



Diplomarbeit

Automated Generation of JIAC AgentBeans from BPMN Diagrams

Fachbereich Agententechnologien in betrieblichen Anwendungen und der Telekommunikation (AOT)

Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Sahin Albayrak Fakultät IV Elektrotechnik und Informatik Technische Universität Berlin

Vorgelegt von: Petrus Setiawan Tan

Betreuer: Dipl.-Inform. Tobias Küster

Petrus Setiawan Tan Matrikelnummer: 213933

Stettiner Str. 9 10243 Berlin

Die selbstständige und eigenhändige Anfertigun an Eides statt.	ng dieser Diplomarbeit versichere ich
Berlin, 4. November 2011	Petrus Setiawan Tan

Abstract

Models have been a solution to bridge the communication gap between the business users and the IT world, a common problem in the software industry. In the domain of multi agent systems, this communication gap is believed to be one of the reason why the agent concept is not very popular in the industry, although they have been a research topic for many years, while webservices and service oriented architectures that addresses a similar problem domain are adapted much faster by the business users.

At the moment, some model driven approach has been made in order to simplify the development of multi agent systems providing tools which allows graphical process editing and a mapping of the process into agents. One example is the VSDT which provides a BPMN editor and a transformation framework and a mapping of BPMN into JIAC agents, allowing agents to be designed and created using BPMN. In this work we will present a mapping and transformation of BPMN into Java code or JIAC Agent Beans to be more specific by extending the VSDT's transformation framework.

Zusammenfassung

Die Kommunikationslücke zwischen Unternehmen und IT ist ein weitverbreitetes Problem in der Softwareindustrie. Modelle (meistens bestehen aus graphische Zeichnungen) sind Mittel um diese Lücke zu überbrücken. Im Bereich der Multi-Agenten-Systeme glaubt man, dass diese Lücke eine der Ursache ist, weswegen das Agentenkonzept, obwohl es seit mehreren Jahren ein Forschungsthema ist, nicht sehr populär ist in der Industrie, während Konzepte wie Webservices und serviceorientierte Architekturen, die ein ähnliches Problembereich anspricht, viel schneller angenommen wird von den Unternehmen.

Zur Zeit bestehen bereits einige Ansätze um die Entwicklung von Multi-Agenten-Systeme zu vereinfachen. Diese Ansätze stellt Werkzeuge bereit, die eine graphische Prozessmodellbearbeitung und die Abbildung der Prozesse zu Agenten ermöglicht. Ein Beispiel dafür ist das VSDT, das ein BPMN Editor, ein Transformationsframework und eine Abbildung von BPMN zu JIAC Agenten bereitstellt und somit die Generierung von Agenten aus BPMN ermöglicht.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Tobias Küster for being a very good supervisor, for all the help, suggestions and motivation he gave me during the last 6 months. I also want to thank my whole family for their constant love and support.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction		1		
	1.1	Motiv	ation	1
		1.1.1	Model Driven Engineering (MDE)	1
		1.1.2	MDE in Multi-agent systems	2
	1.2	Goals		2
	1.3	Outlin	ne	3
2	Bac	kgroui	nd	5
	2.1	JIAC		5
		2.1.1	AgentBeans	6
	2.2	BPMI	N(Business Process Modeling Notation)	9
		2.2.1	Levels of Abstraction	9
		2.2.2	BPMN Elements	9
	2.3	VSDT	C(Visual Service Design Tool)	13
		2.3.1	BPMN Editor	13
		2.3.2	Transformation Framework	13
	2.4	JET(J	Java Emitter Templates)	15
		2.4.1	JET-Templates	15
		2.4.2	2 different JET-Versions	17
		2.4.3	New JET2 elements	17
3	Stat	te of t	he art	19
	3.1	BPMN	N to BPEL Transformation	19
	3.2	Existi	ng Transformation to JiacV	19
	3.3	WADI	Е	20
		3.3.1	JADE (J ava A gent DE velopment Framework)	20
		3.3.2	WADE (Workflows and Agents Development Environment)	20
		3.3.3	Workflow Metamodel (graphical view)	20
		3.3.4	Workflow implementation (code view)	22

vi Table of Contents

4	Ma	pping BPMN to Jiac AgentBeans	25
	4.1	Pools and Processes	25
	4.2	Workflow Structure	26
		4.2.1 Sequence Flow	26
		4.2.2 Gateways	27
		4.2.3 Loop Blocks	30
		4.2.4 Event Handler	30
	4.3	Activites	31
		4.3.1 Tasks	31
		4.3.2 Subprocess	34
		4.3.3 Activity-Looping	34
	4.4	Events	35
		4.4.1 Intermediate-Events	35
		4.4.2 Start and End Events	37
	4.5	Open Issues	40
5	Imp	plementation of the transformation	41
	5.1	Transformation Structure	41
	5.2	Validation	42
	5.3	Structure Mapping	42
	5.4	Element Mapping	42
	5.5	AgentBean Model	42
	5.6	JET-Transformation	44
	5.7	Implementation of the Event Handlers	45
	5.8	Merging generated and manually edited code	47
		5.8.1 JMerge	47
	5.9	Open Issues	47
6	Exa	amples	49
	6.1	The Model	49
	6.2	The generated Agent Beans	49
7	Cor	nclusion	51
	7.1	Future Work	51
T.i	terat	urverzeichnis	54

List of Figures

2.1	Jiac Basic concepts and their structural relationships [4] \dots	6
2.2	Agent-Lifecycle [4]	7
2.3	A simple Business Process Diagram	9
2.4	BPMN Event types. From left to right: Start Event, Intermediate Event, End Event	10
2.5	Examples of event subtypes. From left to right: Multiple, Link, Message, Rule, Timer	10
2.6	Task(Left) and Subprocess	11
2.7	Gateways - From left to right: Exclusive, Event Based, Inclusive, Parallel, Complex	11
2.8	Pool with 2 Lanes	12
2.9	VSDT - Editor View	13
2.10	Essential classes of the transformation framework, including the BPEL case.[15]	14
2.11	JET transformation steps	15
3.1	Elements of Wade Metamodel [3]	21
3.2	Wade example: Account Withdrawal Process (graphical view) $\ \ . \ \ . \ \ .$	23
4.1	Mapping example : Pool and Process	26
4.2	Mapping Example: Sequence Flow	27
4.3	Mapping example: AND Gateway	27
4.4	Mapping example: OR Gateway	28
4.5	Mapping example: XOR_Data Gateway	28
4.6	Mapping example: XOR_Event Gateway	29
4.7	Mapping example: Loop Blocks	30
4.8	Mapping example: Event Handler	31
4.9	Mapping example: Task	32

viii List of Figures

4.10	Mapping example: Service-task	32
4.11	Mapping example: Send-task	33
4.12	Mapping example: receive-task	33
4.13	Mapping example : Subprocess	34
4.14	Mapping example : Activity-Looping (Standard Loop)	35
4.15	Mapping example : Timer Intermediate Event	36
4.16	Mapping example : Timer Start Event	37
4.17	Mapping example : Message Start and End Events with Service Implementation	38
4.18	Mapping example : Message Start Event with MessageChannel Implementation	39
4.19	Mapping example : Message End Event with MessageChannel Implementation	40
5.1	Transformation stages	42
5.2	AgentBean - Metamodel	43
5.3	JET-Transformation Structure	45
6.1	Use case model	49
6.2	Business Process Diagram - requestTaxi	50

1. Introduction

In this chapter, we will start by introducing the motivation of this work and the problem we want to solve. After that we will present the goals of this work and give a short outline about the following chapters.

1.1 Motivation

A common problem in the software engineering is the communication gaps between the business people as a client and the IT world. Communicating through models, normally consists of graphical sketches, especially using those which are commonly used in the client's domain such as the BPMN, clearly is a solution in bridging these gaps. In the domain of multi agent systems, this communication gap is believed to be one of the reason why the agent concept is not very popular in the business world, although they have been a research topic for many years, while webservices and service oriented architectures that addresses a similar problem domain are adapted much faster by the business users.

At the moment, some model driven approach has been made in order to simplify the development of multi agent systems providing tools which allows graphical process editing and a mapping of the process into agents. However, most of these approaches uses a rather simple process models which sometimes only targeting a single agent.

The main motivation of this work is to present a solution that will simplify the software development process and help bringing the concepts of software agents and multi agent systems to gain more acceptance in the industry by developing a mapping from BPMN to agents that will enable users to create agents simply by modeling business processes.

1.1.1 Model Driven Engineering (MDE)

Over the past few years more and more software developers have been adopting the principle of *Model Driven Engineering* (MDE) where they no longer focus on writing programs but on creating a set of models which define the software. By modeling

1. Introduction

the software, the developer creates documents that provides an abstract view of the software system, independently from the platform or a specific programming language, making it understandable for non experts i.e. the stakeholders as well as applicable in different platforms.

A significant number of the so called CASE (Computer Aided Software Engineering) tools have been developed to support this methodology. Beside providing support in creating and editing the models, most of these CASE tools are also equipped with transformation features that allows us to transform the model into text or even executable programs, thus increasing efficiency in the software development process. We can say that the real benefits of MDE lies in the transformation. By providing a mapping between the model and the code, we can create standardized programs, accelerate development time and minimize faults in writing the code.

1.1.2 MDE in Multi-agent systems

Back in 2007, an MDE-approach has been made in order to bridge the gap between the industry and the multi-agent systems. As a result, a CASE-tool called the *Visual Service Design Tool (VSDT)* was developed by Tobias Küster in scope of his Diploma Thesis, which provides the transformation of BPMN (Business Process Modeling Notation) to BPEL(*Business Process Execution Language*) and JIAC (Java-based Intelligent Agent Componentware) framework. This tool allows agents to be designed using the very expressive BPMN, which is also a model that has already been manifested in the industry. With this approach, most people from the industry should be able to design software agents.

Another MDE-approach in multi-agent systems are also introduced by WADE by introducing a workflow, which defines an agent's task and can be designed graphically with the developing tool WOLF and generated into a java code. But WADE is an example that uses a rather simple process model which target only a single agent. We will discuss WADE further in section 3.3.

In the scope of this work, we will present a combination of both approaches and develop a plugin to VSDT to enrich it's transformation feature with a code generator that will transform BPMN models into executable Java Code, or JIAC Agent Beans to be more specific.

1.2 Goals

The main goal of this work is to develop an eclipse plugin as an extension to VSDT to enrich its transformation features with a new transformation from BPMN to Java Code or JIAC Agent Beans to be more specific.

There will be code...

Having a code generator doesn't mean that developers won't be writing anymore code, although the idea is to generate most of the code from the informations given in the model. Because it is nearly impossible to put all implementation details into the model and to anticipate the possibility that the generated code will be edited

1.3. Outline

manually, considerations has to be made such that conflicts should not occur when the transformation is called to a code that has been edited manually. So the main challenge of generating executable code is enabling a regeneration of the code (e.g. when the model changes) while protecting the manually added user code.

1.3 Outline

In chapter 2 we will introduce some background topics of this work, starting with JIAC (the target framework), follwed by BPMN (the model), VSDT (the framework to be extended) and JET(the technology that is being used).

Chapter 3 will discuss some State of the Art topics related to this work such as the transformation from BPMN to BPEL, the existing transformation from BPMN to JADL which is implemented in the VSDT, and WADE, the similar approach in generating a process into java code.

In Chapter 4, the mapping from BPMN to Jiac Agent Beans will be presented with examples showing the BPMN figure and the associated code mapped from it. We will start with the mapping of pools and processes followed by the mapping of some workflow structures e.g. loops and gateways. Finally we will present the mapping of activities and events.

Chapter 5 contains the details about the transformation's implementation, followed by an example presented in chapter 6.

Finally in chapter 7, the conclusion of this work and some future works related to this topic will be presented.

4 1. Introduction

2. Background

In this chapter, we will discuss the backgrounds of the transformation that should be developed. We will start with JIAC, the agent framework which is the target of the transformation, followed by BPMN, the modeling notation that is being used BPMN. After that we will present the VSDT, the existing modeling and transformation framework to JIAC that should be extended, and finally we will present JET, the technology that will help us to implement the transformation.

2.1 **JIAC**

JIAC (Java-based Intelligent Agent Componentware) is a Java-based agent architecture and framework that was developed to simplify the development of software agents[9]. The framework supports the entire software development process of a software agent system by providing features such as FIPA compliant communication, Believe-Desire-Intention (BDI) reasoning, strong migration, web-service connectivity and many others. It also provides high security (Common Criteria EAL3, certified by the Federal Office for Information Security of Germany, BSI) and advanced accounting mechanisms, making it suitable for the use in industrial and commercial applications.

JADL

With it's core component JADL(Jiac Agent Description Language)[14], Jiac also provide an agent programming language. With JADL, the agents plan elements, rules, ontologies and services can be described. JADL is based on three predicate logic which allows the values true, false and unknown, which makes it suitable for open world problems in unknown environments.

In Figure 2.1, we can see the typical structure of a JIAC Application, which consists of AgentNodes, Agents, AgentBeans. An *AgentNode* is a Java VM where the runtime infrastructure for agents, such as discovery services, white and yellow pages services, as well as communication infrastructure, are provided. A JIAC application might consists of multiple AgentNodes (distributed application). With the so-called AgentNodeBeans, one can extend the AgentNode with additional components.

6 2. Background




Figure 2.1: Jiac Basic concepts and their structural relationships [4]

Each AgentNode may run several *Agents*. Agents provide services to other agents and comprise life cycle, execution cycle and a memory. An agent can use infrastructure services in order to find other agents, to communicate to them and to use their services. Skills and abilities of the agent can be extended by so-called AgentBeans.

2.1.1 AgentBeans

Beside using JADL, another mean to implement the functionality of an agent by implementing an agent bean attachable to the agent. In most cases one can implement an agent bean simply by extending the class AbstractAgentBean. By doing this the new agent bean will have access to some useful fields such as:

- protected Log log: a logger Instance that can be used to create log messages.
- protected IAgent this Agent : Reference to the Agent object that can be used to perform operations on the agent
- protected IMemory memory: Reference to the Agents memory that can be used to store and retrieve data.

Agent-Lifecycle

An agent bean implements the interface ILifeCycle which provides operations to control the bean's state in accordance to the agent's lifecycle(see Figure 2.2) by declaring the methods init(), start(), stop(), and cleanup(). These methods are implemented by the class AbstractLifeCycle, a super class of AbstractAgentBean which also provides the methods doInit(), doStart(), doStop(), and doCleanup() where we can hook up code that should be executed when the Lifecycle state changes, for example looking up needed actions, attaching SpaceObeserver to the agent's memory, etc.

Execution-Cycle

By implementing the method execute(), we can tell the agent bean to do something periodically. This method is called by the agent's execution cycle, given that

2.1. JIAC 7




Figure 2.2: Agent-Lifecycle [4]

the execution interval property is specified in the configuration file¹, and while the agent is on the **started** state.

Actions

Agent beans can provide Actions. Actions are public methods that can be invoked by another bean and depending on the action's scope it can even be invoked by another agent. Therefore an action can be seen as a service. Using an Action normally includes two or three steps(the third one is optional):

- 1. find the Action
- 2. invoking the Action
- 3. get the results

The following Listing shows an example on how to use the send action provided by the ICommunicationBean:

```
Action sendAction = retrieveAction(ICommunicationBean.ACTION_SEND); //find action [...] invoke(sendAction, new Serializable{message, receiver})//invoke
```

Listing 2.1: Using an Action

The methods retrieveAction() and invoke() used in the above Listing are provided by the AbstractAgentBean.

The simplest way to provide Actions is by extending the class AbstractMethodExposingBean (also an extension of AbstractAgentBean) and put the @Expose java annotation on the method that we want to expose as an action, as shown in the following listing:

```
//static variable for the action name
public static final String ACTION_DOACTION = packagename.BeanName#doAction;

[...]
@Expose(name=ACTION_DOACTION, scope = ActionScope.GLOBAL)
public void doAction() {

[...]
}
```

Listing 2.2: Providing an Action

¹Informations about the configuration file can be found in the jiac manual[4]

8 2. Background

Memory and SpaceObserver

The default implementation of the agent's memory is a simple tuple space that can hold any Java objects implementing the IFact interface (an extension to java.io.Serializable). The memory provides the 4 methods to work on the space: read, write, remove and update.

Each time a Bean calls an operation that modifies the memory, a SpaceEvent is fired. There are currently 4 different SpaceEvents that are fired:

- WriteCallEvent fired when a new object is written in the memory.
- UpdateCallEvent fired when an object has been updated in memory.
- RemoveCallEvent fired when an object is removed from the memory.
- RemoveAllCallEvent fired when all objects are removed from the memory.

By using SpaceObserver, we can listen to these SpaceEvents and gets notification whenever a space event is being fired. For example we can implement a space observer which will start a process when a message is being written in the memory (Listing 2.3).

```
SpaceObserver<IFact> observer = new SpaceObserver<IFact>(){
   public void notify(SpaceEvent<? extends IFact> event){
     if(event instanceof WriteCallEvent){
        doProcess(); //start process
     }
}

memory.attach(observer);
```

Listing 2.3: A SpaceObserver

To start receiving the SpaceEvents, we should attach the observer into the agent's memory (see line 9 of Listing 2.3). To stop receiving notifications on the SpaceEvents we can similarly use the detach() method.

2.2 BPMN(Business Process Modeling Notation)

BPMN [5] is a standard Notation for modeling business processes, initially published by the BPMI which is later adopted by the OMG(Object Management Group). A business process diagram (as seen in Figure 2.3) can be compared to UML's activity diagram.




Figure 2.3: A simple Business Process Diagram

BPMN was made to provide a notation that is understandable by all business users, creating a bridge for the gap between the business process design and the process implementation. With the mapping of BPMN to Agents, we hope to be able to increase the spreading of the multi agent systems in the business world.

2.2.1 Levels of Abstraction

Besides providing an easy to read graphical notations, each BPMN elements are also equipped with additional attributes (so called properties), which are hidden from the diagram and provides the informations needed for automated code generation. The BPMN can be seen as having at least three levels of Abstraction:

- 1. Basic Types The diagram are made up of easily recognized graphical elements
- 2. Subtypes Each basic types can be further distinguished into subtypes with additional graphical elements such as icons that marks the type of an event's trigger.
- 3. Properties Each element has additional detailed informations that are hidden from the diagram, but contain informations needed for the transformation.

2.2.2 BPMN Elements

BPMN elements can be categorized in five basic groups of elements[5]:

• Flow Objects

2. Background

- Data
- Connecting Objects
- Swimlanes
- Artifacts

Flow Objects includes Events, Activities and Gateways. These elements are the most important in BPMN, and they are held in a lane.

An *Event* describes something that happens during the course of a process. It is divided into Start Event, Intermediate Event and End Event (see Figure 2.4).



Figure 2.4: BPMN Event types. From left to right: Start Event, Intermediate Event, End Event

BPMN Events are further divided into subtypes according to the type of the event's trigger (for start and intermediate events) or result (for end events). The event figure (see Figure 2.5) are drawn with different icon in the middle, according to the trigger.




Figure 2.5: Examples of event subtypes. From left to right: Multiple, Link, Message, Rule, Timer

An Activity describes something that is done during a process. It is divided into Tasks (Atomic Activities) and Sub Processes (Composite Activities).




Figure 2.6: Task(Left) and Subprocess

Gateways are used to define all kinds of splitting and merging behavior. It's semantics depends on the dimension of it's incoming and outgoing Sequence Flows. Gateways are divided into the following types (also see Figure 2.7):

- 1. Exclusive
- 2. Event Based
- 3. Inclusive
- 4. Parallel
- 5. Complex



Figure 2.7: Gateways - From left to right: Exclusive, Event Based, Inclusive, Parallel, Complex

Data is representated by the four elements:

- Data Objects
- Data Inputs
- Data Outputs
- Data Stores

12 2. Background

Data Object describes information that is needed by an activity or what they produce. It can represent a singular object or a collection of objects. Data Inputs and Data Outputs describe the same information for Processes. Data Stores describe the location where information, that persists beyond the scope of a process are stored.

With *ConnectingObjects*, FlowingObjects can be connected to each other or with other informations. There are 3 different kinds of Connecting Objects:

- Sequence Flows represent Flow Control, used for connecting FlowObjects within a Pool in the order of execution.
- Message Flows represent Messages being exchanged exclusively between Pools.
- Associations mainly used for documentation, in example between Flow Objects and a Text annotation.

Swimlanes are divided into *Pools* and *Lanes*. Each Pool represents one Participant in the business process, while Lanes are used to partition a Pool, in example to model different Departments of an Institution. Figure 2.8 shows a Pool with 2 Lanes.




Figure 2.8: Pool with 2 Lanes

Artifacts are additional Information about the Process. It is mainly used for documentation purpose. The Current set of Artifacts includes:

- Text Annotation
- Group

To provide a rough overview on how Agent technology can support the implementation of Business Processes let us take a look on this mapping example of BPMN elements to Agents. A Pool in a Business Process Diagram can represent an Agent, which are able to communicate with other agents (another pool) through messages (represented with the BPMN MessageFlows). Agents can react to Events. A detailed mapping needed for implementing the transformation will be discussed in chapter 4

2.3 VSDT(Visual Service Design Tool)

The VSDT is a CASE Tool, developed to support the idea of Process Oriented Agent Engineering [17], where Agents are designed by defining use cases and processes described with the BPMN. It's features include the BPMN editor, process structure view, model validation, import of existing web services, transformations to BPEL, and JIAC and many more.




Figure 2.9: VSDT - Editor View

2.3.1 BPMN Editor

The BPMN Editor in VSDT was created using eclipse's GMF (Graphical Modeling Framework). The editor enables us to create and modify business processes graphically. It also provides a properties view, where we can see and edit the additional properties of an element selected in the diagram.

The VSDT was designed to support pure BPMN that is independent from any execution language[16]. This means the standard editor doesn't support language specific features such as the validation of expression to be conform with the syntax of a specific language (such a validation can be implemented in the transformation). However, the editor can be enriched with plugins that for example provides a view with language specific functionality.

2.3.2 Transformation Framework

Since it's initial development, VSDT's transformation framework is designed to be extensible and reusable. This allows the development of a new transformation to be easier. For this purpose the transformation process is subdivided into several stages:

- 1. Validation: Validate the input model.
- 2. Normalization: Prepare the input model for transformation.
- 3. Structure Mapping: Convert the input model to a block-like structure.

2. Background

- 4. Element Mapping: Perform the actual mapping, create target model.
- 5. Clean Up: Remove redundancies, improve readability, etc.

Due to the fact that the validation, normalization and structure mapping are mostly independent from the target language, the standard mapping provided for these stages are reusable, which makes it possible to implement a new transformation by specifying the element mapping only. Figure 2.10 shows the UML Class Diagram of the transformation framework with the example transformation to BPEL.




Figure 2.10: Essential classes of the transformation framework, including the BPEL case.[15]

2.4 JET(Java Emitter Templates)

JET[13] is a code generating framework, developed as a part of the Eclipse Modeling Project [7]. Using the so-called templates, one can transform a model into various type of text, from a simple plain text up to text containing html, xml or java code. A naming convention exists for the JET-Template file according to the type of the generated text. For example the name of a template file generating a java code should end with .javajet

The transformation process is done in two steps (see figure 2.4). First, the JET-Builder will translate the template file into a Java class holding a generate method. Then we can create an instance of the template class and call it's generate method to get the result String which we can process further for example writing it into a file.




Figure 2.11: JET transformation steps.

2.4.1 JET-Templates

JET-Templates uses a JSP-like syntax which makes it easy to write and understand. There are three types of expression in JET-Syntax:

1. **Directives** <%0 directive {attribute = "value"}*%> Directives contains information for the JET-Engine.

2. Scriptlets <% scriplet %>

With Scriptlets we can use any java code fragment in the template. For example we can type cast the argument object into List:

<% List<String> studentlist = (List<String>)argument; %>

These code fragments are executed at invocation time of the generate Method.

3. Expressions <%= expression %>

An expression contains a java expression which will be executed at invocation time, and it's result will be added into the StringBuffer.

16 2. Background

A set of JET templates is called transformation. It is possible to build this transformation with a main template which acts as a visitor and runs through the model, and this main template will then use other templates which handle a specific element of the model. For example, in UML to Java transformation you can have special templates that handles the package, class, variables and methods.

The following listing shows a simple example of a JET-Template that generates an XML:

Listing 2.4: a simple JET-Template

A Jet-Template starts with the so called **jet-directive**. It contains informations for the JET-Builder, for example the name of the translated Java class(also called the template class), the package in which the template class should be placed into and a list of classes that should be imported by the template class.

In the first step, the JET-Builder will then translate this template into the Java Class generator.StudentListGenerator:

```
package generator;
  import java.util.*;
  public class StudentListGenerator
5
     protected static String nl;
6
     public static synchronized StudentListGenerator create (String lineSeparator)
7
8
9
       nl = lineSeparator;
       StudentListGenerator result = new StudentListGenerator();
10
       nl = null;
11
12
       return result;
13
14
     public final String NL = nl == null ? (System.getProperties().getProperty("line.
         separator")) : nl;
     protected final String TEXT_1 = " " + NL + " < ?xml version = \"1.0\" encoding = \"UTF
15
         -8\"?>
     protected final String TEXT_2 = NL + "<class>" + NL + " \setminus t ";
16
     protected final String TEXT_3 = NL + "
                                                <student>":
17
     protected final String TEXT_4 = "</student>"
     protected final String TEXT_5 = NL + "</class>";
19
     public String generate (Object argument)
20
21
       final StringBuffer stringBuffer = new StringBuffer();
22
       stringBuffer.append(TEXT_1);
23
        List<String> elementList = (List<String>) argument;
       {\tt stringBuffer.append}\,({\tt TEXT\_2})\,;
25
        for (Iterator i = elementList.iterator(); i.hasNext(); ) {
26
       stringBuffer.append(TEXT_3);
27
       {\tt stringBuffer.append(i.next());}\\
28
29
       stringBuffer.append(TEXT_4);
30
       stringBuffer.append(TEXT_5);
31
32
       return stringBuffer.toString();
33
34
```

Listing 2.5: the translated Java-Class

The most interesting part of the java template class is the generate method. To get the text that should be generated, an instance of this class should be created and then we pass a list to the generate method, for example with the following code:

```
List<String> students = new ArrayList<String>();
students.add("'Peter"');
students.add("'John"');
students.add("'Caroline"');

StudentListGenerator generator = new StudentListGenerator();
generator.generate(students);
```

Listing 2.6: calling the generate method

, where the result of the transformation will be:

Listing 2.7: Result String of the transformation

2.4.2 2 different JET-Versions

There are currently 2 different JET-Versions in the Eclipse Modeling Project. The syntax and examples mentioned above correlate to the older Version of JET, which allows us to generate text with an Object as argument. In the template, the argument variable can be type casted into the class of our model. This JET-Version is effective if the model we want to generate the text from is a Java object. We get a String as a result which we can write into a File using the Java-IO or even Eclipse API.

In the updated version of JET, also called JET2, some workspace and Java related "tag-libraries" are provided, enabling us to do the transformation without using the Java and Eclipse API. Unfortunately, in this Version the model has to be an xml-File. Implementing the transformation of the BPMN directly from it's xml-Representation will be harder and the template will be confusing, therefore a decision has been made to implement the mapping using the existing transformation framework where an intermediate model class of the AgentBean will be created, and then transform this intermediate model into Java code using the older version of the JET Transformation. More details on the implementation will be discussed in chapter 5.

2.4.3 New JET2 elements

The enhanced version of JET comes with some new syntax elements such as:

- Comments <-- Comment --> Comments will be copied to the translated Java class as a line comment, but they will have no influence on the transformation.
- Java Declaration <%! ... %> Within the Java declaration tag, the template may declare some Java methods or variables.

18 2. Background

• The @taglib directive <%@taglib id="..." prefix="..."%> With the @taglib directive, we can import an XML Tag Library or rename the tag library's namespace prefix.

• Custom xml tag

JET2 templates may contain custom xml tags. JET2 comes with 4 standard tag libraries, and we can also define our own tag library.

Out of the new elements, the custom XML tag is the most interesting since JET2 comes with 4 helpful standard tag libraries[20]:

1. Control tags

Contains control flow and data management tags. These tags will help us in visiting the input model where we will need to evaluate expression, perform loops, iterate through a certain set of elements and read or write some informations in the input.

2. Workspace tags

Contains a set of library that performs operations against the eclipse workspace (for example for creating a file in an existing eclipse Project).

3. Java tags

Contains tags useful for generating Java codes such as creating Java packages and classes, managing imports etc.

4. Format tags

Standard tags for formatting text.

3. State of the art

3.1 BPMN to BPEL Transformation

In the BPMN Specification, the mapping from BPMN to BPEL has been included since the first version. In fact the development of BPMN was driven by the lack of standard notation for the WS-BPEL[22]. The limitation of the mapping from BPMN to BPEL has also been discussed in various papers, focusing the issues resulting from the incompatibility of the graph structured BPMN and the block structured BPEL, and led to some more sophisticated mapping such as the one introduced by Ouyang et al. ([18],[19]).

At the moment, there are some tools that supports the transformation from BPMN to BPEL. In the VSDT, a mapping from BPMN to BPEL based on the mapping given in the BPMN specification was developed, covering nearly every mapping given in the specification including event handlers, inclusive OR and event based XOR Gateways.

For this Project the mapping from BPMN to BPEL given in the BPMN specification are used to gain a better understanding of the notation's semantics.

3.2 Existing Transformation to JiacV

At the moment, the VSDT is already equipped with a transformation of BPMN to JiacV, which generates the JADL(Jiac Agent Description Language). As mentioned in chapter 2, both JADL and AgentBean are tools to implement the functionality of an Agent in Jiac. The transformation to Jiac AgentBeans is in no way a replacement to the existing transformation. Instead both transformations shall complement each other as the products of both transformations have their own advantages, some of which we can find in the following table: Because JADL files can be deployed to a running Agent, the mapping to JADL is suitable for creating dynamic behaviors and services that might be changed and deployed at runtime, while the mapping to JIAC Agent Beans (because of it's expressiveness and better performance) is a better choice for creating the core components of an agent.

3. State of the art

Advantages of:		
JADL	AgentBeans	
can be deployed to a running Agent	Written fully in Java, therefore developer	
	friendly.	
	Java is more powerful (expressive) that	
	JADL.	
	Better performance because no parser is in-	
	volved.	

3.3 WADE

A similar approach (designing agents behavior with processes and transforming it into Java Code) has been developed by the Telecom Italia with their JADE-extension called WADE (Workflows and Agents Development Environment). While Jade was developed to simplify the implementation of Software Agents, WADE extended JADE with a workflow engine, making it possible to create Agents that executes tasks defined as workflows.

3.3.1 JADE (Java Agent DEvelopment Framework)

JADE ([1],[2]) is an application framework and a middleware written in Java, which support the development of software agents. The framework provides distributed runtime environments, agent and behavior abstractions as well as communications between agents and discovery mechanisms. We can say that it's role is very similar to JIAC.

3.3.2 WADE (Workflows and Agents Development Environment)

WADE is an extension to JADE, which enrich the application framework with a workflow engine. The WorkflowEngineAgent extends the JADE basic Agent class with an ability to execute workflows represented in a WADE specific formalism.

A WADE workflow is actually a Java Class, thus it can be edited and managed as Java classes and can contain pieces of code which is needed to implement the process. With WOLF, a development environment that comes with WADE, developers can edit the Workflow graphically as well as textually. The code view and the graphical view of the workflow are kept in sync.

Despite having all the advantages of a Java code, the WADE workflow is rather simple and not so expressive as the BPMN. Similarity to the agent technology such as event handling and communication flow are also missing in the workflow. Further, because each workflow model is associated to a single java class, it is targeting a single agent and is not very suitable in designing multi agent systems. In the following we will take a closer look on the workflow concept of Wade.

3.3.3 Workflow Metamodel (graphical view)

For the graphical view of the workflow, WADE adopts a workflow metamodel quite similar to that defined in XPDL standard defined by the Workflow Management 3.3. WADE 21




Figure 3.1: Elements of Wade Metamodel [3]

Consortium (www.wfmc.org). In figure 3.1 (image taken from [3]), we can see an example process summarizing the main elements of the WADE metamodel.

A Process in Wade is made up from a set of Activities, where each activity corresponds to the execution of the given operations. A Process is defined with exactly one **Start Activity**, and one or multiple **End Activities**. A Process may have Formal Parameters, which defines the type of required inputs and expected outputs.

Activities in Wade are divided into different types depending on the operations included in the activity. 6 types are mentioned in [21] as being the most relevant:

• Tool Activities

Contains the invocation of one or more **Applications**, computational entities defined outside of the workflow process and wrapped by a uniform interface.

• Subflow Activities

Contains the invocation of another workflow process. The execution of the subflow takes place in a different computational place and it may be carried out by another agent. Further, we can set whether the subflow should be executed synchronously or asynchronously.

• Webservice Activities

Contains the invocation of a webservice.

• Code Activities

Included operations are specified directly by a set of Java code.

3. State of the art

• Subflow Join

Included operations consist of blocking the main workflow process and wait until the previously launched asynchronous subflow completes, and getting the results.

• Route Activities

Route activities are empty. No operations is included. It can be used to simplify complex flows.

Each non ending activity has one or more outgoing *Transitions* leading to another activity. A transition may be associated with a condition. Once an activity completes, the conditions of all outgoing transitions will be checked. If the condition holds, the transition will be fired and the workflow continues with the execution of the destination activity.

A Process has a set of **DataFields** which can be referenced anywhere in the process e.g. in the condition of a transaction or in the operations included in an activity.

3.3.4 Workflow implementation (code view)

As mentioned before, workflow in wade is actually a (well structured) Java class. A workflow process is implemented by a Java class extending the WorkflowBehaviour class, which provides the methods registerActivity() and registerTransition() for adding activities and transitions into the process.

The registerActivity() method takes a behavior object as argument. There are different behavior classes corresponding to the different activity types discussed in the previous subsection. The actual operations included for the registered activity are wrapped in a void method of the workflow class. The method's name is derived from the activity's name added with the "execute" prefix e.g executecheckBalance() for the activity "checkBalance".

The registerTransition() method takes a Transition object as an argument. If the transition is associated with a condition, then a boolean method (with the prefix "check" added to the condition name) will be created.

In Figure 3.2 we can see an example process in its graphical view and Listing 3.1 shows the equivalent code view to the example.

3.3. WADE 23

checkBalance payoutAnd UpdateBalance rejectWithdrawal

Figure 3.2: Wade example: Account Withdrawal Process (graphical view)

```
//the layout information of the graphical view
   @WorkflowLayout(entryPoint = @MarkerLayout(position = "(260,31)", activityName = "
        checkBalance"), exitPoints = { }, transitions = {@TransitionLayout(to =
        rejectWithdrawal", from = "checkBalance"), @TransitionLayout(to =
       payoutAndUpdateBalance", from = "checkBalance") }, activities = {
@ActivityLayout(position = "(357,175)", name = "rejectWithdrawal"),
@ActivityLayout(position = "(116,171)", name = "payoutAndUpdateBalance"),
@ActivityLayout(position = "(222,78)", name = "checkBalance") })
   public class AccountWithdrawal extends Workflowbehavior {
     //Data \ fields
     public static final String NOTENOUGHBALANCE.CONDITION = "notEnoughBalance";
     public static final String REJECTWITHDRAWALACTIVITY = "rejectWithdrawal";
     public static final String PAYOUTANDUPDATEBALANCE.ACTIVITY = '
          payoutAndUpdateBalance"
     public static final String CHECKBALANCE_ACTIVITY = "checkBalance";
     private double balance;
10
11
       /formal Parameter
12
13
     @FormalParameter (mode=FormalParameter.INPUT)
     {\bf public}\  \  {\bf double}\  \  {\rm amountToBeWithdrawn}\,;
14
15
     // All activities should be registered in this method
16
     private void defineActivities() {
17
18
        CodeExecutionbehavior checkBalanceActivity = new CodeExecutionbehavior(
            CHECKBALANCE_ACTIVITY, this);
19
20
        registerActivity(checkBalanceActivity, INITIAL);
        CodeExecutionbehavior payoutAndUpdateBalanceActivity = new
21
            CodeExecution behavior (
            PAYOUTANDUPDATEBALANCE_ACTIVITY, this);
22
        registerActivity(payoutAndUpdateBalanceActivity, FINAL);
23
        {\tt CodeExecution behavior\ reject With drawal Activity\ =\ {\tt new\ CodeExecution behavior\ (}}
24
            REJECTWITHDRAWALACTIVITY, this);
25
        registerActivity (rejectWithdrawalActivity, FINAL);
26
27
28
     // All transitions should be registered here
29
30
     private void defineTransitions() {
        {\tt registerTransition} \, ( {\tt new} \  \, {\tt Transition} \, ( ) \, , \, \, {\tt CHECKBALANCE\_ACTIVITY}, \\
31
            PAYOUTANDUPDATEBALANCE_ACTIVITY);
32
        registerTransition(new Transition(NOTENOUGHBALANCE_CONDITION, this),
33
            CHECKBALANCE_ACTIVITY, REJECTWITHDRAWAL_ACTIVITY);
34
35
36
     //activity methods
37
38
     protected void executecheckBalance() throws Exception {
        [code for checking the account's balance]
```

3. State of the art

```
40
41
     protected void executepayoutAndUpdateBalance() throws Exception {
42
43
44
45
     protected void executerejectWithdrawal() throws Exception {
46
47
48
49
     //check method for the condition of a transition
50
51
     protected boolean checknotEnoughBalance() throws Exception {
52
       return true;
53
54
55 }
```

Listing 3.1: Wade example: Account Withdrawal Process (code view)

From the above listing, we can see the structure of a workflow implementation class in Wade. At line 3, we can see how the layout information for the graphical view is coded with the Java annotation mechanism. Data fields are implemented as a class variable (line 6-10) so that it can be referenced everywhere in the workflow process.

Although it is not strictly necessary, all activities and transitions should be registered in the methods defineActivities() and defineTransitions() because the graphical editor will search these methods to detect the activities and transitions to show.

In line 38 to 47 we can see the activity methods that wraps the operations included in an activity. And finally we can see the boolean method checknotEnoughBalance() that checks for the condition of the transition from checkBalance to rejectWithdrawal. These methods are per default empty, leaving the operations to be added directly into the code by the developer.

Wade's approach in implementing the workflow as Java code has inspired us for this project. Some of the concepts e.g wrapping the activity in a Java method can also be found in our BPMN to AgentBean transformation.

4. Mapping BPMN to Jiac AgentBeans

The element mapping from BPMN to Jiac AgentBeans is created based on the existing mapping to JiacV - JADL script. Compared to a JADL script, an AgentBean that is written completely in Java enables more possibilities in mapping concepts such as intermediate Event handling. Some concepts found in Wade's workflow implementation inspired us for the development of the mapping. This chapter will provide a detailed overview of the mapping.

4.1 Pools and Processes

Every pool in a process diagram will be mapped into an AgentBean. The name of the AgentBean will be derived from the Pool name, and the name of the process diagram it is contained in. If a Pool with the same name (e.g Mathematican) is contained in the business process diagrams ExtractRoot and Faculty, then the two agent beans Mathematican_ExtractRoot and Mathematican_Faculty will be created. Further, they are grouped in the package mathematican.

The process contained in a pool is mapped into a **workflow method**. Depending on the start event's type, this workflow method may be called in the execute method of the bean (for timer start event), it may be exposed as an action (for message start event with service implementation), or if the start event is a message start event with MessageChannel implementation, a SpaceObserver will be created and attached to the agent's memory, which will call the workflow method when a message with the payload and address as specified in the implementing message channel are written into the agent's memory.

The mapping of a task contained in a process flow will be wrapped in the so called **activity method** which will be called by the workflow method. Special cases for tasks with event handler and subprocesses will be discussed in a later section.




Figure 4.1: Mapping example: Pool and Process

Lanes are currently ignored. A process of a lane will be handled as a process of the containing pool.

The Figure 4.1 shows a simple example of a pool called Pool with the process do-Process. For the Pool, a Java class called Pool_DoProcess will be created and it will have the workflow method called doProcess. In this example the message start event is implemented as a service, thus the workflow method is exposed as an Action as we can see in the following Listing 4.1.

```
package pool; //derived from the pool name
  //some imports...
  public class Accounting_CalculatePrice extends AbstractMethodExposingBean {
     public final static String ACTION_DOPROCESS = "pool.Pool_DoProcess#doProcess";
     // process attribute would be declared here
10
     ©Expose(name = ACTION_DOPROCESS, scope = ActionScope.GLOBAL)
11
     public double doProcess(double nettPrice, double taxRateInPercent) {
12
       calculateTax()
13
       addToNettPrice();
14
       return totalPrice;
15
16
17
     private void calculateTax(){
18
19
20
21
     private void addToNettPrice(){
22
23
24
25 }
```

Listing 4.1: Mapped element: Pool and Process (Figure 4.1)

4.2 Workflow Structure

Workflow structure is mapped as the content of the workflow method. It defines the invocation structure of the flow objects contained in a process.

4.2.1 Sequence Flow

The mapping of a sequence flow is trivial. The mapped elements connected with a sequence flow will be invoked sequentially in the workflow method (see Figure 4.2).



Figure 4.2: Mapping Example: Sequence Flow

4.2.2 Gateways

Branches of a gateway are wrapped according to the gateway's type. There are 5 different types of gateways:

- 1. AND (Parallel)
- 2. OR (Inclusive)
- 3. XOR_Data (Exclusive)
- 4. XOR_Event (Event Based)
- 5. Complex

AND-Gateway (Parallel)

In an AND-Gateway, all branch will be wrapped in parallel to one another. At runtime all branches are executed within a thread. Figure 4.3 shows an example of the mapping.




Figure 4.3: Mapping example: AND Gateway

OR-Gateway (Inclusive)

Branches of an OR-Gateway will also be executed in parallel to one another, but the

content of a branch is additionally wrapped in an if-then block as shown in Figure 4.4. At runtime, the condition of each branches will be checked and the branch will be skipped if the condition does not hold. However, if the or-gateway has a branch with default condition, the default branch will only be executed if none of the other branches are executed.




Figure 4.4: Mapping example: OR Gateway

XOR_Data-Gateway (Exclusive)

Branches of an XOR_Data are wrapped in an if-then-else block (see Figure 4.5). Only one branch will actually be executed at runtime.




Figure 4.5: Mapping example: XOR_Data Gateway

XOR_Event-Gateway (Event Based)

For XOR_Event, a waiting loop will be started in a Thread, and an EventHandler (an extension to java.lang.Thread) instance for each branch will be created and started, according to the event's trigger of each branch. If an event handler receive an event, the waiting thread will be stopped, and the process continues with the elements of the branch, and other branches will be skipped. Figure 4.6 shows an example of the mapping.




Figure 4.6: Mapping example: XOR_Event Gateway

The event handler class will be added as an inner class to the bean, and they will have a constructor with a Thread toStop argument (among other arguments) and a boolean method hasBeenTrigerred() to check whether the event handler has been activated by the expected event. The following Listing 4.2 shows an implementation of the TimeoutEventHandler. This inner class will be included in every agent bean class that uses a TimeoutEventHandler.

```
class TimeoutEventHandler extends Thread{
3
       long timeout;
       Thread toStop;
4
       boolean triggered = false;
6
       public TimeoutEventHandler(long timeout, Thread toStop){
7
         this.timeout = timeout;
9
         this.toStop = toStop;
10
11
       public void run(){
12
13
           Thread.sleep(timeout);
14
           triggered = true;
15
16
           toStop.stop();
         }catch(InterruptedException e ) { }
17
18
```

```
public boolean hasBeenTriggered(){
   return triggered;
}

3
24 }
```

Listing 4.2: TimeoutEventHandler implementation as an inner class

Complex-Gateway

A mapping concept for Complex Gateways has not been developed in the current Version.

4.2.3 Loop Blocks

Structured loop blocks are mapped as shown in Figure 4.7.




Figure 4.7: Mapping example: Loop Blocks

While the condition applies, the content branch will be repeated.

4.2.4 Event Handler

As we can see in Figure 4.8, the mapping of an Event Handler attached to an activity is somewhat similar to the mapping of gateway event. Instead of the waiting thread, a thread calling the activity method will be created, and it will be stopped if the event handler is triggered.

4.3. Activites 31




Figure 4.8: Mapping example: Event Handler

4.3 Activites

Now we will discuss the activities in details. Activities are divided into tasks and subprocess. As mentioned before, a task will be wrapped in an activity method. This enables each task to have their own scope of properties. The properties of subprocesses however, should be shared with all activities contained in it. Therefore wrapping subprocesses in a method is not enough. Instead a subprocess will be wrapped in an inner class.

4.3.1 Tasks

Basically, the activity method generated from a task will look like what we can see in Figure 4.9:

```
doTask

| public void doTask() {
| declaration of properties |
| start assignments |
| special mapping depending on task-type |
| fend assignments |
| end assignments |
| }
```

Figure 4.9: Mapping example: Task

The method will start by declaring java variables, derived from the task's properties (if any exists). After the declaration, start assignments will take place, followed by the task's actual mapping (if any, depending on the task type). Finnaly, the code will be closed with the end assignments. The BPMN allows us to specify properties and assignments (including when the assignment should take place) in the task's property sheet. Thus, other than the workflow model in Wade, it is possible to generate the operations needed to execute the activity completely from the model. Now let's take a closer look on how specific task types are being mapped.

Script-task

For Script-tasks, the script defined in the task's property will be directly added into the activity-method. This type of task is comparable to Wade's *Code Activity*, but just like the properties and assignments, with BPMN the script can be specified in the property sheet of the task. For the transformation to agent beans, the given script should be a valid Java expression.

Service-task

A service task is mapped into an invocation of an Action defined by other Bean.

```
getTotalPrice

[ [ ... ] Action [operation] = retrieveAction([interface].[operation ]);

Serializeable [] results = invokeAndWaitForResults([operation], new Serializeable[]{[inputs]}).getResults();

[ assign outputs from results]
[ ... ]
```

Figure 4.10: Mapping example: Service-task

Figure 4.10 shows how a service task would be mapped. First, the action has to be found. Then the service will be invoked and the result will be assign to the outputs defined in the task's implementing Service.

Send-task

A Send task will be mapped into an invocation of the ICommunicationBean's send

4.3. Activites 33

action (see Figure 4.11). The group address, to which the message should be sent, and the message itself will be derived from the given MessageChannel in the task's properties.




Figure 4.11: Mapping example: Send-task

receive-task

A receive-task will be mapped into a Java-Code that reads the memory and wait until the specified message defined by the given MessageChannel is found in the memory.

```
[\ldots]
                          Action join Action = retrieve Action (ICommunication Bean.
                              ACTION_JOIN_GROUP);
                        3 Action leaveAction = retrieveAction(ICommunicationBean.
                              ACTION_LEAVE_GROUP);
                         IGroup Address \ group Address = Communication Address Factory\,.
                              createGroupAddress([address]);
                          invoke(joinAction, new Serializable[]{groupAddress});
                          [payload] = null;
                          while ([payload name]==null) {
                            Set < IFact > all = memory.readAll();
                            for (IFact fact : all) {
                               if(fact instanceof JiacMessage) {
                       10
                                 JiacMessage jiacMessage = (JiacMessage)fact;
if(jiacMessage.getPayload() instanceof Ping &&
                       11
recieve
                       12
                                     jiacMessage.getHeader(IJiacMessage.Header.
                                     SEND_TO).equals(groupAddress)) {
                       13
                                   memory.remove(jiacMessage);
                                   [payload name] = ([payload type]) jiacMessage.
                       14
                                        getPayload();
                       15
                                   break;
                       16
                                 }
                              }
                       ^{17}
                       18
                       19
                            try{
                       20
                              Thread.sleep(100);
                       21
                             catch(InterruptedException e) { }
                       22
                       23 invoke (leaveAction, new Serializable [] { groupAddress });
                          [...]
```

Figure 4.12: Mapping example: receive-task

Call-task

A call task will be mapped to an invocation of the called element. If the called element is an activity, then the activity method will be called. If the called element is a Pool, then the call task will be mapped into a service invocation.

User task

The mapping of user tasks has not been completely developed yet. User tasks requires a User Interface module to get inputs from the user. To make it simple we can put a TODO flag in the code, and the developer should implement the UI manually.

Manual task

Manual tasks won't be executed manually, therefore a mapping won't be needed.

BusinessRule-tasks

The mapping of business rule tasks doesn't exist in this Version.

4.3.2 Subprocess

A subprocess will be mapped into an inner class of the containing Process or Subprocess. This way it's properties can be shared among all tasks contained in it. The inner subprocess will have the method public void run() containing the workflow (similar to the bean's workflow method). The following example shows the mapping of a subprocess:

```
//workflow-method
                           public void doProcess{
                           MySubProcess mySubProcess = new MySubProcess();
                           mysubProcess.run();
                        10
                           // subprocess as Innerclass
mvSubProcess
                           class MySubProcess {
                        11
                             [declaration of properties]
                        13
   dοA
              doB
                              public void run(){
                        14
                        15
                               doA();
                        16
                               doB();
                        17
                        18
                             private void doA(){
                        19
                        20
                                [...]
                        21
                        22
                        23
                             private void doB(){
                        24
                                | . . . |
                        25
                        26
```

Figure 4.13: Mapping example : Subprocess

4.3.3 Activity-Looping

An activity can have a looping property. This will result in the wrapping of the task-specific mapping in a while-block. There are two types of activity-looping in BPMN:

- 1. Standard-Loop
- 2. Multi Instance Loop

4.4. Events 35

While the mapping of a standard-loop is trivial, the semantics of the multi instance loop is not very clear. Thus, a mapping of multi instance loop is not yet included in this version.

Standard-Loop In a standard loop, the task specific mapping will be wrapped in a while block. The other elements of the activity method (variable declarations and assignments) will not be wrapped. In Figure 4.14 we can see an example of a script task with a standard loop.

Figure 4.14: Mapping example : Activity-Looping (Standard Loop)

4.4 Events

Finally, we will now discuss the mapping of BPMN Events to Jiac AgentBeans. We will first discuss the Intermediate events and then we will handle Start and End Events. We need to group start and end events because the mapping will have influence on how the process will be started, and they will also determine the signature (input and output parameters) of the workflow method.

4.4.1 Intermediate-Events

Intermediate Events are something that happens during the process. It's mapping will be added into the workflow method. To keep the workflow method readable, we decided to wrap intermediate events in a method (similar to activities). The name will be derived from the events name (if specified) or id, added with an event-type specific postfix.

In this version only the mapping of Timer and Message intermediate events are included.

Timer

A timer intermediate event will turn the process to sleep until the given time expression. If the given time expression is a duration (option as duration in the property sheet is selected), then the expression will be handled as a long integer that defines how long (in milliseconds) the process should be paused.

If the given time is an exact time (e.g. Friday, November 4th 2011 10:00:00), then the expression should be parsed into a Java Date object and the process should be paused until the given date. However, it is not clear yet on how to handle incomplete date expressions (e.g. when we need to start a process every day at


midnight). At the moment, the given date expression has to be in the form "yyyy-MM-dd'T'HH:mm:ss.SSSZ" (e.g. 2011-11-04T10:00:00.000+0100 for Friday, November 4th 2011 10:00:00). Figure 4.15 shows an example of the mapping for both variants.

If the expression is a duration:

```
1
2
try {
    Thread.sleep([time expression]);
} catch(InterruptedException e) {
5
6 [...]
```

If the expression is an exact time:

```
[...]
  try {
  Date then = new SimpleDateFormat("yyyy-MM-dd'T'HH:mm: ss.SSSZ
        ").parse([time expression]);
  \label{eq:long_toSleep} \textbf{long} \ \ \textbf{toSleep} \ = \ \textbf{then.getTime()} \ - \ \ \textbf{System.currentTimeMillis()} \ ;
  if (toSleep >0){
     try {
        Thread.sleep(toSleep);
        catch(InterruptedException e) {
9
10
11
     catch(ParseException e) {
     System.out.println("ParseException: Time has to be in yyyy
12
          -MM-dd 'T'HH:mm: ss.SSSZ form");
     e.printStackTrace();
13
14
15
```





4.4. Events 37

Message

Message intermediate events will be mapped similarly to a receive task. The process read the memory and wait until the expected message is found in the memory.

4.4.2 Start and End Events

Timer

If a process starts with a timer event, then the workflow method will be called in the execute method. If the given time expression is a duration, the process will be started periodically. If the given time is an exact date, then the date will be parsed, and the process will be started when the date is passed. Figure 4.16 shows an example of the mapping for both variants.

If the expression is a duration:

```
public void execute() {
    while(true) {
        [start process]
        try {
            Thread.sleep([time expression]);
        } catch(InterruptedException e) {
        }
    }
}
```

If the expression is an exact time:



```
public void execute(){
    \mathbf{try}
        Date then = new SimpleDateFormat("yyyy-MM-dd'T'HH:mm:
             ss.SSSZ").parse("2011-11-04T10:00:00.000+0100");
         long to Sleep = then.getTime() - System.
4
             currentTimeMillis();
         if(toSleep >= 0){
             Thread.sleep(toSleep);
             catch(InterruptedException e) {
9
           [start process]
10
11
        catch(ParseException e) {
12
         System.out.println("ParseException: Time has to be in
13
             yyyy-MM-dd 'T'HH:mm: ss.SSZ form");
         e.printStackTrace();
14
      }
15
16
```

Figure 4.16: Mapping example: Timer Start Event

Message

Message Start and End events will influence the signature of the workflow method. The start event defines the parameters and the end event defines it's return type. We can divide message start and end events according to the event's implementation (Service or MessageChannel).

Message with Service implementation If the implementation is a Service, the workflow method will be exposed as an action(service). The process will be started by other AgentBean as a service by invoking the exposed action. The signature of the workflow method will be derived from the signature of the implementing service. Multiple return type are wrapped in a Serializable array.

In Figure 4.17 we can see an example mapping of message start and end events with service implementation. The generated workflow method are exposed as an Action with the @Expose code in Line 5.

Figure 4.17: Mapping example : Message Start and End Events with Service Implementation

4.4. Events 39

Message with MessageChannel implementation

If the implementation is a MessageChannel, an observer will be created and attached to the agent's memory in the doStart() method. The workflow method will get the MessageChannel's payload as a parameter, and it will have no return type (void) and the result will be sent as a message to the specified MessageChannel of the end event. Figure 4.18 shows a mapping example of a message start event, and in Figure 4.19, we can see a mapping example of how a message end event will be mapped.

```
public class [Bean-name] extends AbstractMethodExposingBean{
2
3
    public void doStart(){
4
5
       Action join Action = retrieve Action (ICommunication Bean.
6
           ACTION_JOIN_GROUP):
       IGroup Address \ group Address = Communication Address Factory \\
           . createGroupAddress ([channel]);
       invoke(joinAction, new Serializable[]{groupAddress});
       SpaceObserver<IFact> [event name or id]_observer = new
           SpaceObserver<IFact >(){
         public void notify(SpaceEvent<? extends IFact> event)
10
           if(event instanceof WriteCallEvent <?>){
11
             WriteCallEvent < I Jiac Message > wce = (WriteCallEvent
                 <IJiacMessage>) event;
             IJiacMessage message = wce.getObject();
13
14
             IFact payload = message.getPayload();
             if(payload!=null && [payload name] instanceof [
15
                  payload type]&& message.getHeader(IJiacMessage
                  . Header . SEND_TO) . equals ([channel]) {
               memory.remove(message);
16
17
               [workflow method](([payload type])payload);
18
19
20
21
       };
      memory.\ attach (\_nO3bwPwdEeCOWB3dJOsUA\_observer);
22
23
24
25
    public void [workflow method]([payload type] [payload name
27
28
29
30
31
```

Figure 4.18: Mapping example : Message Start Event with MessageChannel Implementation



```
public class [Bean-name] extends AbstractMethodExposingBean{
    public void [workflow method]([payload type] [payload name
        ]){
       [payload type] [payload name]//payload variable
5
           declaration
       [payload name] = new StringOutput("ok"); //payload
           assignment
       Action sendAction = retrieveAction(ICommunicationBean.
          ACTION_SEND);
      IGroupAddress groupAddress = CommunicationAddressFactory
           . createGroupAddress([channel]);
      JiacMessage jiacMessage = new JiacMessage ([payload name
9
      invoke (sendAction, new Serializable [] { jiacMessage,
          groupAddress });
11
12
13
```



Figure 4.19: Mapping example : Message End Event with MessageChannel Implementation

4.5 Open Issues

In this chapter, we presented the details of the mapping from BPMN to Jiac Agent-Beans. As we can see in some sections, there are some elements that has not been mapped yet. In the future, we will need to study the semantics of the unmapped elements (e.g. multi instance loop, rule events etc.) further and develop their mapping.

5. Implementation of the transformation

In this chapter, we will present the details of the transformation's implementation. We will start with the slightly modified overall transformation structure and then continue with the details of each transformation stages.

5.1 Transformation Structure

As mentioned before in section 2.3, the transformation process in the VSDT is divided into 5 stages. We've also mentioned that the default validation and structure mapping provided by the transformation framework are reuseable. For the implementation of the new transformation, the framework's DefaultBpmnValidator and BPMN2StrucBPMNTransformation are being reused, as we can see in Figure 5.1.

The Element mapping stage is implemented on the basis of the existing Bpmn2JiacV-ElementMapping. It takes a structured Bpmn as a model and transforms each pool contained in the model's business process diagram into a Java object, which represent a model of a java file holding an AgentBean class. For this implementation, a Metamodel of the Jiac AgentBean(see Figure 5.2) was developed as an intermediate product.

After all Pools in the business process is completely visited and transformed into AgentBean models, these models are passed over to the JET-Transformation where they will be transformed into a String which represents the content of a Java File.




Figure 5.1: Transformation stages

5.2 Validation

The DefaultBPMNValidation is currently used for the validation stage. However, it might be useful that a new validation, that checks whether the expressions given in the model are conform with the Java syntax, to be implemented in the future.

5.3 Structure Mapping

Similar to the validation stage, nothing new was implemented for the structure mapping stage. The default BPMN2StrucBPMN transformation of the VSDT is being reused. In this stage the structure of the process diagram (a directed graph) is being adapted to an equivalent block structure.

5.4 Element Mapping

For the element mapping implementation a visitor based

5.5 AgentBean Model

An AgentBean model has a list of attributes, methods, action and it may also have some subprocess(because a subprocess is mapped into an inner class of the generated AgentBean).

For the content of a Method, a Script-Model was also developed. A script is basically a java code element, which can be a single CodeElement(a single line java code), a sequence which contains a list of scripts, or even a block construct such as the while loop, or a try-catch block.

Each script implements the method public String toJavaCode() which returns the java code representation of the script. In the following listing we can see the implementation of the method in the IfThenElse class:




Figure 5.2: AgentBean - Metamodel

```
public String toJavaCode() {
       String code = "";
2
       code += "if("+condition+") \{ \n";
       if(thenBranch!=null){
4
         {\tt BufferedReader\ reader\ = new\ BufferedReader\ (new\ StringReader\ (then Branch\ .}
5
              toJavaCode()));
6
         try{
           String line = reader.readLine();
           while (line!=null) {
              if(!line.equals("")) code += "\t"+line+"\n";
9
10
              line = reader.readLine();
11
12
         }catch(IOException e){
           code += "\t//Error occured while reading if branch\n";
13
14
15
16
       code+="}";
       if (elseBranch!=null) {
17
         code+="else\{\n";
18
19
         BufferedReader reader = new BufferedReader (new StringReader (elseBranch.
              toJavaCode()));
20
           String line = reader.readLine();
21
           while (line!=null) {
22
              if(!line.equals("")) code += "\t"+line+"\n";
23
              line = reader.readLine();
24
25
         }catch(IOException e){
26
           code += "\t//Error occurred while reading else branch\n";
27
28
         code+="}";
29
30
       return code;
```

22 }

Listing 5.1: toJavaCode() implementation in the IfThenElse class

You might notice, that this method is also responsible for the text formatting because this method will be used by the JET-Transformation and the result will then be written in a *.java File. Therefore, as you can see in line 7-11 and 21-25, a tab are added in front of each line in the then and else branch.

The role of MDE in the Implementation

The benefits of Model Driven Engineering are also found during the implementation of the AgentBean model. As we can see in Figure 5.2, it is created graphically using eclipse's Ecore Tools - Ecore Diagramm. With the help of the EMF Generator each element of the model can be easily generated into JavaCode including a Factory class that can be used to instantiate an object of each generated class. This way, we only have to implement the method toJavaCode() for each newly added script, everything else are generated automatically.

5.6 JET-Transformation

The JET-Transformation consists of 4 Templates (see also Figure 5.3):

- 1. agentbeantemplate.javajet
- 2. subprocesstemplate.javajet
- 3. timeouteventhandler.javajet
- 4. timeeventhandler.javajet
- 5. messageeventhandler.javajet

The agent beant emplate is the main template of the implemented JET-Transformation. An instance of it's Java template class are created by the JiacBeansResultSaver and the generate method will be invoked for each AgentBean model generated in the element mapping stage.

The subprocesstemplate is invoked by the agent bean template and recursively by the subprocess template itself for each subprocess contained in their argument (an agent bean model or a subprocess model).

The three handler templates timeouteventhandler, timeeventhandler and message-eventhandler are static templates, which means the result of the generate method does not depend on the argument object. They simply add an inner class TimeoutE-ventHandler, TimeEventHandler or MessageEventHandler to the AgentBean. They are invoked by the agentbeantemplate if the value of the flag handlingTimeoutEvent or handlingMessageEvent of the agent bean model is true.




Figure 5.3: JET-Transformation Structure

5.7 Implementation of the Event Handlers

The Event handlers generated by the above mentioned templates are implemented as an inner class of the generated bean. They all have a thread as an argument that will be stopped as soon as the expected event is received. The following code listings shows the generated code of the event handlers:

```
class MessageEventHandler extends Thread{
       Thread toStop;
3
       boolean triggered = false;
       String address;
       Class payloadClass;
6
       SpaceObserver < IFact > observer;
       Action join Action:
       Action leaveAction;
       IGroupAddress groupAddress;
9
10
11
       public MessageEventHandler(String channel, String payloadType, Thread toStop){
         address = channel;
12
         this.toStop = toStop;
13
         join Action = retrieve Action (ICommunication Bean . ACTION_JOIN_GROUP);
14
         leaveAction = retrieveAction(ICommunicationBean.ACTION_LEAVE_GROUP);
15
16
         groupAddress = CommunicationAddressFactory.createGroupAddress(address);
         try {
17
18
           payloadClass = ClassLoader.getSystemClassLoader().loadClass(payloadType);\\
           catch (ClassNotFoundException e) {
log.error("Class "+payloadType+" not Found!");
19
20
           e.printStackTrace();
21
22
         observer = new SpaceObserver < IFact > () {
23
           public void notify(SpaceEvent<? extends IFact> event) {
              if(event instanceof WriteCallEvent <?>){
25
                Object obj = ((WriteCallEvent) event).getObject();
26
                if(obj instanceof IJiacMessage){
27
                  IJiacMessage msg = (IJiacMessage)obj;
28
                  if (msg.getHeader (IJiacMessage. Header. SEND_TO).equals (address) &&
29
                     payloadClass.isInstance(msg.getPayload())){
30
                    memory.remove(msg);
31
32
                    compensate();
33
               }
34
          }
35
36
37
         };
```

```
39
        public void run(){
40
           invoke(joinAction, new Serializable[]{groupAddress});
41
42
           memory.attach(observer);
43
44
        public void compensate(){
45
           triggered = true;
46
47
           detach();
48
49
        public void detach(){
50
          memory.detach(observer);
51
           invoke \, (\, leave Action \,\, , \,\, \textbf{new} \,\, Serializable \, [\, ] \, \{\, group Address \, \}) \,\, ;
52
53
54
        public boolean hasBeenTriggered(){
55
56
          return triggered;
57
58 }
```

Listing 5.2: MessageEventHandler implementation

```
{\bf class} \  \, {\bf TimeoutEventHandler} \  \, {\bf extends} \  \, {\bf Thread} \{
       long timeout;
       Thread toStop:
3
       boolean triggered = false;
 5
       public TimeoutEventHandler(long timeout, Thread toStop){
6
          this.timeout = timeout;
8
          this.toStop = toStop;
g
10
       public void run(){
11
12
            Thread.sleep(timeout);
13
            triggered = true;
14
15
            toStop.stop();
16
          }catch(InterruptedException e ) { }
17
18
19
       public boolean hasBeenTriggered(){
20
          return triggered;
21
22 }
```

Listing 5.3: TimeoutEventHandler implementation

```
class TimeEventHandler extends Thread {
        Date when ToStop:
        Thread toStop;
        \mathbf{boolean} \ \operatorname{triggered} \ = \ \mathbf{false} \ ;
 4
 5
 6
        public TimeEventHandler(String timeExpression, Thread toStop){
            when ToStop = new SimpleDateFormat("yyyy-MM-dd'T'HH:mm: ss.SSSZ").parse(
                 timeExpression);
          } catch (ParseException e) {
10
            System.out.println("ParseException: Time Expression has to be in yyyy-MM-dd
                  'T'HH:mm: ss.SSSZ format!");
11
            e.printStackTrace();
12
          this.toStop = toStop;
13
14
15
        public void run(){
16
          \mathbf{long} \ \mathsf{time} \ = \ \mathsf{whenToStop.getTime}\left(\,\right)\,;
17
          while (time>System.currentTimeMillis()) {
18
19
20
               Thread.sleep(100);
            }catch(InterruptedException e ) { }
21
22
          toStop.stop();
```

```
triggered = true;

triggered = true;

public boolean hasBeenTriggered(){
   return triggered;
}

}
```

Listing 5.4: TimeEventHandler implementation

Both TimeEventHandler and TimeoutEventHandler are used to handle time events attached to an activity. If the given time expression is a duration, then TimeoutEventHandler will be used. If the given time expression is an exact time, TimeEventHandler will be used. TimeEventHandler parses the given expression into java Date Object using the method SimpleDateFormat.parse(), while the TimeoutEventHandler will get a long integer parsed from the time expression.

5.8 Merging generated and manually edited code

The main challenge in generating Java code is how to handle code that has been manually edited. Fortunately, the EMF comes with a solution to this problem: **JMerge**[12]. With JMerge we can...

5.8.1 JMerge

5.9 Open Issues

implementation not complete

using JET2

better mergerules to mix manually edited and generated code within a method

6. Examples

In this chapter we will introduce an example scenario of a process and how the resulting Code of the transformation look like.

6.1 The Model




Figure 6.1: Use case model

50 6. Examples




Figure 6.2: Business Process Diagram - requestTaxi

6.2 The generated Agent Beans

52 6. Examples

7. Conclusion

7.1 Future Work

Complete the Implementation of existing Mapping

54 7. Conclusion

Literaturverzeichnis

- [1] Fabio Bellifemine, Giovanni Caire, Agostino Poggi, and Giovanni Rimassa. Jade: A software framework for developing multi-agent applications. lessons learned. *Inf. Softw. Technol.*, 50:10–21, January 2008.
- [2] Fabio Bellifemine, Agostino Poggi, and Giovanni Rimassa. JADE a FIPA-compliant agent framework. In *Proceedings of the Practical Applications of Intelligent Agents*, 1999.
- [3] Giovanni Caire, Danilo Gotta, and Massimo Banzi. Wade: a software platform to develop mission critical applications exploiting agents and workflows. In *Proceedings of the 7th international joint conference on Autonomous agents and multiagent systems: industrial track*, AAMAS '08, pages 29–36, Richland, SC, 2008. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems.
- [4] CC ACT, DAI-Labor, TU-Berlin. JIAC Java Intelligent Agent Component-ware, 06 2010. Version 5.1.0 Manual.
- [5] Object Management Group. Bpmn specification v2.0. http://www.omg.org/spec/BPMN/2.0/.
- [6] Benjamin Hirsch, Thomas Konnerth, and Axel Heßler. Merging agents and services - the jiac agent platform. In Amal El Fallah Seghrouchni, Jürgen Dix, Mehdi Dastani, and Rafael H. Bordini, editors, *Multi-Agent Programming:*, pages 159–185. Springer US, 2009. 10.1007/978-0-387-89299-3_5.
- [7] Eclipse Modelling Project Homepage. http://www.eclipse.org/modeling/.
- [8] JADE Homepage. http://jade.tilab.com/index.html.
- [9] JIAC Homepage. http://jiac.de/?id=35.
- [10] VSDT Homepage. http://jiac.de/?id=30.
- [11] WADE Homepage. http://jade.tilab.com/wade/index.html.
- [12] What is JMerge. http://wiki.eclipse.org/JET_FAQ_What_is_JMerge%3F.
- [13] JET. http://www.eclipse.org/modeling/m2t/?project=jet#jet.
- [14] Thomas Konnerth, Benjamin Hirsch, and Sahin Albayrak. JADL an agent description language for smart agents. In Mateo Baldoni and Ulle Endriss, editors, *Declarative Agent Languages and Technologies IV*, volume 4327 of *LNCS*, pages 141–155. Springer Verlag, 2006.

56 Literaturverzeichnis

[15] Tobias Küster. Development of a visual service design tool providing a mapping from BPMN to JIAC. Master's thesis, Technical University of Berlin, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, 2007.

- [16] Tobias Küster and Axel Heßler. Towards transformations from BPMN to heterogeneous systems. In Massima Mecella and Jian Yang, editors, BPM2008 Workshop Proceedings, 2008.
- [17] Tobias Küster, Marco Lützenberger, Axel Heßler, and Benjamin Hirsch. Integrating process modelling into multi-agent system engineering. In Michael Huhns, Ryszard Kowalczyk, Zakaria Maamar, Rainer Unland, and Bao Vo, editors, Proceedings of the 5th Workshop of Service-Oriented Computing: Agents, Semantics, and Engineering (SOCASE) 2010, 2010.
- [18] Chun Ouyang, Marlon Dumas, Arthur Ter Hofstede, and Wil M. P. Van Der Aalst. From BPMN Process Models to BPEL Web Services. pages 285–292, September 2006.
- [19] Chun Ouyang, Wil M. P. van der Aalst, Marlon Dumas, and Arthur H.M. ter Hofstede. Translating BPMN to BPEL. Technical Report BPM-06-02, BPM Center, 2006.
- [20] Eclipse Help Page Tag Library Reference. http://help.eclipse.org/galileo/index.jsp?topic=/org.eclipse.jet.doc/references/taglibs/index.xhtml.
- [21] Giovanni Caire (Telecom Italia S.p.A). WADE User Guide. Copyright ©2010, Telecom Italia, July 2010.
- [22] Matthias Weidlich, Gero Decker, Alexander Grosskopf, and Mathias Weske. BPEL to BPMN: The Myth of a Straight-Forward Mapping. In Proceedings of the OTM 2008 Confederated International Conferences, CoopIS, DOA, GADA, IS, and ODBASE 2008. Part I on On the Move to Meaningful Internet Systems:, OTM '08, pages 265–282. Springer-Verlag, 2008.