# Financial Mathematics - Assessed Problem Sheet 2

### Dom Hutchinson

March 11, 2021

## Answer 1. (a) i.

Consider time t = 0 and define  $p_1 := \mathbb{Q}(S_1 = 16)$ , then

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
10 & = & 16p_1 + 8(1 - p_1) \\
\implies & p_1 & = & 1/4
\end{array}$$

Thus  $\mathbb{Q}(S_1 = 16) = 1/4$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(S_1 = 8) = 1 - 1/4 = 3/4$ . Now, consider time t = 1 and that event  $\omega$  has occurred with  $\omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ . Define  $p_2 := \mathbb{Q}(S_2 = 18|S_1 = 16)$ , then

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
16 & = & 18p_2 + 12(1 - p_2) \\
\implies & p_2 & = & 2/3
\end{array}$$

Thus  $\mathbb{Q}(S_2=18|S_1=16)=2/3$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(S_2=12|S_1=16)=1/3$ . Now, consider time t=1 and that event  $\omega$  has occurred with  $\omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\}$ . Define  $p_3:=\mathbb{Q}(S_2=12|S_1=8)$ , then

$$8 = 12p_3 + 6(1 - p_3)$$

$$\implies p_3 = 1/3$$

Thus  $\mathbb{Q}(S_2 = 12|S_1 = 8) = 1/3$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(S_2 = 6|S_1 = 8) = 2/3$ .

We can use these conditional probabilities to work out the probability of each event  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4\}$  under  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\mathbb{Q}(\{\omega_{1}\}) = \mathbb{Q}(S_{2} = 18|S_{1} = 16)\mathbb{Q}(S_{1} = 16) 
= (2/3) \times (1/4) 
= 1/6 
\mathbb{Q}(\{\omega_{2}\}) = \mathbb{Q}(S_{2} = 12|S_{1} = 16)\mathbb{Q}(S_{1} = 16) 
= (1/3) \times (1/4) 
= 1/12 
\mathbb{Q}(\{\omega_{3}\}) = \mathbb{Q}(S_{2} = 12|S_{1} = 8)\mathbb{Q}(S_{1} = 8) 
= (1/3) \times (3/4) 
= 1/4 
\mathbb{Q}(\{\omega_{4}\}) = \mathbb{Q}(S_{2} = 6|S_{1} = 8)\mathbb{Q}(S_{1} = 8) 
= (2/3) \times (3/4) 
= 1/2$$

To confirm that this produces a probability measure, note that

$$(1/6) + (1/12) + (1/4) + (1/2) = 1$$

#### Answer 1. (a) ii.

$$X(\omega_{1}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3}(10+16+18) - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{44}{3} - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= 2/3$$

$$X(\omega_{2}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3}(10+16+12) - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{38}{3} - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= 0$$

$$X(\omega_{3}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3}(10+8+12) - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{30}{3} - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= 0$$

$$X(\omega_{4}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3}(10+8+6) - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{24}{3} - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= 0$$

$$Y(\omega_{1}) = \left\{ \max(10, 16, 18) - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= \left\{ 18 - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= 4$$

$$Y(\omega_{2}) = \left\{ \max(10, 16, 12) - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= \left\{ 16 - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= 2$$

$$Y(\omega_{3}) = \left\{ \max(10, 8, 12) - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= \left\{ 12 - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= 0$$

$$Y(\omega_{4}) = \left\{ \max(10, 8, 6) - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

$$= \left\{ 10 - 14 \right\}_{+}$$

## Answer 1. (a) iii.

The Risk-Neutral Valuation Principle states that, for all attainable contingent claims X, the following holds

$$V_t^* = \mathbb{E}_Q[X/B_t|\mathcal{F}_t]$$
 for  $t = 0, \dots, T$  and all  $\mathbb{Q}$ 

Thus, at time t = 0

$$V_0 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[X|\mathcal{F}_0] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[X]$$

We can use this to derive the risk-neutral prices for the two options at time t=0

$$V_0^X = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[X]$$

$$= (2/3) \cdot (1/6) + 0 \cdot (1/12) + 0 \cdot (1/4) + 0 \cdot (1/2)$$

$$= 1/9$$

$$V_0^Y = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[Y]$$

$$= 4 \cdot (1/6) + 2 \cdot (1/12) + 0 \cdot (1/4) + 0 \cdot (1/2)$$

$$= 5/6$$

Thus the risk-neutral price for the Asian option at time t=0 is 1/9 and for the look-back option it is 5/6

# Answer 1. (a) iv.

Note that since r = 0,  $B_t = 1$  for i = 0, 1, 2. Consider the Asian option and let  $H^X(t) := \{H_0^Y(t), H_1^Y(t)\}$  denote a portfolio which only has access to a bank account & Asian options for each time point t = 0, 1

consider the value of the Asian option at time t=1 and t=2

$$V_1^X(\omega) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[X|S_1 = 16] & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \\ \mathbb{E}[X|S_1 = 8] & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (2/3) \cdot p_2 + 0 \cdot (1 - p_2) & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (2/3) \cdot (2/3) & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 4/9 & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\} \end{cases}$$

$$V_2^X(\omega) = X(\omega) \ \forall \ \omega$$

To find a self-financing portfolio we start at time t=2 and find that if  $\omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ 

$$V_2^X(\omega_1) = 2/3 = H_0^X(2)(\omega_1, \omega_2) + 18 \cdot H_1^X(2)(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

$$V_2^X(\omega_2) = 0 = H_0^X(2)(\omega_1, \omega_2) + 12 \cdot H_1^X(2)(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow 2/3 = 6H_1^X(2)(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow H_1^X(2)(\omega_1, \omega_2) = 1/9$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 = H_0^X(2)(\omega_1, \omega_2) + 12 \cdot (1/9)$$

$$\Rightarrow H_0^X(2)(\omega_1, \omega_2) = -4/3$$

And if  $\omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\}$ 

$$V_2^X(\omega_3) = 0 = H_0^X(2)(\omega_3, \omega_4) + 12 \cdot H_1^X(2)(\omega_3, \omega_4)$$

$$V_2^X(\omega_4) = 0 = H_0^X(2)(\omega_3, \omega_4) + 6 \cdot H_1^X(2)(\omega_3, \omega_4)$$

$$\implies H_0^X(2)(\omega_3, \omega_4) = 0$$

$$\implies H_1^X(2)(\omega_3, \omega_4) = 0$$

Let  $H_0^X(1) := H_0^X(1)(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4)$  and  $H_1^X(1) := H_1(1)(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4)$ , then we have  $V_1^X(\omega) = 4/9 = H_0^X(1) + 16 \cdot H_1^X(1) \quad \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$   $V_1^X(\omega) = 0 = H_0^X(1) + 8 \cdot H_1^X(1) \quad \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\}$ 

$$V_{1}^{X}(\omega) = 0 = H_{0}^{X}(1) + 8 \cdot H_{1}^{X}(1) \quad \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_{3}, \omega_{4}\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad 4/9 = 8H_{1}^{X}(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad H_{1}^{X}(1) = 1/18$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad 0 = H_{0}^{X}(1) + 8 \cdot (1/18)$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad H_{0}^{X}(1) = -4/9$$

Thus, a self-financing trading strategy with access to a bank account and the look-back option is as follows

$$H^{X}(1)(\omega) = (-4/9, 1/18) \quad \forall \ \omega$$
  
 $H^{X}(2)(\omega) = (-4/3, 1/9) \quad \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\}$   
 $H^{X}(2)(\omega) = (0, 0) \quad \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_{3}, \omega_{4}\}$ 

To confirm this trading strategy is self-financing I show that  $H_0(t+1)B_t + H_1(t+1)S_1(t) = V_t \ \forall \ t$ .

$$H^{Y}(1)(\omega) \mid B_{0} \cdot H_{0}^{X}(1)(\omega) + S_{1}(0) \cdot H_{1}^{Y}(1)(\omega) = -(4/9) + 10 \cdot (1/18)$$

$$= 1/9 = V_{0}^{X}$$

$$H^{Y}(2)(\omega) \mid B_{1} \cdot H_{0}^{X}(2)(\omega) + S_{1}(1) \cdot H_{1}^{Y}(2)(\omega) = -(4/3) + 16 \cdot (1/9) \text{ if } \omega \in \{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\}$$

$$= 4/9 = V_{1}^{Y}(\omega)$$

$$H^{Y}(2)(\omega) \mid B_{1} \cdot H_{0}^{X}(2)(\omega) + S_{1}(1) \cdot H_{1}^{Y}(2)(\omega) = 0 + 8 \cdot 0 \text{ if } \omega \in \{\omega_{3}, \omega_{4}\}$$

$$= 0 = V_{1}^{Y}(\omega)$$

This requirement holds in all cases.

Now, consider the look-back option and let  $H^Y(t) := \{H_0^Y(t), H_1^Y(t)\}$  denote a portfolio which only has access to a bank account & look-back options for each time point t = 0, 1, 2.

Consider the value of the look-back option at time t = 1 and t = 2

$$V_1^Y(\omega) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[Y|S_1 = 16] & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \\ \mathbb{E}[Y|S_1 = 8] & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 4p_2 + 2(1 - p_2) & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 4 \cdot (2/3) + 2(1/3) & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 10/3 & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\} \end{cases}$$

$$V_2^Y(\omega) = Y(\omega) \ \forall \ \omega$$

To find a self-financing portfolio we start at time t=2 and find that if  $\omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ 

$$V_{2}^{Y}(\omega_{1}) = 4 = H_{0}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) + 18 \cdot H_{1}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2})$$

$$V_{2}^{Y}(\omega_{2}) = 2 = H_{0}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) + 12 \cdot H_{1}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2})$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad 2 = 6H_{1}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2})$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad H_{1}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) = 1/3$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad 2 = H_{0}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) + 12 \cdot (1/3)$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad H_{0}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) = -2/3$$

And if  $\omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\}$ 

$$V_{2}^{Y}(\omega_{3}) = 0 = H_{0}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{3}, \omega_{4}) + 12 \cdot H_{1}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{3}, \omega_{4})$$

$$V_{2}^{Y}(\omega_{4}) = 0 = H_{0}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{3}, \omega_{4}) + 6 \cdot H_{1}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{3}, \omega_{4})$$

$$\implies H_{0}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{3}, \omega_{4}) = 0$$

$$\implies H_{1}^{Y}(2)(\omega_{3}, \omega_{4}) = 0$$

Let  $H_0^Y(1) := H_0(1)(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4)$  and  $H_1^Y(1) := H_1(1)(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4)$ , then we have

$$V_1^Y(\omega) = 10/3 = H_0^Y(1) + 16 \cdot H_1^Y(1) \quad \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$$

$$V_1^Y(\omega) = 0 = H_0^Y(1) + 8 \cdot H_1^Y(1) \quad \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_3, \omega_4\}$$

$$\implies \qquad 10/3 = 8H_1^Y(1)$$

$$\implies \qquad H_1^Y(1) = 5/12$$

$$\implies \qquad 0 = H_0^Y(1) + 8 \cdot (5/12)$$

$$\implies \qquad H_0^Y(1) = -40/12$$

Thus, a self-financing trading strategy with access to a bank account and the look-back option is as follows

$$H^{Y}(1)(\omega) = (-40/12, 5/12) \quad \forall \ \omega$$
  
 $H^{Y}(2)(\omega) = (-2/3, 1/3) \quad \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\}$   
 $H^{Y}(2)(\omega) = (0, 0) \quad \text{if } \omega \in \{\omega_{3}, \omega_{4}\}$ 

To confirm this trading strategy is self-financing I show that  $H_0(t+1)B_t + H_1(t+1)S_1(t) = V_t \ \forall t$ .

$$H^{Y}(1)(\omega) \mid B_{0} \cdot H_{0}^{Y}(1)(\omega) + S_{1}(0) \cdot H_{1}^{Y}(1)(\omega) = -(40/12) + 10 \cdot (5/12)$$

$$= 5/6 = V_{0}^{Y}$$

$$H^{Y}(2)(\omega) \mid B_{1} \cdot H_{0}^{Y}(2)(\omega) + S_{1}(1) \cdot H_{1}^{Y}(2)(\omega) = -(2/3) + 16 \cdot (1/3)$$
 if  $\omega \in \{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\}$ 

$$= 10/3 = V_{1}^{Y}(\omega)$$

$$H^{Y}(2)(\omega) \mid B_{1} \cdot H_{0}^{Y}(2)(\omega) + S_{1}(1) \cdot H_{1}^{Y}(2)(\omega) = 0 + 8 \cdot 0$$
 if  $\omega \in \{\omega_{3}, \omega_{4}\}$ 

$$= 0 = V_{1}^{Y}(\omega)$$

This requirement holds in all cases.

# Answer 1. (b)

Assume that  $\epsilon$  is known, T is large and note that  $B_t = 1 \ \forall \ t \in [1, T]$  since the interest rate is r = 0.

Consider the following trading strategy

If, at time-point  $t \in (1,T)$  it is the case that  $S(t) \leq \epsilon$  then:

- In time-point t borrow £S(t) from the bank-account and buy a unit of the security for £S(t).
- In time-point t+1 sell your security for £S(t+1) and place all of this revenue into the bank account (reimbursing the bank at the same time).

Otherwise, do nothing.

This strategy can be expressed mathematically as

$$H(0) = (0,0)$$

$$H(t+1) = \begin{cases} (H_0(t) - S(t), 1) & \text{if } S(t) \le \epsilon \\ (H_0(t) + S(t), 0) & \text{if } S(t-1) \le \epsilon \\ (H_0(t), 0) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For this specific strategy we need to further assume that  $\epsilon > e^{1-T}$  as otherwise the main action could never be triggered.

I will how justify that this trading strategy is an arbitrage opportunity.

Consider the value of this portfolio at time-point t=0

$$V_0 = H_0(0) \cdot B_0 + H_1(0) \cdot S(1) = 0 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 1 = 0$$

Thus the requirement that  $V_0 = 0$  is fulfilled.

Suppose that  $S(t) \le \epsilon$  but  $S(t-1) > \epsilon$ . This means that the price process must have decreased in value between these time steps and thus  $S(t) = S(t-1)e^{-1} \implies S(t)e > \epsilon$ .

Now consider S(t+1), we know that  $S(t+1) = S(t) \exp\{X(t) + 2\}$  by the definition of the price process and since  $S(t) \le \epsilon$ . Since  $X(t) \in \{-1,1\}$  then  $X(t) + 2 \ge 1$ , meaning  $S(t+1) \ge S(t)e > \epsilon$ . This shows that the price process only ever goes below threshold  $\epsilon$  for a single time-period at a time.

Now, suppose the action is triggered at time-point t and consider the price process over this and the next time-point

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S(t) & \leq & \epsilon \\ \Longrightarrow & S(t+1) & = & S(t) \exp\{X(t)+2\} \\ \Longrightarrow & S(t+1) & > & S(t) \text{ since } X(t)+2 \geq 1 \end{array}$$

This shows that when we sell the security in time-point t+1 it is always worth more than the price we bought it for, meaning we can fully reimburse the bank-account and have a surplus left over in our bank-account. Moreover, this shows that we are guaranteed to make a profit whenever the main action of this strategy is triggered. And, since this strategy takes no other actions, it cannot lose money between time-periods.

Since we assumed that T is large and since  $\{X_t\}$  is a symmetric random walk it is expected that the action will occur at least once. This means the criteria that  $V_T = H_0(T) \ge 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[V_T] > 0$  are fulfilled.

To show that this trading strategy H is self-financing I need to show that  $V_t(\omega) = H_0(t+1) + H_1(t+1)S(t)$  for all  $t, \omega$ . There are three cases to consider

i). Case  $S(t) \le \epsilon \implies S(t-1) > \epsilon$  (Shown above).

No action was taken in the last time-period. Thus  $V_t = H_0(t)$ .

$$H_0(t+1) + H_1(t+1)S(t)$$
=  $(H_0(t) - S(t)) + S(t)$   
=  $H_0(t)$   
=  $V_t$ 

ii). Case  $S(t-1) \le \epsilon \implies S(t) > \epsilon$  (Shown above).

This means an option was bought in the last-time period for S(t-1) and that option is now worth S(t), thus  $V_t = H_0(t) - S(t-1) + S(t)$ .

$$H_0(t+1) + H_1(t+1)S(t)$$
=  $(H_0(t) + S(t)) + 0$   
=  $H_0(t) + S(t)$   
=  $(H_0(t-1) - S(t-1)) + S(t)$  as  $S(t-1) \le \epsilon$   
=  $V_t$ 

iii). Otherwise (ie  $S(t), S(t-1) > \epsilon$ )

No actions are taken so  $V_t = H_0(t)$ .

$$H_0(t+1) + H_1(t+1)S(t)$$
=  $H_0(t) + 0$ 
=  $V_t$ 

This shows that H is self-financing, and thus fulfils all criteria to be an arbitrage opportunity.