

### 3.4 The correspondence $V$

$k$  is any field, and  $A = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Following an almost universal idiosyncracy of algebraic geometers<sup>1</sup>, I write  $\mathbb{A}_k^n = k^n$  for the  $n$ -dimensional affine space over  $k$ ; given a polynomial  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in A$  and a point  $P = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}_k^n$ , the element  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k$  is thought of as ‘evaluating the function  $f$  at  $P$ ’. Define a correspondence

$$\{\text{ideals } J \subset A\} \longrightarrow \{\text{subsets } X \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n\}$$

by

$$J \longmapsto V(J) = \{P \in \mathbb{A}_k^n \mid f(P) = 0 \ \forall f \in J\}.$$

**Definition** A subset  $X \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n$  is an *algebraic set* if  $X = V(I)$  for some  $I$ . (This is the same thing as a variety, but I want to reserve the word.) Notice that by Corollary 3.3,  $I$  is finitely generated. If  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  then clearly

$$V(I) = \{P \in \mathbb{A}_k^n \mid f_i(P) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r\},$$

so that an algebraic set is just a locus of points satisfying a finite number of polynomial equations.

If  $I = (f)$  is a principal ideal, then I usually write  $V(f)$  for  $V(I)$ ; this is of course the same thing as  $V : (f = 0)$  in the notation of §§1–2.

### 3.5 Definition: the Zariski topology

**Proposition-Definition** *The correspondence  $V$  satisfies the following formal properties:*

- (i)  $V(0) = \mathbb{A}_k^n; V(A) = \emptyset;$
- (ii)  $I \subset J \implies V(I) \supseteq V(J);$
- (iii)  $V(I_1 \cap I_2) = V(I_1) \cup V(I_2);$
- (iv)  $V(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V(I_\lambda).$

Hence the algebraic subsets of  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  form the closed sets of a topology on  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$ , the Zariski topology.

The above properties are quite trivial, with the exception of the inclusion  $\subset$  in (iii). For this, suppose  $P \notin V(I_1) \cup V(I_2)$ ; then there exist  $f \in I_1, g \in I_2$  such that  $f(P) \neq 0, g(P) \neq 0$ . So  $fg \in I_1 \cap I_2$ , but  $fg(P) \neq 0$ , and therefore  $P \notin V(I_1 \cap I_2)$ . Q.E.D.

The Zariski topology on  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  induces a topology on any algebraic set  $X \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n$ : the closed subsets of  $X$  are the algebraic subsets.

It’s important to notice that the Zariski topology on a variety is very weak, and is quite different from the familiar topology of metric spaces like  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . As an example, a Zariski closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  is either the whole of  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  or is finite; see Ex. 3.12 for a description of the Zariski topology on  $\mathbb{A}_k^2$ . If  $k = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  then Zariski closed sets are also closed for the ordinary topology, since polynomial functions are continuous. In fact they’re very special open or closed subsets: a nonempty Zariski open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the complement of a subvariety, so automatically dense in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The Zariski topology may cause trouble to some students; since it is only being used as a language, and has almost no content, the difficulty is likely to be psychological rather than technical.

---

<sup>1</sup>  $\mathbb{A}^n$  is thought of as a variety, whereas  $k^n$  is just a point set. Think of this as pure pedantry if you like; compare (4.6) below, as well as (8.3).