

# CS 5200 Spring 2018 Analysis of Algorithms-Homework3

Xiongming Dai

March 02,2018

## 1 2-4 Inversions

(a) The five inversions of the array can be written as the type of number: (1, 5), (4, 5), (2, 5), (3, 4), (3, 5). Also, if we can express as a type of true value, we could write as follows: (2, 1), (3, 1), (8, 6), (8, 1), (6, 1).

(b) The array with elements from this set have the most inversions is  $(n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1)$ . The number of inversions is  $n-1 + n-2 + n-3 + \dots + 2 + 1 = n(n-1)/2$ .

(c) The running time of insertion sort must be the multiple constant times than the number of inversions. Intuitively, when we sort the array, no matter ascending or descending, it originates from the inversions. Mathematically, assume  $N(i)$  shows the number of  $j < i$  such that  $A[j] > A[i]$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n N(i)$  must equal to the number of inversions for this array  $A$ . When we execute the code, it will update once for each element of  $A$  which satisfy the condition of inversion. Therefore, it will run  $N(j)$  times. We reach this loop once for each iteration of the inner loop, then the number of constant time steps of insertion sort is  $\sum_{j=1}^n N(j)$  which is totally the inversion number of this array  $A$ .

(d) I have updated the algorithm denoted by the name of *ModifiedSort* for the modified merge sort. We can sort the array, also counting the number of inversions. In order to clear what my code implements in detail. I state as follows:

Once the function *Modified-Sort* is called, it will sort the array and return the inversions number where it satisfy the corresponding conditions. I separate the array into two parts so that the elements of the array can compare automatically and iteratively. Secondly, once the function *Merge* is called, it will return the number of inversions as the form  $(i, j)$  where  $i$  is the first half part and  $j$  denotes the half part. If we obtain these parameters, we can accumulate them by summation and it equal to the total number of the inversions for the array. Luckily, we find that the running complication is not changed so much, due to some additional constant-time operation allocated to some specific loops. Therefore, our modified version is  $\theta(n \lg n)$ , compared with original version, our algorithm is more flexible and extensive application.

The corresponding pseudo code is as follows:

```
Modified-Sort(A, p, r)
if p < r then
    q = integer((p+r)/2)
    left = M.Merge-Sort(A, p, q)
    right = M.Merge-Sort(A, q+1, r)
    inv = M.Merge(A, p, q, r) + left + right
return inv
```

```

endif
return 0;

```

M. Merge(A, p, q, r)

```

inv=0
n1=q-p+1
n2=r-q
let L[1,...,n1] and R[1,...,n2] be new arrays
for i=1 to n1 do
    L[i]=A[p+i-1]
end for
for j=1 to n2 do
    R[j]=A[q+j]
end for
i=1
j=1
k=p
while i not equal n1+1 and j not equal n2+1 do
    if L[i]<R[j] then
        A[k]=L[i]
        i=i+1
    else
        A[k]=R[j]
        inv=inv+j
        j=j+1
    end if
    k=k+1
end while
if i==n1+1 then
    for m=j to n2 do
        A[k]=R[m]
        k=k+1
    end for
end if
if j==n2+1 then
    for m=i to n1 do
        A[k]=L[m]
        inv=inv+n2
        k=k+1
    end for
end if
return inv

```

## 2 3-3 Ordering by asymptotic growth rates

It is not complicated for us to find that ranking by decreasing growth rate as follows:  $2^{2^{n+1}}$ ,  $2^{2^n}$ ,  $(n+1)!$ ,  $(n)!$ ,  $n2^n$ ,  $e^n$ ,  $2^n$ ,  $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n$ ,  $(\lg(n))!$ ,  $n^{\lg(\lg(n))}$ ,  $n^3$ ,  $n^2$ ,  $\lg(n!)$ ,  $n$ ,  $(\sqrt{2})^{\lg(n)}$ ,  $2^{\sqrt{2\lg(n)}}$ ,  $\lg^2(n)$ ,

The experimental code is as follows:

```
def f(i):
    if i <= 1:
        return 1
    else:
        return f(i-1)+i*i

def g(n):
    return n*(n+1)*(2*n+1)/6

for i in range(50):
    print i+1, f(i+1), g(i+1)
```

The output is as follows:

```
1 1 1
2 5 5
3 14 14
4 30 30
5 55 55
6 91 91
7 140 140
8 204 204
9 285 285
10 385 385
11 506 506
12 650 650
13 819 819
14 1015 1015
15 1240 1240
16 1496 1496
17 1785 1785
18 2109 2109
19 2470 2470
20 2870 2870
21 3311 3311
22 3795 3795
23 4324 4324
24 4900 4900
25 5525 5525
26 6201 6201
27 6930 6930
```

```

28 7714 7714
29 8555 8555
30 9455 9455
31 10416 10416
32 11440 11440
33 12529 12529
34 13685 13685
35 14910 14910
36 16206 16206
37 17575 17575
38 19019 19019
39 20540 20540
40 22140 22140
41 23821 23821
42 25585 25585
43 27434 27434
44 29370 29370
45 31395 31395
46 33511 33511
47 35720 35720
48 38024 38024
49 40425 40425
50 42925 42925

```

Step1. Define the problem:

$D$  is the set of natural numbers and includes the stopping value 1. We want to prove that for all  $n$  in  $D$ , the returns of  $f(n) == g(n)$  is always true. when  $f(i)$  and  $g(n)$  are defined as above.

Step2. Check the stopping and 2 other values

We have done this with the program, but can do it by hand  $f(1) = 1; f(2) = 5; f(3) = 14; f(4) = 30; g(1) = 1; g(2) = 5; g(3) = 14; g(4) = 30$

Step3. Prove Recursion Stays in  $D$

The recursive relation is:  $f(i) = i^2 + f(i - 1)$  Recursion is only called if  $i > 1$  Thus, in all cases  $i - 1 > 0$  thus, the call is made with a value in  $D$

Step4. Prove that Recursion Halts.

Our method for doing this is the Halting Strategie. This means, that we first identify something that we can attach a natural number to ! Since  $n$  is a natural number, it seems logical to try  $n$  as the counter The recursive relation is :  $f(i) = i^2 + f(i - 1)$  It is easy to see that the counter decreases!

Step5. Prove that expression is inherited recursively

We want to prove that  $f(n) == g(n)$  is true, assuming that  $f(n - 1) == g(n - 1)$  is true;  $f(n) = n^2 + f(n - 1)$ ,  $f(n) = n^2 + g(n - 1)$ ,  $g(n - 1) = (n - 1)n(2n - 1)/6$ , Combine these equations, we have  $f(n) = g(n)$

Since we have verified Steps1-5, we can conclude that it is true for all elements of  $D$ , this means that  $f(n) = g(n)$  for all natural numbers.

### 3 Consecutive integers

The experimental code is as follows:

```
def f(n):
```

```

    if n==0:
        return 9
    else:
        return 9*n**2+9*n+9+f(n-1)

def g(n):
    return (n**3+(n+1)**3+(n+2)**3)

def P(n):
    return (f(n)==g(n)) and (0==(g(n) %9))

for n in range(16):
    print "n=%2d f(n)=%4d g(n)=%4d P(n)=%s"% (n, f(n), g(n), P(n))

```

The output is as follows:

```

n= 0 f(n)=    9 g(n)=    9 P(n)=True
n= 1 f(n)=   36 g(n)=   36 P(n)=True
n= 2 f(n)=   99 g(n)=   99 P(n)=True
n= 3 f(n)=  216 g(n)=  216 P(n)=True
n= 4 f(n)=  405 g(n)=  405 P(n)=True
n= 5 f(n)=  684 g(n)=  684 P(n)=True
n= 6 f(n)=1071 g(n)=1071 P(n)=True
n= 7 f(n)=1584 g(n)=1584 P(n)=True
n= 8 f(n)=2241 g(n)=2241 P(n)=True
n= 9 f(n)=3060 g(n)=3060 P(n)=True
n=10 f(n)=4059 g(n)=4059 P(n)=True
n=11 f(n)=5256 g(n)=5256 P(n)=True
n=12 f(n)=6669 g(n)=6669 P(n)=True
n=13 f(n)=8316 g(n)=8316 P(n)=True
n=14 f(n)=10215 g(n)=10215 P(n)=True
n=15 f(n)=12384 g(n)=12384 P(n)=True

```

Step1. Define the Problem

The domain  $D$  is the set of natural numbers, we want to prove that  $P(n)$  is true for all natural numbers where these functions are defined as above.

Step2. Check the Stopping and Two Other Values

$f(0) = 9, g(0) = 9, 9 \bmod 9$  equal to zero. Therefore,  $P(0)$  is true.  $f(1) = 39, g(1) = 36, 36 \bmod 9$  equal to zero. Therefore,  $P(1)$  is true.  $f(2) = 99, g(2) = 99, 99 \bmod 9$  equal to zero. Therefore,  $P(2)$  is true.

Step 3. Prove that Recursion Stays in  $D$

$f$  is the only recursively defined function and its formula is given above. Recursion is only called if  $n$  is larger or equal to 1. The value called is  $n - 1$ .  $n - 1$  is larger or equal to zero, so the calling value remains in  $D$ .

Step4. Prove that Recursion Halts.

Our method for doing this is the Halting Strategy, this means that we first identify something what

we can attach a natural number 0. Since  $n$  is a natural number, it seems logical to try  $n$  as the counter. The recursive relation is:  $f(n) = 9n^2 + 9n + 9 + f(n-1)$ , it is easy to see that the counter decreases!

Step 5. Prove that  $P$  is inherited recursively.

We may assume that  $P(n-1)$  is true. It means that  $g(n-1) = f(n-1)$  and  $g(n-1) \bmod 3$  equal to 0. we begin with  $f(n) = 9n^2 + 9n + 9 + f(n-1)$ ,  $f(n) = 9n^2 + 9n + 9 + g(n-1) = g(n)$ ,  $f(n) = 9(n^2 + n + 1) + g(n-1) = g(n)$  Therefore,  $P(n)$  is true.

Step 6. Conclude the Proof.

Since we have verified Steps 1-5, we can conclude that  $P(n)$  is true for all elements of  $D$ . This means that  $f(n) = g(n)$  for all natural numbers, and that  $g(n) \bmod 9 = 0$  for all natural numbers.

The program works recursively as the above statement.

## 4 Divisible by 133

The experimental code is as follows:

```
def f(n):
    if n==0:
        return 11*11+12
    else:
        return 10*11**((n+1)+(12*12-1)*12**((2*n-1)+f(n-1))

def g(n):
    return (11**((n+2)+12**((2*n+1)))

def P(n):
    return (f(n)==g(n)) and (0==(g(n) %133))

for n in range(10):
    print "n=%2d f(n)=%4d g(n)=%4d P(n)=%s"%(n, f(n), g(n), P(n))
```

The output is as follows:

```
n= 0 f(n)= 133 g(n)= 133 P(n)=True
n= 1 f(n)=3059 g(n)=3059 P(n)=True
n= 2 f(n)=263473 g(n)=263473 P(n)=True
n= 3 f(n)=35992859 g(n)=35992859 P(n)=True
n= 4 f(n)=5161551913 g(n)=5161551913 P(n)=True
n= 5 f(n)=743027857859 g(n)=743027857859 P(n)=True
n= 6 f(n)=106993419737953 g(n)=106993419737953 P(n)=True
n= 7 f(n)=15407023932534059 g(n)=15407023932534059 P(n)=True
n= 8 f(n)=2218611132677861593 g(n)=2218611132677861593 P(n)=True
n= 9 f(n)=319479999655934597459 g(n)=319479999655934597459 P(n)=True
```

Step 1. Define the Problem

The domain  $D$  is the set of natural numbers, we want to prove that  $P(n)$  is true for all natural

numbers where these functions are defined as above.

Step2. Check the Stopping and Two Other Values

$f(0) = 133, g(0) = 133, 133 \bmod 133$  equal to zero. Therefore,  $P(0)$  is true.  $f(1) = 3059, g(1) = 3059, 3059 \bmod 133$  equal to zero. Therefore,  $P(1)$  is true.  $f(2) = 263473, g(2) = 263473, 263473 \bmod 133$  equal to zero. Therefore,  $P(2)$  is true.

Step 3. Prove that Recursion Stays in  $D$

$f$  is the only recursively defined function and its formula is give above. Recursion is only called if  $n$  is larger or equal to 1. The value called is  $n - 1$   $n - 1$  is larger or equal to zero, so the calling value remains in  $D$ .

Step4. Prove that Recursion Halts.

Our method for doing this is the Halting Strategy, this means that we first identify something what we can attach a natural number 0. Since  $n$  is a natural number, it seems logical to try  $n$  as the counter. The recursive relation is:  $f(n) = 10 * 11^{n+1} + 143 * 12^{2n-1} + f(n-1)$ , it is easy to see that the counter decreases!

Step5. Prove that  $P$  is inherited recursively.

We may assume that  $P(n-1)$  is true. It means that  $g(n-1) = f(n-1)$  and  $g(n-1) \bmod 3$  equal to 0. we begin with  $f(n) = 10 * 11^{n+1} + 143 * 12^{2n-1} + f(n-1), f(n) = 10 * 11^{n+1} + 143 * 12^{2n-1} + g(n-1) = g(n)$  .. Therefore,  $P(n)$  is true.

Step6. Conclude the Proof.

Since we have verified Steps1-5, we can conclude that  $P(n)$  is true for all elements of  $D$ . This means that  $f(n) = g(n)$  for all natural numbers, and that  $g(n) \bmod 133 = 0$  for all natural numbers.

The program works recursively as the above statement.

## 5 Fib Proof

In order to prove that for

$$2^n \geq fib(n) \geq 2^{n/2}, (n \geq 2)$$

, we just need to prove

$$2^{n+1} \geq fib(n+1) \geq 2^{(n+1)/2}, (n \geq 2)$$

, assume  $2^n \geq fib(n) \geq 2^{n/2}, (n \geq 2)$  . If  $n = 2, 2^2 \geq fib(2) = 2 \geq 2^1$ ,

$$2^n \geq fib(n) \geq 2^{n/2}, (n \geq 2) \tag{1}$$

$$2^{n-1} \geq fib(n-1) \geq 2^{(n-1)/2}, (n \geq 2) \tag{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2^n + 2^{n-1} \geq fib(n) + fib(n-1) \geq 2^{n/2} + 2^{(n-1)/2}, (n \geq 2)$$

$$\Rightarrow fib(n) + fib(n-1) \leq 2^n + 2^{n-1} < 2^{n+1} \quad 2^{n/2} + 2^{(n-1)/2} = 2^{n/2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + 1 \right) > 2^{n/2} \bullet \sqrt{2} = 2^{(n+1)/2} \Rightarrow 2^{n+1} \geq fib(n+1) \geq 2^{(n+1)/2}$$

Therefore, it has been proved!

## 6 Fibcount

The experimental code is as follows:

```
def fibcount(n):
    if n < 2:
        return (1, 1)
```

```

f1 ,c1=fibcount(n-1)
f2 ,c2=fibcount(n-2)
return (f1+f2 ,c1+c2+1)

```

```

def Rec(n):
    if n<2:
        return (1)
    else:
        return Rec(n-1)+Rec(n-2)+1

```

```

def fibCC(n):
    d1 ,d2=fibcount(n)
    return d2

```

```

def P(n):
    return (Rec(n)==fibCC(n))
for n in range(30):
    print "n=%2d Rec(n)=%4d fibCC(n)=%4d P(n)=%s"% (n,Rec(n),fibCC(n),P(n))

```

The output is as follows:

```

n= 0 Rec(n)=    1 fibCC(n)=    1 P(n)=True
n= 1 Rec(n)=    1 fibCC(n)=    1 P(n)=True
n= 2 Rec(n)=    3 fibCC(n)=    3 P(n)=True
n= 3 Rec(n)=    5 fibCC(n)=    5 P(n)=True
n= 4 Rec(n)=    9 fibCC(n)=    9 P(n)=True
n= 5 Rec(n)=   15 fibCC(n)=   15 P(n)=True
n= 6 Rec(n)=   25 fibCC(n)=   25 P(n)=True
n= 7 Rec(n)=   41 fibCC(n)=   41 P(n)=True
n= 8 Rec(n)=   67 fibCC(n)=   67 P(n)=True
n= 9 Rec(n)=  109 fibCC(n)=  109 P(n)=True
n=10 Rec(n)=  177 fibCC(n)=  177 P(n)=True
n=11 Rec(n)=  287 fibCC(n)=  287 P(n)=True
n=12 Rec(n)=  465 fibCC(n)=  465 P(n)=True
n=13 Rec(n)=  753 fibCC(n)=  753 P(n)=True
n=14 Rec(n)=1219 fibCC(n)=1219 P(n)=True
n=15 Rec(n)=1973 fibCC(n)=1973 P(n)=True
n=16 Rec(n)=3193 fibCC(n)=3193 P(n)=True
n=17 Rec(n)=5167 fibCC(n)=5167 P(n)=True
n=18 Rec(n)=8361 fibCC(n)=8361 P(n)=True
n=19 Rec(n)=13529 fibCC(n)=13529 P(n)=True
n=20 Rec(n)=21891 fibCC(n)=21891 P(n)=True
n=21 Rec(n)=35421 fibCC(n)=35421 P(n)=True
n=22 Rec(n)=57313 fibCC(n)=57313 P(n)=True
n=23 Rec(n)=92735 fibCC(n)=92735 P(n)=True
n=24 Rec(n)=150049 fibCC(n)=150049 P(n)=True
n=25 Rec(n)=242785 fibCC(n)=242785 P(n)=True
n=26 Rec(n)=392835 fibCC(n)=392835 P(n)=True
n=27 Rec(n)=635621 fibCC(n)=635621 P(n)=True

```



$n=28$   $Rec(n)=1028457$   $fibCC(n)=1028457$   $P(n)=True$

$n=29$   $Rec(n)=1664079$   $fibCC(n)=1664079$   $P(n)=True$

Step1. Define the Problem

The domain  $D$  is the set of natural numbers, we want to prove that  $P(n)$  is true for all natural numbers where these functions are defined as above.

Step2. Check the Stopping and Two Other Values

$Rec(0) = 1$ ,  $fibCC(0) = 1$ . Therefore,  $P(0)$  is true.  $Rec(1) = 1$ ,  $fibCC(1) = 1$ . Therefore,  $P(1)$  is true.  $Rec(2) = 3$ ,  $fibCC(2) = 3$ . Therefore,  $P(2)$  is true.

Step 3. Prove that Recursion Stays in  $D$

$Rec$  is the only recursively defined function and its formula is given above. Recursion is only called if  $n$  is larger or equal to 2. The value called is  $n - 1$ ,  $n - 1$  is larger or equal to one, so the calling value remains in  $D$ .

Step4. Prove that Recursion Halts.

Our method for doing this is the Halting Strategy, this means that we first identify something what we can attach a natural number 0. Since  $n$  is a natural number, it seems logical to try  $n$  as the counter. The recursive relation is:

$Rec(n) = Rec(n - 1) + Rec(n - 2) + 1$ , it is easy to see that the counter decreases!

Step5. Prove that  $P$  is inherited recursively.

From  $fibCC(n)$ , we find that  $fibCC(n) = 2 * fib(n - 1) + 2 * fib(n - 2) - 1$ , if  $Rec(n - 1) = Rec(n - 2) + Rec(n - 3) + 1$ , We can easily find that  $Rec(n) = 2 * fib(n - 1) + 2 * fib(n - 2) - 1 = fibCC(n)$ . Therefore,  $P(n)$  is true.

Step6. Conclude the Proof.

Since we have verified Steps 1-5, we can conclude that  $P(n)$  is true for all elements of  $D$ . This means that  $fibCC(n) = Rec(n)$  for all natural numbers.

The program works recursively as the above statement.

For the second part, if  $n = 0$ ,  $Rec(0) = 2fib(0) - 1 = 1$ , in order to prove  $Rec(n) = 2fib(n) - 1$ , we just need to prove  $Rec(n + 1) = 2fib(n + 1) - 1$ , given  $Rec(n) = 2fib(n) - 1$ . From  $Rec(n) = Rec(n - 1) + Rec(n - 2) + 1$ ,  $Rec(n + 1) = Rec(n) + Rec(n - 1) + 1$ ,  $= 2fib(n) - 1 + 2fib(n - 1) - 1 + 1 = 2fib(n) + 2fib(n - 1) - 1 = 2fib(n + 1) - 1$

## 7 Konig's Tree

The first Method:

According to the hint of the problem, we can choose an infinite sequence of nodes  $F(0), F(1), F(2), \dots, F(n)$  of  $T$  such that: 1.  $F(0)$  is the root node; 2.  $F(n)$  is a child of  $F(n - 1)$ ; 3. Each  $F(n - 1)$  has infinitely many descendants. It is very easy to find that the sequence  $F(0), F(1), F(2), \dots$  is the branch of infinite length.

First, the root node  $F[0]$ , from the definition, it has a finite number of children. Assume that all of these children had a finite number of descendants. It means that  $F(0)$  had a finite number of descents, consequently  $F(0)$  has at least one child with descendants. Thus, we might pick  $F(1)$  as any one of those children.

Now, suppose node  $F(n - 1)$  has infinitely many descendants. As  $F(n - 1)$  has a finite number of children, by the same argument as above,  $F(n - 1)$  has at least one child with infinitely many descendants. Thus we may pick  $F(n)$  which has infinitely many descendants. Similarly, it has at least one children with infinitely descendants, that means the function  $F$  gives an infinite path through  $T$ . The problem is being proofed.

The second Method:

$F(0)$  is the root of  $T$ , we can establish the path beginning with  $a$ . Assume  $t_0 = a, t_1, t_2, \dots$  be the levels of  $T$ . Next we separate all vertices in levels higher than  $t_0$  into as many finite parts as is the cardinality of  $t_1$ . That is to say, if  $t_1 = b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  then we separate the vertices in  $n$  parts:  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ . This is conducted as follows in detail: All the vertex  $l$  will be connected to the root  $a$  via some point  $b_i$ . We can take  $l$  in  $A_i$ . Therefore, we can separate infinitely many vertices in finitely many parts, one of them will contain infinitely many vertices. Assume that part be  $A_j$ . We can choose  $l_1 = b_j$  as the next vertex in current under-constructed path. Next we can remove the vertex  $a$  and consider some containing  $l_1$ . While it is easy to find that it is also an infinite tree with each level finite and having  $l_1$  as its root. Similarly, we get a vertex  $l_2$  that is near to  $l_1$  and has infinitely many vertices corresponding to it. We can continue establishing the remaining path by selecting  $l_2$  as a part. By induction, we can obtain an infinite path based on these roots. Therefore,  $T$  has an infinite path.

## 8 Full Binary Tree

(a) Python functions that calculate  $L$  and  $I$  given a full binary tree as input:

```
def leaves_and_internals ( tree ) :

    leaves = []
    internal = []

    if tree.l is None and tree.r is None:
        leaves.append ( tree.v )
    else :
        internal.append ( tree.v )
    if tree.l :
        subleaf , subinternal = tree.l.leaves_and_internals ()
        leaves.extend ( subleaf )
        internal.extend ( subinternal )
    if tree.r :
        subleaf , subinternal = tree.r.leaves_and_internals ()
        leaves.extend ( subleaf )
        internal.extend ( subinternal )

    return ( leaves , internal )
```

(b) Run some samples cases of this function, I find that if the number of internal nodes is  $n$ , the number of leaves is  $n + 1$ . Some part of the main code is as follows:

```
#!/usr/bin/python

class Node:
    def __init__(self, val):
        self.l = None
        self.r = None
        self.v = val
```

```

class Tree:
    def __init__(self):
        self.root = None

    def getRoot(self):
        return self.root

    def add(self, val):
        if(self.root == None):
            self.root = Node(val)
        else:
            self._add(val, self.root)

    def _add(self, val, node):
        if(val < node.v):
            if(node.l != None):
                self._add(val, node.l)
            else:
                node.l = Node(val)
        else:
            if(node.r != None):
                self._add(val, node.r)
            else:
                node.r = Node(val)

    def find(self, val):
        if(self.root != None):
            return self._find(val, self.root)
        else:
            return None

    def _find(self, val, node):
        if(val == node.v):
            return node
        elif(val < node.v and node.l != None):
            self._find(val, node.l)
        elif(val > node.v and node.r != None):
            self._find(val, node.r)

    def deleteTree(self):
        # garbage collector will do this for us.
        self.root = None

    def printTree(self):
        if(self.root != None):
            self._printTree(self.root)

    def _printTree(self, node):

```

```

        if (node != None):
            self._printTree(node.l)
            print str(node.v) + ' '
            self._printTree(node.r)

#      3
# 0    4
#  2    8
tree = Tree()
tree.add(3)
tree.add(4)
tree.add(0)
tree.add(8)
tree.add(2)
tree.printTree()

```

(c) prove that the relationship I conjectured in (b) is correct. As the full binary tree's all the node have the largest node is less or equal to 2, we consider the number of leaves as  $n_0$ , the number of some nodes that have only one descendant is  $n_1$ , the number of some nodes that have two descendant is  $n_2$ : we have the total nodes:  $n = n_0 + n_1 + n_2$ . On the other hand, the total number of descendant is  $n_1 + 2 * n_2$ . In this full binary tree, only the root is not the descendant of the other nodes, therefore, the total number of nodes in the full binary tree can also be denoted as  $n = n_1 + 2 * n_2 + 1$ . From these two equations, we have  $n_0 = n_2 + 1$ . Therefore, (b) have been proved.