Notetion (X, H) polarized algebraic k3 (resp. (X, w) Kähler K3) degHE := (C,(E). H) (resp. degw(E) := (C,(E). W). Rem. (X,H) -> (X, W=s[x) u/ a(H)=WFsk (-: C(Op(1)=WFs) -> degH = degwFslx From now on, we don't specify Horw, but deg depends on them. Def. Suppose rk(E) \$0. Define the slope of E to be  $\mu(E) := \frac{\text{deg} E}{\text{nk}(E)}$ A forsion free sheaf = is called M-stable for slope stable) (resp. M-semistable) if for any subsheaf FCE w/ 0 < vk(F) < v = (E), we have µ(F) < µ(E) (resp. <). Lew. X sm-th proj. Mar. (i) Any line bundle is M-stable. (ii) For a SES O→F→E→G→O W rk(F), rk(G) ≠O, µ(F)< µ(E) ⇔ µ(E)< µ(G). Cor If E is loc free, E is M-stable (a) for any loc. free FCE,  $\mu(F) = \mu(E)$ WI PEFCYEE And tors. free gust. x smth surface (iii) μ-stable ⇒ simple (iv) E is M-stable = E\* is M-stable = E\*\* is M-Stable Cloc. free and M(E) = M (F = ).

Proof.) (i) vacant
(ii) Note deg E = deg F+ deg G and rx E = rx F+ rkG.
Prof of Cor.)
By (ii), F is 11-9table if
(ii) Note deg E = deg F+ deg G and rx E = rx F+ rkG.  Proof of Cor.)  By (ii), F is M-stable if  o-(E/F)/T(E/F) = - reer - 0  M(E) < M(E/F)
Since $\forall H$ , $M(H/\tau(H)) < \mu(H)$ . we may assume $E/F$ is torsion free up $F$ is loc. free by the following elem.
torsion free or F is loc. Free by the following lem.
Lew. D -> F -> E -> G -> D on a smooth surface X.
If F is low free out to its starting from those T's low
If I is loc. free and G is torsion free, then Fislar.  Free
Proof). Take xex.  Def. depth M = mindi   Erri (kan, A)  Or nod + of  = max lighth of cog. seg.  Sign tors. fue.  Recall pd Fx + depth Fx = depth Ox = dim Ox = 2 x smilar =
Or not for the firm
SII tors fue.
Be call of To the total of the same of the
pol tx + depth tx = depth 0x = dm 0x = 2
So it suffices to see depth the 2 (~) partie =0).
Indeed, store Gx is tons. Free ~ Va G Ox is Gx-negular.
$ ightharpoonup$ depth $G_{\mathbf{r}} \geq 1$ .
→ dopth Fz ≥ 2.
(iii) > mp pose = 15 not simple.
(iii) Suppose E is not simple.  Then, = 7 & Hom (E,E) +k w/ non-triv. kernel (iii) refer + re E).
( : pick # of ∈ Hou(E, E), xex, and an eigenvalue λ of \$x:7x0 k(x) → 7x0 k(x).
(': pick $\forall \in Hom(E, E)$ , $x \in X$ , and an eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $\phi_x : 7x \otimes k(x) \rightarrow 7x \otimes k(x)$ .)  Then, $Y := \phi - \lambda$ id is a desired one
Hence, or the Just CHE. On the other hand, since E is unstable,  Int CE and E > Just imply u(Int) < u(E) < u(Int)!
Int < E and E → Int imply µ(Int) < µ(E) < µ(Int)!
(iv) Carollary of (ii)

E.g. We can produce stable bundles from cortain l. burdles on \$3.

(i) L globally generated, ample

Then, ker (H°(x, L) & Ox -> L) is u-stable

(ii) L globally generated and generates Pic(x).

Then, Kerl H°(x, L) & Ox -> L) is u-stable.

Now, we'll show Tx on a complex K3 is M-stable.

Note Tx is M-stable => Lb. LCTx, M(Z)=deg L < 0 = M(Tx).

When the only possible testing bundle for Tx is Ox, However,  $H^{\circ}(X_1Tx) = 0$ , so it's also in possible. So, Tx is vacantly  $\mu$ -stable. By Hodge theory

\* Algebraic approach.

Thus. (x,1+) polarized  $\downarrow$ 3 over an alg. closed field of dan zew.

If a l.b.  $L \subseteq T_K$  has the tors. Free guot. M deg  $H L > O_1$ then for a generic  $K \in X$ , 3 rat. curve  $X \in C \subset X$  S.+.  $7_C (X \subset L CK) \subset T_K(K)$ 

Cor. (4,H) as above. Tx does not contain any I.b. of positive degree.
W tor. free quot.

phorf.) " K3 surface cannot contain too many rational curves".

Assume I L C Tx w/ deg L > 0 and tor. free quot.

WMA k is uncountable by base change. Then,  $\forall u \in X$ , u(k) cannot be considered by countably many curves. On the other hand, the theorem says  $\exists u \in K$  that is covered by a vortional curves.

Claim. X is univuled, i.e., =rat. dom. map DxP'...> X for some D.

Idea of proof). Since Pic(X) = NSC4) is countable, this implies I linear system

[M] containing uncountably many rational curves.

We may view MI as a moduli space of univ. family	CEXXIUI.
Moneoer, since being varioual is closed condition in IMI, H	
D= M whose fibers in X are national comes. Consider th	
4xD elo D	
mesolve I	
→ \ CMI	
D×P1 dominant	
: J dominant	
× 7	) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )
(cf. Def. 4.1. and F	10p.4.1) For general argument
× ×	
Claim. K3 surface over an alg. closed Field of char. 0 i	is not univuled,
proof.) Assure & is unimbed.	
By resolving indeterminancy of DXP'> X,	
we get ir -> X, which is generically take by g	eneric surthness)
we get Y -> X, which is generically étale (by g dominant 40(14, WW) = HOCU, with) + Whom = WI	at O EGAIV2
Then, $H^{o}(X, \omega_{K}) \rightarrow H^{o}(X, \omega_{Y})$ is injective. In	UMA f surj. ⇒ ffalth. flat
On there hand, ((°(x, wx) ≠0 while ho(1, wx	
	= 6°(P <sup>1</sup> ,W) " 6°(D,C <sub>D</sub> )
Cor. (XIH) as above. Then, Tx is M-Stable.	= 0
proof). Suffices to test of d.b. LCTx of tors. Free quot.	
By Cor. above, degit < 0. Assur degit =0. It I	£ 40,
then 3H's.t. degh'2 >0, which is absurd. So, =	L = 0.
then IH's.t. degn'20, which is absurd. So, I However, since HO(x,Tx)=0, it is also a	bswd.
Thus, degrit < 0. by Hoolge theory	
U	