

**Task:** Judge if the rules of the form  $t1 \rightarrow t2$ , where  $t1, t2$  are tuples in Open IE format, is a valid inference (can reasonably infer the tuple ( $t2$ ) from the given tuple ( $t1$ )).

For example,  $(x, \text{studies at}, y) \rightarrow (x, \text{is enrolled at}, y)$  or  $(x, \text{is the president of}, y) \rightarrow (x, \text{is the citizen of}, y)$  are valid. But  $(x, \text{chairs}, y) \rightarrow (x, \text{is the president of}, y)$  is invalid.

#### OPENIE FORMAT:

Larry Page; is the CEO of; Google

argument1; relation; argument2

(arg1; rel; arg2)

In the given task assume argument1 to be X and argument2 be Y, for both  $t1$  and  $t2$ .

$t1 \rightarrow t2$

$(x, \text{rel1}, y) \rightarrow (x, \text{rel2}, y)$

#### INPUT FILE FORMAT

Every line has the following format:

rel1;rel2

#### EXPECTED OUTPUT FORMAT

> In front of the tuple, add a tag in the following format:

"tag";rel1;rel2

tag=0/1/2/3

'0' if it was most likely to yield an incorrect inference,

'1' if it will yield a correct inference

'2' if the inference will be accurate in some scenarios and not in others. For example,  $(x, \text{is native to}, y) \rightarrow (x, \text{is grown in}, y)$  will be a valid rule if  $x$  is a crop but not if  $x$  is a person.

'3' if not able to identify the right tag

> If a rel precedes @R@ it means the arguments are switched i.e Y; rel; X in place of X;rel;Y

Example:

1. rel1@R@;rel2  
Y;rel1;X --> X;rel2;Y
2. rel1;rel2@R@  
X;rel1;Y --> Y;rel2;X
3. rel1@R@;rel2@R@  
Y;rel1;X --> Y;rel2;X
4. rel1;rel2  
X;rel1;Y --> X;rel2;Y

Example:

update@R@;be modified by

Y; update; X --> X is modified by Y

is true.

update;be modified by  
X; update; Y --> X is modified by Y  
is NOT true.

**NOTE:**

1. All triples are normalized and in small case.
2. "be" may mean is/was/were

**SAMPLE INPUT**

double as@R@;run as@R@  
climb;come up on  
substitute for;substitute  
update@R@;be modified by  
try to build;try to start  
reduce to;boil to  
be refer to;apply to

**SAMPLE OUTPUT**

"2";double as@R@;run as@R@  
"1";climb;come up on  
"1";substitute for;substitute  
"1";update@R@;be modified by  
"2";try to build;try to start  
"2";reduce to;boil to  
"0";be refer to;apply to

---

<details for #1>

"2";double as@R@;run as@R@  
0-The display doubles as a touchscreen  
1-The bar doubles as a restaurant

<detail for #5>

2";try to build;try to start  
0-Ram is trying to build the code.  
1-She tried to build an emotional relationship with him.

<detail for #6>

2;reduce to;boil to  
0- roadway reduced to one lane --boil down is correct though  
1- discussion reduced to a single question