

1. 1. Algorithm:

$P(i, j)$  represents the longest palindrome subsequence of the slice from  $i$ -th to  $j$ -th character of the string. Initialize all the  $P(i, i)$  as  $s[i]$ .

Iterate over the substring lengths from 2 to  $n$ . For each length, iterate over the starting index from 0 to  $n - \text{length}$ :

If  $s[i] = s[j]$ , then:  $P(i, j) = s[i] + P(i+1, j-1) + s[j]$ .

Else,  $P(i, j) = \max\{P(i, j-1), P(i+1, j)\}$ . Randomly select one of them if they are of the same length.

In the end of the iteration, the longest palindrome is stored in  $P(1, n)$ .

2. Correctness: As the iteration goes from short slices to the longest, the palindrome grows to the largest scale. So we can get the longest palindrome.

Topological order:  $P(i, j)$  needs the value of  $P(m, n)$  ( $|n-m| < |i-j|$ ).  $|i-j|$  is increasing, so it is a topological order.

Base case:  $P(i, i)$  is  $i$ -th character exactly.

Induction:

If  $s[i] \neq s[j]$ , the longest palindrome considering  $s[j]$  without  $s[i]$  is:  $L1: P(i+1, j)$

The longest palindrome considering  $s[i]$  without  $s[j]$  is:  $L2: P(i, j-1)$ . If there is a longer palindrome  $s'$  from  $s[i]$  to  $s[j]$ , because  $s'[0] \neq s'[\text{max\_length}]$ , WLS, assume that  $s'$  doesn't contain  $s[j]$ ,  $s' \leq L2$ , contradict! Then the longest palindrome considering from  $s[i]$  to  $s[j]$  is  $\max(L1, L2)$ .

If  $s[i] = s[j]$ , the longest palindrome is  $s[i] + P(i+1, j-1) + s[j]$ .

3. Time complexity: The iteration is done at most  $\sum i * (n - i)$  times, so time complexity is  $O(n^2)$

2. Algorithm:

1. Calculate the total sum of all the elements.

2. If  $n$  is not divisible by 3 or sum is not divisible by 3, return false, as it won't be possible to partition the elements equally.

3.  $f(i, j, m)$  denotes there are 2 subsets  $A, B$  satisfying that their sum are  $j, m$ .  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .  $A, B$  are subsets of the first  $i$  numbers.

4. Iterate over the elements from 1 to  $n$ :

- For each element  $a_i$ , iterate over the possible sums  $j$  from 0 to  $\text{sum}/3$ :

- For each possible sum  $j$ , iterate over the possible sums  $k$  from 0 to  $\text{sum}/3$ :

- If  $f(i, j, k)$  is not none, set  $f(i, j + a_i, k)$  and  $f(i, j, k + a_i)$  accordingly as well.

5. If  $f(n, \text{sum}/3, \text{sum}/3)$  is not none, we get the division, else there's no such division.

Correctness:

As the iteration goes through all possible ways of division, the required division can be found if exists.

$f(i, 0, 0) = \text{none}$ .

If  $f(i - 1, j - a[i], m)$  exist (in other word  $\neq \text{None}$ ) , put  $a[i]$  into the first subset can be  $f(i, j, m)$  obviously.

If  $f(i - 1, j, m - a[i])$  exist (in other word  $\neq \text{None}$ ) , put  $a[i]$  into the second subset can be  $f(i, j, m)$  obviously.

If  $f(u, j, m)$  exists, for  $i > u$ ,  $f(i, j, m)$  exists.

Time complexity:

According to the iteration, the time complexity is  $O(\sum^2 n)$ .

3. Denote the vertices in the graph as  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ , where  $v_1$  is the start point  $s$ . Define the DP state as follows:  $dp(i, U)$  represents the maximum profit minus cost achievable by starting at vertex  $v_i$  and visiting the subset of vertices  $U$ . Here,  $U \subseteq V \setminus \{v_i\}$ .

Algorithm:

1. Initialize the DP table with  $dp(i, U) = -\infty$  for all  $i$  and  $U$ .
2. For each vertex  $v_i \in V$ , set  $dp(i, \emptyset) = p(v_i) - c(v_i, v_1)$ , where  $c(v_i, v_1)$  represents the cost of the edge  $(v_i, v_1)$ . This represents the profit minus cost of returning to the start vertex without visiting any other vertex.  $c(v_i, v_1) = +\infty$  if there's no edge.
3. For each subset of vertices  $U \subseteq V \setminus \{v_1\}$ , start from small sets to large ones, regarding the number of elements:
  - For each vertex  $v_i \in U$ :
    - For each vertex  $v_j \in U \setminus \{v_i\}$ :
      - Update  $dp(j, U)$  as follows:  $dp(j, U) = \max(dp(j, U), dp(i, U \setminus \{v_j\}) + p(v_j) - c(v_j, v_i))$
4. Compute the maximum profit minus cost as follows:  $\text{max\_profit\_minus\_cost} = \max(dp(j, V \setminus \{v_1\}) + p(v_1) - c(v_1, v_j))$ , for all  $v_j \in V \setminus \{v_1\}$ ,  $c(v_1, v_j) \neq +\infty$ .
5. Trace back the optimal path to retrieve the vertices included in  $U$  that yield the maximum profit minus cost.

Correctness:

1. Topological order:

Because for the sets, we follow an increasing order of size. So when we subsets are always gone through before we need their results.

2. Induction:

$c(v_i, v_1) = +\infty$  if there's no edge

$dp(v, \{v\}) = p(v) - 2c(s, v)$

$dp(u, \emptyset) = 0$

$dp(i, U \setminus \{v_j\}) + p(v_j) - c(v_j, v_i)$  is a possible value of  $dp(j, U)$ .

Time complexity:

Number of sets is  $2^n$ , according to the iteration, the total time complexity is  $O(n2^n)$

4. 1. 1. Algorithm:

$f(i)$  is the maximum revenue considering first  $i$  elements,  $g(i)$  is the max continuous subsequence containing  $a_i$ .

Initialize  $g(1) = a_1$ ,  $f(1) = \max(0, g(1))$

Iteration: for  $i$  from 2 to  $n$ :

$$1. g(i) = \max(g(i-1) + a_i, a_i)$$

$$2. f(i) = \max(f(i-1), g(i))$$

2. Correctness:

Assume that  $g(j)$  ( $j < i$ ) are all correct, if  $g(i-1) < 0$ ,  $g(i) = a_i$ . If  $g(i-1) > 0$ ,

$$g(i) = g(i-1) + a_i$$

Assume that  $f(j)$  ( $j < i$ ) are all correct, if  $g(i) < f(i-1)$  then  $a_i$  should not be included,  $f(i) = f(i-1)$ . Else  $a_i$  should be included, so  $f(i) = g(i)$ .

3. Time complexity

According to the iteration, the time complexity is  $O(n)$ , because we need to calculate all  $f(i)$  and  $g(i)$ .

2. 1. Algorithm:

$f(i)$  is the maximum revenue considering first  $i$  elements,  $g(i)$  is the max valid subsequence containing  $a_i$

Initialize  $g(i) = a_i$  for 1 to  $L$ ,  $f(i) = \max(0, g(i))$

Iteration: for  $i$  from  $L+1$  to  $n$ :

$$1. g(i) = \max(g(i-m) + a_i, a_i) \text{ for all } m \text{ from } L \text{ to } R$$

$$2. f(i) = \max(f(i-1), g(i))$$

2. Correctness:

Assume  $g(j)$  ( $j < i$ ) are all correct, if there is a subsequence  $S$  containing  $a_i$  that is  $> g(i)$ , then:

$S - \{a_i\} > g(i) - a_i$ ,  $g(i-1)$  is not correct. Contradict. So  $g(i)$  is the largest.

Assume that  $f(j)$  ( $j < i$ ) is correct, if there's a larger  $S$ : When  $S$  doesn't contain  $a_i$ ,  $S \leq f(i-1)$ , contradict. When  $S$  contains  $a_i$ ,  $S \leq g(i-1)$ , contradict. So  $f(i)$  is correct.

3. Time complexity

Calculating  $g(i)$  takes  $O(n)$  here, so according to iteration the time complexity is  $O(n^2)$ .

3. 1. Algorithm:

Define a priority  $Q$ , the elements can be considered maximum subsequence before  $i$ .

When  $i-L > 0$ , we can update:

1. if  $g(i-R-1)$  is  $Q.head()$ :  $popHead$ .

2. if  $Q$  is not empty and  $g(i-L) \geq Q.back()$ :  $popBack$

3.  $pushBack(g(i-L))$ .

So,  $g(m) > g(n)$ ,  $i-R \leq m < n \leq i-L$

We can get  $g(i)$  by:  $g(i) = \max(a[i], Q.head() + a[i])$

2. Correctness: We need to prove that  $Q.head()$  is the max subsequence before  $i$ .

Because  $g(i - R - 1)$  is popped,  $g(i - L)$  is pushed, all valid subsequences are contained. So Head must be the max.

Then with the same steps as 2, we can prove that  $f(i)$  and  $g(i)$  are correct.

3. Time complexity

Now calculating  $g(i)$  takes only  $O(1)$ , so it is  $O(n)$  in total.