

## 4.10 Composition of rational maps

The composite  $g \circ f$  of rational maps  $f: V \dashrightarrow W$  and  $g: W \dashrightarrow U$  may not be defined. This is a difficulty caused by the fact that a rational map is not a map: in a natural and obvious sense, the composite is a map defined on  $\text{dom } f \cap f^{-1}(\text{dom } g)$ ; however, it can perfectly well happen that this is empty (see Ex. 4.10).

Expressed algebraically, the same problem also occurs: suppose that  $f$  is given by  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k(V)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} f: V &\dashrightarrow W \subset \mathbb{A}^m \\ \text{by} \quad P &\mapsto f_1(P), \dots, f_m(P) \end{aligned}$$

for  $P \in \bigcap \text{dom } f_i$ ; any  $g \in k[W]$  is of the form  $g = G \bmod I(W)$  for some  $G \in k[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]$ , and  $g \circ f = G(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  is well defined in  $k(V)$ . So exactly as in (4.4), there is a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism

$$f^*: k[W] \rightarrow k(V)$$

corresponding to  $f$ . However, if  $h \in k[W]$  is in the kernel of  $f^*$ , then no meaning can be attached to  $f^*(g/h)$ , so that  $f^*$  cannot be extended to a field homomorphism  $k(W) \rightarrow k(V)$ .

**Definition**  $f: V \dashrightarrow W$  is *dominant* if  $f(\text{dom } f)$  is dense in  $W$  for the Zariski topology.

Geometrically, this means that  $f^{-1}(\text{dom } g) \subset \text{dom } f$  is a dense open set for any rational map  $g: W \dashrightarrow U$ , so that  $g \circ f$  is defined on a dense open set of  $V$ , so is a partially defined map  $V \dashrightarrow U$ .

Algebraically,

$$f \text{ is dominant} \iff f^*: k[W] \rightarrow k(V) \text{ is injective.}$$

For given  $g \in k[W]$ ,

$$g \in \ker f^* \iff f(\text{dom } f) \subset V(g),$$

that is,  $f^*$  is not injective if and only if  $f(\text{dom } f)$  is contained in a strict algebraic subset of  $W$ .

Clearly, the composite  $g \circ f$  of rational maps  $f$  and  $g$  is defined provided that  $f$  is dominant:  $g \circ f$  is the rational map whose components are  $f^*(g_i)$ . Notice that the domain of  $g \circ f$  certainly contains  $f^{-1}(\text{dom } g) \cap \text{dom } f$ , but may very well be larger (see Ex. 4.6).

**Theorem 4.11** (I) A dominant rational map  $f: V \dashrightarrow W$  defines a field homomorphism  $f^*: k(W) \rightarrow k(V)$ .

(II) Conversely, a  $k$ -homomorphism  $\Phi: k(W) \rightarrow k(V)$  comes from a uniquely defined dominant rational map  $f: V \dashrightarrow W$ .

(III) If  $f$  and  $g$  are dominant then  $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$ .

The proof requires only minor modifications to that of (4.4).

## 4.12 Morphisms from an open subset of an affine variety

Let  $V, W$  be affine varieties, and  $U \subset V$  an open subset.