STAT406- Methods of Statistical Learning Lecture 5

Matias Salibian-Barrera

UBC - Sep / Dec 2019

For Gaussian errors we have

$$AIC = n \log \left(\frac{RSS}{n}\right) + 2p + constant$$

where

$$RSS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^2,$$

the **constant** depends on *n*, not on *p*

However, many times we find

AIC =
$$\frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \left(RSS + 2 p \hat{\sigma}^2 \right) + constant$$

(e.g. [JWHT13])

Where does this expression come from?

- Regularity assumptions are needed
 - This is an asymptotic approximation, n should be large
 - One of the models should include truth
 - $-\theta_1 \neq \theta_2 \Rightarrow f(y,\theta_1) \neq f(y,\theta_2)$
 - Standard large-sample MLE assumptions to obtain asymptotic normality

- AIC suggests a submodel
- Prediction-wise the full model is better
- AIC can be highly variable

"Smoother" model selection

- Ridge regression
- Can be thought as a type of "prediction taming"
- It is a member of a larger class called "shrinkage methods"
- However, its origins are rather different

Without loss of generality...

• If covariates are centered, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i} = \mathbf{0}$

$$\arg\min_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \alpha - \beta' \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$

satisfies

$$\hat{\alpha}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \bar{y}_n,$$

and

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{LS} = \left(\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{Y},$$

Without loss of generality...

We can always assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$$

and hence

$$\hat{\alpha}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \bar{y}_n,$$

• In what follows, there is no intercept

Shrinkage methods

When covariates are correlated, LS estimators can be highly variable

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{LS} = \left(\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{Y}$$

$$\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}\right) = \sigma^{2} \left(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$$

• When X'X is close to singular...

Ridge Regression

 One way to "avoid" this problem is to add a "ridge" to X'X...

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{RR} = \left(\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} + \lambda \, \mathbf{I}_{p} \right)^{-1} \, \mathbf{X}' \, \mathbf{Y}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and

$$oldsymbol{\mathsf{I}}_{
ho} = \left(egin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & \cdots & \ddots & 0 \ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

Ridge Regression

• This is equivalent to solving

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{x}_i)^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2^2$$

Ridge Regression

And also equivalent to solving

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta' \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j^2 \leq C$$

for some C > 0

Bias / variance trade-off

- Ridge regression was originally proposed as a "hack" to "push" X'X away from singularity
- It can also be thought as a way of reducing the variance of $\hat{\beta}_n$
- This may increase the bias of the estimator, but if the variance is reduced even more, we might gain overall in expected squared error performance...

Ridge regression

- We now have a sequence ("path") of estimators (one for each $\lambda > 0$)
- $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_p$ is always non-singular for $\lambda > 0$ (why?)
- Why are they called "shrinkage methods"?

Air pollution, data 15 15 15 20 Coefficients -10 -20 -2 2 6 8 10 0

Log Lambda

Questions

- What does λ measure?
- How do I choose one among these infinitely many "solutions"?

Effective degrees of freedom

- How many "effective" parameters are we using?
- In linear regression, we have p parameters
- A more general definition is as follows. For a fitting method producing \hat{y}_1 , \hat{y}_2 , ..., \hat{y}_n ,

$$\mathsf{edf} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathsf{cov}\left(\hat{y}_i, y_i\right)$$

Efron, B. (1986). How biased is the apparent error rate of a prediction rule? Journal of the

American Statistical Association, 81(394):461-470.

Effective degrees of freedom

 It is easy to see that for least squares predictors, we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{y}$$

with

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'$$

and

$$edf = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} cov(\hat{y}_i, y_i) = trace(\mathbf{H}) = p$$