

The Visual Service Design Tool

Version 1.3.0 Manual

Tobias Küster
DAI-Labor, TU Berlin
`tobias.kuester@dai-labor.de`

2010 / 01

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 4 |
| 1.1 | Motivation for Developing the VSDT | 4 |
| 1.2 | The Visual Service Design Tool | 5 |
| 1.2.1 | The Metamodel | 5 |
| 1.2.2 | The BPMN Editor | 6 |
| 1.3 | Features | 7 |
| 2 | Setup | 8 |
| 2.1 | Installing Eclipse | 8 |
| 2.2 | Installing Dependencies | 8 |
| 2.3 | Installing the VSDT | 9 |
| 3 | The Easy Service Creation Perspective | 10 |
| 3.1 | VSDT Editor Views | 10 |
| 3.2 | General-purpose Eclipse Views | 11 |
| 3.3 | Additional Views for the VSDT | 12 |
| 4 | Basic Tutorial | 15 |
| 4.1 | Creating a new Business Process Diagram | 15 |
| 4.2 | Setting up Participants and Business Processes | 15 |
| 4.3 | Modelling a basic Business Process | 16 |
| 4.4 | In-depth Modelling | 17 |
| 4.5 | Validation and Simulation | 18 |
| 4.6 | Code Generation | 19 |
| 5 | Selected Features | 20 |
| 5.1 | GMF Modelling Assistance | 20 |
| 5.2 | Managing Non-Visual Elements | 21 |
| 5.3 | Expressions | 21 |
| 5.4 | Service Parameter Assignments | 22 |
| 5.5 | Inserting Elements and Patterns | 23 |
| 5.6 | Appending Flow Objects | 23 |
| 5.7 | Connecting Flow Objects to a Sequence | 24 |
| 5.8 | Model Validation | 24 |
| 5.9 | Text Generation | 24 |
| 5.10 | Simulation and Interpretation | 25 |
| 5.11 | Structure-Based Layout | 26 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 5.12 | Import and Merging of Process Diagrams | 26 |
| 6 | Preferences | 27 |
| 6.1 | Basic GMF Settings | 27 |
| 6.2 | VSDT Settings | 27 |
| 6.2.1 | General | 27 |
| 6.2.2 | Appearance | 27 |
| 6.2.3 | Connections | 28 |
| 7 | Model Transformation | 29 |
| 7.1 | Understanding the Transformation Framework | 29 |
| 7.1.1 | Transformation of Expressions | 30 |
| 7.2 | Transformation Implementations | 31 |
| 7.2.1 | Transformation to Text | 31 |
| 7.2.2 | Transformation to BPEL | 31 |
| 7.2.3 | Transformation to JIAC | 31 |
| 7.2.4 | Transformation to STP-BPMN | 32 |
| 7.3 | Limitations | 32 |
| A | The Business Process Modelling Notation | 34 |
| A.1 | BPMN Elements | 35 |
| A.1.1 | Flow Objects | 35 |
| A.1.2 | Connecting Objects | 37 |
| A.1.3 | Swimlanes | 37 |
| A.1.4 | Artifacts | 37 |
| A.2 | Levels of Complexity | 38 |
| A.3 | Export and Code Generation | 39 |
| B | The VSDT Expression Language (VXL) | 40 |
| B.1 | Language Features and Syntax | 40 |
| C | Changelog | 42 |

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation for Developing the VSDT

The goal of process modelling, as of Model Driven Engineering in general, is to provide an abstract view on systems, and to design those systems in a language and platform independent way. For that purpose the Business Process Modelling Notation (BPMN) [6] has been standardised by the Object Management Group. It can be understood intuitively by all business partners, even those who have great knowledge in their domain but do not know too much about Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) or programming in general. At the same time, BPMN is formal enough to provide a basis for the later implementation and refinement of the business process. Given a respective mapping, a BPMN diagram can be used for generating readily executable code from it. A brief introduction to BPMN is given for instance in [8] and in Appendix A of this manual.

Today, the Business Process Modelling Notation and the specified mapping to the Business Process Execution Language (BPEL) are supported by a growing number of tools. However, the problem with the majority of existing tools is that while they do provide the usual transformations from BPMN to BPEL, they are focused only on this one aspect of BPMN. Often the editors and even the underlying metamodels are adapted to BPEL in many ways. While this may be desired in order to provide highest possible usability and to support the user in the creation of executable BPEL code, the consequence is that business process diagrams created with these tools can neither be transformed to other executable languages, nor can the process model be used with other tools that might provide different transformations. Thus, while process modelling and BPMN should be independent of a specific executable language, the *tools* are not.

The solution to this problem is to keep both the underlying BPMN metamodel and the diagram editor free from influences from the BPEL world and to use pure BPMN instead, so that diagrams created with such a tool will be truly independent of any concrete language — apart from what influenced the BPMN specification in the first place. Based on this, several mappings to different target languages can be implemented and integrated into the editor as plugins, which may also contribute to the editor in order to support the business architect with language-specific support.

Following this approach, the *Visual Service Design Tool* (VSDT) has been implemented as an Eclipse plugin, inherently providing the necessary modularity. For the export of BPMN diagrams to executable languages a transformation framework has been designed. The actual transformations have been subdivided in distinct stages, so that significant parts of it are reusable, e.g. the challenging transformation of the control flow. Thus the actual mapping to a given language can be integrated in a very straightforward way. While the usual mapping from BPMN to BPEL has been realised as a




Figure 1.1: Clockwise: Graphical Editor (with running Simulation), Structure Viewer, Process Interpreter View, Organize Assignments and Edit Expression Dialogues, Property View, Visual Outline, BPMN-Properties View, Navigator.

proof of concept, the main intent behind the VSDT is to provide a transformation from business processes to multi-agent systems such as the JIAC language family [7].¹ The respective mappings are currently under development. Our ultimate goal is to provide transformations not only in different, but also in heterogeneous systems — just like they are used in the real business world.

1.2 The Visual Service Design Tool

The first version of the VSDT has been developed as a diploma thesis [4] in the course of the *Service Centric Home* (SerCho) project at TU Berlin in early 2007. As the work continued it matured to a feature-rich BPMN editor (as seen in Figure 1.1) with an extensible transformation framework and has already been used in a number of service orchestration scenarios.

1.2.1 The Metamodel

The BPMN specification [6] describes in detail how the several nodes and connections constituting a BPMN diagram have to look, in which context they may be used and what attributes they have to provide. However, it does neither give a formal definition

¹<http://www.jiac.de/>

of the syntax to be used for the metamodel, nor an interchange format, e. g. using an XML Schema Definition (XSD). Thus the editor's metamodel had to be derived from the informal descriptions in the specification. As it was our main concern to keep as close to the specification as possible, almost every attribute and each constraint given in the specification have been incorporated into the metamodel, allowing the creation of any legal business process diagram. Still, some attributes have not been adopted in the metamodel: For instance the possibility to model nested or even crossing Lanes has been dropped, as it turned out that this feature seems to be virtually never used in practical business process design. Further, redundant attributes, such as the Gateway's `defaultGate` attribute, are emulated using getter methods to prevent inconsistency in the diagram model.

1.2.2 The BPMN Editor

Like many others, the VSDT editor has been created using the Eclipse Graphical Modelling Framework (GMF), automatically equipping the editor with numerous features, such as support for the Eclipse properties, outline and problem view and unlimited undo and redo, just to name a few. Being embedded in the Eclipse workbench, the editor is easy to use while at the same time providing a powerful tool for professional business architects and service developers.

While GMF provides a solid basis for the editor, several customisations have been made to the code, further improving the editor's overall usability and supporting the creation of new business processes. For example, the generated property tables have been supplemented with custom-made sheets, in which the several attributes are more clearly arranged. For managing the non-visual elements given in the BPMN specification, such as Properties, Messages and Assignments, a number of clear and uniform dialogs has been created. The various constraints given in the specification were translated to several audit constraints used to validate a given business process diagram.

As already mentioned, the VSDT was designed to be a pure BPMN editor and independent of BPEL, so the business process diagrams can be transformed to other languages, too, given the respective export plugins. Of course, the downside of this approach is that the editor lacks built-in support for BPEL, e.g. the editor itself does not validate an expression given in the diagram to conform to the BPEL syntax. However, it is possible to supplement the editor with additional plugins, which can contribute e.g. to the property sheets or provide whole new views with language-specific functionality.

One example of how the VSDT can be extended with features specific to a certain target language — in this case: BPEL — is the Webservice View, which can be seen in Figure 1.1, too. Using the Webservice View, existing Web services can be inspected and imported into the diagram. In the process, an Implementation object is created for the Web service as well as a set of Message objects, matching the service's input parameters and result. Optionally, also a new Pool will be created for the service, which can be connected to the currently selected Activity via a pair of Message Flows. Further, the Implementation and the Message objects will be associated to the Activity and its type will be set to `SERVICE`. Thus, the orchestration of existing Web services in a

BPEL process can be simplified greatly. Similar features can be created for other target languages, too.

Once the business process diagram is completed it can be validated and exported to an executable language, such as BPEL. As the VSDT is intended to provide export features to arbitrary target languages, and to support the tool smiths in the creation of these features, we have created an elaborate export framework. For each of these features, being realized as additional Eclipse plugins, an individual wizard can be made available in the Export menu.

For more information regarding the Visual Service Design Tool, please refer to [5].

1.3 Features

In the following, some of the features of the VSDT are listed. For more information on selected features, please refer to Section 5.

- export to (and import from) executable BPEL und JIAC Code and STP-BPMN
- translation of Expressions to the language used in the target framework
- process simulation and interpretation
- BPMN-to-text generation
- combination of BPMN-diagrams with Usecase-like 'higher view'
- pattern-based modelling, insertion of patterns on existing edges
- quick assembly of process diagrams using keyboard-shortcuts
- quick assignment of service parameters
- filtering of displayed pools
- variables-view and similar tools for the management of non-visual elements
- verification of process structure at design time
- automated, structure-based layout of BPMN diagrams

2 Setup

In the following the installation process for the VSDT will be explained. As the VSDT is a plugin to the Eclipse IDE, the installation process is subdivided in several steps:

1. Install Eclipse
2. Install Dependencies
3. Install VSDT

Note that for running the VSDT Version 5 of the Java environment is required.

Note that the versions of the dependencies may vary depending on the version of the VSDT. The following applies to version 1.3.0 of the VSDT.

2.1 Installing Eclipse

For using the Visual Service Design Tool you need the Eclipse IDE which can be downloaded from <http://www.eclipse.org> for different operating systems.

The VSDT 1.3.0 works with Eclipse 3.5 “Galileo”.¹

2.2 Installing Dependencies

The VSDT depends on a number of other plugins which again will have some dependencies on its own. It is recommended to use the Eclipse Update feature, as in that case all the dependencies and the dependencies of the dependencies will automatically be installed, too. The dependencies are:

- Graphical Modeling Framework SDK (group “Modeling”)²
- XText SDK (group “Modeling”)

For installing the plugins, make sure you are allowed to write in the Eclipse program folder, especially when running Linux you should start that instance of Eclipse with `sudo`. In Eclipse, select *Help* from the menu, then *Install New Software....* Select the *Galileo* repository from the drop-down menu and select the Dependencies from the list below. Now click on *Next* to automatically check calculate further dependencies and continue through the installation process. After that you might have to restart Eclipse.

¹For Eclipse 3.4 you can still use the VSDT up to version 1.2.2.

²The VSDT 1.3.0 was created with GMF 2.1 and has been adapted to work with GMF 2.2.

If the installation was successful the new features should appear in the *Installed Software* tab of the same dialog.

Theoretically, Eclipse can resolve the dependencies on its own, so it should be enough to select the VSDT itself. Still, we recommend to install the dependencies manually.

2.3 Installing the VSDT

Once Eclipse and GMF are set up you can install VSDT. The Visual Service Design Tool consists of the following features:

- *VSDT: Visual Service Design Tool* This is the core component of the VSDT, the visual BPMN editor, along with a number of useful extensions and the basic transformation framework.
- *VSDT: BPMN - BPEL Transformation* This feature provides the export to executable BPEL code.
- *VSDT: BPMN - JIAC V Transformation* This feature provides the export to JIAC V multiagent-systems. Additional Dependency: *Agent World Editor*
- *VSDT: BPMN - STP-BPMN Transformation* This feature provides exchange with the popular Eclipse STP BPMN editor. Additional Dependency: *BPMN Project Feature* (
- *VSDT: BPMN - ... Transformation* Further export and import features will be released over time, providing transformations to other languages, such as JIAC agents.

The VSDT can be obtained from the following sites:

<http://energy.dai-labor.de/>
<http://www.jiac.de>

Download the VSDT as an archived update site and add the site in the *Install New software* dialog (see last section), using the *Add...* button.

3 The Easy Service Creation Perspective

This section will briefly introduce those parts of the Eclipse GUI that are relevant for the work with the Visual Service Design Tool. These views are aggregated in the Easy Service Creation perspective, which can be selected via the Menu *Window* → *Perspective*. Figure 1.1 (page 5) is showing a screenshot of the Visual Service Design Tool featuring most of the relevant views. In the following each of the views will be introduced briefly.

3.1 VSDT Editor Views

The editor window is shown automatically when opening a file in the Navigator view. Depending on the PlugIns currently installed this can be a plain text editor, a browser, an elaborate code editor or some sort of graphical editor. For the Visual Service Design Tool there are two editors available: A visual editor showing the BPMN graph and a tree editor reflecting the internal structure.

The Graphical Editors These are the primary editors when working with the VSDT (see Figure 3.1):

- The *Business Process System* editor is opened when the diagram file is clicked. It is used for organizing the several interdependent Business Processes which make up the system as a whole, as well as the Participants involved in these Processes.
- The *Business Process Diagram* editor is opened when double-clicking one of the Business Process Diagram nodes in the Business Process System editor. This editor is the actual BPMN editor used for modelling the individual Business Processes.

Both editors feature a palette with the nodes and connections. For placing a node on the canvas or inside a compartment of another node (e.g. a Pool or a Sub Process) click the icon in the palette and then click again on the canvas. For drawing connections, click on the first node, draw the connection to the second node and release the mouse button. Note that nodes and connections can not be drawn arbitrarily, but have to follow the BPMN syntax, e.g. a Task can only be drawn inside a Pool and a Sequence Flow can only connect Flow Object within the same Pool.

The Tree Editor The tree editor can be useful for managing and editing those parts of the Business Process Diagram that do not have a graphical representation.¹ Note that

¹Although in general it is not necessary to use the tree editor, as the graphical editor provides means for editing non-graphical elements, too.




Figure 3.1: Business Process System and BPMN editor shown side-by-side.

the tree editor is more powerful than the graphical editor, and the diagram might be invalidated when doing certain operations in the tree editor. Especially, the tree editor should *not* be used for creating any elements that *do* have a graphical representation in the diagram, as this representation will not be created along with the element.

The Text Editor Both the diagram and the model file can be opened with any text editor and edited as XML. While this can be helpful for adapting existing models to changes in the metamodel of a newer version of the VSDT, you should in general avoid editing the files XML sources, as this can render them unreadable for the other editors.

3.2 General-purpose Eclipse Views

In the following those standard views of the Eclipse IDE will be introduced, that are relevant for the work with the VSDT.

The Project Explorer Here the user can manage his projects and create and delete files. Note that Eclipse provides different similar views for managing files, e.g. the Project Explorer, Navigator, or the Package Explorer, each providing slightly different features.

The Properties View Although some attributes, like an element's name, can be edited in the graphical editor view as well, for most other attributes the properties view will be needed, where all the attributes relevant to the user can be inspected and edited.




Figure 3.2: The BPMN Properties View, Pool Visibility View, and Web Service View.

Of course, each change done in the properties view can be undone and redone and the editor will be immediately updated. There are two tabs available in the properties view: The *Core* tab provides a table showing the attributes in categories and in alphabetic order. The *Overview* tab provides a clearer look, grouping the attributes and arranging them by relevance in two columns. Additionally, the Outline tab features a number of buttons, providing access to additional dialogs for managing e.g. an Activity's Properties and Assignments.

The Outline This view provides a short outline of the current editor's content. In case of a graphical editor, like the VSDT, this can be a miniature view of the entire diagram, and in case of a tree editor an additional tree view for easier navigation.

The Problem View This view lists all the problems that have been found in the model, subdivided in errors and warnings. By double-clicking one of the items the editor will focus on the element the problem occurred on (for refreshing the errors shown in the Problem View, select *Diagram — Validate* from the menu).

The Error Log Other than the Problem View, the Error Log will log problems with the editor itself. So if you encounter strange behaviour or in case the editor should crash you can check here for the reason and send in an error report.

3.3 Additional Views for the VSDT

The following are views that have been crafted especially for the VSDT.

The BPMN-Properties View The BPMN-Properties View (see Figure 3.2, to the left) provides an easy way to inspect the Properties in the scope of the currently selected element in the active editor, i.e. the Properties that can be used in an Assignment owned by that element. The property scope of a BPMN element comprises the Properties of (a) that element itself, e.g. an Activity, (b) Messages going in and out of that element, e.g. the in- and output parameters of a Web service call, and (c) the (transitive) parents of that element, e.g. (Sub-) Processes.

The Properties are displayed in three columns, showing the name and the type of the Property and the name and the element type of the Property's parent element. The properties can be sorted by clicking on the column heads. By double-clicking on a Property, an Organize Properties Dialog will be opened for the Property's parent element.

The Pool Visibility View In the Pool Visibility View, which is seen in the centre of Figure 3.2, all the Pools in the diagram are displayed. If the check box in front of an entry is unchecked, the corresponding Pool and all incoming and outgoing connections, e.g. Message Flows, will be hidden. This feature can be of some use in diagrams holding many Pools: When modelling three or more interconnected Pools, Message Flows going from the first to the third Pool might cross the second Pool, which can be confusing when editing that Pool. In this case, the first or the third Pool may be hidden, so the Message Flows (which are then hidden, too) do not longer obstruct the view on the second Pool. In the same way the Pools and Message Flows can be shown again by checking the corresponding check box. Note that these settings are not persisted, so when closing and re-opening a diagram all Pools will be visible again.

The Web Service View The Web Service View (see Figure 3.2, to the right) provides access to Web Services, which can be inspected and imported into the currently opened diagram. Web Services can be added to the list by clicking the Open button and entering the exact URL of the WSDL definitions file. The Web Service is displayed as a tree, including the various Messages and their types, and the Port Types, their Operations, and their In- and Output Messages. By clicking the Info button, the complete WSDL definition is shown in plain XML. Most importantly, Messages and Operations can be imported from the Web service description into the Business Process Diagrams, so they can be reused in a Web service invocation.

The Interpreter View BPMN diagrams created with the VSDT can be simulated and interpreted using the built-in process interpreter (see Figure 3.3 and Section 5.10). For starting a simulation, first switch to the BPMN diagram you want to simulate. Open the Process Interpreter View and click the *Start* button. For each Pool in the diagram, the view will show those Activities that are currently ACTIVE or READY. For advancing a step in the simulation, expand a Pool and double-click one of the listed elements, that is the Flow Objects currently being ready, e.g. Start Events. For more control, you can also select one of *Step Over*, *Step Into* or *Step Out*. Hit the Stop button for ending the simulation and removing the markers from the diagram editor view.

The Structure View This view allows to apply the Structure Mapping (see 7.1) at modelling time, displaying the results in a tree. By clicking on an element, the corresponding node in the diagram is highlighted. Further, the user is notified if there seem to be structural conflicts in the process, which is determined from the number of left-over




Figure 3.3: The Interpreter View and Structure View.

Sequence Flows. This view should prove highly useful for validating the structure of processes prior to transformation to executable code (see Figure 3.3).

4 Basic Tutorial

In this chapter the user will be guided through the creation of a simple Business Process Diagram, from creating the diagram file to validation and code generation.

4.1 Creating a new Business Process Diagram

These are the basic steps for creating your first Business Process Diagram:

1. Start Eclipse and select a location for the workspace. This is where all the projects – BPMN and others – will be stored. When starting Eclipse for the first time, a welcome screen will be shown. Read something about the features of Eclipse, if you want, then exit the screen.
2. Change to the *Easy Service Creation* Perspective.
3. Select *New* → *Project* → *General* → *Project* in the menu bar. Open the Navigator View to see the newly created Project.
4. On the project, select *New* → *BPMN Diagram* and enter a name for the file. On the last page of the wizard some of the global settings for the Business Process Diagram, such as the Title, Description and Author name, can be set. A file with the extension 'vsdtd' is created, holding both the semantic model and the notational model (i.e. the layout information).

Note that the files are stored in XML format and can be edited with a text editor, too. However, you should do so only to fix a broken file.

5. By now, the diagram should have opened automatically; otherwise open it manually by double-clicking it. It will be opened with the graphical editor.

4.2 Setting up Participants and Business Processes

With the VSDT, not only individual Business Process Diagrams, but sets of Business Process Diagrams belonging to the same scenario – here referred to as Business Process Systems – can be modelled. For this, the modelling starts with defining the several Participants and the Business Processes they participate in.

1. Select a **Participant** from the palette and click on the canvas. A stick-figure will appear. Repeat for each Participant relevant for the Business Process System. These can be companies, roles, computer systems or individual persons.

2. Now select **Business Process Diagram** from the palette and draw it on the canvas. These represent the individual processes (quite similar to 'Use cases').
3. Now select the connection form the palette and connect the Participants with the Business Processes.
4. Finally, perform a double-click on one of the Business Process Diagram nodes, which will open it in a new diagram editor.

4.3 Modelling a basic Business Process

Next, we will formulate a simple business process. Here, we will focus on the visual elements of BPMN.

1. To get started, perform a right-click on the canvas and select *Initialize* → *Initialize Pools* and hit *OK* to confirm the dialog. For each Participant associated with the Business Process a Pool will be created.
2. Alternatively, select **Pool** from the top of the palette and move the mouse to the canvas. Push the mouse button and drag it to the lower right to create a large Pool. Enter a name for the Pool and select one of the Participants associated with the Business Process using the Properties view.
3. Along with the Pool also a Lane will be created. To create more Lanes, select the **Lane** element from the palette and click on the Pool's label (as existing Lanes will fill the Pool's compartment completely). Note that the Lanes can not be moved manually. According to the BPMN specification the first Lane will be invisible (faded out in the editor).
4. Let's create some **Flow Objects** inside of the Lane. Select one of the Flow Object from the palette, i.e. Events, Activities and Gateways, and click inside of the Lane. In case you selected the Event, a small menu will appear, asking whether to create a Start, End, or Intermediate Event; otherwise the element will be created right away.
5. Select the **Sequence Flow** icon from the palette and connect the several Flow Objects by pressing the mouse button on the source and dragging it to the target. When connecting the Activity be sure to aim for the label. If you hit the Activity's compartment you can not create a connection. You can change the routing style from the toolbar or add more bendpoints to a connection by dragging it.
6. Use the Property Sheets to alter the Elements' name, description, type, and type-specific attributes. Select the element, e.g. an event, and open Eclipse's Property View. Select the Overview sheet from the tabs to the left to find a clearly arranged form holding the various attributes. If you want to set only the type of a Flow Object, e.g. for making an Event a *Message* Event, you can also use the element's context menu and select *Edit...* → *Set Type*, or use the keyboard shortcut **Ctrl+T**.

7. Now select the **Message Flow** icon from the palette. Select an Activity or an End Event as source and draw the Message Flow to an element in a different Pool, or to some point beneath the Pool and select to create a new Pool element there.
8. Finally, we will associate an Activity with a **Data Object** (however, this will not affect the generated BPEL code). Select the Data Object from the palette and create it on the canvas. Select the Association connection from the palette and connect the Data Object to the Activity. Select *BPMN → Initialize Input/Output Set* from the Activity's context menu, depending on the associations direction. Notice the new Input Element in the Activity's property sheet. This Input Set references all the Activity's incoming/outgoing Data Objects.

4.4 In-depth Modelling

Now that we created the diagram visuals, this section deals with the equally important underlying, non-visual parts of BPMN, such as properties, assignments, conditions, and service invocations.

1. Right-click the Message Flow or open its property sheet and select *Initialize Message*. Note that by doing so the End Event's type changes to **Message**. A new (non-visual) Message object has been created and associated with the Message Flow, and its source and target, if possible.
2. To define a (Web-) service invocation, select the *Organize Implementations* and *Organize Messages* buttons from the Business Process Diagram's property sheet. Select the newly created Messages and Implementations (services) from the list and set the values according to the service to invoke. Alternatively, Web services can also be imported using the Web Service View, which is much more comfortable and will be explained in depth later.
3. Next, we will define the process data, i.e. Properties associated to the Pool's Process. Open the Pool's overview property sheet and click *Organize Process Properties* or select the respective item from the Pool's context menu. Create some properties using the buttons in the shown dialog and edit the values of the selected Property using the text fields in the lower part of the dialog. Besides the top-level process, Tasks and Subprocesses can hold Properties, too, which are available only for that activity or its child activities, if any.
4. To assign a value to a Property, you have to create an Assignment. Open the property sheet of some element in the process and click the Assignments button. Create a new Assignment, select the Property and enter an Expression. Click the button with the dots (...) on it to open another dialog helping you to enter and validate an expression using the VSDT Expression Language VXL (see Appendix B).
5. Now that the Properties are declared and assigned a value, they can be used e.g. in condition expressions. Select a Sequence Flow coming from a Gateway (a point

where the flow of control branches), set the Condition Type to *Expression* and enter the Condition Expression. Again, use the button with the three dots(...) to validate the Expression.

6. Just like Processes and Activities, Messages can have Properties. Again, the dialog can be accessed via the property sheet or the context menu. Add some properties to the newly created Message(s), being the input and output parameters of the respective Web service.
7. To pass the parameter values to the Message, create one or more Assignments on the Flow Object the Message is going in or out of. There are two ways for doing this:
 - The easiest way is to use the *Parameter Assignment Dialog*. Select the Activity or Event sending or receiving the Message(s) and hit the respective button in its property sheet. The dialog will show all of the messages' input and output Properties and offer drop-down menus for selecting another Property or entering an individual Expression to be assigned to these parameters.
 - For more control over the parameter assignments, you can also open the *Organize Assignment Dialog* via the Flow Object's property sheet or context menu and manually create the individual Assignments. Select a Property to assign the value to, e.g. one of the input parameters of the Web service's input message, and enter a from expression.

To refer to a Property in the expression, just type in the Property's name with a leading \$, e.g. `$foo + 1`. Note the assign time value: if this is set to *before*, the assignment will be made before the Activity is executed, i.e. the Web service is invoked, otherwise the assignment will be made afterwards. Thus this value should be set to *before*, when passing values from the process to a Web service's input parameter, and to *after*, when passing values from the Web service's output parameter back to the process.

4.5 Validation and Simulation

When your process is done – or seems to be done – you should validate it. There are several means for validation in the VSDT: First, you can validate the process diagram against the constraints given in the BPMN specification; second, you can check the structure of the process, which is important for most transformations to executable code; and third, you can run a simulation, testing the several Expressions, Conditions, and Assignments.

1. To validate the diagram against the constraints from the BPMN specification, select *Diagram* → *Validate* from the menu, or by clicking the checkmark symbol in the tool bar. You might notice some error or warning marks in your diagram or entries in the problem view. You should fix these problems before exporting the diagram to executable code and validate the diagram again.

2. For checking the structure of the process, open the Structure View (see Section 3.3) and click the *Structurize* button. This will trigger the same Structure Mapping used in the actual transformations and display the result, i.e. a structured form of the process, featuring elements such as sequences and blocks. While the structured model might be a bit cumbersome to read, it gives evidence of the structure that will be recognized from the process, and if this is not the structure you intended you should consider restructuring the process. Unfortunately, most executable languages are much more restrictive than process notations such as BPMN, so this check is necessary.
3. For a more in-depth validation of the process you may consider running a simulation. Currently there are two types of simulations implemented: a manual simulation and an interpreting simulation (see Section 5.10).
 - Use the manual simulation to get a feel of how the process behaves when taking a certain path, and to identify possible deadlock situations
 - When using the VSDT Expression Language (VXL, see Section 5.3), the interpreting simulation will help you validating the several condition and assignment expressions used throughout the process.

4.6 Code Generation

Once all three validations are successful, the diagram can be translated to executable code. Of course, there might still be semantic errors in the process the validation can not uncover, so you should think about thoroughly testing the resulting program code before deploying it to productive use.

1. Once the diagram shows no more errors, it can be exported to executable code. Select *Export...* from the file menu or from the model file's context menu. Select the desired target language from the *BPMN Export* group and proceed through the dialog. Select the model file(s) to be exported, adjust the target directory or the other options, if necessary, and hit the *Finish* button.
2. The export might take some seconds. If the model is sound, the output files will be created in a new directory in the specified target directory, named after the Business Process Diagram. By default, also a log file will be created along with the model files in the directory *exportLogs* in the specified target directory.
3. If the process has been modelled accurately, the resulting program can be readily executable. Still it is recommended to check the result with a native editor for the respective language, to be sure the files are free from defects.

5 Selected Features

This chapter will give further insight on how to use some of the features of the Visual Service Design Tool.

5.1 GMF Modelling Assistance

The Eclipse Graphical Modelling framework the VSDT is based upon comes with a number of valuable modelling assistance features (if not desired, modeling assistance can be turned off in the preferences). In the following some of these will be briefly introduced. Figure 5.1 is showing the modelling assistance in use.

- When resting the mouse on top of a compartment, a small palette will show up, showing the elements that can be placed in this compartment. Thus one does not have to go all the way back to the palette for creating a new node.
- When resting the mouse on top of a node, small arrows going in and out of the node will appear. By dragging these arrows new connections can be drawn.¹
- When a connection is drawn and the mouse button is released over the canvas or another compartment, a node can be created in that place along with the connection.
- In case multiple node- or connection-types can be created using a given tool in a given context, the user will be prompted to select one.




Figure 5.1: Mouse movement with and without the use of the Modelling Assistant.

¹Depending on the location, different connections will be offered: In the top and bottom region of a node incoming and outgoing Message Flows, in the left half incoming Sequence Flows and Associations, and in the right half outgoing Sequence Flows and Associations respectively.

5.2 Managing Non-Visual Elements

For each of the non-visual elements — Properties, Assignments, Messages, and Implementations— a management dialog has been written. The dialogs follow a clear and recognizable layout, showing the elements as-is in a list along with a number of buttons for inserting, removing and sorting of the elements and text fields for editing the attributes of the currently selected item (see Figure 5.2).




Figure 5.2: A Supporting Type Organization Dialog.

The various dialogs can be accessed in the following ways:

- The Organize Properties Dialog can be accessed via the context menu and property sheet of Pools, Activities and Message Flows, and by double-clicking an element in the BPMN-Properties View or a Message Flow.²
- The Organize Assignments Dialog can be accessed via the context menu and property sheet of Pools and Flow Objects, and by double-clicking Flow Objects.
- The Organize Messages Dialog can be accessed via the context menu and property sheet of the Business Process Diagram and the property sheet of Message Flows.
- The Organize Implementations Dialog can be accessed via the context menu and property sheet of the Business Process Diagram.

5.3 Expressions

The BPMN standard does not specify an expression language to be used. Instead, it is assumed that the language of the target framework is used, e.g. XPath. However, in a

²In the case of Message Flows, the Properties of the underlying Message, if any, will be edited, and in the case of Pools, the Properties of the Pool's Process.

tool that provides transformations to various target frameworks this is not an option. While the diagram structure could be translated to the syntax of the target system, the expression, given that they are written in an unknown language, could not – although all those languages might be very similar. To address this flaw, the VSDT comes with its own, very simple expression language, the *VSDT Expression Language*, or VXL for short. The advantage of using VXL is that it provides a greatest common divisor of the expression languages used in the target frameworks. Thus, most expressions can be given using VXL, in which case they can be validated and – more importantly – parsed and translated to the respective expression languages used in the target frameworks.




Figure 5.3: The Edit Expression Dialog.

Each text field referring to an Expression in the dialogs and property sheets of the VSDT provides a small button for opening the Edit Expression Dialog, which can be seen in Figure 5.3. This dialog not only provides a large text field for editing the Expression, but also a list of all Properties visible in the scope of the element owning the Expression, which can be selected from the list and inserted into the expression. Further, the *Check* button can be used to validate the Expression, which will check both the syntax and the availability of the variables used in the expression.

Note that there is no type checking yet. However, this feature is on the agenda, and will be implemented as soon as possible.

5.4 Service Parameter Assignments

While the *Organize Assignments Dialog* provides means for organizing all types of Assignments, it can be quite weary to make the assignments to a service call, passing a number of values to the service's input parameters and storing its results in other local variables. Moreover, this is a common source for mistakes, like selecting the wrong assign time, or missing an important input parameter. Using the *Parameter Assignments Dialog* this task can be facilitated in many ways.

This dialog is available for all Activities and Events sending or receiving messages, such as the Message Event and Send, Receive, Service and User Activity. Provided that the Implementation and the input and output Messages for that service are specified, the dialog displays a drop-down menu for each of the incoming and/or outgoing Messages' Properties. The values set in these lists will then be used for the Assignments to the input




Figure 5.4: Parameter Assignments Dialog

and output parameters. For the outgoing message, arbitrary expressions can be inserted, while the parameters of the incoming message can only be assigned to a local Property. If more specific Assignments are needed, the dialog can still be used for generating stubs for those Assignments, which then can be refined in the *Organize Assignments Dialog*. Further, the dialog will notify the user if there are input parameters that have no value assigned to them.

5.5 Inserting Elements and Patterns

By right-clicking on a Sequence Flow the *Insert...* menu can be reached. Here it is possible to insert a new element in between the source and the target of that Sequence Flow, which is very useful for extending existing diagrams. The existing sequence Flow will be reoriented to the new element, preserving existing attributes such as the condition, and a second Sequence Flow is drawn from the new element to the existing Sequence Flow's former target.

Apart from simple elements such as Activities, Intermediate Events and Gateways, it is also possible to insert complex workflow patterns, such as a split/merge block or a loop. This does not only greatly reduce the time needed for the diagram creation³, but also ensures that the workflow is correct (Referred to as “correctness by construction”).

Note that by now the layout of the diagram will not be adapted to the newly inserted elements, thus the user will have to rearrange the surrounding elements to make room for the new nodes.

5.6 Appending Flow Objects

Similarly to the *Insert* Actions, the *Append* Actions can be used for quickly appending new Flow Objects after existing ones. It can be reached through a Flow Object's context menu, or – more conveniently – by using the keyboard shortcuts **Ctrl+Shift+(A|G|I|E)**

³Reducing the pure editing time by up to 70% according to [3].

for appending Activities, Gateways, Intermediate and End Events. Thus, after the first Start Event has been placed, the basic workflow can quickly be assembled using only the Append action and the TAB key to navigate between the existing nodes.

5.7 Connecting Flow Objects to a Sequence

Further, a group of Flow Objects can be selected and connected with Sequence Flows using *Connect to Sequence* Action or the keyboard shortcut **Ctrl+Shift+C**. The Flow Objects will be connected in the order they have been selected. Therefore they should be selected one by one (holding down the Shift or Ctrl key), and not using a selection margin.

5.8 Model Validation

VSDT provides two sorts of validation: Validation of BPMN constraints, and structural validation.

Validation of Constraints BPMN diagrams can be checked to conform to the constraints given in the BPMN specification by selecting *Diagram* → *Validate* from the menu or by clicking the checkmark icon in the tool bar. Afterwards errors will be listed in the problem view. Additionally, faulty or otherwise problematic elements will be marked with a respective icon in the process graph.

Structural Validation Besides the individual elements, also the structures in which these elements are connected are important. For checking the structure of the process, open the Structure View (see Section 3.3) and click the *Structurize* button. This will trigger the same Structure Mapping used in the actual transformations and display the result, i.e. a structured form of the process, featuring elements such as sequences and blocks. While the structured model might be a bit cumbersome to read, it gives evidence of the structure that will be recognized from the process, and if this is not the structure you intended you should consider restructuring the process.

5.9 Text Generation

The VSDT features a powerful transformation of the Business Process Diagram to natural language text. Currently only English text is supported, but other languages may be included in the future, as well. The output text can have different formats, e.g. plain text, HTML or Latex, which to use can be selected in the Export Wizard. While this feature is yet at an early stage, it can already be used for quickly generating documentation for those who can not read the process diagrams or for media where they are difficult to present, e.g. in a talk. Emphasis has been laid on preserving the process structure as much as possible in the text, e.g. using indentation. Further a number

of randomly selected redundant terms is used to increase the linguistic diversity of the resulting text.

5.10 Simulation and Interpretation

BPMN diagrams created with the VSDT can be simulated and interpreted using the built-in process interpreter (see Section 3.3). For starting a simulation, first switch to the BPMN diagram you want to simulate. Open the *Process Interpreter* view and click the *Start* button. For each Pool in the diagram, the view will show those Activities that are currently ACTIVE or READY. For advancing a step in the simulation, expand a Pool and double-click one of the listed elements, that is the Flow Objects currently being ready, e.g. Start Events. For more control, you can also select one of *Step Over*, *Step Into* or *Step Out*. Hit the Stop button for ending the simulation and removing the markers from the diagram editor view. In the diagram itself, the Flow Objects are annotated with a marker symbol representing their state (see Table 5.1).

Table 5.1: Mapping of Marker Colors to Flow Object States

| | |
|--------|---------------------------------|
| Yellow | Ready for execution |
| Blue | Currently active / executing |
| Green | Executed successfully |
| Red | Execution failed or interrupted |
| None | Not yet executed or ready; idle |

Once the simulation is running, the user can *Step Over*, *Step Into* and *Step Out* of Flow Objects. Stepping into a Flow Object is particularly interesting for Activities with attached Event Handlers or Embedded Subprocesses, for which it is the default behaviour. Different kinds of interpretations are available (or planned for the future):

- *Manual Simulation*: Here, the user is asked which way to proceed when coming to a branching point. This mode is intended for presentation, but also for detecting e.g. deadlocks or other kinds of structural conflicts.
- *Interpretation*: In this mode, Expressions used for instance in Assignments and Conditions are evaluated⁴ and stored, so that the process will automatically decide how to proceed at a branching point. Still, the user has to provide initial parameters and return values for service calls. This mode is especially useful for testing the various Conditions and Assignments. (*work in progress*)
- *Execution*: This mode integrates with the Rich Service Directory (RSD), meaning that in addition to the *Interpretation* mode services will be invoked using the RSD and their return values will be bound to the respective process properties. Thus the user just has to provide the initial parameters of the process itself. Apart from

⁴Note that only Expressions given in the VSDT Expression Language can be automatically evaluated, and that by now only simple data types are supported.

testing the interworking of the several services in the process, this mode can also be used for actually executing and monitoring the process. (*future work*)

5.11 Structure-Based Layout

The Structure Mapping can also be used for calculating the layout of the BPMN diagrams. Compared to the layouting algorithm provided by GMF, this proves especially useful for diagrams containing upstream loops. Still, since the structure-based layout is still in an early stage, the default layouting algorithm still is the one provided by GMF. The structure-based layout can be reached via the Structure View (see Section 3.3).

5.12 Import and Merging of Process Diagrams

VSDT diagrams can be imported into and merged with each other. While basically this feature can be used for merging any two or more diagrams, it is most useful for merging diverging versions of the same process diagram, having a common ancestor.

After selecting *Import other VSDT diagrams* from the Import menu, select one or more diagrams to import *from* and one diagram to import *into*. You can also check whether to create a backup of the original target file (recommended), and whether the layout should be imported, too, or only the model data, and whether the algorithm should try to merge identical elements. The latter, of course, only makes sense if the source and target files are different revisions of the same file.

The merging algorithm works by recursively comparing the IDs of the objects to be merged, so these should not be changed in different revisions. Also there are still some issues with conflicting changes, so one should always be sure to create a backup and possibly use a `diff` tool to check whether the changes to the file's XML source look plausible.

6 Preferences

This chapter will explain the several preferences that can be set for configuring the VSDT for your personal needs.

The preference pages can be accessed by navigating to *Window* → *Preferences...* in the menu and selecting *VSDT Diagram* from the list. The following sections will each explain one of the pages.

6.1 Basic GMF Settings

These settings are for improving performance by deactivating certain features of the GMF runtime, or for setting the default colors and fonts for certain diagram elements. As these features are self-explaining we will not go further into detail here.

6.2 VSDT Settings

6.2.1 General

- **Author** sets the default author for all new Business Process Diagrams created.
- **Enable Modeling Assistance** turns GMF's modeling assistance on or off (see Section 5.1).

6.2.2 Appearance

- **Enable Activity Icons** activates additional markers placed in the corners of Activities. These markers use intuitive symbols to indicate the Activity's type and whether the Activity has any Assignments and/or Properties.
- **Use Additional Colors** helps to distinguish the several diagram elements by the use of colors.
- **Show XOR-Marker for new Gateways** sets whether to display the cross-marker for new XOR gateways.
- **Meta Diagram Style** lets you choose between a notation similar to UML use cases or BPMN 2.0 communication diagrams for the VSDT's entry diagrams.

6.2.3 Connections

Here you can select the drawing style for Sequence Flows, Message Flows and Associations.

Note that both the Enable Activity Icons and the Use Additional Colors settings take effect only after closing and reopening a given Business Process Diagram file.

7 Model Transformation

The core of the Visual Service Design Tool clearly is the transformation to executable code. While by now the transformation to BPEL is the only one that can be conveniently used in practice, there are currently several other transformations under development.

For directions on how to invoke the transformation please refer to Section 4.6.

7.1 Understanding the Transformation Framework

This section will provide a brief introduction in the basics of the transformation framework. The transformation framework has been designed from the very beginning to be as *extensible* and *reusable* as possible. For that purpose the process of transformation has been subdivided into several stages, which are sequentially applied to the input model:

1. In the *Validation* stage, all identifiers are validated to contain only characters that are legal with respect to the given target language. Further, the validation will check if each element needed is in place and providing clear error messages in case something is missing.
2. The intent of the *Normalisation* stage is to put the process diagram in a uniform form, and to transform it to a semantically equivalent representation of the diagram following more strict constraints than those given in the BPMN specification.
3. In the *Structure Mapping* stage, the model is searched for graph patterns which are semantically equivalent to block structures. When such patterns are found, they are replaced with a special structured element, until the entire process within each Pool has been reduced to a single complex element, e.g. a sequence, or until it can not be reduced any further due to structural flaws.
4. In the *Element Mapping* stage, the several BPMN elements are mapped to their counterparts in the target language, e.g. BPEL or JIAC (Section 7.2.2 and 7.2.3).
5. In the *Clean Up* stage, a set of rules is applied on the newly created target model, improving the readability of the generated code and removing redundancies.

A simple example of the consecutive execution of normalisation and structure mapping can be seen in Figure 7.1.




Figure 7.1: Simple example of normalisation and structure mapping.




Figure 7.2: BPEL Export Wizard with Expression Translation checked.

7.1.1 Transformation of Expressions

Besides the actual workflow, Expressions that are used in assignments and conditions have to be translated, too. This can be done only if the Expression Language is set to “VSDT Expression Language” or “VXL”.¹ If then the *Translate Expression* option is checked in the Export Wizard (see Figure 7.2) these expressions will be parsed and, if possible, translated to the respective target language.

Still there may be cases when VXL does not have enough expressive power. In this case the option can be disabled (or the Expression Language can be changed) and the *Replace Property Accessors* option can be checked. In this case, the Expressions will only be scanned for Property Accessors using VXL (e.g. `$foo.bar`) which will then be translated to the syntax of the target language. Thus, the simple VXL variables can be embedded in expressions of another language. For instance, in the case of BPEL, an expression like `$foo.bar + 1`, might be changed to `bpws:getVariableData('Proc_ProcessData', 'foo', 'bar')+1`. Thus the user does not have to care about the way Properties are aggregated to variables in the transformation to that language but can simply use a Property’s name.

¹The Expression Language can be set either globally in the Diagram properties or individually for each Expression.

Finally, when Properties are given one of VXL's predefined basic data types (e.g. `string`, `boolean`, etc.), where will be translated to the respective basic types of the target language, e.g. `xsd:string` and `xsd:boolean`.

7.2 Transformation Implementations

The following sections describe in short the various transformations that have already been implemented.

7.2.1 Transformation to Text

See Section 5.9.

7.2.2 Transformation to BPEL

The transformation to BPEL presented in this work covers nearly the entire mapping as given in the BPMN specification [6, Chapter 11], including event handlers, inclusive OR and event-based XOR Gateways, just to name a few.

Export Nevertheless there are some elements for which the mapping is not given very clearly, such as `TIMER` Start Events, independent Sub Processes or multi-instance parallel loops. While these elements will be transformed as described in the specification, the resulting BPEL processes will require some amount of manual refinement. Besides the BPEL process files a WSDL definitions file is created, holding the message types derived from the process properties and the input and output messages and interfaces (port types) for the several Web services being orchestrated by the process. Still, the WSDL's binding and service blocks and necessary schema types, if any, can not be generated automatically yet, due to insufficient information in the source model.

In the validation, all identifiers are tested to contain only characters that are legal with respect to BPEL, and all expressions used e.g. in Assignments and loop conditions are translated to XPath, if possible. Properties are aggregated to one variable per Process or Message, for instance, if a Process `Proc` has a Property `foo`, `foo` will be a Part of Variable `Proc_ProcessData`.

Import The Import from BPEL to BPMN is still at an early stage. While basic control flow can be imported, there are still problems e.g. with event- and fault handlers. Further one should be aware that the export to BPEL does not preserve all information in the BPMN diagram, thus diagrams re-imported after being exported to BPEL will most likely be less readable than before, although they may be semantically equivalent.

7.2.3 Transformation to JIAC

Concerning our goal of transforming BPMN diagrams to multi-agent systems (MAS) the work is still at an early stage. First, a *normal form* for BPMN diagrams has been

investigated, to facilitate the mapping [1]. Later, the first steps of the actual mapping have been developed, basically mapping Pools to agents, Processes and Flow Objects to the agents' plans and the control flow, and Message Flow to the exchange of messages between the agents [2].

Export A prototypic transformation targeting the agent framework JIAC V has already been implemented. In this transformation, the Participants diagram is translated to an Agent World diagram, and the individual Business Processes are translated to several JADL services. As the theoretical part of the mapping is not yet fully matured, there is still some work to do. However, with the given transformation framework every addition to the mapping can quickly be adopted.

The *BPMN to JIAC Transformation* feature requires the *JIAC Agent World Editor* feature.

7.2.4 Transformation to STP-BPMN

Since BPMN does not provide a standardized interchange format, transferring a diagram from one editor to another is difficult. For that reason the VSDT provides a Transformation to the popular Eclipse STP-BPMN Editor. Thus one can export a Diagram to STP-BPMN and carry it to a colleague using that editor, or someone formerly using the STP editor can import his existing files when changing to the VSDT.

Export The export to STP is nearly complete. The only elements that are not mapped are Lanes, which is because the STP editor is encountering problems when initializing the diagram files containing Lanes. Alas, the same also applies to Embedded Subprocesses, as there are problems opening those diagrams. However, this is a problem with the STP editor that will get fixed soon.

Import The import from STP works very well, with the exception that, again, Lanes will not be mapped. *Note* that the transformation to STP will only create the BPMN model files, but not the diagram files; those files, holding the graphical information, have to be generated anew from the model file. Thus, the transformation does not preserve the layout of the diagrams.

The *BPMN to STP-BPMN Transformation* feature requires the *Eclipse SOA Tools Project BPMN Editor* feature.

7.3 Limitations

Although the transformation framework is quite powerful, it is important to understand that there are still some limitations, both in the mapping of structures and elements.

- Given a well-structured workflow, the transformation will yield very good results. However, as BPMN is more powerful than block-oriented languages, such as BPEL

and JIAC, there will always be graph structures that can not be transformed to an equivalent program of the target language. In that case the diagram should be manually restructured *before* being exported.

- The mapping to BPEL is as complete as possible, according to the specification. Still, there are some points in the specification that are missing, unclear or ambiguous. The transformation to BPEL is implementing the specification in almost every detail, but regarding these elements a small amount of manual refinement may be necessary.
- The mapping to JIAC is still at an early stage. JIAC models created with this transformation will require a large amount of manual work.

We are constantly investigating ways of extending both the quality of the element mappings and the performance of the structure mapping.

A The Business Process Modelling Notation

The *Business Process Modelling Notation* [6] was first published by the BPMI and has later been adopted by the OMG. The goal of the development of BPMN was to create a standardized modelling notation for business processes and by that to reduce the confusion created by dozens of proprietary business process notations. A brief introduction to BPMN is given for instance in [8].

There are four basic categories of element types in the notation: Flow Objects (Events, Activities and Gateways), Connecting Objects (Sequence Flows, Message Flows and Associations), Swimlanes (Pools and Lanes) and Artifacts (Data Objects, Groups and Annotations).




Figure A.1: Business Process Modelling Notation Example Diagram

Figure A.1 shows a simple example for an e-mail client periodically looking for new mail. The elements are quite self-descriptive and most of them are already known from other notations, so the basics of the BPMN are readily understandable for all business analysts, architects and developers and even for non-experts. At the same time BPMN provides a large variety of subtypes for each of the Flow Objects and every element type is enriched with many non-graphical attributes, making the models sufficiently detailed

for being exported to executable languages while keeping the visual notation concise and understandable.

A problem with BPMN is that it is mainly a *notation*. Although the specification describes many non-graphical attributes and a mapping to a formal language, it neither states an exchange format, like an XSD, nor clear semantics for all of the elements. Still the Business Process Modelling Notation can be used throughout the whole software engineering lifecycle, from a simplified model at the requirements analysis up to a highly detailed model that can be used for generating code for an executable language.

A.1 BPMN Elements

This section is intended to give a brief introduction of each of the basic element groups:

- **Flow Objects:** Events, Activities, and Gateways
- **Connecting Objects:** Sequence Flows, Message Flows and Associations
- **Swimlanes:** Pools and Lanes
- **Artifacts:** Data Objects, Groups and Annotations

A.1.1 Flow Objects

The category of *Flow Objects*, the most important elements in BPMN, is made up of *Events*, *Activities* and *Gateways*. All Flow Objects are held in Lanes (see below).

Events are things that *happen*, like a message arriving, an alarm, or an error, and often they mark the beginning and the end of the process. The graphical notation is a circle. They are subdivided into *Start Events*, *Intermediate Events* and *End Events*, which will determine the circle's border (see figure A.2).



Figure A.2: BPMN Event types. From left to right: Start Event, Intermediate Event, End Event

Further all three Event types have a variety of subtypes which will determine e.g. a Start Event's *trigger* and an end Event's *result*. Each of these subtypes can be distinguished by a different icon in the centre of the Event figure (see figure A.3) and results in a number of attributes to be set for the Event.

Basically, an **Activity** is something that is *done*. Activities subdivide in *Tasks*, which are atomic Activities, and *Sub Processes*, which are composite Activities. The graphical notation for an Activity is a rounded rectangle with the Activity's name inside of it. Sub Processes are marked with a small \boxplus sign on the bottom line (see figure A.4).

Like Events, Activities also have some specializations, each one with special attributes: They can be for instance a *Send* or a *Receive* Task, stand for some *Manual* work to be




Figure A.3: BPMN Event sub types. From left to right: Message, Timer, Error Cancel, Compensation, Rule, Link, Signal, Termination, Multiple



Figure A.4: BPMN Activity types. From left to right: Task, Subprocess

done, execute a *Script* or, in case of the *Independent* Sub Process, represent a whole business process, just to name a few. All of these subtypes have the same graphical representation, but modellers and modelling tools are free to extend the diagrams with additional markers for the subtypes.

What makes Activities stand out from the other Flow Objects is that they can *loop*. Although in BPMN loops also can be defined by simply connecting a Sequence Flow to an upstream Flow Object, which might be easier to understand by non-experts, it's seen as better style to use looping Sub Processes. A looping Activity is marked with a small counter-clockwise arrow on its bottom line.

Gateways provide wide capabilities in modelling all kinds of splitting and merging behaviour. Figure A.5 shows the different kinds of Gateways. Depending on whether the Gateway has multiple incoming or outgoing Sequence Flows – or even both – it has different semantics, like forking and/or joining the flows. However, Gateways are not the only way for modelling forking and joining of flows. In some cases the same semantics can be reached by omitting the Gateway and connecting multiple Sequence Flows directly to an Activity¹.




Figure A.5: BPMN Gateway types. From left to right: Data based XOR (with and without marker), Event based XOR, Inclusive OR, Complex, AND

¹this is not allowed for Events

A.1.2 Connecting Objects

The most important connections are *Sequence Flows* and *Message Flows*. Sequence Flows represent the flow of control and connect Flow Objects within a Pool in the order of execution. Message Flow represents messages – not necessarily data – being exchanged exclusively between Pools. See figure A.6 for the connections’ graphical notation.



Figure A.6: BPMN Connection types. From left to right: Sequence Flow, Message Flow, Association

The third connection, the *Association*, is mainly used for documentation reason, for instance to connect a Text Annotation to a Flow Object that needs further explanation. Still there is an exception to this rule: For connecting a compensating Activity to a compensation Event an Association is used instead of a Sequence Flow. An Association’s arrow heads are optional.

A.1.3 Swimlanes

Swimlanes can be *Pools* and *Lanes*. Each Pool represents one Participant in the business process, while Lanes are used to partition a Pool. Doing so each of a company’s departments could be represented by a Lane while the Pool stands for the company itself. Typically Pools and Lanes are oriented horizontally, but they may be oriented vertically, too. Further, Lanes may cross or contain other Lanes, but those techniques are poorly documented and usually not used. Figure A.7 shows a small horizontally oriented Pool containing two empty Lanes.




Figure A.7: BPMN Swimlanes. A Pool with two Lanes

A.1.4 Artifacts

The main purpose of *Artifacts* is documentation. However, like Associations, in some situations they can have semantics, e.g. when a *Data Object* is referenced by an Activity as input. Data Objects represent everything that can be input or output of some Activity. In most cases this will be a file, but since Activities can be *Manual Tasks*, too, a Data Object could also stand for something physical.

The other two Artifacts, *Group* and *Text Annotation*, are solely used for documentation. See figure A.8 for their graphical notation.



Figure A.8: BPMN Artifacts. From left to right: Data Object, Group, Text Annotation

The specification states that this category may be extended by proprietary Artifacts which could have more semantics, too. This way BPMN can be extended with new elements to represent concepts that were not considered in the original specification.

A.2 Levels of Complexity

BPMN can be seen as having at least three levels of complexity.

1. Basic Types: All diagrams are made up of the basic elements of the four categories: Events, Activities, Gateways, Connections, Pools and Artifacts. These can be understood easily even by non-experts.
2. Subtypes: The Flow Objects each have several subtypes, e.g. Timer Events, Receive Tasks and Inclusive Gateways. Using the same shapes as the basic elements enriched with some additional graphical information, like an icon, the symbol's basic type can be clearly identified by non-experts while providing additional visual information for the professionals.
3. Attributes: Each of the BPMN element types provides a large number of both primitive and complex attributes. While some of these attributes are visible in the diagram, like a flow object's name or subtype, most are non-graphical. These attributes enrich the diagram with the formal semantics necessary for the export to an executable language while not polluting the visual notation with too many details.

If the process shall be mapped to a executable language the third level is very important: Not only does it give values for many attributes that otherwise would have to be set manually. Some of the visual elements of the BPMN do not have semantics “on their own”. Message Flows for example do *not* have a mapping to WS-BPEL. Instead the source Activity has to be of type **Send** and the target Activity of type **Receive**, and both have to reference the same non-graphical **Message** element. This is necessary in cases when the communications partner is not in the same diagram and thus a Message Flow can not be drawn.

Of course it is free to the designers of new mappings to map the Message Flow, if it is available, without insisting on the existence of the non-graphical Message element.

A.3 Export and Code Generation

One of the main purposes of the Business Process Modelling Notation is to provide a graphical notation that can be used to generate executable code from it.

A mapping to WS-BPEL is given in the BPMN Specification. As a matter of fact, BPMN has been tailored for the mapping to WS-BPEL, which can be seen in many attributes which are needed only for the mapping. Most of these attributes can be reused for mappings to other languages, too, e.g. such common concepts as properties and assignments.

On the other hand BPMN has more expressive power than BPEL. A diagram in BPMN is a directed graph, while BPEL, and in fact most other executive languages as well, are block oriented, making the export to a semantically equivalent program complicated and in some cases impossible. Numerous papers have been written on how to identify block structures within a BPMN diagram or how to alter an existing diagram to conform to block structure. However, not every diagram can be refactored like that.

While the basic elements such as Flow Objects should neither be altered nor extended by new elements the BPMN Specification encourages the introduction of new, domain-specific Artifacts to be used in mappings to executable languages other than BPEL. These elements can be associated with the original BPMN elements and represent concepts that were not considered in the original BPMN specification.

B The VSDT Expression Language (VXL)

The BPMN standard does not specify an expression language to be used. Instead, it is assumed that the language of the target framework is used, e.g. XPath. However, in a tool that provides transformations to various target frameworks this is not an option. While the diagram structure could be translated to the syntax of the target system, the expression, given that they are written in an unknown language, could not – although all those languages might be very similar. To address this flaw, the VSDT comes with its own, very simple expression language, the *VSDT Expression Language*, or VXL for short.

B.1 Language Features and Syntax

The VSDT Expression language has been designed to be the greatest common divisor of the expression languages used in the target frameworks. Thus, most expressions can be given using VXL, in which case they can be validated and – more importantly – parsed and translated to the respective expression languages used in the target frameworks.

Below, the complete syntax of VXL is given. As can be seen, it is not much different from that of other languages. Variables have to be marked with a leading \$, followed by the name of a Property in the scope of the owner of the Expression. The Variable may be followed by one or more accessors, e.g. for access to an array element (e.g. \$foo[2]) or a field (e.g. \$foo.bar), or combinations thereof (e.g. \$foo[\$n+1].bar); of course accessors can only be used if the target language and data type supports them. An explanation of the various operations and comparisons can be found in Table B.1.

```
Term:           Head (Tail)?;
Head:           BracketTerm | Negation | Minus | Atom;
Tail:           Operator Term;
BracketTerm:    "(" Term ")";
Negation:       "!" Head;
Minus:          "-" Head;
Atom:           Value | Variable;
Variable:       "$" ID (Accessor)?;
Accessor:       ArrayAccessor | FieldAccessor;
ArrayAccessor:  "[" Term "]" (Accessor)?;
FieldAccessor:  "." ID (Accessor)?;
Value:          STRING | INT | FLOAT | "true" | "false" | "null";
Operator:       "<" | "<=" | "==" | "!=" | ">" | ">=" |
               "+" | "-" | "*" | "/" | "%" | "&&" | "||" | "++";
```


Table B.1: VXL Operations and Comparisons

| | Name | Symbol |
|-------------|------------------|---------------|
| Operations | Addition | + |
| | Subtraction | - |
| | Multiplication | * |
| | Division | / |
| | Modulo | % |
| | Concatenation | ++ |
| | Logical AND | && |
| | Logical OR | |
| Comparisons | Equal | == |
| | Not Equal | != |
| | Lesser | < |
| | Lesser or Equal | <= |
| | Greater | > |
| | Greater or Equal | >= |

C Changelog

Version 1.3.1

- Interpreter: fixed evaluation priority in expressions, e.g. multiplication vs. addition
- **merging of different versions of a VSDT diagram**

Version 1.3.0

- **switched to Eclipse 3.5**
- support for Conversation Diagrams (BPMN 2.0)
- **using one file for both semantic model and notational model**
- creation of diagram files (not only model files) on export and import
- export of BPMN diagrams with multiple start events to JIAC is now possible
- **added Connect to Sequence Action** for quick assembling of core process
- preferences can be used to deactivate the GMF modeling assistant

Version 1.2.2

- **Structure-based layout of BPMN diagrams**

Version 1.2.1

- **Export to JIAC V / JADL, integration with JIAC Agent World Editor**
- improved Pool / Lane visuals
- **added Append Node actios** for quick keyboard-based assembly of process diagrams
- added translation of basic data types, such as integer, string, etc.
- *suspended support for JIAC IV and RSD*

Version 1.2.0

- **new diagram type: VSDT Meta Diagram (similar to UML usecases)**
- some more changes to the meta model

Version 1.1.3

- **Simulation and Interpretation of BPMN diagrams**
- **Structure View**
- added transformation rule for Event Handler Loops
- improved support for Link Events

Version 1.1.2

- switched to BPMN 1.1
- automatically setting input and output Messages according to selected Implementation
- **Parameter Assignment Dialog** for quickly assigning values to message parameters
- validation of Expression syntax
- **BPMN-to-Text transformation**

Version 1.1.1

- **Pool visibility view**
- simplified meta model
- **translation of Expressions**

Version 1.1.0

- switched to GMF 2.1
- improved Web service and RSD views
- **Patterns can be inserted on existing edges**, e.g. loops, blocks
- **import and export for STP-BPMN**

Version 1.0.1

- further improved graphics, added symbols for Activity Types and colors
- improved clean-up (export)
- **BPEL import**

Version 1.0.0

- re-organized plugin structure
- first release

Version beta 2.4.1 and earlier versions

- some changes to the meta model
- connections with rounded corners, improved node figures
- improved management of non-visual elements
- **single nodes can be inserted on existing edges**
- **BPMN Properties (variables) view**
- improved normalisation of identifiers (export)
- ...

Bibliography

- [1] Holger Endert, Benjamin Hirsch, Tobias Küster, and Sahin Albayrak. Towards a mapping from BPMN to agents. In Jingshan Huang, Ryszard Kowalczyk, Zakaria Maamar, David Martin, Ingo Müller, Suzette Stoutenburg, and Katia P. Sycara, editors, *Service-Oriented Computing: Agents, Semantics, and Engineering*, volume 4505 of *LNCS*, pages 92–106. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2007.
- [2] Holger Endert, Tobias Küster, Benjamin Hirsch, and Sahin Albayrak. Mapping BPMN to agents: An analysis. In Matteo Baldoni, Cristina Baroglio, and Viviana Mascardi, editors, *Agents, Web-Services, and Ontologies Integrated Methodologies*, pages 43–58, 2007.
- [3] Thomas Gschwind, Jana Koehler, and Janette Wong. Applying patterns during business process modeling. In *BPM '08: Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Business Process Management*, pages 4–19, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008. Springer-Verlag.
- [4] Tobias Küster. Development of a visual service design tool providing a mapping from BPMN to JIAC. Diploma thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, April 2007.
- [5] Tobias Küster and Axel Heßler. Towards transformations from BPMN to heterogeneous systems. In Massima Mecella and Jian Yang, editors, *BPM2008 Workshop Proceedings*, 2008.
- [6] Object Management Group. Business Process Modeling Notation (BPMN) Specification. Final Adopted Specification dtc/06-02-01, OMG, 2006. <http://www.bpmn.org/Documents/OMGFinalAdoptedBPMN1-0Spec06-02-01.pdf>.
- [7] Ralf Sessler. *Eine modulare Architektur für dienstbasierte Interaktionen zwischen Agenten*. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, 2002.
- [8] Stephen A. White. Introduction to BPMN. Technical report, IBM Corporation, May 2004. <http://bpmn.org/Documents/Introduction%20to%20BPMN.pdf>.