

Data Structures & Algorithms - Problem Sheet 2

Dom Hutchinson

October 16, 2018

My Solution 1

$$10^{100}, 3 \log_2 n, \sqrt{n}, n \log_2 n, n^2, 3(\log_2 n)^5, n^4 - 10n^2, n2^n + n, n3^n, n! - 14$$

My Solution 2

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(0) &= 0 \\ f(1) &= 1000 \\ f(n) &= 2f(n-1) + 3f(n-2) + 1000 \\ \text{Set } \lambda^2 &= 2\lambda + 3 \\ \implies \lambda^2 - 2\lambda - 3 &= 0 \\ (\lambda - 3)(\lambda + 1) &= 0 \\ \implies \lambda_1 = -1 \text{ \& } \lambda_2 = 3 \\ \text{Set } f(n) &= \alpha(-1)^n + \beta 3^n + \gamma \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Since } f(n) &= 2f(n-1) + 3f(n-2) + 1000 \\ &= 2[\alpha(-1)^{n-1} + \beta 3^{n-1} + \gamma] + 3[\alpha(-1)^{n-2} + \beta 3^{n-2} + \gamma] + 1000 \\ &= 2\alpha(-1)^{n-1} + 2\beta 3^{n-1} + 2\gamma - 3\alpha(-1)^{n-1} + \beta 3^{n-1} + 3\gamma + 1000 \\ &= -\alpha(-1)^{n-1} + \beta 3^n + 5\gamma + 1000 \\ &= \alpha(-1)^n + \beta 3^n + 5\gamma + 1000 \\ &= f(n) + 4\gamma + 1000 \\ \implies \gamma &= \underline{-250} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{From conditions} & \\ \implies f(0) &= 0 \\ \implies \alpha + \beta - 250 &= 0 \\ \implies \beta &= 250 - \alpha \\ \implies f(1) &= 1000 \\ \implies -\alpha + 3\beta - 250 &= 1000 \\ \implies -\alpha + 3(250 - \alpha) &= 1250 \\ \implies -4\alpha &= 500 \\ \implies \alpha &= \underline{-125} \\ \implies \beta &= 250 - (-125) \\ &= \underline{375} \end{array}$$

$$\text{So } f(n) = 125(-1)^{n+1} + 375(3^n) - 250$$

When $n = 9$, $f(9)$ is greater than the population of Albanian.

My Solution 3

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(n) &= 10T_1(\lceil \frac{n}{10} \rceil) + 100n \\ T_2(n) &= T_2(\lfloor \frac{9n}{10} \rfloor) + T_2(\lceil \frac{n}{10} \rceil) + \frac{n^{3/2}}{100} \end{aligned}$$

Analysing T_1 with the *Master Theorem*.

$$a = 10, b = 10, f(n) \in O(n) \implies c = 1$$

Let $p = \log_b a \implies p = \log_{10} 10 = 1 = c \implies T_1 \in \Theta(n \log_2 n)$.

Analysing T_2 with the *Akra-Bazzi Formula*.

$$k = 2, a_1 = 1, a_2 = 1, d_1 = \frac{9}{10}, d_2 = \frac{1}{100}, \alpha = 100, f(n) \in O(n^{3/2}) \implies c = \frac{3}{2}$$

Set

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i d_i^p = 1 \implies \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^p + \left(\frac{1}{100}\right)^p = 1 \implies p = 1 < c$$

So $T_2(n) \in \Theta(n^{3/2})$.

Since $T_1 \in \Theta(n \log_2 n)$ & $T_2(n) \in \Theta(n^{3/2})$ then T_1 scales better than T_2 .

I would recommend using T_1 .

My Solution 4.1

Analysing with *Master Theorem*

$$a = 27, b = 3, f(n) \in O(n) \implies c = 1$$

Let $p = \log_b a = \log_3 27 = 3 \implies c < p$.

Thus $T(n) \in \Theta(n^3)$.

My Solution 4.2

Analysing with *Akra-Bazzi Formula*

$$k = 2, a_1 = 2, a_2 = 1, d_1 = \frac{1}{4}, d_2 = \frac{1}{4}, \alpha = 15, c = 2$$

This obeys all conditions of *Akra-Bazzi Formula*.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i d_i^p = 1 &\implies 2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^p + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^p = 1 \\ &\implies \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^p = \frac{1}{3} \\ &\implies p < 1 < 2 = 2 \\ &\implies p < c \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$.

My Solution 4.3

Analysing with *Akra-Bazzi Formula*

$$k = 3, a_1 = \frac{1}{2}, a_2 = \frac{1}{3}, a_3 = \frac{1}{6}, d_1 = \frac{1}{3}, d_2 = \frac{1}{3}, d_3 = \frac{1}{6}, \alpha = 6, c = 0$$

This obeys all conditions of *Akra-Bazzi Formula*.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i d_i^p = 1 &\implies \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^p + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^p + \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^p = 1 \\ &\implies p = 0 \\ &\implies p = c \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T(n) \in \Theta(n^0 \log_2 n) \equiv T(n) \in \Theta(\log_2 n)$.

My Solution 4.4

Analysing with *Akra-Bazzi Formula*

$$k = 2, a_1 = 3, a_2 = 1, d_1 = \frac{1}{2}, d_2 = \frac{1}{5}, \alpha = 1, c = 2$$

This obeys all conditions of *Akra-Bazzi Formula*.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 a_i d_i^p = 1 &\implies 3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p + \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^p = 1 \\ \text{Suppose } p = 2 &\implies 3\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{25} = \frac{79}{100} < 1 \\ \text{Suppose } p = 0 &\implies 3 + 1 = 4 \\ &\implies 0 < p < 2 = c \\ &\implies p < c \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$.

My Solution 5.1

Let $n = 6m + 1$.

There are $2m$ full groups & one group with 1 element.

If x is in the solo group then it is the median of its group. Then there are m groups with medians less than x , each of which can have only 1 element greater than x .

And there are m groups with medians greater than x , each of these can have 3 elements greater than x .

This means there are at most $1(m) + 3(m) = 4m$ elements greater than x .

My Solution 5.2

My Solution 6

Since $f(n) \in O(g_1(n)) \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, c \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ st $0 \leq f(n) \leq cg_1(n) \forall b \geq n_0$.

And, since $g_1, g_2 \in \Theta(j(n)) \exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N}, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ st $0 < d_1 j(n) \leq g_1(n), g_2(n) \leq d_2 j(n)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 j(n) &\leq g_2(n) + f(n) \leq cg_1(n) + d_2 j(n) \\ &\leq d_2(1+c)j(n) \\ d_2(1+c) > 0 &\implies f(n) + g_2(n) \in \Theta(j(n)) \end{aligned}$$

My Solution 7.1

There are 3 elements in x 's group which are less than x .

There are $\frac{1}{2}\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2$ full groups with medians greater than x .

Each of these has at least 4 elements greater than x .

So there are at least $4(\frac{1}{2}\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2)$ elements greater than x .

So there are at most $n - 4(\frac{1}{2}\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2)$ elements less than x .

My Solution 7.2

There are 3 elements in x 's group which are greater than x .

There are $\frac{1}{2}\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2$ full groups with medians less than x .

Each of these has at least 4 elements less than x .

So at least $4(\frac{1}{2}\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2)$ elements are less than x .

So there are at most $n - 4(\frac{1}{2}\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2)$ greater than x .

My Solution 7.3

$$\begin{aligned} n - 2(\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2) &\leq n - 2(\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2) \\ &= \frac{5n}{7} + 4 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

$n - 2(\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2)$ is bounded above by $\frac{5n}{7} + 4$.

$$\lfloor \frac{11n}{14} \rfloor \geq \frac{11n}{14} - 1$$

$\lfloor \frac{11n}{14} \rfloor$ is bounded below by $\frac{11n}{14} - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Set } \frac{11n}{14} - 1 &\geq \frac{5n}{7} + 4 \\ \implies \frac{n}{14} &\geq 5 \\ \implies n &\geq 70 \end{aligned}$$

$n - 2(\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2)$ is bounded above by $\frac{11n}{14} - 1$. Thus $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ st $n - 2(\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2)$ is bounded above & $\lfloor \frac{11n}{14} \rfloor$ is bounded below by $\frac{11n}{14} - 1$, thus $n - 2(\lceil \frac{n}{7} \rceil - 2) \leq \lfloor \frac{11n}{14} \rfloor \quad \forall n > n_0$.