Notetion
(X,H) polarized algebraic \$3 (Ne.P. (X, w) Kähler (K3)
degHE:= (C,(E),H) (resp. degw(E):= (C,(E), W).
Rem. (X,H) -> (X, W=s[x) w/ a(H)=W=s x (-: a(Op(1)=WFS) -> degH = degw=s x
From now on, we don't specify Hor w, but deg depends on them.
<u>Def.</u> Suppose rk(E) ≠0.
Define the slope of E to be $\mu(E) := \frac{\text{deg}(E)}{\text{rk}(E)}$
rk(E)
A forsion free sheaf E is called M-stable by slope stable) (resp. M-semistable)
if for any subsheaf FCE w/ 0 < vk(F) < vk(E),
ue have μ(F) < μ(E)
(resp. ≤).
,
Lew. X sm+h proj. nar.
(i) Any line bundle is M-stable.
(ii) For a SES O→ F→ E→ G→O W rk(F), rk(G) ≠O,
M(F)< M(E) (M(E)< M(G).
Cor. If E is loc. free, E is M-stable
& south surface (for an loc free FCE, U(F) < U(E)
WAFFCHE
A south surface (=) for any loc. free FCE, $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$ What tors. free quot.
·
(iii) 11-ctolio => cimple
(iii) µ-stable ⇒ simple
(iv) E is M-stable = E* is M-stable = E** is M-stable
loc. free and
$\mu(E) = \mu(F^{***})$

Proof.) (i) vacant (ii) Note deg E = deg F+ deg G and rx E = rx F+ rkG.

Proof of Cor.)

By (ii), F is M-998ble if

O=(E/F)/T(E/F) == = - 15er = 0

M(E) < M(E/F) Since $\forall H$, $\mu(H/\tau(H)) < \mu(H)$. we may assume E/F is torsion free \rightarrow F is loc. free by the following elem. Lew. 0-> F-> E-> G-> D on a smooth surface X. If E is loc. face and G is torsion free, then Fislar. Proof). Take x e X.

Def. depth M = mindi | Ert (kan, M)

Or nod top

I max light, of cog. seg.

Or nod top

Recall pd Fx + depth Fx = depth Ox = dim Ox = 2

P. Smilar = 1

P. Smilar = 1 so it suffices to see depth Fix = 2 (w> pol Fix = 0). Indeed, since Gz is tons. Free wo ta G Ox is Gz-vegular. → depth Gr ≥1. → depth Fix ≥ 2. (iii) Suppose E is not simple. Then, = The How (E, E) +k w/ non-triv. Kennel (+ r ker+r k In = H E).

(i. pick $^{+}\phi$ \in How (E, E), $x \in X$, and an eigenvalue λ of $\phi_{x}: 7x \otimes k(x) \rightarrow 7x \otimes k(x)$.)

Then, $Y:= \phi - \lambda$ id is a desired one Hence, or The Inf CTE E. On the other hand, since E is justable,

Int CE and E > Int imply u(Int) < u(E) < u(Int)! (iv) Corollary of (ii)

E.J. We can produce stable bundles from cortain l. burdles on \$3.

(i) L globally generated, ample

Then, ker (H°(x, L) & Ox -> L) is u-stable

(ii) L globally generated and generates Pic(x).

Then, ken H°(x, L) & Ox -> L) is u-stable. Now, we'll show Tx on a complex K3 is M-stable. Note Tx is M-stable € \$1.b. LCTx, M(Z)=deg L < 0 = M(Tx). w/ tors. fuce quot. E.g. If Pic(x)=0, then the only possible testing bundle for Tx is Ox. However, H°(x, Tx)=0, so its also in possible. So, Tx is vacantly μ -stable. by Itodge theory * Algebraic approach. Cor. (4,H) as above. Tx does not contain any l.b. of positive degree.
W tor. free quot. provide) (K3 surface cannot contain too many variousl curves. Assume I L C Tx w/ deg L > 0 and tor. free quot. WMA k is uncountable by base change. Then, VUCX, ULE) cannot be consuced by countably many curves. On the other hand, the theorem says 7 WE K that is Covered by a vortional Curves. Claim. X is univuled, i.e., Frat. dom. map DxP' -- > X for some D. Idea of proof). Since Pic(K) = NG(F) is countable, this implies I linear system IMI containing uncountably many rational curves.

We may view |M| as a moduli space I univ. tamily texxIMI. Movement, since being varioual is closed andition in MI, there exists a cune $D \subseteq |\mathcal{U}|$ whose fibers in X are national comes. Consider the nestriction of C to D: D×P1 Johninght X (cf. Def. 4.1. and Phop. 4.12 for general argument) Claim. K3 surface over an alg. closed field of shar. O is not univuled, proof.) Assure X is minuted. By nesolving indeterminancy of DXP' --- X, dominant Ho(UKWIN) = Ho(UK, with) + where contains or EGAINS Cor. 2.2. 8. Then, Ho(x, wx) -> Ho(Y, wx) is injective. WMA f surj. => I faith. Flat On there hand, ((°Cx, wx) ≠0 while h°(Y, wx) = h°(PKD, were) = 6(P1, W) * 6(D, W) Cor. (XIH) as above. Then, Tx is M-Stable. proof). Suffices to test of l.b. LCIX M tors. Free quot.

By Cor. above, degit < O. Assur degit = O. It I 70, Then =H's.t. degh' 12>0, which is absurd. So, 1=0. However, since H°(x, Tx)=0, it is also absurd. Thus, deg + 2 < 0. by Hoolge theory