

putting them together gives a decomposition for (\*), so  $X \notin \Sigma$ . This contradiction proves  $\Sigma = \emptyset$ . This proves the existence part of (b). The uniqueness is an easy exercise, see Ex. 3.8. Q.E.D.

The proof of (b) is a typical algebraist's proof: it's logically very neat, but almost completely hides the content: the real point is that if  $X$  is not irreducible, then it breaks up as  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ , and then you ask the same thing about  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , and so on; eventually, you must get to irreducible algebraic sets, since otherwise you'd get an infinite descending chain.

### 3.8 Preparation for the Nullstellensatz

I now want to state and prove the Nullstellensatz. There is an intrinsic difficulty in any proof of the Nullstellensatz, and I choose to break it up into two segments. Firstly I state without proof an assertion in commutative algebra, which will be proved in (3.15) below (in fact parts of the proof will have strong geometric content).

**Hard Fact** *Let  $k$  be a (infinite) field, and  $A = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$  a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. Then*

$$A \text{ is a field} \implies A \text{ is algebraic over } k.$$

Just to give a rough idea why this is true, notice that if  $t \in A$  is transcendental over  $k$ , then  $k[t]$  is a polynomial ring, so *has infinitely many primes* (by Euclid's argument). Hence the extension  $k \subset k(t)$  is not finitely generated as  $k$ -algebra: finitely many elements  $p_i/q_i \in k(t)$  can have only finitely many primes among their denominators.

### 3.9 Definition: radical ideal

**Definition** If  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$ , the *radical* of  $I$  is

$$\text{rad } I = \sqrt{I} = \{f \in A \mid f^n \in I \text{ for some } n\}.$$

$\text{rad } I$  is an ideal, since  $f, g \in \text{rad } I \implies f^n, g^m \in I$  for suitable  $n, m$ , and therefore

$$(f+g)^r = \sum \binom{r}{a} f^a g^{r-a} \in I \quad \text{if } r \geq n+m-1.$$

An ideal  $I$  is *radical* if  $I = \text{rad } I$ .

Note that a prime ideal is radical. It's not hard to see that in a UFD like  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , a principal ideal  $I = (f)$  where  $f = \prod f_i^{n_i}$  (factorisation into distinct prime factors), has  $\text{rad } I = (f_{\text{red}})$ , where  $f_{\text{red}} = \prod f_i$ .

**Nullstellensatz 3.10 (Hilbert's zeros theorem)** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field.*

- (a) *Every maximal ideal of the polynomial ring  $A = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is of the form  $m_P = (X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n)$  for some point  $P = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}_k^n$ ; that is, it's the ideal  $I(P)$  of all functions vanishing at  $P$ .*
- (b) *Let  $J \subset A$  be an ideal,  $J \neq (1)$ ; then  $V(J) \neq \emptyset$ .*

(c) For any  $J \subset A$ ,

$$I(V(J)) = \text{rad } J.$$

The essential content of the theorem is (b), which says that if an ideal  $J$  is not the whole of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , then it will have zeros in  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$ . Note that (b) is completely false if  $k$  is not algebraically closed, since if  $f \in k[X]$  is a nonconstant polynomial then it will not generate the whole of  $k[X]$  as an ideal, but  $V(f) = \emptyset \subset \mathbb{A}_k^1$  is perfectly possible. The name of the theorem (*Nullstelle* = zero of a polynomial + *Satz* = theorem) should help to remind you of the content (but stick to the German if you don't want to be considered an ignorant peasant).

**Corollary** *The correspondences  $V$  and  $I$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{ \text{ideals } I \subset A \} & \xleftrightarrow{V,I} & \{ \text{subsets } X \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n \} \\ \text{induce bijections} & \cup & \cup \\ & \{ \text{radical ideals} \} & \longleftrightarrow \quad \{ \text{algebraic subsets} \} \\ \text{and} & \cup & \cup \\ & \{ \text{prime ideals} \} & \longleftrightarrow \quad \{ \text{irreducible alg. subsets} \}. \end{array}$$

This holds because  $V(I(X)) = X$  for any algebraic set  $X$  by (3.6, b), and  $I(V(J)) = J$  for any radical ideal  $J$  by (c) above.

**Proof of NSS (assuming (3.8))** (a) Let  $m \subset k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be a maximal ideal; write  $K = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/m$ , and  $\varphi$  for the composite of natural maps  $\varphi: k \rightarrow k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow K$ . Then  $K$  is a field (since  $m$  is maximal), and it is finitely generated as  $k$ -algebra (since it is generated by the images of the  $X_i$ ). So by (3.8),  $\varphi: k \rightarrow K$  is an algebraic field extension. But  $k$  is algebraically closed, hence  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

Now for each  $i$ ,  $X_i \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  maps to some element  $b_i \in K$ ; so taking  $a_i = \varphi^{-1}(b_i)$  gives  $X_i - a_i \in \ker\{k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow K\} = m$ . Hence there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in k$  such that  $(X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n) \subset m$ . On the other hand, it's clear that the left-hand side is a maximal ideal, so  $(X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n) = m$ . This proves (a).

(a)  $\implies$  (b) This is easy. If  $J \neq A = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  then there exists a maximal ideal  $m$  of  $A$  such that  $J \subset m$  (the existence of  $m$  is easy to check, using the a.c.c.). By (a),  $m$  is of the form

$$m = (X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n);$$

then  $J \subset m$  just means that  $f(P) = 0$  for all  $f \in J$ , where  $P = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Therefore  $P \in V(J)$ .

(b)  $\implies$  (c) This requires a cunning trick. Let  $J \subset k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be any ideal, and  $f \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Introduce another variable  $Y$ , and consider the new ideal

$$J_1 = (J, fY - 1) \subset k[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y]$$

generated by  $J$  and  $fY - 1$ . Roughly speaking,  $V(J_1)$  is the variety consisting of  $P \in V(J)$  such that  $f(P) \neq 0$ . More precisely, a point  $Q \in V(J_1) \subset \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1}$  is an  $(n+1)$ -tuple  $Q = (a_1, \dots, a_n, b)$  such that

$$g(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in J, \quad \text{that is, } P = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V(J),$$

and

$$f(P) \cdot b = 1, \quad \text{that is, } f(P) \neq 0 \text{ and } b = f(P)^{-1}.$$

Now suppose that  $f(P) = 0$  for all  $P \in V(J)$ ; then clearly, from what I've just said,  $V(J_1) = \emptyset$ . So I can use (b) to deduce that  $1 \in J_1$ , that is, there exists an expression

$$1 = \sum g_i f_i + g_0(fY - 1) \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y] \quad (**)$$

with  $f_i \in J$ , and  $g_0, g_i \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y]$ .

Consider the way in which  $Y$  appears in the right-hand side of (\*\*): apart from its explicit appearance in the second term, it can appear in each of the  $g_i$ ; suppose that  $Y^N$  is the highest power of  $Y$  appearing in any of  $g_0, g_i$ . If I then multiply through both sides of (\*\*) by  $f^N$ , I get a relation of the form

$$f^N = \sum G_i(X_1, \dots, X_n, fY) f_i + G_0(X_1, \dots, X_n, fY)(fY - 1); \quad (***)$$

here  $G_i$  is just  $f^N g_i$  written out as a polynomial in  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $fY$ .

(\*\*\*) is just an equality of polynomials in  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y]$ , so I can reduce it modulo  $(fY - 1)$  to get

$$f^N = \sum h_i(X_1, \dots, X_n) f_i \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y]/(fY - 1);$$

both sides of the equation are elements of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Since the natural homomorphism  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \hookrightarrow k[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y]/(fY - 1)$  is injective (it is just the inclusion of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  into  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n][f^{-1}]$ , as a subring of its field of fractions), it follows that

$$f^N = \sum h_i(X_1, \dots, X_n) f_i \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n];$$

that is,  $f^N \in J$  for some  $N$ . Q.E.D.

**Remark** Several of the textbooks cut the argument short by just saying that (\*\*) is an identity, so it remains true if we set  $Y = f^{-1}$ . This is of course perfectly valid, but I have preferred to spell it out in detail.

### 3.11 Worked examples

- (a) Hypersurfaces. The simplest example of a variety is the hypersurface  $V(f) : (f = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n$ . If  $k$  is algebraically closed, there is just the obvious correspondence between irreducible elements  $f \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and irreducible hypersurfaces: it follows from the Nullstellensatz that two distinct irreducible polynomials  $f_1, f_2$  (not multiples of one another) define different hypersurfaces  $V(f_1)$  and  $V(f_2)$ . This is not at all obvious (for example, it's false over  $\mathbb{R}$ ), although it can be proved without using the Nullstellensatz by *elimination theory*, a much more explicit method with a nice 19th century flavour; see Ex. 3.13.
- (b) Once past the hypersurfaces, most varieties are given by “lots” of equations; contrary to intuition, it is usually the case that the ideal  $I(X)$  needs many generators, that is, many more than the codimension of  $X$ . I give an example of a curve  $C \subset \mathbb{A}_k^3$  for which  $I(C)$  needs 3 generators; assume that  $k$  is an infinite field.