

Logic - Reviewed Notes

Dom Hutchinson

March 21, 2020

Contents

1	Syntax	2
1.1	General	2
1.2	First Order Languages	2
1.3	Induction	5
1.4	Free Variables	5
1.5	Consistency	6
1.6	Alphabetic Variants	6
2	Semantics	7
2.1	Satisfaction Relation	7
2.2	Substitution	10
2.3	Homomorphism	12
2.4	Definable	13
3	Deductive Reasoning	13
3.1	Hilbert Calculus	14
3.2	Deduction Theorem	15
3.2.1	Facts	17
3.3	Soundness Theorem	17
0	Appendix	18
0.1	Standard Models	18
0.2	Notation	18
0.3	Definitions	20
0.4	Identities	20
0.5	Techniques	20

NOTES

- Not included any proofs.
- Not included any examples.

1 Syntax

1.1 General

Definition 1.1 - Alphabet, \mathcal{A}

An *Alphabet* is a set of characters, \mathcal{A} . These characters do not have any assigned values (yet).

Definition 1.2 - String

A *String*, $a := \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$, over an alphabet \mathcal{A} is an element of \mathcal{A}^n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here a is said to have *length* n .

Remark 1.1 - $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, \langle b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle \rangle \neq \langle a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle$

Definition 1.3 - Set of all Strings, \mathcal{A}^*

Let \mathcal{A} be an *Alphabet*.

We define the set of all strings, \mathcal{A}^* , over the alphabet as

$$\mathcal{A}^* := \{ \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle : n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A} \} \equiv \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}^n$$

Remark 1.2 - If an alphabet, \mathcal{A} , is countable then \mathcal{A}^* is countable

Further \mathcal{A}^* is countably infinite if $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 1.4 - Declarative Sentence

A *Declarative Sentence* is a sentence which is either true or false.

N.B. These are the focus of mathematical logic.

1.2 First Order Languages

Definition 1.5 - Common Components of Alphabets

Below are some common classes of characters used in mathematical alphabets

- i) Propositional Connectives (Describe logical relations between predicates).
'not', 'and', 'or', 'if... then...'
- ii) Quantifiers
'for all', 'there is'.
- iii) Variables
'x', 'y', 'z', ...
- iv) Punctuation
'(', ')', ',', ...
- v) Equality
'='.
- vi) Constants
'1', '2', '3', 'e', ...
- vii) Predicates
' \prec '.
- viii) Functions
'o'.

Definition 1.6 - Alphabet of First-Order Language

The *Alphabet* of a *First-Order Language* comprises the following elements

- i) Propositional Connectives
 \neg, \rightarrow
 - ii) Quantifiers
 \forall
 - iii) Variables
 v_1, v_2, \dots (Infinitely many).
 - iv) Punctuation
() and ,
 - v) Equality
 \equiv (This is a 2-arity logical predicate)
 - vi) Constants
 c_1, c_2, \dots (Countable many since we use countable alphabets).
 - vii) Predicates
 P_i^n is an n -arity predicate for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - viii) Functions
 f_i^n is an n -arity function for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- i) - v) are *Logical Symbols* & vi) - viii) are *Non-Logical Symbols* of *First-Order Languages*.
The *Non-Logical Symbols* will vary depending on the subject matter of the language.

Remark 1.3 - \equiv is the only logical predicate symbol in FOLs

Definition 1.7 - Negation, \neg , and Implication, \rightarrow

Let P, Q be *Predicates*.

P	$\neg P$	P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$
T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	F
T	T	F	T	T
		F	F	T

Proposition 1.1 - Extension to Alphabet of First-Order Language

For conciseness of notation we usually allow the following extra propositional connectives & quantifiers to be used.

- Propositional Connectives
 \wedge, \vee
- Quantifiers
 \exists

Definition 1.8 - And, \wedge , and Or, \vee

Let P, Q be *Predicates*.

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$	P	Q	$P \vee Q$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	F	F

Remark 1.4 - $P \wedge Q \Leftrightarrow \neg(P \rightarrow \neg Q)$ and $P \vee Q \Leftrightarrow (\neg P) \rightarrow Q$

Definition 1.9 - \mathcal{L} -Term (and \mathcal{L} -Term Complexity)

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL.

We define \mathcal{L} -Terms & \mathcal{L} -Term Complexity recursively.

T1 Let s be a variable or constant symbol.

s is an \mathcal{L} -Term with $cp(s) = 0$.

T2 Let f be a k -arity function symbol and t_1, \dots, t_k be \mathcal{L} -Terms.

$f(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ is an \mathcal{L} -Term with $cp(f) = \max\{cp(t_1), \dots, cp(t_k)\} + 1 \geq 1$.

N.B. By this definition we cannot have infinitely long \mathcal{L} -Terms.

Definition 1.10 - Atomic \mathcal{L} -Term

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$.

t is an Atomic \mathcal{L} -Term iff $cp(t) = 0$.

i.e. An Atomic \mathcal{L} -Term is either a constant or variable symbol.

Definition 1.11 - Compound \mathcal{L} -Term

Let $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$.

t is a Compound \mathcal{L} -Term iff $cp(t) \geq 1$.

i.e. An Atomic \mathcal{L} -Term is function symbol.

Definition 1.12 - Atomic Formulae

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, P be a k -arity predicate symbol of \mathcal{L} and $t_1, \dots, t_k \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$.

An Atomic Formulae has the form

$$P(t_1, \dots, t_k)$$

i.e. Atomic Formulae are predicates on \mathcal{L} -Terms.

Definition 1.13 - \mathcal{L} -Formula (and \mathcal{L} -Formulae Complexity)

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL.

We define \mathcal{L} -Formulae & \mathcal{L} -Formulae Complexity recursively

F1 Let ϕ be an Atomic \mathcal{L} -Formula.

ϕ is an \mathcal{L} -Formula with $cp(\phi) = 0$.

F2 Let ϕ be an \mathcal{L} -Formula.

$\neg\phi$ is an \mathcal{L} -Formula with $cp(\neg\phi) = cp(\phi) + 1$.

F3 Let ϕ, ψ be a \mathcal{L} -Formulae.

$\phi \rightarrow \psi$ is an \mathcal{L} -Formula with $cp(\phi \rightarrow \psi) = \max\{cp(\phi), cp(\psi)\} + 1$.

F4 Let ϕ be an \mathcal{L} -Formula & x be any variable.

$\forall x\phi$ is an \mathcal{L} -Formula with $cp(\forall x\phi) = cp(\phi) + 1$.

N.B. By this definition we cannot have infinitely long \mathcal{L} -Formulae.

Remark 1.5 - \mathcal{L} -Term & \mathcal{L} -Formulae complexity is a measure of syntactic complexity and is unrelated to any semantic meaning.

\mathcal{L} -Formulae complexity is unrelated from the complexity of any terms in it.

Remark 1.6 - $F4$ necessitates the use of parentheses

Otherwise $\phi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \theta$ is ambiguous as it could be read as either $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \theta$ or $\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \theta)$

which don't necessarily have the same semantic meaning.

Definition 1.14 - *Compound \mathcal{L} -Formula*

Let $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

ϕ is a *Compound \mathcal{L} -Formula* iff $cp(\phi) \geq 1$.

1.3 Induction

Theorem 1.1 - *Induction on Terms*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and P be a property that \mathcal{L} -Terms may have.

If

- i) All *Atomic \mathcal{L} -terms* have P ; And,
- ii) For all k -arity function symbols f of \mathcal{L} and $t_1, \dots, t_k \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ which have property P , $f(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ has P .

Then all $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ have property P .

Theorem 1.2 - *Induction on Formulae*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and P be a property that \mathcal{L} -Formulae may have.

If

- i) All *Atomic \mathcal{L} -Formulae* have P ; And,
- ii) ϕ, ψ have P then $\neg\phi$, $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ and $\forall x\phi$ (for all variables x) have property P .

Then all $\phi \in Fm_{\mathcal{L}}$ have property P .

1.4 Free Variables

Definition 1.15 - *Set of Variables, $\text{Var}(\cdot)$*

$\text{Var} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}^* \rightarrow 2^{\text{Var}}$ is a function which maps from a string to the set of variables in it.

Variables are defined by the *Alphabet* of the language being used.

Definition 1.16 - *Closed \mathcal{L} -Term*

Let $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ for some FOL, \mathcal{L} .

If $\text{Var}(t) = \emptyset$ then t is said to be a *Closed \mathcal{L} -Term*.

Definition 1.17 - *Free Variables, $FV(\cdot)$*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL.

Free Variables are unbounded variables in an \mathcal{L} -Formula.

We define the *Set of Free Variables* of an \mathcal{L} -Formula inductively

FV1 Let P be a k -arity *Predicate* & $t_1, \dots, t_k \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$FV(P(t_1, \dots, t_k)) := \text{Var}(P(t_1, \dots, t_k)).$$

FV2 Let $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$FV(\neg\phi) := FV(\phi).$$

FV3 Let $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$FV(\phi \rightarrow \psi) := FV(\phi) \cup FV(\psi).$$

FV4 Let $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and x be any variable.

$$FV(\forall x\phi) := FV(\phi) \setminus \{x\}.$$

FV-EXT1 Let $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.
 $FV(\phi \wedge \psi) := FV(\phi) \cup FV(\psi)$.

FV-EXT2 Let $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.
 $FV(\phi \vee \psi) := FV(\phi) \cup FV(\psi)$.

FV-EXT3 Let $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and x be any variable.
 $FV(\exists x \phi) := FV(\phi) \setminus \{x\}$.

N.B. $FV(\cdot) : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}^* \rightarrow 2^{\text{Var}}$.

Definition 1.18 - \mathcal{L} -Sentence

Let $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ for some FOL, \mathcal{L} .

If $FV(\phi) = \emptyset$ then ϕ is said to be a \mathcal{L} -Sentence.

Remark 1.7 - The meaning of formulae depends on how we interpret their free variables

1.5 Consistency

Definition 1.19 - Consistent

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\Phi \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

- ϕ is Consistent iff $\nexists \phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ st $\phi \vdash \psi$ and $\phi \vdash \neg\psi$.

- Φ is Consistent iff $\nexists \phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ st $\Phi \vdash \psi$ and $\Phi \vdash \neg\psi$.

Proposition 1.2 - Φ is Consistent iff $\exists \phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ st $\Phi \not\vdash \psi$

Proposition 1.3 - Φ is Consistent iff $\forall \Sigma \subset \Phi$, Σ is Consistent

Proposition 1.4 - If $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ then $\exists \Sigma \subset \Gamma$ st $\Sigma \vdash \phi$.

Theorem 1.3 - Inconsistency

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\Phi \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

i) $\Phi \vdash \phi$ iff $\Phi \cup \{\neg\phi\}$ is Inconsistent.

ii) $\Phi \cup \{\phi\}$ is Inconsistent iff $\Phi \cup \{\neg\phi\}$ is Inconsistent.

iii) $\Phi \vdash \neg\phi$ iff $\Phi \cup \{\phi\}$ is Inconsistent.

Theorem 1.4 - If $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\phi\}$ is Satisfiable then $\Gamma \not\vdash \phi$.

Theorem 1.5 - Chain of Consistency

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and $\Gamma_0 \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_n \subset \dots \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

If $\forall i$, Γ_i is Consistent, then $\Gamma := \cup_i \Gamma_i$ is Consistent.

1.6 Alphabetic Variants

Theorem 1.6 - Alphabetic Variants

$\forall \phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$, $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$, $z \in \text{Var}$, $\exists \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ with $cp(\psi) = cp(\phi)$ st

$$\vdash (\phi \leftrightarrow \psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{SubSt}(t, z, \psi)$$

N.B. This ψ is called an Alphabetic Variant of ϕ .

2 Semantics

Definition 2.1 - \mathcal{L} -Structure

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL.

An \mathcal{L} -Structure assigns meaning to the *Non-Logical* symbols of \mathcal{L} .

An \mathcal{L} -Structure is an ordered pair $\mathfrak{M} := (D, \mathfrak{I})$ where

Domain D is a non-empty set.

Often \mathbb{R} or similar.

Interpretation \mathfrak{I} is a function over the non-logical symbols of \mathcal{L} .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}(c) &\in D \text{ where } c \text{ is a constant symbol of } \mathcal{L} \\ \mathfrak{I}(P) &\subset D^n \text{ where } P \text{ is a } k\text{-arity predicate symbol of } \mathcal{L} \\ \mathfrak{I}(f) &: D^n \rightarrow D \text{ where } f \text{ is a } k\text{-arity function symbol of } \mathcal{L} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1 - Interpretation, \mathfrak{I}

The *Interpretation* is a function which assigns meaning to non-logical symbols.

$\mathfrak{I}(P)$ gives the property or relation on D by which P is interpreted.

$\mathfrak{I}(f)$ gives the function on D^n by which f is interpreted.

$\mathfrak{I}(P)$ gives the object in D which c denotes.

Definition 2.2 - Variable Assignment, s

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and $\mathfrak{M} := (|\mathfrak{M}|, \mathfrak{I})$ be an \mathcal{L} -Structure.

A *Variable Assignment* maps variables to a value in the domain of \mathfrak{M} .

$$s : \text{Var} \rightarrow |\mathfrak{M}|$$

Definition 2.3 - Variable Assignment for \mathcal{L} -Terms, \bar{s}

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and $\mathfrak{M} := (|\mathfrak{M}|, \mathfrak{I})$ be an \mathcal{L} -Structure.

We define *Variable Assignment* over \mathcal{L} -Terms recursively

V1 Let x be a variable symbol of \mathcal{L} .

$$\bar{s}(x) := s(x)$$

V2 Let c be a constant symbol of \mathcal{L} .

$$\bar{s}(c) := c^{\mathfrak{M}}$$

V3 Let f be a k -arity function symbol of \mathcal{L} and t_1, \dots, t_k be \mathcal{L} -Terms.

$$\bar{s}(f(t_1, \dots, t_k)) := f^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{s}(t_1), \dots, \bar{s}(t_k))$$

N.B. $\bar{s} : Tm_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow |\mathfrak{M}|$.

Remark 2.2 - $\bar{s}(t)$ is the *Semantic Value* of term t in struture \mathfrak{M} under assignement s .

$\bar{s}(t)$ gives a description of what t designates in \mathfrak{M} under the assignment s .

2.1 Satisfaction Relation

Definition 2.4 - Satisfaction Relation, \models

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, \mathfrak{M} be an \mathcal{L} -Structure and s a *Variable Assignment* over \mathfrak{M} .

The *Satisfaction Relation* (states whether a given formula is true under a given model)??

We define the *Satisfaction Relation*, \models , recursively

S1 Let $t_1, t_2 \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$\mathfrak{M}, s, \models (t_1 \equiv t_2) :\Leftrightarrow \bar{s}(t_1) = \bar{s}(t_2).$$

S2 Let P be a k -arity predicate symbol of \mathcal{L} and $t_1, \dots, t_k \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$.
 $\mathfrak{M}, s \models P(t_1, \dots, t_k) :\Leftrightarrow \langle \bar{s}(t_1), \dots, \bar{s}(t_k) \rangle \in P^{\mathfrak{M}}$.

S3 Let $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.
 $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \neg\phi :\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}, s \not\models \phi$.

S4 Let $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.
 $\mathfrak{M}, s \models (\phi \rightarrow \psi) :\Leftrightarrow$ if $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \psi$.

S5 Let $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and x be any variable.
 $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \forall x\phi :\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}, s \stackrel{d}{x} \models \phi$ for all $d \in |\mathfrak{M}|$.

S-EXT1 Let $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.
 $\mathfrak{M}, s \models (\phi \wedge \psi) :\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi$ and $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \psi$.

S-EXT2 Let $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.
 $\mathfrak{M}, s \models (\phi \vee \psi) :\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi$ or $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \psi$.

S-EXT3 Let $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and x be any variable.
 $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \exists x\phi :\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}, s \stackrel{d}{x} \models \phi$ for at least one $d \in |\mathfrak{M}|$.

S-EXT2 Let $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.
 $\mathfrak{M}, s \models (\phi \leftrightarrow \psi) :\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi$ iff $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \psi$.

Remark 2.3 - When $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi$ holds we say “ ϕ is true in \mathfrak{M} under s ”

Or, “ ϕ is satisfied by \mathfrak{M} under s ”.

Or, “ \mathfrak{M}, s models ϕ ”.

Definition 2.5 - *Model*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\Phi \subseteq Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$, \mathfrak{M} be an L -Structure and s a Variable Assignment.
 \mathfrak{M}, s is a *Model* of Φ if $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \Phi$.

Remark 2.4 - *Semantic Value of a Term*

Let $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ for some FOL, \mathcal{L} , and s be a Variable Assignment.

The semantic value of t , $\bar{s}(t)$, only depends on

- i) The *Interpretation* of the constant & function symbols that occur in t . And,
- ii) The *Assignment* of values to variables in t , given by s .

Remark 2.5 - *Truth of a Formula*

Let $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ for some FOL, \mathcal{L} .

The truth of ϕ only depends on

- i) The domain of discourse, $|\mathfrak{M}|$, over which the quantifiers range
- ii) The *Interpretation* of the constants, functions & predicate symbols in ϕ .
- iii) The *Assignment* of values to *Free Variables* in ϕ , given by s .

Theorem 2.1 - *Coincidence Lemma*

Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ be unique FOLs, $\mathfrak{M}_1 := (D, \mathfrak{I}_1)$ be an \mathcal{L}_1 -Structure and $\mathfrak{M}_2 := (D, \mathfrak{I}_2)$ be an \mathcal{L}_2 -Structure.

Note that both structures have the same domain.

Let $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2$. Then the following are true

- i) $\forall t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}, \forall$ variable assignments s_1 over \mathfrak{M}_2 and s_2 over \mathfrak{M}_2

$$\text{If } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c^{\mathfrak{M}_1} = c^{\mathfrak{M}_2} \forall c \text{ that occur in } t \\ f^{\mathfrak{M}_1} = f^{\mathfrak{M}_2} \forall f \text{ that occur in } t \\ s_1(x) = s_2(x) \forall x \text{ that occur in } t \end{array} \right\} \text{ then } \overline{s_1}(t) = \overline{s_2}(t).$$

i.e. If these conditions hold then t has the same semantic value under both variable assignments.

ii) $\forall \phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}, \forall$ variable assignments s_1 over \mathfrak{M}_1 and s_2 over \mathfrak{M}_2

$$\text{If } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c^{\mathfrak{M}_1} = c^{\mathfrak{M}_2} \forall c \text{ that occur in } \phi \\ f^{\mathfrak{M}_1} = f^{\mathfrak{M}_2} \forall f \text{ that occur in } \phi \\ P^{\mathfrak{M}_1} = P^{\mathfrak{M}_2} \forall P \text{ that occur in } \phi \\ s_1(x) = s_2(x) \forall x \text{ that occur in } \phi \end{array} \right\} \text{ then } \mathfrak{M}_1, s_1 \models \phi \text{ iff } \mathfrak{M}_2, s_2 \models \phi.$$

i.e. If these conditions hold ϕ is equivalent truth values under both \mathcal{L} -structures & variable assignemnts.

N.B. AKA *Reduct Property* of First-Order Logic.

Remark 2.6 - Semantic Interpretations Closed \mathcal{L} -Terms & \mathcal{L} -Sentences

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, t be a *Closed \mathcal{L} -Term*, ϕ be an *\mathcal{L} -Sentence*, \mathfrak{M} be an *\mathcal{L} -Structure*.

Let s_1, s_2 be arbitrary *Variable Assignments* over \mathfrak{M} . Then

$$\overline{s_1}(t) = \overline{s_2}(t) \text{ and } \mathfrak{M}, s_1 \models \phi \text{ iff } \mathfrak{M}, s_2 \models \phi$$

i.e. Choice of variable assignment does not affect semantic value of closed \mathcal{L} -Terms & \mathcal{L} -Sentences.

Definition 2.6 - Logical Consequence, $\Phi \models \phi$

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\Phi \subseteq Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

ϕ is a *Logical Consequence* of Φ iff

$$\forall \mathcal{L}\text{-Structures } \mathfrak{M}, \forall \text{ variable assignments } s \text{ over } \mathfrak{M} \text{ it holds that } (\mathfrak{M}, s \models \Phi) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi).$$

N.B. When this is the case, it is denoted $\Phi \models \phi$.

N.B. AKA “ ϕ logically follows from Φ ” or “ Φ logically implies ϕ ”.

Proposition 2.1 - For unary predicates P , $P(x) \models P(x) \vee P(y)$

Proposition 2.2 - $\forall \phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ & $\Phi \subseteq Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\Phi, \phi \models \psi$ iff $\Phi \models \phi \rightarrow \psi$

Definition 2.7 - Logically Valid, $\models \phi$

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

ϕ is *Logically Valid* iff $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi$ for all \mathcal{L} -Structures \mathfrak{M} and variable assignemnts s over \mathfrak{M} .

N.B. This is denoted $\models \phi$.

Definition 2.8 - Satisfiable

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\Phi \subseteq Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

ϕ is *Satisfiable* iff \exists an \mathcal{L} -Structure \mathfrak{M} and variable assignment s over \mathfrak{M} , st $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi$.

Φ is *Satisfiable* iff \exists an \mathcal{L} -Structure \mathfrak{M} and variable assignment s over \mathfrak{M} , st $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \Phi$.

Theorem 2.2 -

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\Phi \subseteq Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Then

- i) ϕ is *Logically Valid* iff $\emptyset \models \phi$.
- ii) ϕ is *Logically Valid* iff $\neg\phi$ is not *Satisfiable*.
- iii) $\Phi \models \phi$ iff $\Phi \cup \{\neg\phi\}$ is not *Satisfiable*.

Definition 2.9 - Logical Equivalence

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

ϕ is *Logically Equivalent* to ψ iff $\phi \models \psi$ and $\psi \models \phi$.

i.e. ϕ is *Logically Equivalent* to ψ iff $\models \phi \leftrightarrow \psi$.

Proposition 2.3 - Logical Equivalences

The following are *Logically Equivalent*

- i) $((\phi \wedge \psi) \wedge \theta)$ is logically equivalent to $(\phi \wedge (\psi \wedge \theta))$.
- ii) $((\phi \vee \psi) \vee \theta)$ is logically equivalent to $(\phi \vee (\psi \vee \theta))$.
- iii) $\neg\neg\phi$ is logically equivalent to ϕ .
- iv) $\phi \wedge \psi$ is logically equivalent to $\neg((\neg\phi) \vee (\neg\psi))$.

Definition 2.10 - True of, $\mathfrak{M} \models \phi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ with $FV(\phi) \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

Let \mathfrak{M} be an \mathcal{L} -Structure, s_1, s_2 be variable assignments over \mathfrak{M} and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in |\mathfrak{M}|$.

By the *Concidence Lemma*, **Theorem 2.1**

$$\text{if } s_1(x_i) = s_2(x_i) \ \forall i \in [1, n] \text{ then } \mathfrak{M}, s_1 \models \phi \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}, s_2 \models \phi$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi \text{ for all variable assignments } s \text{ over } \mathfrak{M} \text{ st } s(x_1) = a_1, \dots, s(x_n) = a_n \\ \Leftrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi \text{ for some variable assignments } s \text{ over } \mathfrak{M} \text{ st } s(x_1) = a_1, \dots, s(x_n) = a_n \end{aligned}$$

We denote these holding by $\mathfrak{M} \models \phi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$.

N.B. $\mathfrak{M} \models \phi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ means “ ϕ is true of the objects $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathfrak{M}$ ”.

2.2 Substitution

Definition 2.11 - Substitution

Substitution is the process of replacing one expression with another.

Substituting t for x in a is denoted by $[a]_x^t$.

N.B. Usually t is an \mathcal{L} -term, x is a variable & a is an \mathcal{L} -term or \mathcal{L} -Formula.

Definition 2.12 - Substitution of a Term for a Variable in a Term

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $a, t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ and x be a variable.

We define the *Substitution* $[a]_x^t$ recursively

Sub-T1 If a is an *Atomic \mathcal{L} -Term* then

$$[a]_x^t := \begin{cases} t & \text{if } a = x \\ a & \text{if } a \neq x \end{cases}$$

Sub-T2 If a is a *Compound \mathcal{L} -Term* of the form $a := f(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ where $a_1, \dots, a_k \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$

$$[a]_x^t := f([a_1]_x^t, \dots, [a_k]_x^t)$$

Remark 2.7 - $[a]_x^t = a$ for all constant symbols in a

Definition 2.13 - *Substitution of a Term for a Variable in a Formula*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$, $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ and x, z be variables.

We define the *Substitution* $[\phi]_x^t$ recursively

SUB1 If ϕ is an *Atomic \mathcal{L} -Formula* of the form $P(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ where $a_1, \dots, a_k \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$.
 $[\phi]_x^t := P([a_1]_x^t, \dots, [a_k]_x^t)$

SUB-F2 $[\neg\phi]_x^t := \neg[\phi]_x^t$.

SUB-F3 $[(\phi \rightarrow \psi)]_x^t := [\phi]_x^t \rightarrow [\psi]_x^t$.

SUB-F4 $[\forall z\phi]_x^t := \begin{cases} \forall z[\phi]_x^t & \text{if } x \neq z \\ \forall z\phi & \text{if } x = z \end{cases}$.

SUB-F-EXT1 $[\phi \wedge \psi]_x^t := [\phi]_x^t \wedge [\psi]_x^t$.

SUB-F-EXT2 $[\phi \vee \psi]_x^t := [\phi]_x^t \vee [\psi]_x^t$.

SUB-F-EXT3 $[\exists x\phi]_x^t := \begin{cases} \exists x[\phi]_x^t & \text{if } x \neq z \\ \exists x\phi & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

N.B. We never substitute bound variables (only *Free Variables*).

Proposition 2.4 - $\forall t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}, [t]_x^x = t$

Proposition 2.5 - $\forall \phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}, [\phi]_x^x = \phi$

Proposition 2.6 - If $x \notin \text{var}(t)$ then $[a]_x^a = t$

Proposition 2.7 - If $x \notin FV(\phi)$ then $[\phi]_x^a = \phi$

Proposition 2.8 - Let $x \notin \text{var}(a)$ then $x \notin \text{var}([t]_x^a)$ and $x \notin FV([\phi]_x^a)$

Definition 2.14 - *Substitutable*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ and x be a variable.

Let $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

We define whether t is *Substitutable* for a variable x in a formula ϕ recursively

SU1 If ϕ is an *Atomic \mathcal{L} -Formula*. Then $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi)$ always.

SU2 $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \neg\phi)$ iff $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi)$.

SU3 $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi \rightarrow \psi)$ iff $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi)$ and $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \psi)$.

SU4 $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \forall z\phi)$ if $\begin{cases} z \notin \text{var}(t) \text{ and } \text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi) \\ \text{or } x \notin FV(\phi) \end{cases}$

SU-EXT1 $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi \wedge \psi)$ iff $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi)$ and $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \psi)$.

SU-EXT2 $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi \vee \psi)$ iff $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi)$ and $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \psi)$.

SU-EXT3 $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \exists z\phi)$ if $\begin{cases} z \in \text{var}(t) \text{ and } \text{SubSt}(\phi) \\ \text{or } x \notin FV(\exists z\phi) \end{cases}$

N.B. If $\text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi)$, t is said to be *Free* for x in ϕ .

Proposition 2.9 - *Every variable is Substitutable for itself, in all formulae*

Proposition 2.10 - *If $x \notin FV(\phi)$ all $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ are Substitutable for x in Φ*

Proposition 2.11 - *If $\text{var}(t) \cap \text{var}(\phi) = \emptyset$ then t is substitutable for any variable in ϕ .*

Notably, every closed \mathcal{L} -term is substitutable for any variable in any formula.

Proposition 2.12 - *Substitution order doesn't matter*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, \mathfrak{M} be an \mathcal{L} -structure, s be a variable assignment and $d_1, \dots, d_k \in |\mathfrak{M}|$.

Let x_1, \dots, x_k be distinct variables and π be a permutation over k . Then

$$\left(\left(\dots \left(s \frac{d_1}{x_1} \right) \dots \right) \frac{d_{k-1}}{x_{k-1}} \right) \frac{d_k}{x_k} = \left(\left(\dots \left(s \frac{d_{\pi(1)}}{x_{\pi(1)}} \right) \dots \right) \frac{d_{\pi(k-1)}}{x_{\pi(k-1)}} \right) \frac{d_{\pi(k)}}{x_{\pi(k)}}$$

Theorem 2.3 - *Substitution Lemma*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, \mathfrak{M} be an \mathcal{L} -Structure, $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and x be a variable.

i) For every variable assignment s over \mathfrak{M} , $\forall a \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$

$$\bar{s}([a] \frac{t}{x}) = \overline{s \frac{\bar{s}(t)}{x}}(a)$$

ii) For every variable assignment s over \mathfrak{M} , $\forall a \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ where a is *Substitutable* for x in ϕ

$$\mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi \frac{t}{x} \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M}, s \frac{\bar{s}(t)}{x} \models \phi$$

Proposition 2.13 - $\forall t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ if t is substitutable for x then $\models (\forall x \phi \rightarrow [\phi] \frac{t}{x})$ for all $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$

Proposition 2.14 - $\forall \phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ if t is substitutable for x then $\models ([\phi] \frac{t}{x} \rightarrow \exists x \phi)$ for all $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$

2.3 Homomorphism

Definition 2.15 - *Homomorphism*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$ be \mathcal{L} -Structures.

A function $H : \mathfrak{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2$ is a *Homomorphism* if it fulfils the following

- $H(c^{\mathfrak{M}_1}) = c^{\mathfrak{M}_2}$ for all constant symbols, c , of \mathcal{L} .
- $H(f^{\mathfrak{M}_1}(t_1, \dots, t_k)) = f^{\mathfrak{M}_2}(H(t_1), \dots, H(t_k))$ for all k -arity function symbols f of \mathcal{L} and $t_1, \dots, t_k \in |\mathfrak{M}_1|$.
- $\langle t_1, \dots, t_k \rangle \in P^{\mathfrak{M}_1} \Leftrightarrow \langle H(t_1), \dots, H(t_k) \rangle \in P^{\mathfrak{M}_2}$ for all k -arity predicates symbols P of \mathcal{L} and $t_1, \dots, t_k \in |\mathfrak{M}_1|$.
i.e. $\langle t_1, \dots, t_k \rangle$ has property $P^{\mathfrak{M}_1}$ iff $\langle H(t_1), \dots, H(t_k) \rangle$ has property $P^{\mathfrak{M}_2}$.

Theorem 2.4 - *Semantic Value of a Homomorphism*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$ be \mathcal{L} -Structures and s be a variable assignment over \mathfrak{M}_1 .

Let H be a *Homomorphism* from \mathfrak{M}_1 to \mathfrak{M}_2 .

Then, $\forall t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$

$$H \circ \bar{s}(t) = \overline{H \circ s}(t)$$

Definition 2.16 - *Isomorphism*

Let H be a *Homomorphism*.

H is an *Isomorphism* if it is *Bijective*.

N.B. If there exists an *Isomorphism* between \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 they are said to be *Isomorphic*.

Definition 2.17 - Substructure

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$ be \mathcal{L} -Structures.

\mathfrak{M}_1 is a *Substructure* of \mathfrak{M}_2 if

- $|\mathfrak{M}_1| \subset |\mathfrak{M}_2|$. And,
- The function $i(d) = d \ \forall d \in |\mathfrak{M}_1|$ is a *Homomorphism*

N.B. \mathfrak{M}_2 is called an *Extension* of \mathfrak{M}_1 .

Definition 2.18 - Elementary Equivalence

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$ be \mathcal{L} -Structures.

\mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 are *Elementary Equivalent* if

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 \models \sigma \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2 \models \sigma \quad \forall \sigma \in \text{Sent}_{\mathcal{L}}$$

Proposition 2.15 - Isomorphic \mathcal{L} -Structures are Elementary Equivalence

Definition 2.19 - Elementary Embedding

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$ be \mathcal{L} -Structures.

An *Elementary Embedding* of \mathfrak{M}_1 in \mathfrak{M}_2 is a function $H : |\mathfrak{M}_1| \rightarrow |\mathfrak{M}_2|$ st

$$\forall \phi \in \text{Fml}_{\mathcal{L}}, \forall \text{ variable assignments } s \text{ over } \mathfrak{M}_1 \quad \mathfrak{M}_1, s \models \phi \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2, H \circ s \models \phi$$

N.B. $H \circ s : \text{Var} \rightarrow |\mathfrak{M}_2|$.

N.B. If there exists an *Elementary Embedding* of \mathfrak{M}_1 in \mathfrak{M}_2 , then \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 are *Elementary Equivalent*.

Proposition 2.16 - An Isomorphism is an Elementary Embedding

Proposition 2.17 - An Elementary Embedding of \mathfrak{M}_1 in \mathfrak{M}_2 is an Injective Homomorphism from \mathfrak{M}_1 to \mathfrak{M}_2

N.B. The converse may not be true.

2.4 Definable

Definition 2.20 - Definable

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, \mathfrak{M} be an \mathcal{L} -Structure and \mathcal{R} be a k -arity relation on $|\mathfrak{M}|$.

\mathcal{R} is *Definable* in \mathfrak{M} if $\exists \phi \in \text{Fml}_{\mathcal{L}}$ where $FV(\phi) \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ and $\forall a_1, \dots, a_k \in |\mathfrak{M}|$ it holds that

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \in \mathcal{R} \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathfrak{M} \models \phi[a_1, \dots, a_k]$$

N.B. \mathcal{R} is **not** a predicate and is **not** related to any symbols in \mathfrak{M} .

N.B. We say \mathcal{R} is *defined by* ϕ in \mathfrak{M} .

Proposition 2.18 - \mathcal{R} is defined by ϕ in \mathfrak{M} iff $\mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi \Leftrightarrow \langle s(x_1), \dots, s(x_k) \rangle \in \mathcal{R}$ for all variable assignments s over \mathfrak{M} .

3 Deductive Reasoning

Remark 3.1 - Structure of Deductive Mathematical Proofs

Deductive mathematical proofs take (roughly) the following structure

- i) *Assumptions* - Axioms, definitions & proved theorems.
N.B. These depend on the subject matter.
- ii) *Deduction Steps*.
- iii) *Theorem* - The consequent of the deductions.

Remark 3.2 - *Logical Axioms are assumptions in almost all mathematical proofs*

Definition 3.1 - *Generalisation*

Let L be a FOL & $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

ϕ is a *Generalisation* of ψ if

$$\phi = \psi;$$

Or, $\phi = \forall x_1, \dots, \forall x_n \psi$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \text{Var}$.

N.B. Every \mathcal{L} -Formula is a *Generalisation* of itself.

3.1 Hilbert Calculus

Definition 3.2 - *Hilbert Calculus*

Hilbert Calculus is a formal system of deductive logic, used in mathematical proofs.

Definition 3.3 - *Logical Axioms of Hilbert Calculus, $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi, \psi, \theta \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$, $t, t_0, t_1 \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ and x is an arbitrary variable.

The *Logical Axioms of Hilbert Calculus* over \mathcal{L} comprises all *Generalisations* of the following forms of an \mathcal{L} -Formula:

$$\text{H1 } \phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \theta).$$

$$\text{H2 } (\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \theta)) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \theta)).$$

$$\text{H3 } (\neg\phi \rightarrow \neg\psi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \phi).$$

$$\text{H4 } \forall x \phi \rightarrow [\phi]_{\frac{t}{x}} \text{ where } \text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi).$$

$$\text{H5 } \phi \rightarrow \forall x \psi \text{ for } x \notin FV(\phi).$$

$$\text{H6 } [\forall x(\phi \rightarrow \psi)] \rightarrow [\forall x \phi \rightarrow \forall x \psi].$$

$$\text{H7 } t \equiv t.$$

$$\text{H8 } t_0 \equiv t_1 \rightarrow ([\phi]_{\frac{t_0}{x}} \rightarrow [\phi]_{\frac{t_1}{x}}) \text{ where } \text{SubSt}(t_0, x, \phi) \text{ and } \text{SubSt}(t_1, x, \phi).$$

N.B. This set is denoted as $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Remark 3.3 - *Logical Axioms are formulae*

Definition 3.4 - *Deduction in Hilbert Calculus*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL & $\Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ in *Hilbert Calculus*.

A *Deduction*, \mathcal{D} from Γ is a finite sequence, $\langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \rangle$, of \mathcal{L} -Formulae where $\forall k \in [1, n]$:

$$\phi_k \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}} \cup \Gamma.$$

i.e. ϕ_k is assumed to be true.

or, $\exists i, j, k$ with $i, j < k$ st $\phi_j = \phi_i \rightarrow \phi_k$.
i.e. ϕ_k is true by implication.

N.B. We say \mathcal{D} is a *Deduction* of ϕ_n since ϕ_n is the last formula.

Proposition 3.1 - Deductions from Deductions

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ & $\mathcal{D} := \langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \rangle$ be a *Deduction* from Γ .

- $\forall m \leq n$, $\mathcal{D} \upharpoonright_m := \langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_m \rangle$ is a *Deduction* of θ_m from Γ .
i.e. All subsequences of a *Deduction* are *Deductions*.
- $\forall \Sigma \supset \Gamma$, \mathcal{D} is a *Deduction* of ϕ_n from Σ .
- For all deductions $\mathcal{D}' := \langle \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m \rangle$ from Γ

$\mathcal{D} * \mathcal{D}' = \langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m \rangle$ is a deduction of θ_m from Γ .

Definition 3.5 - Deducibility in Hilbert Style

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ & $\Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

ϕ is *Deducible* from Γ if \exists a deduction of ϕ from Γ .

N.B. This is denoted $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ (If $\Gamma \equiv \emptyset$ then we write $\vdash \phi$).

Remark 3.4 - If $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ we say ϕ is a Theorem of Γ

Definition 3.6 - Modus Ponens

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ & $\Gamma, \Sigma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

If $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ is obtained from $\Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ and $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ we say

$\Gamma \vdash \phi$ is obtained by applying *Modus Ponens* to $\Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ and $\Gamma \vdash \phi$.

N.B. “*Modus Ponens*” translates to “*Putting the limit*”.

Theorem 3.1 - Monotonicity of Deducibility

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ & $\Gamma, \Sigma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

If $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ and $\Sigma \supset \Gamma$ then $\Sigma \vdash \phi$.

Theorem 3.2 - Generalisation Theorem

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ & $\Gamma, \Sigma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

If $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ and $x \notin FV(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash \forall x\phi$ where $FV(\Gamma) := \bigcup_{\psi \in \Gamma} FV(\psi)$

Proposition 3.2 - Alternative Expressions of prev theorems

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ & $\Gamma, \Sigma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

AX $\Gamma \vdash \phi \forall \phi \in \Gamma \cup \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$.

MP If $\Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ and $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ then $\Gamma \vdash \psi$.

GEN If $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ and $x \notin FV(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma \vdash \forall x\phi$.

3.2 Deduction Theorem

Theorem 3.3 - Law of Excluded Middle - $\forall \phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}, \vdash \phi \vee \neg\phi$

Since $(\phi \vee \neg\phi) \Leftrightarrow (\neg\phi \rightarrow \neg\phi)$.

Theorem 3.4 - Deduction Theorem

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ & $\Gamma, \Sigma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$\Gamma, \phi \vdash \psi \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \psi).$$

Theorem 3.5 - Transitivity of Conditional

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi, \psi, \theta \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ & $\Gamma, \Sigma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$\text{If } \Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow \theta) \text{ then } \Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \theta)$$

Theorem 3.6 - \rightarrow Exchange

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi, \psi, \theta \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ & $\Gamma, \Sigma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$\text{If } \Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \theta)) \text{ then } \Gamma \vdash (\psi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \theta)).$$

Theorem 3.7 - Ex Falso Quodlibet

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ & $\Gamma, \Sigma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$\text{If } \Gamma \vdash \phi \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash (\neg\phi) \text{ then } \Gamma \vdash \psi \forall \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

N.B. “Ex Falso Quodlibet” translates to “From a false proposition”.

Theorem 3.8 - Double Negation Elimination

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL.

$$\forall \phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}, \vdash ((\neg\neg\phi) \rightarrow \phi)$$

Theorem 3.9 - Double Negation Introduction

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL.

$$\forall \phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}, \vdash (\phi \rightarrow (\neg\neg\phi))$$

Theorem 3.10 - Contraposition

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL and $\Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$\forall \phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}, \Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash (\neg\psi \rightarrow \neg\phi).$$

Theorem 3.11 - Reductio ad Absurdum - 1

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$\text{If } \exists \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}} \text{ st } \Gamma, \neg\phi \vdash \psi \text{ and } \Gamma, \neg\phi \vdash \neg\psi \text{ then } \Gamma \vdash \phi.$$

N.B. “Reductio ad Absurdum” translates to “Reduction to absurdity”.

Theorem 3.12 - Reductio ad Absurdum - 2

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$\text{If } \exists \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}} \text{ st } \Gamma, \phi \vdash \psi \text{ and } \Gamma, \phi \vdash \neg\psi \text{ then } \Gamma \vdash \neg\phi.$$

Theorem 3.13 - Left \forall Introduction

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$, $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$\text{If } \Gamma, [\phi]_x^t \vdash \psi \text{ and } \text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi) \text{ then } \Gamma, \forall x\phi \vdash \psi.$$

Theorem 3.14 - Right \forall Elimination

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$, $t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

$$\text{If } \Gamma \vdash \forall x\phi \text{ and } \text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi) \text{ then } \Gamma \vdash [\phi]_x^t.$$

3.2.1 Facts

Proposition 3.3 - $\forall \phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}, \vdash ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\neg\psi \rightarrow \neg\phi))$

Proposition 3.4 - $\vdash (\phi \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow ((\phi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \theta))$

Proposition 3.5 - $\vdash ((\phi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \phi)$

Proposition 3.6 - $\vdash ((\phi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \psi)$

Proposition 3.7 - $\vdash (\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow (\phi \wedge \psi)))$

Theorem 3.15 - *Left \wedge Introduction*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}, t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\phi, \psi, \theta \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

- If $\Gamma, \phi \vdash \theta$ then $\Lambda, (\phi \wedge \psi) \vdash \theta$.
- If $\Gamma, \psi \vdash \theta$ then $\Lambda, (\phi \wedge \psi) \vdash \theta$.

Theorem 3.16 - *Right \wedge*

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}, t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\phi, \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Introduction If $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ and $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ then $\Gamma \vdash (\phi \wedge \psi)$.

Elimination If $\Gamma \vdash (\phi \wedge \psi)$ then $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ and $\Gamma \vdash \psi$.

Proposition 3.8 -

Let \mathcal{L} be a FOL, $\Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}, t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.

- If $\exists \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ st $\Gamma, \neg\phi \vdash (\psi \wedge \neg\psi)$ then $\Gamma \vdash \phi$.
- If $\exists \psi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ st $\Gamma, \phi \vdash (\psi \wedge \neg\psi)$ then $\Gamma \vdash \neg\phi$.

Proposition 3.9 - If $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \phi$ then $\vdash ([\psi]_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{t}} \rightarrow \exists x\phi)$

Proposition 3.10 - If $x \notin FV(\phi)$ then $\vdash (\exists x\phi \rightarrow \phi)$

Proposition 3.11 - $\vdash (\forall x(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\exists x\phi \rightarrow \exists x\psi))$

Proposition 3.12 - $\vdash (t_0 \equiv t_1) \rightarrow (t_1 \equiv t_0)$

Proposition 3.13 - $\vdash (t_0 \equiv t_1) \leftrightarrow (t_1 \equiv t_0)$

Proposition 3.14 - $\vdash (t_0 \equiv t_1) \rightarrow ((t_1 \equiv t_2) \rightarrow (t_0 \equiv t_2))$

Proposition 3.15 - $\{\langle t_0, t_1 \rangle \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}} \times Tm_{\mathcal{L}} : \Gamma \vdash (t_0 \equiv t_1)\}$ is an Equivalence Relation.

3.3 Soundness Theorem

Theorem 3.17 - *Every Logical Axiom is logically true*

$$\forall \lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}, \models \lambda \text{ (i.e. } \emptyset \models \lambda)$$

Theorem 3.18 - *Soundness Theorem*

$$\forall \Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}}, \forall \phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}} \text{ if } \Gamma \vdash \phi \text{ then } \Gamma \models \phi.$$

Equivalently

$$\forall \Gamma \subset Fml_{\mathcal{L}} \text{ if } \Gamma \text{ is Satisfiable, then } \Gamma \text{ is Consistent.}$$

0 Appendix

0.1 Standard Models

Definition 0.1 - *Standard Model of Arithmetic*

Let language of arithmetic is $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{N}} := \{<, S, +, \cdot, E, \bar{0}\}$ where

- $<$ is a binary relation symbol.
- S is a unary function symbol.
- $+, \cdot, E$ are binary function symbols.
- $\bar{0}$ is the constant symbol for $0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let \mathfrak{M} be a $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{N}}$ -Structure with the domain $|\mathfrak{M}| = \mathbb{N}$ defined as

- $<$ is interpreted as the usual ‘less-than’ relation on \mathbb{N} .

$$i.e. \langle x, y \rangle \in <^{\mathfrak{M}} \Leftrightarrow x < y$$

- S is interpreted as the *successor function* ‘+1’ on \mathbb{N} .

$$i.e. S^{\mathfrak{M}}(n) = n + 1$$

- $+, \cdot, E$ are interpreted as the usual ‘addition’, ‘multiplication’ and ‘exponentiation’ on \mathbb{N} respectively.

$$i.e. E^{\mathfrak{M}}(n, m) = n^m$$

- $\bar{0}$ is interpreted as the natural numbers 0.

0.2 Notation

Proposition 0.1 - *Formal Notation*

Notation	Use
$\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$	A string of length n
$\langle a, b \rangle$	Two consecutive strings
$a * b$	Concatenation of two strings
\mathcal{A}^*	Set of all strings over alphabet \mathcal{A}
$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$	Alphabet of language \mathcal{L}
$Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$	Set of \mathcal{L} -Terms of language \mathcal{L}
$Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$	Set of \mathcal{L} -Formulae of language \mathcal{L}
Var	Set of variables in the alphabet??
$Sent_{\mathcal{L}}$	Set of \mathcal{L} -Sentences of language \mathcal{L}
\rightarrow	Implication
\leftrightarrow	Equivalence
\vee	Or
\wedge	And
\forall	For all
\exists	There exists
$\exists!$	There exists a unique
$\bar{+}$	Syntactic $+$, has no semantic value. -signals this for all symbols
$:\Leftrightarrow$	Defined to have same logical value (true or false)
$\Phi \models \phi$	$\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a logical consequence of $\Phi \subseteq Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.
$\models \phi$	$\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$ is logically valid.
$\mathfrak{M}_1 \cong \mathfrak{M}_2$	Structures \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 are isomorphic.
$\mathfrak{M} \models \phi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$	ϕ is true for the objects $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathfrak{M} $.
$\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$	Logical axioms for Hilbert Calculus.
$\Gamma \vdash \phi$	ϕ is deducible from Γ .

Proposition 0.2 - Conventional Notation

Notation	Use
\mathcal{A}	Alphabet
\mathcal{L}	Language (First-Order)
t	Term
ϕ, ψ, \dots	Formulae (Lower case greek).
Λ, Γ, \dots	Sets of Formulae (Upper case greek).
$x \circ y$	$\circ(x, y)$ where \circ is a function or predicate
$c \not\prec d$	$\neg \prec(c, d)$
\mathfrak{M}	\mathcal{L} -Structure
\mathfrak{I}	Interpretation from an \mathcal{L} -Structure
D or $ \mathfrak{M} $	Domain of an \mathcal{L} -Structure
$P^{\mathfrak{M}}$	$\mathfrak{I}(P)$
$f^{\mathfrak{M}}$	$\mathfrak{I}(f)$
$c^{\mathfrak{M}}$	$\mathfrak{I}(c)$
$\mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi$	$\mathfrak{M}, s \models \phi \forall s$ over \mathfrak{M} since ϕ is an \mathcal{L} -sentence.
$t^{\mathfrak{M}}$	$d \in \mathfrak{M} $ st $\bar{s}(t) = d \forall s$ over \mathfrak{M} since t is a Closed \mathcal{L} -Term.
$\text{SubSt}(t, x, \phi)$	$t \in Tm_{\mathcal{L}}$ is substitutable for $x \in \text{Var}$ in $\phi \in Fml_{\mathcal{L}}$.
$\Gamma, \phi \vdash \psi$	$\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \psi$.
$\Gamma, \phi \models \psi$	$\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \models \psi$.

0.3 Definitions

Definition 0.2 - *Arity*

The *Arity* of a function is the number of arguments it takes.

N.B. Unary, Binary, Ternary, Quaternary, ...

Definition 0.3 - *Countable Set*

Let X be a set.

X is *Countable* if

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X \text{ st } f \text{ is surjective.} \\ \text{Or } & \exists f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{ st } f \text{ is injective.} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 0.4 - *Predicate*

A *Predicate* is an expression over a set of variables and returns a logical conclusion (*i.e.* True or False).

N.B. Practically a function from set of variables to true or false.

0.4 Identities

Theorem 0.1 - *Complex Connectives & Quantifiers in terms of FOL*

Term	In FOL
$\exists x, P(x)$	$\neg(\forall x, \neg P(x))$
$P \vee Q$	$(\neg P) \rightarrow Q$
$P \wedge Q$	$\neg(P \rightarrow \neg Q)$
$P \leftrightarrow Q$	$(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P)$ $\Leftrightarrow \neg((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow \neg(Q \rightarrow P))$

0.5 Techniques

Proposition 0.3 - *Induction on Terms*

Proposition 0.4 - *Induction on Formulae*