Network Flow

Maximum Flow Problem, Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm, Max-Matching on Bipartite Graphs

Maximum Flow Problem

- **Input:**
  - Railway system: a directed graph $G(V, E)$, s and t.
  - Edges Capacity: $w(e)$ for each $e \in E$. (Maximum number of passengers a day.)
- **Output:**
  - The maximum number of passengers we can send from s to t a day.



Maximum Flow Problem

- **Input:**
  - Railway system: a directed graph $G(V, E)$, s and t.
  - Edges Capacity: $w(e)$ for each $e \in E$. (Maximum number of passengers a day.)
- **Output:**
  - The maximum number of passengers we can send from s to t a day.



Flow – Formal Definition

- A **Flow** $f: E \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $f(e)$ for all $e \in E$.
- Capacity Constraint:
  - for each $e \in E$, $f(e) \leq c(e)$.
- Flow Conservation:
  - for each $u \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$, $\sum_{v:(u,v)\in E} f(v,u) = \sum_{w:(u,w)\in E} f(u,w)$.
- Total flow:
  - $v(f) = \sum_{v:(s,v)\in E} f(s,v)$.

More Applications

- We want to build a data transmission channel from s to t.
- We can use intermediate routers a, b, c, d, e.
- Each edge has a bandwidth, limiting the maximum rate of data transmission.
- What is the maximum rate of data that can be transferred?



A Greedy Attempt

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.



A Greedy Attempt

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.
  - s-u-t



A Greedy Attempt

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.
  - s-u-t



A Greedy Attempt

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.
  - s-u-t, s-v-t



A Greedy Attempt

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.
  - s-u-t, s-v-t, s-u-v-t



A Greedy Attempt

- We have a flow of size 30, and it is optimal.
- Is it always optimal?



A Greedy Attempt

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.
- What if our first choice is s-u-v-t?



A Greedy Attempt

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.
- What if our first choice is s-u-v-t?



A Greedy Attempt

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.
- What if our first choice is s-u-v-t?



How to adjust the flow?

Put Back Edges

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.
- What if our first choice is s-u-v-t?



Augment Again!

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.
- We still want to go: $s \to v \to t$



Flow Cancellation

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.
- We still want to go: $s \to v \to t$



Flow Cancellation

- Iteratively find an s-t path and push as much flow as possible along it.
- We still want to go: $s \to v \to t$



Flow Cancellation

- What if our first choice is s-u-v-t?
- We need to be able to "cancel" flow on an edge!



Residual Network

- Residual Network G^f with respect to a flow f.



G



G^f

Residual Network

- Now we can continue!
- There is a path on G^f: s-v-u-t



G



G^f

Residual Network

- Now we are able to continue!
- We can push 10 unit of flow on s-v-u-t



G



G^f

Residual Network

original graph G.



G

residual graph G^f.



G^f

Now it is clear to us that no more flow can be pushed from s to t!

Update Residual Network G^f

Given $G = (V, E)$, c, and a flow f

$G^f = (V^f, E^f)$ and the associated capacity $c^f : E^f \to \mathbb{R}^+$ are defined as follows:

- $V^f = V$
- $(u, v) \in E^f$ if one of the followings holds
  - $(u, v) \in E$ and $f(u, v) < c(u, v)$: in this case, $c^f(u, v) = c(u, v) - f(u, v)$
  - $(v, u) \in E$ and $f(v, u) > 0$: in this case, $c^f(u, v) = f(v, u)$

Putting Together

- Initialize an empty flow f and the corresponding residual flow G^f.
- Iteratively
  - find a path on G^f,
  - push maximum amount of flow on G^f, and
  - update f and G^f,
- until there is no s-t path on G^f.

This is exactly Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm!

Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm

FordFulkerson$(G = (V, E), s, t, c)$:

1. initialize f such that $\forall e \in E: f(e) = 0$; initialize $G^f \leftarrow G$;
2. while there is an s-t path p on G^f:
3. find an edge $e \in p$ with minimum capacity b;
4. for each $e = (u, v) \in p$:
5. if $(u, v) \in E$: update $f(e) \leftarrow f(e) + b$;
6. if $(v, u) \in E$: update $f(e) \leftarrow f(e) - b$;
7. endfor
8. update G^f;
9. endwhile
10. return f

A Small Bug…

4. **for each** $e = (u, v) \in p$**:**
5. if $(u, v) \in E$: update $f(e) \leftarrow f(e) + b$;
6. if $(v, u) \in E$: update $f(e) \leftarrow f(e) - b$;
7. endfor

- What if we have both $(u, v) \in E$ and $(v, u) \in E$?
- How to distinguish the cancel edge and the real edge?
- Fix: modify the graph so that no anti-parallel edge exists.

Correctness? Time Complexity?

- Correctness: Max-Flow-Min-Cut Theorem
- Let us assume it is correct!
- Time Complexity:
  - Question 1: Does the algorithm always halt?
  - Question 2: If so, what is the time complexity?

Let’s start from simplest case: all the capacities are integers.

Does the algorithm always halt?

- Let's start from simplest case: all the capacities are integers.
- **Lemma 1**. Each while-loop iteration increase the value of f by at least 1.
- Thus, the algorithm will halt within f_{max} iterations.
- **Lemma 2**. If each $c(e)$ is an integer, then the max flow f_{max} is an integer.
- Proof: By the correctness of FF.

Does the algorithm always halt?

- How about rational capacities?
- Rescale capacities to make them integers.
- Yes, the algorithm will halt!

Does the algorithm always halt?

- How about possibly irrational capacities?
- No, the algorithm do not always halt!

Non-terminating example [edit]

Consider the flow network shown on the right, with source s, sink t, capacities of edges e_1, e_2 and e_3 respectively 1, $r = (\sqrt{5}-1)/2$ and 1 and the capacity of all other edges some integer $M \geq 2$. The constant r was chosen so, that $r^2 = 1 - r$. We use augmenting paths according to the following table, where $p_1 = \{s, v_4, v_3, v_2, v_1, t\}$, $p_2 = \{s, v_2, v_3, v_4, t\}$ and $p_3 = \{s, v_1, v_2, v_3, t\}$.



Step	Augmenting path	Sent flow	Residual capacities		
			e_1	e_2	e_3
0			$r^0 = 1$	r	1
1	$\{s, v_2, v_3, t\}$	1	r^0	r^1	0
2	p_1	r^1	r^2	0	r^1
3	p_2	r^1	r^2	r^1	0
4	p_1	r^2	0	r^3	r^2
5	p_3	r^2	r^2	r^3	0

Note that after step 1 as well as after step 5, the residual capacities of edges e_1, e_2 and e_3 are in the form r^n, r^{n+1} and 0, respectively, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This means that we can use augmenting paths p_1, p_2, p_1 and p_3 infinitely many times and residual capacities of these edges will always be in the same form. Total flow in the network after step 5 is $1 + 2(r^1 + r^2)$. If we continue to use augmenting paths as above, the total flow converges to $1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^i = 3 + 2r$. However, note that there is a flow of value $2M + 1$, by sending M units of flow along sv_1t, 1 unit of flow along sv_2v_3t, and M units of flow along sv_4t. Therefore, the algorithm never terminates and the flow does not even converge to the maximum flow.[4]

Another non-terminating example based on the Euclidean algorithm is given by Backman & Huynh (2018), where they also show that the worst case running-time of the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm on a network $G(V, E)$ in ordinal numbers is $\omega^{\Theta(|E|)}$.

The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - 1
  - 1
  - r



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - 1
  - 1
  - r



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - 1
  - 0
  - r
- Flow: 1



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - 1
  - 0
  - r
- Flow: $1 + r$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - $1 - r$
  - r
  - 0
- Flow: $1 + r$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - $1 - r = r^2$
  - r
  - 0
- Flow: $1 + r$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - $1 - r = r^2$
  - r
  - 0
- Flow: $1 + r + r$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - $1 - r = r^2$
  - 0
  - r
- Flow: $1 + r + r$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - $1 - r = r^2$
  - 0
  - r
- Flow: $1 + r + r + r^2$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - 0
  - r^2
  - $r - r^2$
- Flow: $1 + r + r + r^2$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - 0
  - r^2
  - $r - r^2 = r(1-r) = r^3$
- Flow: $1 + r + r + r^2$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - 0
  - r^2
  - $r - r^2 = r(1-r) = r^3$
- Flow: $1 + r + r + r^2 + r^2$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - r^2
  - 0
  - $r - r^2 = r(1-r) = r^3$
- Flow: $1 + r + r + r^2 + r^2$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - r^2
  - 0
  - $r - r^2 = r(1-r) = r^3$
- Flow: $1 + r + r + r^2 + r^2 + r^3$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - $r^2 - r^3 = r^2(1-r) = r^4$
  - r^3
  - 0
- Flow: $1 + r + r + r^2 + r^2 + r^3$



The Bad Case

- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- Three edges
  - $r^2 - r^3 = r^2(1-r) = r^4$
  - r^3
  - 0
- Flow: $1 + r + r + r^2 + r^2 + r^3$



Conclusion

- The max flow is
  - $2 \times 100 = 200$
- $r = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$
- The flow of FF
  - $1 + r + r + r^2 + r^2 + r^3 + r^3 + \cdots$
  - $1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^i = 1 + 2\frac{r}{1-r}$
  - $1 + 2\frac{r}{1-r} = 1 + \frac{2(1-r^2)}{1-r} = 3 + 2r$
- It does not halt.
- It does not converge to 200.



Time Complexity?

- Assume all capacities are integers, what is the time complexity?
- Each iteration requires $O(|E|)$ time:
  - $O(|E|)$ is sufficient for finding p, updating f and G^f
- There are at most f_{max} iterations.
- Overall: $O(|E| \cdot f_{max})$
- Can we analyze it better?

Time Complexity?

- Can we analyze it better?
- It depends on how you choose p in each iteration!
- The complexity bound $O(|E| \cdot f_{max})$ is tight for arbitrary choices!



Method vs Algorithm

- Different choices of augmenting paths p give different implementation of Ford-Fulkerson.
- For this reason, it is sometimes called Ford-Fulkerson Method.

Next Lecture…

- Max-Flow-Min-Cut Theorem
  - If all capacity is integral, then the max flow can be achieved by an integral flow.
  - Correctness of Ford-Fulkerson Method
  - Many theorem applications
- Edmonds-Karp Algorithm
  - An implementation of Ford-Fulkerson Method with complexity $O(|V| \cdot |E|^2)$.

More Applications

- Table describes number of matches each team has won.
- Number on each edge represents number of remaining matches.
- Does Team D have a chance for the champion?

	Wins
A	40
B	38
C	37
D	29



More Applications

- Let us first assume Team D wins all the 12 remaining matches.

	Wins
A	40
B	38
C	37
D	29+12=41



More Applications

- Team A must win at most 1
- Team B must win at most 3
- Team C must win at most 4

	Wins
A	40
B	38
C	37
D	41

More Applications

- Model the problem as Max-Flow.



	Wins	Max Num of Additional Wins
A	40	1
B	38	3
C	37	4
D	41	

More Applications

- If Team D has a chance for championship, the maximum flow should be 1+6+1=8.



	Wins	Max Num of Additional Wins
A	40	1
B	38	3
C	37	4
D	41	

Correctness

- **Theorem**. If each $c(e)$ is an integer, then the value of the maximum flow f_{max} is an integral.
- Remark: FF can find a max-flow f with $\forall e$: $f(e) \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- $\rightarrow$ If D can win, there exists a flow with 8.
- $\leftarrow$ If the max flow is 8, then we can set the game result as the integral flow.



Application 2: Maximum Bipartite Matching

- Top vertices are girls, bottom vertices are boys.
- An edge represent a possible match for a boy and a girl.
- Problem: find a maximum matching for boys and girls.



Maximum Bipartite Matching - Formal

- Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, a matching M is a subset of edges that do not share vertices in common.
- The size of a matching is the number of edges in it.
- **Input:** A bipartite graph $G = (A, B, E)$.
- **Output:** A matching with the maximum size.

Application 2: Maximum Bipartite Matching

- Naïve Greedy doesn't work!



Application 2: Maximum Bipartite Matching

- Naïve Greedy doesn't work!



Application 2: Maximum Bipartite Matching

- Naïve greedy doesn't work!



Application 2: Maximum Bipartite Matching

- Naïve greedy doesn't work!



Application 2: Maximum Bipartite Matching

- Naïve greedy doesn't work!
- A total of 4 matches…



Application 2: Maximum Bipartite Matching

- Greedy doesn't work!
- A better solution…



Application 2: Maximum Bipartite Matching

- Applying maximum flow and Ford-Fulkerson Method.



Application 2: Maximum Bipartite Matching

- An integral flow corresponds to a matching.
- Integrality theorem ensures the maximum flow can be integral.



Dessert

- A graph is regular if all the vertices have the same degree.
- A matching is perfect if all the vertices are matched.
- Prove that a regular bipartite graph always has a perfect matching.