# Machine Learning 6.867 - Pset 3

November 7, 2015

## 1 Multi-Class SVM

## 2 Neural Networks

Neural networks are used in machine learning to make predictions, similar to logistic regression, SVM, or regression. We can represent neural networks using a graph with nodes and edges (see Bishop figure 5.1). Assume that we observe data  $(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{y}^{(n)}), n = 1, ..., N$ , where  $\mathbf{x}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{D+1}$  and  $\mathbf{y}^{(n)} \in \{0, 1\}^K$ . Let  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = ([x_0, x_1, ..., x_D], [y_1, ..., y_K])$  be a general observation, where  $x_0 = 1$  is a constant term for the bias. We create nodes for each of the features  $x_i$ , referred to as *inputs*, and nodes for each of the class labels  $y_i$ , referred to as *outputs*. Next, we introduce a series of nodes in the middle of the graph, called *hidden units*, and we draw edges connecting the **inputs**  $\rightarrow$  **hidden units**  $\rightarrow$  **outputs**. The key idea in neural networks is that we can model the hidden units as functions of the inputs, and model the outputs as functions of the hidden units.

For 2-layer neural networks, we have one layer of hidden units denoted by  $[z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_M]$ . There are weights  $\mathbf{w}_{ji}^{(1)}$  for each edge  $z_i \to z_j$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{kj}^{(2)}$  for each edge  $z_j \to y_k$ , which are unknown and will be learned through training the model. However, there are no edges from the inputs to  $z_0$ , because we assume  $z_0 = 1$  is a constant term for the bias. Let  $\sigma(\cdot)$  denote the logistic function, which we will use as the *activation function* for both the hidden and output layers of our neural network. The predicted value for output k given parameters  $\mathbf{w} = {\mathbf{w}^{(1)}, \mathbf{w}^{(2)}}$  and input  $\mathbf{x}$  will be:

$$h_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \sigma \left( \sum_{j=1}^M w_{kj}^{(2)} \sigma \left( \sum_{i=0}^D w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i \right) + w_{k0}^{(2)} \right).$$
 (1)

### 2.1 Implementation

#### 2.1.1 Gradient Descent

We implemented a 2-layer regularized neural network using gradient descent. The loss function, which is the negative log-likelihood, is equal to:

$$\ell(\mathbf{w}) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left[ -y_k^{(n)} \log(h_k(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{w})) - (1 - y_k^{(n)}) \log(1 - h_k(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{w})) \right]$$
(2)

We add a regularizer term, and the final cost function becomes:

$$J(\mathbf{w}) \equiv \ell(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda(\|\mathbf{w}^{(1)}\|_F^2 + \|\mathbf{w}^{(2)}\|_F^2),\tag{3}$$

where  $||A||_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^2}$  is the matrix Frobenius norm, and  $\lambda$  is a fixed parameter chosen via cross-validation. To take the derivative of this function, we introduce some more notation:

$$a_j^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{D} w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i \tag{4}$$

$$a_k^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^M w_{kj}^{(2)} \sigma(a_j^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})) + w_{k0}^{(2)}$$
(5)

We refer to  $a_j^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $a_k^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})$  as the *activations* for a fixed value of  $\mathbf{x}$ . It follows that  $h_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(a_k^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}))$ . Taking partial derivatives of J with respect to  $\mathbf{w}_{kj}^{(2)}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{ji}^{(1)}$ , we find:

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{kj}^{(2)}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \frac{-y_k^{(n)}}{h_k(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{w})} + \frac{1 - y_k^{(n)}}{1 - h_k(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{w})} \right) h_k(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{w}) (1 - h_k(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{w})) \sigma(a_j^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})) + 2\lambda \mathbf{w}_{kj}^{(2)}$$
(6)

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{kj}^{(2)}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( -y_k^{(n)} (1 - h_k(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{w})) + (1 - y_k^{(n)}) h_k(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{w}) \right) \sigma(a_j^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})) + 2\lambda \mathbf{w}_{kj}^{(2)}$$
(7)

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{ji}^{(1)}} = \frac{1}{h_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})} \sum_k \sigma(a_2) (1 - \sigma(a_2)) + 2\lambda \mathbf{w}_{ji}^{(1)}$$
(8)

Therefore, the gradients of J with respect to the different groups of parameters  $\mathbf{w}^{(2)}$  and  $\mathbf{w}^{(1)}$  are:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}^{(1)}} J(\mathbf{w}) = \tag{9}$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}^{(2)}} J(\mathbf{w}) = \tag{10}$$

#### 2.1.2 Stochastic Gradient Descent

#### 2.2 Computational Results

## 2.2.1 Toy Problem

#### 2.2.2 MNIST Data