}, -\frac{1}{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{r}_{k+1} - \mathbf{r}_k) \right) = -\frac{1}{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{r}_{k+1}) \quad (20)$$

$$(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) = (\mathbf{r}_k + \beta_{k-1}\mathbf{p}_{k-1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) = (\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) = \left( \mathbf{r}_k, -\frac{1}{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{r}_{k+1} - \mathbf{r}_k) \right) = \frac{1}{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_k) \quad (21)$$

As a result, the coefficient  $\beta_k$  can be further expressed as follows.

$$\beta_k = -\frac{(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)}{(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)} = -\frac{-\frac{1}{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{r}_{k+1})}{\frac{1}{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_k)} = \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{r}_{k+1})}{(\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_k)} \quad (22)$$

### 3.3 Determination of the coefficient $\alpha$

The factor  $\alpha$  is determined to minimize the potential  $\phi_{k+1}$  in the next step. The potential  $\phi_{k+1}$  in the next step is

$$\phi_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) - (\mathbf{x}_{k+1}, \mathbf{f}) \quad (23)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}((\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha\mathbf{p}_k), \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha\mathbf{p}_k)) - ((\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha\mathbf{p}_k), \mathbf{f}) \quad (24)$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k) - (\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{f}) \right\} + \alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) - (\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{f}) \right\} \quad (25)$$

$$+ \alpha^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) \right\} \quad (26)$$

$$= \phi_k + \alpha \left( \mathbf{p}_k, \frac{\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T}{2} \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{f} \right) + \alpha^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) \right\} \quad (27)$$

Since the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  was symmetric, it is  $\frac{\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T}{2} = \mathbf{A}$ . Accordingly

$$\phi_{k+1} = \phi_k + \alpha(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{r}_k) + \alpha^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) \right\} \quad (28)$$

When the potential  $\phi_{k+1}$  takes the minimum value, the potential  $\phi_{k+1}$  takes an extreme value

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{k+1}}{\partial \alpha} = 0 \quad (29)$$

Therefore, when calculating this

$$(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{r}_k) = \alpha(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) \quad (30)$$

Therefore, the coefficient  $\alpha$  becomes as follows.

$$\alpha = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{r}_k)}{(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)} \quad (31)$$

Furthermore, using the relational expression  $(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ) of orthogonality of residuals to be described later,

$$(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{r}_k) = (\mathbf{r}_k - \beta_{k-1}\mathbf{p}_{k-1}, \mathbf{r}_k) = (\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_k) \quad (32)$$

As a result, the coefficient  $\alpha_k$  can be further expressed as follows.

$$\alpha_k = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{r}_k)}{(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)} = \frac{(\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_k)}{(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)} \quad (33)$$

Using these, the algorithm of the CG method is as follows

### 3.4 Algorithm (CG method)

1. Compute  $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_0 = \mathbf{r}_0$
2. For  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ , Do:
  - (a)  $\alpha_k = \frac{(\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_k)}{(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)}$
  - (b)  $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$
  - (c)  $\mathbf{r}_{k+1} = \mathbf{r}_k - \alpha_k \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k$
  - (d)  $\beta_k = \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{r}_{k+1})}{(\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_k)}$
  - (e)  $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} = \mathbf{r}_{k+1} + \beta_k \mathbf{p}_k$
3. End Do

## 4 Krylov subspace and CG method

### 4.1 Proof: the CG method is a Krylov subspace method

The CG method is a type of Krylov subspace method. The Krylov subspace method is a method for finding an approximate solution that is closest to the solution in the Krylov subspace (8). Here we prove that the CG method is a Krylov subspace method.

We define subspaces  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_k, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_k$  as:

$$\bar{\mathcal{K}}_k = \text{span}\{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k-1}\} \quad (34)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_k = \text{span}\{\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{k-1}\} \quad (35)$$

We first prove these subspace are identical to the Krylov subspace (8) using the mathematical induction method.

- $k = 1$

It is obvious from  $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{p}_0$ .

- $k > 1$

Assume that it holds at  $k$ . In this case, it is checked whether or not it holds for  $k + 1$ .

$\mathbf{p}_k = \alpha(\mathbf{r}_k + \beta \mathbf{p}_{k-1})$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_k \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1}$ .

Also from the induction hypothesis  $\mathbf{p}_{k-1} \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}_k = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_k$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1}$  holds.

$\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{r}_{k-1} + \alpha \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_{k-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1}$ . According to the induction hypothesis, since  $\mathbf{r}_{k-1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_k = \mathcal{K}_k$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_{k-1} \in \mathcal{K}_k$ ,  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_{k-1} \in \mathcal{K}_{k+1}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1} = \mathcal{K}_{k+1}$  is established

From the above we can say  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{k+1} = \mathcal{K}_{k+1}$ .

It can be seen that  $k + 1$  also holds when assuming that the proposition is established in  $k$ .

From the above, the proposition was proved by mathematical induction.  
By the way, given the solution  $\mathbf{x}_k$  at the  $k$ -th iteration,

$$\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \Delta \mathbf{x}_i = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i \mathbf{p}_i. \quad (36)$$

Because it is

$$\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{k+1} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{r}_0, \dots, \mathbf{A}^k\mathbf{r}_0\} \quad (37)$$

Therefore, it can be seen that the CG method is a Krylov subspace method that searches for solutions in Krylov subspace.

## 4.2 Proof: orthogonality of the search direction vectors and residual vectors

search direction vectors are  $\mathbf{A}$ -orthogonal and search direction vectors and residual are vector orthogonal

Prove using mathematical induction

- When  $k = 1$

$$(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{p}_0) = (\mathbf{r}_0 - \alpha \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_0) = (\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p}_0) - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{p}_0)}{(\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_0)} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_0) = 0 \quad (38)$$

$$(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_0) = (\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \beta \mathbf{p}_0), \mathbf{p}_0) = (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{p}_0) - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_0)}{(\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_0)} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_0) \quad (39)$$

$$= (\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{p}_0) - (\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_0) = 0 \quad (40)$$

Here we used the condition  $\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{A}$  that  $\mathbf{A}$  is symmetric item When  $k > 1$   
Assuming that it holds for  $0 \leq i < j \leq k$ , in order to investigate whether  $0 \leq i < j \leq k + 1$  also holds here, you can investigate whether it is true in  $j = k + 1$ . In this case, considering the two cases of  $i = k$  and  $i \leq k$  separately

- When  $i = k$

$$(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{p}_i) = (\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{p}_k) = (\mathbf{r}_k - \alpha \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_k) \quad (41)$$

$$= (\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{p}_k) - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{p}_k)}{(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_k) = 0 \quad (42)$$

$$(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_{k+1}, \mathbf{p}_i) = (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_{k+1}, \mathbf{p}_k) = (\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_{k+1} - \beta \mathbf{p}_k), \mathbf{p}_k) \quad (43)$$

$$= (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{p}_k) - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)}{(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_k) \quad (44)$$

$$= (\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{p}_k) - (\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) = 0 \quad (45)$$



– When  $i < k$

$$(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{p}_i) = (\mathbf{r}_k - \alpha \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_i) \quad (46)$$

$$= (\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{p}_i) - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{p}_k)}{(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k)} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_i) = 0 \quad (47)$$

$$(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_{k+1}, \mathbf{p}_i) = (\mathbf{p}_{k+1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_i) = (\mathbf{r}_{k+1} - \beta \mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_i) \quad (48)$$

$$= (\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_i) - \beta (\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_i) \quad (49)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} (\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{i+1}) - \beta (\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_i) \quad (50)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} (\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, (\mathbf{p}_i + \beta \mathbf{p}_{i-1}) - (\mathbf{p}_{i+1} + \beta \mathbf{p}_i)) - \beta (\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_i) = 0 \quad (51)$$

- So we can see that it also holds for  $0 \leq i < j \leq k + 1$  since it is true even when  $j = k + 1$

The proposition is proved by using the mathematical induction method from the above

### 4.3 Proof: all the residual vectors are orthogonal

Prove by mathematical induction

- When  $k = 1$

$$(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_1) = (\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{r}_1) = 0 \quad (52)$$

- When  $k > 1$  Assuming that it holds for  $0 \leq i < j \leq k$ , in order to investigate whether  $0 \leq i < j \leq k + 1$  also holds here, you can check whether it is true in  $j = k + 1$ .

$$(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_{k+1}) = (\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_k - \alpha \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k) = (\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_k) - \alpha (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{r}_i) \quad (53)$$

$$= (\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_k) - \alpha (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}_i + \beta \mathbf{p}_{i-1}) = 0 \quad (54)$$

Therefore, it can be seen that  $0 \leq i < j \leq k + 1$  also holds since it holds even in  $j = k + 1$

The proposition is proved by using the mathematical induction method from the above

#### 4.4 CG method converge in finite iterations (only theoretically!)

We show that the CG method always converges with iteration of  $n$  solution from the condition that the residual  $r$  is orthogonal.

Here, it is found that the column  $\mathbf{r}_i$  of the residual vector is a linear independent vector orthogonal to each other. Since the maximum value of the number of linearly independent vectors is the number of dimensions  $n$  of the vector, it can be seen that the number of columns of the residual vector does not become larger than the dimension number  $n$  of the vector.

**CG method always converges with the number of iterations of vector dimension  $n$  or less**

However, this is only in theory where there is no error in the floating point number computation. The CG method is strongly influenced by the rounding error, so on the calculator the CG method never converges per  $n$  iterations. Using the Chebyshev inequality, more accurate convergence conditions can be obtained.