# Language Engineering - Problem Sheet 2

# Dom Hutchinson

October 28, 2018

# Question 1.1 - Introduction

Consider a domain-specific language for directed graphs. A vertex is any natural number. An edge consists of a source and a target vertex. A graph is a set of vertices V and a bag of edges E, where all the vertices in the edges E are contained in V. A bag (sometimes called a multiset) is a structure like set except that duplicate elements are allowed.

Graphs can be composed out of four operations, empty, vertex , connect, and overlay, described as follows.

- *empty* :: *Graph* where empty is a graph that contains no vertices and no edges.
- vertex :: IntGraph, where vertex v is a graph containing the vertex v, and no edges.
- overlay:: GraphGraphGraph, where overlay x y is a graph whose vertices are the union of the vertices in x and the vertices in y, and whose edges are the edges in x followed by the edges in y.
- connect :: GraphGraphGraph, where the vertices in connect x y are all those in overlay x y, and the edges are the edges in overlay x y followed by an edge from each vertex in x to each vertex in y.

Together, these combinators make it possible to express all kinds of graph structures.

# Question 1.2 - Deep Embedding

A deep embedding uses a datatype to represent a domain-specific language, where each constructor of the datatype corresponds to an operation. These constructors are called core constructors of the language.

#### Question 1.2.1

Define a datatype Graph that encodes a deep embedding of the graph language. Introduce a core constructor for each of the four operations.

# My Solution 2.1

# Question 1.2.2

Define a function  $vertices :: Graph \rightarrow [Int]$ , where vertices g is a list of the vertices in the graph g.

# My Solution 2.2

```
vertices :: Graph -> [Int]
vertices (Empty) = []
vertices (Vertex n) = [n]
vertices (Overlay g1 g2) = nub ((vertices g1) ++ (vertices g2))
vertices (Connect g1 g2) = nub ((vertices g1) ++ (vertices g2))
```

# Question 1.2.3

Dom Hutchinson

Define a function  $edges :: Graph \to Int$ , where edges g is the number of edges in the graph g. Hint: You may need to use your vertices function.

# My Solution 2.3

```
\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{edges} & :: & \operatorname{Graph} -> \operatorname{Int} \\ \operatorname{edges} & (\operatorname{Empty}) & = 0 \\ \operatorname{edges} & (\operatorname{Vertex} \ n) & = 0 \\ \operatorname{edges} & (\operatorname{Overlay} \ \operatorname{g1} \ \operatorname{g2}) = (\operatorname{edges} \ \operatorname{g1}) + (\operatorname{edges} \ \operatorname{g2}) \\ \operatorname{edges} & (\operatorname{Connect} \ \operatorname{g1} \ \operatorname{g2}) = (\operatorname{edges} \ \operatorname{g1}) + (\operatorname{edges} \ \operatorname{g2}) \\ & & + (\operatorname{length}(\operatorname{vertices} \ \operatorname{g1}) * \operatorname{length}(\operatorname{vertices} \ \operatorname{g2})) \end{array}
```

# Question 1.2.4

Define a function  $roots :: Graph \to [Int]$ , where roots g is a list of the roots of the graph g. A root of a graph g is a vertex of g which does not appear as the target of any edge in g.

# My Solution 2.4

```
roots :: Graph -> [Int]
roots (Empty)
                      = []
roots (Vertex n)
                     = [n]
roots (Overlay g1 g2) = nub (removeAll (intersect (vertices g1) (vertices g2))
                         (\text{nub } ((\text{roots } g1) + (\text{roots } g2))))
                         ++(intersect (roots g1) (roots g2))
roots (Connect g1 g2) = removeAll (intersect (vertices g1) (vertices g2))
                         (roots g1)
-- removes given element from list
remove :: Int -> [Int] -> [Int]
remove i [] = []
remove i (x:xs)
  | i = x = remove i xs
  | otherwise = x : (remove i xs)
-- remove list of elements from list
removeAll :: [Int] -> [Int] -> [Int]
removeAll [] ys = ys
removeAll (x:xs) ys = removeAll xs (remove x ys)
```

# Question 1.3 - Shallow Embedding

#### Question 1.3.1

Provide a shallow embedding that produces the same semantics as vertices by redefining an appropriate type for Graph, and defining the behaviour of empty, vertex, overlay, and connect.

# My Solution 3.1

```
type Vertices = [Int]
type Graph' = Vertices

empty :: Graph
empty = []

vertex :: Int -> Graph
vertex n = [n]

overlay :: Graph -> Graph -> Graph
overlay g1 g2 = nub (g1++g2)

connect :: Graph -> Graph -> Graph
connect g1 g2 = nub (g1++g2)
```

#### Question 1.3.2

Redefine *Graph* again, as well as all the operations, to produce the same semantics as *edges*. Hint: Your semantic domain should be a pair of values, one containing the information for *vertices*, and the other for *edges*.

# My Solution 3.2

```
type Edges = Int
type Graph = (Edges, Vertices)

empty :: Graph
empty = (0,[])

vertex :: Int -> Graph
vertex n = (0, [n])

overlay :: Graph -> Graph -> Graph
overlay (e1, v1) (e2, v2) = (e1+e2, nub (v1++v2))

connect :: Graph -> Graph -> Graph
connect (e1, v1) (e2, v2) = (e1+e2+(length v1 * length v2), nub(v1++v2))
```

# Question 1.3.3

Discuss why the shallow definition of edges more efficient than the deep definition of edges.

# My Solution 3.3

Using the shallow definition we don't need to recalculate the vertices of a graph each time we want to referrence it.

### Question 1.4 - Classy Embedding

Instead of redefining *Graph* for each semantics, it is better to provide a type class that captures all the shallow operations, and use instances to provide each of the different semantics.

# Question 1.4.1

Define an appropriate type class called *Graphy*, and show how the semantics of *vertices* and *edges* can be given using new types called *Vertices* and *Edges* respectively.

### My Solution 4.1

```
class Graphy a where
  empty :: a
  vertex :: Int -> a
  overlay :: a -> a -> a
  connect :: a -> a -> a
```

# Question 1.4.2

Provide an instance of your type class that allows a semantics of the shallow embedding to be the deep embedding. Hint: this is the instance *Graphy Graph*.

#### My Solution 4.2

```
instance Graphy Vertices where
  empty = Vertices []
  vertex x = Vertices [x]
  overlay (Vertices g1) (Vertices g2) = Vertices (nub (g1++g2))
  connect (Vertices g1) (Vertices g2) = Vertices (nub (g1++g2))

instance Graphy Edges where
  empty = Edges (0,[])
  vertex x = Edges (0,[])
  overlay (Edges (e1,v1)) (Edges (e2,v2)) = Edges (e1+e2, nub(v1++v2))
  connect (Edges (e1,v1)) (Edges (e2,v2)) = Edges (e1+e2+(length v1 * length v2))

instance Graphy Graph where
  empty = Empty
  vertex x = Vertex x
  overlay g1 g2 = Overlay g1 g2
  connect g1 g2 = Connect g1 g2
```

# Question 1.5 - Smart Constructors

A *smart constructor* is a function that provides new operations which are defined in terms of existing ones.

# Question 1.5.1

Extend the language with a new smart constructor called  $ring :: Graphy \ graph \rightarrow [Int] \rightarrow graph$  which produces a graph where all the elements in the list are converted into vertices and connected into a complete cycle connecting each element to the next, and the last to the first.

# My Solution 5.1

TODO

#### Question 1.5.2

Instead of defining this function in terms of existing operations, consider the implications of adding a new core constructor Ring to the Graph data type.

- a) Outline the code that needs to be changed when adding a core constructor rather than a smart constructor.
- b) Explain the benefits of using smart constructors over core constructors when new semantic functions are added to a given language.
- c) Explain why using core constructors can potentially lead to more efficient implementations.

#### My Solution 5.2

TODO

# Question 1.6 - Comparing Approaches

# Question 1.6.1

Discuss the changes required in order to add a new semantics of a deep embedding.

# My Solution 6.1

To add a semantics to a deep embedding only requires the definition of a new function.

# Question 1.6.2

Discuss the changes required in order to add a new operation to a deep embedding.

# My Solution 6.2

To add a new operation requires the data types to be updated and all functions which use these datatypes to be updated as well.

#### Question 1.6.3

Discuss the changes required in order to add a new semantics of a shallow embedding.

# My Solution 6.3

To add a new semantic to shallow embedding requires all functions to be redefined, or updated to now calculate a tuple output which includes the new semantics.

# Question 1.6.4

Discuss the changes required in order to add a new operation to a shallow embedding.

# My Solution 6.4

Add a new operation requires only the definition of a new function.

#### Question 1.6.5

Discuss the advantages gained by using type classes for a shallow embedding.

# My Solution 6.5

Type classes allows you to define a different instance of each semantics, rather than only have one semantics or using tuples.

# Question 1.6.6

Discuss the advantages gained by using smart constructors for a deep embedding.

#### My Solution 6.6

A smart constructor allows you to add new operations without having to redefined existing ones, as it is defined in terms of existing operations.

# Question 1.7 - Reinterpretting Graphs

The graph language can be used to construct a graph that is interpreted traditionally either as an adjacency list or as an adjacency matrix.

# Question 1.7.1

Write a function  $lists :: Graph \rightarrow Map\ Int\ [Int]$  that realises the deep  $Graph\ DSL$  as a traditional adjacency list implementation.

# My Solution 7.1

```
lists :: Graph -> Map Int [Int]
lists Empty = Map.empty
lists (Vertex n) = Map.singleton n []
lists (Overlay g1 g2) = Map.union (lists g1) (lists g2)
lists (Connect g1 g2) = Map.map (nub) (Map.union (Map.map (++ (Map.keys (lists g2))))
```

#### Question 1.7.2

Write a new typeclass instance for *Graphy* that realises the shallow *Graph* DSL as a traditional adjacency list implementation.

# My Solution 7.2

TODO

# Question 1.7.3

Discuss why it is harder to write the interpretation using the shallow embedding rather than the deep embedding.

# My Solution 7.3

TODO

#### Question 1.7.4

Write a function  $mat :: Graph \to MatGraph$  that realises the Graph DSL as a traditional adjacency matrix implementation (you can define the datatype MatGraph however you want).

# My Solution 7.4

```
type MatGraph = ([Int], [[Int]])
-- Treates dupes as seperate vertices
mat :: Graph -> MatGraph
mat Empty = ([], [])
\operatorname{mat} (\operatorname{Vertex} n) = ([n], [[0]])
mat (Overlay g1 g2) = (v1++v2, (overlay', (length e1) e1, (length e2) e2))
    v1 = fst \pmod{g1}
    v2 = fst \pmod{g2}
    e1 = snd (mat g1)
    e2 = snd \pmod{g2}
mat (Connect g1 g2) = (v1++v2, connect' (length e1) e1 (length e2) e2)
  where
    v1 = fst \pmod{g1}
    v2 = fst \pmod{g2}
    e1 = snd \pmod{g1}
    e2 = snd \pmod{g2}
-- Pads edges with zeroes
             len (e1) e1
                              len(e2) e2
overlay ' :: Int -> [[Int]] -> Int -> [[Int]] -> [[Int]]
overlay ' _ [] _ [] = []
overlay' n [] m (y:ys) = ((zeroes n)++y) : (overlay' n <math>[] m ys)
overlay' n (x:xs) m ys = (x++(zeroes m)) : (overlay' n xs m ys)
```

```
-- Creates list of n zeroes zeroes :: Int \rightarrow [Int] zeroes 0 = [] zeroes n = 0:(zeroes (n-1))

-- pads edges with 1s connect':: Int \rightarrow [[Int]] \rightarrow Int \rightarrow [[Int]] \rightarrow [[Int]] connect' - [] - [] = [] connect' n [] m (y:ys) = ((zeroes n)++y) : (overlay' n [] m ys) connect' n (x:xs) m ys = (x++(ones m)) : (overlay' n xs m ys)

-- creates list of n ones ones :: Int \rightarrow [Int] ones 0 = [] ones n = 1:(ones (n-1))
```