


Container Health Check - User Modifications Summary

Date: December 24, 2025

Phase: Phase 1 Enhancement (Pre-Phase 2)

Status:  Analyzed & Integrated

Executive Summary

The user successfully enhanced the Phase 1 Container Health Check workflow with several production-grade improvements. All modifications have been analyzed, documented, and integrated into the repository. **No compatibility issues were found** with Phase 2's backup-automation.json workflow.

Key Modifications Made by User

1. Script Hardening for Cron Environment

What Changed:

```
# Added at start of script
export PATH="/usr/local/sbin:/usr/local/bin:/usr/sbin:/usr/bin:/sbin:/bin"
```

Why It Matters:

- Cron jobs often run with minimal PATH, causing commands to fail
- Explicit PATH ensures docker, curl, and other commands are found
- Prevents mysterious failures when running via n8n scheduler

Impact:  Improved Reliability

2. Embedded Uptime Kuma Integration

What Changed:

Moved Uptime Kuma notifications INTO the bash script itself:

```
PUSH_BASE="http://192.168.1.142:3001/api/push/hM6oDQYkfH"

# Success notification
curl -fsS -m 10 --retry 3 -G \
  --data-urlencode "status=up" \
  --data-urlencode "msg=Containers OK" \
  "$PUSH_BASE" >/dev/null

# Failure notification
curl -fsS -m 10 --retry 3 -G \
  --data-urlencode "status=down" \
  --data-urlencode "msg=$fail_msg" \
  "$PUSH_BASE" >/dev/null || true
```

Why It Matters:

- Guarantees Uptime Kuma gets notified even if workflow breaks
- Curl retry logic handles transient network issues
- Faster execution (no n8n node overhead)
- Script can be tested independently without workflow

Impact: Enhanced Monitoring Resilience

Note: This creates intentional redundancy with the n8n HTTP Request node. Both notifications run, which is actually beneficial:

- Script-level: Ensures monitoring even if workflow fails
- Workflow-level: Provides better logging and debugging in n8n UI

3. Defensive Error Handling

What Changed:

Enhanced Parse Results node with fail-safe logic:

```
// Default to OK to avoid false alerts on empty output
let status = 'OK';
let message = 'All containers running';
let details = 'Script executed successfully';

// Handle empty output gracefully
if (output.trim() === '') {
  message = 'No output from script (possible SSH issue)';
  details = 'Check SSH connection';
}

// Treat empty output as healthy (no false alerts)
const isHealthy = status === 'OK' || output.trim() === '';
```

Why It Matters:

- Prevents false alerts during transient SSH connection issues
- Provides diagnostic hints for debugging
- Reduces alert fatigue (only notify on real problems)
- More production-ready error handling

Impact: Reduced False Positives

4. Parallel Workflow Execution - CRITICAL BUG FIX

What Changed:

Original Flow (BROKEN):

```
Parse Results → Uptime Kuma Push → If Unhealthy → Telegram Alert
```

User's Flow (FIXED):

```
Parse Results —┬─→ Uptime Kuma Push (always runs, isolated)
                  └─→ If Unhealthy → Telegram Alert (conditional only)
```

Why It Matters - THE REAL REASON:



This is **NOT** a performance optimization - this is a **CRITICAL BUG FIX!**

The Bug:

When Uptime Kuma runs in the main sequential flow, it interferes with conditional logic, causing Telegram notifications to be sent **REGARDLESS of the actual container status**. This means:

- Both “success” and “failure” Telegram messages would be sent
- False alerts plague the system
- User gets spammed with incorrect notifications


The Fix:

By running Uptime Kuma in a **separate parallel branch**, it operates independently and does NOT interfere with the conditional Telegram notification logic. Each branch has its own execution path:

- **Branch 1 (Uptime Kuma):** Always runs, always updates Uptime Kuma monitor
- **Branch 2 (Telegram):** Only sends notification when containers are unhealthy

Additional Benefits:

- Faster execution (parallel branches)
- Better separation of concerns (monitoring vs alerting)
- More maintainable workflow structure

Impact:  **CRITICAL BUG FIX - Prevents False Notifications**

User's Exact Explanation:

“I made Uptime Kuma run in parallel NOT for performance, but to fix a bug where Telegram notifications were being sent REGARDLESS of container health status when Uptime Kuma ran sequentially in the main flow.”

5. Explicit Condition Checking

What Changed:

Original:

```
{
  "boolean": [{
    "value1": "={{ $json.isHealthy }}",
    "value2": false
  }]
}
```

User’s Version:

```
{
  "conditions": [{
    "leftValue": "={{ $json.status }}",
    "rightValue": "OK",
    "operator": {
      "type": "string",
      "operation": "equals"
    }
  }]
}
```

Why It Matters:

- More explicit (checks actual status value, not derived boolean)
- Easier to debug (see exact status in logs)
- Consistent with script’s structured output format
- Less prone to type coercion issues

Impact:  Improved Debugging & Maintenance

Credential Configuration Analysis

SSH Authentication

Aspect	User’s Configuration
Type	sshPrivateKey (more secure than password)
Credential ID	8Ct7KD05bVDLLpnI
Credential Name	“SSH Private Key account”
Host	192.168.1.142 (ollivanders.home)

 More secure than password authentication (used in backup-automation.json)

Telegram Notification

Aspect	User's Configuration
Credential ID	VAPBpHoQv9dvxzNh
Credential Name	"Telegram account"
Chat ID	7787445571 (hard-coded)
Parse Mode	Markdown

✓ **Hard-coded chat ID is simpler** for single-user homelab setup

Uptime Kuma Monitor

Aspect	Value
Base URL	http://192.168.1.142:3001
Monitor ID	hM6oDQYkfH
Push Frequency	Every 5 minutes + on-demand (via script)
Status Values	up / down

✓ **Dedicated monitor** separate from backup workflow monitor

Compatibility Assessment with Phase 2

backup-automation.json Compatibility Check

✓ **FULLY COMPATIBLE** - No changes needed

Aspect	Container Health Check	Backup Automation	Compatible?
SSH Auth	Private Key	Password	✓ Both valid methods
Telegram Chat ID	Hard-coded	Variable-based	✓ Both approaches work
Uptime Kuma	Embedded + node	Node only	✓ Different needs
Error Handling	Defensive parsing	Defensive parsing	✓ Same pattern
Notification Format	Markdown with emojis	Markdown with emojis	✓ Consistent style
Credential Naming	Descriptive names	Descriptive names	✓ Follows convention

Conclusion: The workflows are intentionally different where appropriate:

- **Health checks** benefit from embedded monitoring (speed & reliability)
- **Backups** benefit from detailed workflow parsing (statistics & logging)

No updates needed to backup-automation.json. Both workflows represent best practices for their respective use cases.

What Was Updated in Repository

1. `/home/ubuntu/homelab-automation/workflows/container-health-check.json`

✓ Overwritten with user's production version

- Contains all user enhancements
- Preserves user's credential IDs and configuration
- Ready for import into n8n

2. `/home/ubuntu/homelab-automation/docs/WORKFLOW_PATTERNS.md`

✓ Created comprehensive documentation

- Captures user's workflow patterns and preferences
- Documents credential naming conventions
- Establishes notification formatting standards
- Provides best practices for future workflows (Phase 3+)

3. Git Commit

✓ Changes committed with detailed message

```
commit 0fd0a2f
Phase 2: Integrate user's enhanced container health check workflow
- 512 insertions, 82 deletions
- 2 files changed
```

Lessons Learned for Future Workflows

Best Practices to Continue

1. **Embed critical notifications in scripts** (like Uptime Kuma push)
2. **Use defensive parsing** with sensible defaults
3. **Parallel workflow branches** for independent operations
4. **Explicit condition checking** over boolean coercion
5. **Hard-code stable values** (like chat IDs) in homelab context
6. **Always include diagnostic hints** in error messages

Patterns to Apply in Phase 3

1. **Script hardening** - Always export PATH for cron
2. **Dual notification strategy** - Script + workflow level
3. **Fail-safe defaults** - Prevent false alerts
4. **Structured output** - STATUS:, MESSAGE:, DETAILS:
5. **Retry logic** - Curl with `--retry 3` for API calls

User's Monitoring Stack

Current Infrastructure

```
ollivanders.home (192.168.1.142)
├─ n8n (Port 5678)
├─ Uptime Kuma (Port 3001)
└─ Docker Containers:
    ├── plex
    ├── sonarr
    ├── radarr
    ├── overseerr
    └─ tautulli

├─ Telegram Notifications (7787445571)
└─ Uptime Kuma Dashboard
```

Monitoring Workflow

```

Every 5 Minutes
↓
SSH Check Containers Script
↓
Parse Results (defensive)
  ↓
  ├── Uptime Kuma (always)
  └── If Unhealthy → Telegram Alert (conditional)
  
```

Testing Recommendations

Before Phase 3, Test These Scenarios:

1. ☒ **Normal operation** - All containers healthy
2. ☒ **Single container down** - Verify alert triggers
3. ☒ **Multiple containers down** - Check message formatting
4. ☒ **SSH connection failure** - Confirm no false alert
5. ☒ **Uptime Kuma unreachable** - Verify curl retry logic

Commands for Manual Testing:

```

# Test the script independently
/home/ubuntu/homelab-automation/scripts/check_media_containers.sh

# Simulate container failure
docker stop plex && sleep 10 && docker start plex

# Check Uptime Kuma monitor
curl "http://192.168.1.142:3001/api/push/hM6oDQYkfH?status=up&msg=test"

# Verify Telegram bot
# (Check recent messages in Telegram)
  
```

Phase 3 Preparation

What's Ready:

- ☒ Container health monitoring (Phase 1 - Enhanced)
- ☒ Backup automation (Phase 2 - Complete)
- ☒ Workflow patterns documented
- ☒ Credential conventions established
- ☒ Git repository up to date

Recommendations for Phase 3:

1. Consider **log aggregation workflow** (parse and alert on errors)
2. Add **resource monitoring** (disk space, CPU, memory)
3. Implement **update notifications** (available updates for containers)

4. Create **weekly summary report** (uptime stats, backup status)
5. Add **certificate expiration monitoring** (if using HTTPS)

Use **WORKFLOW_PATTERNS.md** as Reference

All future workflows should follow the patterns documented there to ensure consistency and maintainability.

Summary

- ✓ **All user modifications analyzed and documented**
- ✓ **No compatibility issues with Phase 2**
- ✓ **Repository updated with production workflow**
- ✓ **Comprehensive documentation created for future reference**
- ✓ **Ready for Phase 3 development**

The user's enhancements demonstrate mature DevOps practices and production-grade thinking. These patterns will serve as the foundation for all future automation workflows.

Generated: December 24, 2025

Status: Analysis Complete

Next Step: Begin Phase 3 planning or additional automation as needed