1.	(True/False) Each iteration of Gibbs sampling for Bayesian inference in topic models is guaranteed to yield a higher joint model probability than the previous sample.					
	True					
	False					
2				1 naint		
2.				1 point		
	(Check all that are true) Bayesian methods such as Gibbs sampling can be advantageous because they					
	Account for uncertainty over parameters when making predictions					
	Are faster than methods such as EM					
	Maximize the log probability of the data under the model					
	Regularize parameter estimates to avoid extreme values					
3.	For the stands	rd I DA model discussed in th	o loctures, how many parameters are	1 point		
٥.		resent the distributions definit	e lectures, how many parameters are ng the topics?	1 point		
	\bigcirc					
	[# unique words]					
	[# unique words] * [# topics]					
	[# documents] * [# unique words]					
	[# docume	nts] * [# topics]				
4.	Suppose we have a collection of documents, and we are focusing our analysis to the use of the following 10 words. We ran several iterations of collapsed Gibbs sampling for an LDA model with K=2 topics and alpha=10.0 and gamma=0.1 (with notation as in the collapsed Gibbs sampling lecture). The corpus-wide assignments at our most recent collapsed Gibbs iteration are summarized in the following table of counts: Word Count in topic 1 Count in topic 2					
	baseball	52	0			
	homerun	15	0			
	ticket	9	2			
	price	9	25			
	manager	20	37			
	owner	17	32			
	company	1	23			
	stock	0	75			
	bankrupt	0	19			
	taxes	0	29			

We also have a single document \emph{i} with the following topic assignments for each word:

	topic	1	2	1	2	1	
	word	baseball	manager	ticket	price	owner	
	Suppose vanew topi likes each questions First, using times the v	;					
	20						
5.	Consider	1 point					
	What is th						
	123						
6.	Consider	the situation descri	bed in Question 4.				1 point
	Following the number						
	3						
7.	we remov counts.		Question 4, "manage prior to sampling, we he value of $n_{i,2}$?				1 point
	1						
8.	we remov counts.	e that assignment ہ	Question 4, "manage prior to sampling, we he value of $m_{manager}$	need to ded			1 point
	36						

9.	In the situation described in Question 4, "manager" was assigned to topic 2. When
	we remove that assignment prior to sampling, we need to decrement the associated
	counts.

After decrementing, what is the value of $\sum_{w} m_{w,2}$?

241

10. Consider the situation described in Question 4.

2 points

1 point

As discussed in the slides, the unnormalized probability of assigning to topic 1 is

$$p_1 = \frac{n_{i,1} + \alpha}{N_i - 1 + K\alpha} \frac{m_{\text{manager},1} + \gamma}{\sum_w m_{w,1} + V\gamma}$$
 where V is the total size of the vocabulary.

Similarly the unnormalized probability of assigning to topic 2 is

$$p_2 = \frac{n_{i,2} + \alpha}{N_i - 1 + K\alpha} \frac{m_{\text{manager},2} + \gamma}{\sum_{w} m_{w,2} + V \gamma}$$

 $p_2 = \frac{n_{i,2} + \alpha}{N_i - 1 + K\alpha} \frac{m_{\text{manager},2} + \gamma}{\sum_w m_{w,2} + V\gamma}$ Using the above equations and the results computed in previous questions, compute the probability of assigning the word "manager" to topic 1.

(Reminder: Normalize across the two topic options so that the probabilities of all possible assignments---topic 1 and topic 2---sum to 1.)

Round your answer to 3 decimal places.

0.560