ME EN 2550

Ryan Dalby u0848407

Homework 8- Part A

8.2: a) Predicted birth weight = 120.07 - 1.93(parity)

b) If a child is not the first born then there is a predicted 1.93 decrease in predicted birth weight.

Predicted birth weight of firstborns = 120.07 oz.

Predicted birth weight of non-firstborns = 118.14 oz.

c) No, we fail to reject the null hypothesis that is, holding all other variables constant, parity is unpredictive of birth weight. This is because the P-value = 0.1052 which is greater than alpha = 0.05.

8.3: Predicted birth weight = -80.41 + 0.44(gestation) - 3.33(parity) - 0.01(age) + 1.15(height) + 0.05(weight) - 8.40(smoke)

b) For a unit increase in gestation length, there is a predicted 0.44 oz. increase in birth weight all else held constant. For a unit increase in the age of the mother, there is a predicted 0.01oz.

Decrease in birth weight all else held constant.

c) There is a difference because we are dealing with a completely different model that has many more predictors. There is also the chance that parity might be correlated with another variable in the model.

d) Actual: 120 Predicted: 120.58 Residual: -0.58

e) R² = 0.2504 Adjusted R² = 0.2468

8.5: a) 95% CI (-.3212 < coefficient of gender < 0.1612) There is a 95 % chance that the calculated interval contains the true coefficient of gender which predicts GPA when all other predictors are held constant.

b) Yes because for all other predictors the P-values are greater than alpha = 0.05.

- 8.7 Age would be the predictor to be removed first because without it the model has the highest R^2 adjusted of any other model that had one predictor removed.
- 8.13: Nearly Normal Residuals: The probability plot appears linear and thus overall normally distributed.

Constant Variability: The scatterplots show no major patterns other than from the discrete domains of some of the predictors.

Independent: The residuals all appear randomly distributed.

Linearly Related: It appears that there are no major patterns in the residual plots thus a linear model appears to work decently well for this data.

Overall it appears that the assumptions for regression are met.

8.14: Nearly Normal Residuals: The probability plot appears approximately linear and it is reasonable to conclude the data is overall normally distributed, although possibly with some skewness.

Constant Variability: The scatterplots show no major patterns other than from the discrete domains of some of the predictors.

Independent: The residuals all appear randomly distributed.

Linearly Related: It appears that there are no major patterns in the residual plots thus a linear model appears to work decently well for this data.

Overall it appears that the assumptions for regression are met.