

# Financial Mathematics - Problem Sheet 3

Dom Hutchinson

March 29, 2021

**Answer 1. a)**

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_0 &= \{\Omega\} \\ \mathcal{P}_1 &= \{\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}, \{\omega_4, \omega_5\}, \{\omega_6, \omega_7, \omega_8\}\} \\ \mathcal{P}_2 &= \{\{\omega_1\}, \{\omega_2\}, \{\omega_3\}, \{\omega_4\}, \{\omega_5\}, \{\omega_6\}, \{\omega_7\}, \{\omega_8\}\}\end{aligned}$$

**Answer 1. b)**

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_0 &= \{\emptyset, \Omega\} \\ \mathcal{F}_1 &= \{\emptyset, \Omega, \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}, \{\omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6, \omega_7, \omega_8\}, \\ &\quad \{\omega_4, \omega_5\}, \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_6, \omega_7, \omega_8\}, \{\omega_6, \omega_7, \omega_8\}, \\ &\quad \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5\}\} \\ \mathcal{F}_2 &= 2^\Omega\end{aligned}$$

**Answer 2. a)**

The value process  $V_t(\omega)$  for this model is as follows

$\omega \setminus t$	0	1
$\omega_1$	$H_0 + 15H_1 + 30H_2$	$(10/9)H_0 + 20H_1 + 40H_2$
$\omega_2$	$H_0 + 15H_1 + 30H_2$	$(10/9)H_0 + 20H_1 + (80/3)H_2$
$\omega_3$	$H_0 + 15H_1 + 30H_2$	$(10/9)H_0 + (40/3)H_1 + (80/3)H_2$
$\omega_4$	$H_0 + 15H_1 + 30H_2$	$(10/9)H_0 + (20/3)H_1 + (20/3)H_2$

and the discounted value process  $V_t^*(\omega) = V_t(\omega)/B_t$  for this model is

$\omega \setminus t$	0	1
$\omega_1$	$H_0 + 15H_1 + 30H_2$	$H_0 + 18H_1 + 36H_2$
$\omega_2$	$H_0 + 15H_1 + 30H_2$	$H_0 + 18H_1 + 24H_2$
$\omega_3$	$H_0 + 15H_1 + 30H_2$	$H_0 + 12H_1 + 24H_2$
$\omega_4$	$H_0 + 15H_1 + 30H_2$	$H_0 + 6H_1 + 6H_2$

The gains process  $G(\omega)$  for this model is as follows

$\omega$	$G(\omega)$
$\omega_1$	$(1/9)H_0 + 5H_1 + 10H_2$
$\omega_2$	$(1/9)H_0 + 5H_1 - (10/3)H_2$
$\omega_3$	$(1/9)H_0 - (5/3)H_1 - (10/3)H_2$
$\omega_4$	$(1/9)H_0 - (25/3)H_1 - (70/3)H_2$

and the discounted gains process  $G^*(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^2 H_1 \Delta S_i^*(\omega)$  for this model is as follows

$\omega$	$G^*(\omega)$
$\omega_1$	$3H_1 + 6H_2$
$\omega_2$	$3H_1 - 6H_2$
$\omega_3$	$-3H_1 - 6H_2$
$\omega_4$	$-9H_1 - 24H_2$

**Answer 2. b)**

Consider  $X \in \mathbb{R}^4$  st  $X = G^*$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3H_1 + 6H_2 \\ 3H_1 - 6H_2 \\ -3H_1 - 6H_2 \\ -9H_1 - 24H_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{W} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ -3 \\ -9 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ -6 \\ -6 \\ -24 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Consider the set  $\mathbb{A} := \{X \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x \geq 0 \forall x \in X\}$  and the elements of  $\mathbb{W} \cap \mathbb{A}$ . This elements form the set of possible gains which would produce a risk-free profit (i.e. an arbitrage opportunity).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W} \cap \mathbb{A} &= \{X \in \mathbb{R}^4 : X_1 = x_3 = 0; X_2, X_4 > 0\} \\ X \in (\mathbb{W} \cap \mathbb{A}) &\implies X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \lambda, \mu > 0 \end{aligned}$$

How consider the  $X$ s where  $X = G^*$  and  $X \in (\mathbb{W} \cap \mathbb{A})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 = 3H_1 + 6H_2 &= 0 \\ \implies H_1 &= -2H_2 \\ X_2 = 3H_1 - 6H_2 &= \lambda \\ \implies -12H_2 &= \lambda \\ \implies H_2 &= -\frac{1}{12}\lambda \\ \implies H_1 &= \frac{1}{6}\lambda \\ X_4 = -9H_1 - 24H_2 &= \mu \\ \implies -6H_2 &= \mu \\ \implies H_2 &= -\frac{1}{6}\mu \\ \implies H_1 &= \frac{1}{3}\mu \\ \implies \mu &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Thus the trading strategies which exploit arbitrage opportunities in this model as those in the set

$$\left\{ H \in \mathbb{R}^3 : H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{6}\lambda & -\frac{1}{12}\lambda \end{pmatrix} : \lambda > 0 \right\}$$

**Answer 2. c)**

The “No-Arbitrage Theorem” states that risk-neutral probability measures only exists for a model iff no arbitrage opportunities exist. In this model arbitrage opportunities do exist, thus no risk-neutral probability measures can.

Consider matrix  $A$  which summarises this model

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B_1(\omega_1) & S_1(1)(\omega_1) & S_2(1)(\omega_1) \\ B_1(\omega_2) & S_1(1)(\omega_2) & S_2(1)(\omega_2) \\ B_1(\omega_3) & S_1(1)(\omega_3) & S_2(1)(\omega_3) \\ B_1(\omega_4) & S_1(1)(\omega_4) & S_2(1)(\omega_4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10/9 & 20 & 40 \\ 10/9 & 20 & 80/3 \\ 10/9 & 40/3 & 80/3 \\ 10/9 & 20/3 & 20/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Matrix  $A$  only has three linearly-independent columns, thus  $AH$  can only span  $\mathbb{R}^3$  for any trading strategy  $H$ . As  $AH$  cannot span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , the market is not complete.

**Answer 3. a)**

$\mathcal{F}_1$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

$\mathcal{F}_2$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra as (among other reasons)  $\{\omega_1\}, \{\omega_2\} \in \mathcal{F}_2$  but  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \notin \mathcal{F}_2$ .

**Answer 3. b)**

Consider the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G} := \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  and the function  $X(\omega_i) = i$ .  $X$  is not measurable wrt  $\mathcal{G}$  as  $\{\omega_1\}, \{\omega_2\}, \{\omega_3\}, \{\omega_4\} \notin \mathcal{G}$ .

**Answer 3. c)**

The powerset of  $\Omega$  contains all possible subsets of  $\Omega$  and thus all functions  $\omega \rightarrow X(\omega)$  are measurable wrt to it.

$$\mathcal{H} = 2^\Omega$$