Adaptation of Spiking Neural Networks for Image Clustering

Miss.Chinki Chandhok, Mrs.Soni Chaturvedi Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering Priyadarshini Institute of Engineering and Technology, Nagpur Nagpur University, India

Abstract-A Biological Neural Network or simply BNN is an artificial abstract model of different parts of the brain or nervous system, featuring essential properties of these systems using biologically realistic models. The process of segmenting images is one of the most critical ones in automatic image analysis whose goal can be regarded as to find what objects are presented in images. This paper depicts the image processing algorithms that treat the problem of image segmentation. Spiking Neuron Networks (SNNs) are often referred to as the 3rd generation of neural networks which have potential to solve problems related to biological stimuli. Spiking neural networks (SNNs) exhibit interesting properties that make them particularly suitable for applications that require fast and efficient computation and where the timing of input-output signals carries important information. However, the use of such networks in practical, goal-oriented applications has long been limited by the lack of appropriate unsupervised learning methods. Image clustering in realistic human sense can very well be analyzed using SNN. Spiking neural networks usually results in improved quality of segmentation reflecting the mean square error to be minimum. Effective Matlab Programs yielded good results for real time, realistic human being brain behavior like output.

Keywords- Spiking Neural Network (SNN), Spike; Integrate and fire neuron, Segmentation, backpropagation, gradient descent, wavelets

1. INTRODUCTION

Artificial neural networks (ANN) can broadly be classified into three generations. The first generation models consisted of McCulloch and Pitts neurons that restricted the output signals to discrete '0' or '1' values. The second generation models, by using a continuous activation function, allowed the output to take values between '0' and '1'. This made them more suited for analog computations, at the same time, requiring fewer neurons for digital computation than the first generation models [1]. One could think of this analog output between 0 and 1 as normalized firing rates. This is often called a rate coding scheme as it implies some averaging mechanism. Spiking neural networks belong to the third generation of neural networks and like their biological counterparts use spikes or pulses to represent information flow. Neurological research also shows that the biological neurons store information in the timing of spikes and in the synapses. Most of the success of the 2nd generation neural networks can be attributed to the development of proper training algorithms for them, e.g. the backpropagation algorithm [2-3]. It is one of the most widely known algorithms for training these networks and is essentially a supervised gradient descent algorithm. Due to the spiking nature of the biological neurons, traditional learning algorithms for rate-based networks aren't suitable for them. Also, the learning methods for these spiking networks are not as well developed as the traditional networks.

One commonly used unsupervised learning approach for spiking neural networks is called spike time dependent plasticity (STDP) [4-6]. It is a form of competitive Hebbian learning and uses spike timing information to set the synaptic weights. This is based on the experimental evidence that the time delay between pre- and post-synaptic spikes helps determine the strength of the synapse. Image segmentation consists of subdividing an image into its constituent parts and extracting these parts of interest. A large number of segmentation algorithms have been developed since the middle of 1960's, and this number continually increases at a fast rate. Simple and popular methods are threshold-based and process histogram characteristics of the pixel intensities of the image.

II. SPIKING NEURAL NETWORKS

Spiking neural networks (SNNs) are based on spiking neuron models and plasticity synapses. In general a spiking neuron operates by integrating spike responses from presynaptic neurons and generating an output spike when the membrane potential reaches a threshold value. Spiking Neuron Network (SNN) are often referred to as the 3rd generation of neural networks which have potential to solve problems related to biological stimuli [13-14]. They derive their strength and interest from an accurate modeling of synaptic interactions between neurons, taking into account the time of spike emission. SNNs model the precise time of the spikes fired by a neuron, as opposed to the conventional neural networks which model only the average firing rate of the neurons. Based on dynamic event-driven processing, spiking neuron networks open up new horizons for developing models with an exponential capacity of memorizing and a strong ability to fast adaptation [7].

Many of the existing segmentation techniques, such as supervised clustering use a lot of parameters which are difficult to tune to obtain segmentation where the image has been partitioned into homogeneously colored regions. In



副无监督学习的图像。 本文的结构如下:第一部分介绍了尖峰神经网络文献的相关工作。第二部分是本文的核心部分,致力于描述 SM(分割方法及其主要特征。 第三部分报告了神经元模型的模拟及 第四部分描述了所提出的分割方法。 结果和结论将在上一节中讨论。本 文描述了如何在图像中应用SNN 分割。

this paper, a spiking neural network approach is used to segment images with unsupervised learning. The paper is organized as follows: in first Section, related works in literature of spiking neural Networks are presented. The second Section is the central part of the paper and is devoted to the description of the SNN segmentation method and its main features. Simulation of neuron model and its training are reported in the third Section. The fourth section describes the proposed method of segmentation. Results and conclusion are discussed in the last section. This paper depicts how SNN can be applied with efficacy in image segmentation.

III. NEURON MODEL AND TRAINING

There are many different models one could use both to model the individual spiking neurons, and also the nonlinear dynamics of the system. Individual neuron models can be categorized according to their biological plausibility and speed. Generally speaking, the more biologically plausible models tend to require more computation time. The Hodgkin-Huxley (HH) [8] model was one of the first detailed neuron models developed, and they received a Nobel Prize for this work. Though the model is quite accurate, it is expensive to compute. Another rather simple model is the leaky integrate and fire (LIF) [9] model, which is much simpler and is computationally cheaper. Izhikevich [10] compares these and many more such models. We [11] have compared HH to LIF and found LIF to be at least 5000 times faster than HH. Even though the LIF model is computationally inexpensive, it can model the spike times very similar to the HH model. This is important as spiking networks often use the timing of spikes for learning.

A. Simulation of Leaky Integrate and Fire Model of SNN While the LIF model has limited basis physiologically, its strength lies in its computationally simple ability to generate spike events with an input-driven frequency.




Fig1. LIF Model of Spiking Neural Network

Currently most spiking neural network models for segmentation and recognition of objects are based on leaky integrate-and fire neuron models and the principle of segmentation is based on neural oscillators. In this paper, a conductance based integrate-and-fire neuron model is employed so that the more biological neuronal behaviors can be reflected in the network models.

Parameters	Typical Values
T	50 Milliseconds
dt	0.125 Milliseconds
I	1.5 Milliampers
$R_{\rm m}$	1000 kilo-ohms
C_{m}	10 Microfarads
τ_ref	4 Milliseconds
$ m V_{th}$	1Volt
V_spike	0.5 Volts
t_rest	0

Table 1. Typical values for LIF neuron model

T is the total time required to simulate, dt is the simulation time step, I is the input current in milliampers, R_m and C_m are membrane resistance and capacitance respectively. τ_{ref} is the refractory period, V_{th} is the spike threshold in volts, V_spike is the spike delta in volts, t_rest is the initial refractory time. The minimal distance between two spikes defines the absolute refractory period of the neuron. The absolute refractory period is followed by a phase of relative refractoriness where it is difficult, but not impossible to excite an action potential [19]. Using all of the above mathematical parameters defined in table1, we have successfully simulated Leaky Integrate and Fire Model of SNN. We found that when the membrane potential of a neuron exceeds the threshold value, a spike or pulse is generated which is transmitted to other neurons. Simulation results show that spike is generated at the membrane potential of 1.5volts. Long and Gupta [12] showed that this method is about 10,000 times faster than solving the H-H equations, but yet the solution is often quite similar.

B. Training of Spiking Neural Networks

One of the key problems with spiking neural networks is the training algorithm. Much research relied on biologically inspired local learning rules, but these rules can only be implemented using unsupervised learning. However, in supervised learning Spike Prop algorithm operates on networks of spiking neurons that use exact spike time temporal coding. This means that the exact spike time of input and output spikes encode the input and output values (Bohte et al., 2000). Spike Prop is an error-back propagation learning rule suited for supervised learning of spiking neurons that use exact spike time coding. Spike Prop assumes a special network topology. Globally the network looks like a classical feed forward network, but every connection consists of a fixed number of delayed synaptic



Spike Prop是一种反向传播字习规则,适用于使用精确尖峰时间编码的尖峰,神经元的监督字习。 Spike Prop黑用特殊的网络托扑结构。 在全球范围内,网络看起来像是经典的前馈网络,但每个连接都包含固定数量的延迟突触终端,不同的权重和不同的延迟。 但是,延迟是固定的,只能训练权重。 由于延迟的突触终端是固定的,因此必须在很大程度上过度指定这种网络托扑,以使所有可能的权重/延迟组合成为可能(Natschl ager和Ruf,1998)。 针对Spike Prop算法的增强,使得可以训练每个连接的延迟和时间常数以及神经元的阈值;因为可以训练延迟,所以需要更少的突触终端,有效地减少了权重的数量,从而减少了网络的模拟时间。

terminals, different weights and different delays. However, the delays are fixed, and only the weights can be trained. Because the delayed synaptic terminals are fixed, this network topology has to be largely over-specified to make possible weight/delay combinations (Natschlager and Ruf, 1998). Enhancements to the Spike Prop algorithm such that, the delay and the time constant of

every col有多种方法可用于图像分割。 在本文中,列举了模糊和神经网络方面。 trained; tfull 所提出的架构是前馈的,但与传统的MLP不同,学习是无监督的。 近年来,人们越来越关注开发基于内容的图像检索的有效方法。 terminals 图像聚类和分类是用于图像内容的高级描述的手段。 目标是找到有档图像到类(集群)的映射,使得该类集提供与图像存 的体型的形式,使用这类集提供图像内容的高级描述的手段。 生成的类提供图像内容的简明摘要和可视化,可用于与图像数据库管理并举的不同任条 naptic ımber 理相关的不同仕务。 图像聚类使得能够实现有效的检索算法并创建用户友好的数据库接口

There are variety of approaches are available for image segmentation. In this paper, the fuzzy and the neural network aspects are enumerated. The proposed architecture is feed forward, but unlike the conventional MLP the learning is unsupervised. In recent years there has been a growing interest in developing effective methods for content-based image retrieval. Image clustering and categorization is a means for high-level description of image content. The goal is to find a mapping of the archive images into classes (clusters) such that the set of classes provide essentially the same information about the image archive as

```
the 在提议的工作中,我们使用任何经典技术(例如比均值聚类)实现了图像聚类。所提出的技术已应用于脑图像。首先,我们选择了在256 x 256的像素网格中provi 定义的大脑截面图的图像。
然后在相同的样本图像上应用无监督学习的SNN。
imag 将图像的像素划分为8 8 矩阵块,并为每个像素块提取特征向量。
imag 为每个特征向量分配标签或索引,并且基于该索引完成对每个像素块的颜色分配。
                                                                                                                                                    he
                                                                                                                                                      to
                                                                                                                                                    he
inple使用函数kmeans,执行五次迭代以形成五个不同颜色的簇。使用k均值聚类获得分割图像。如中ati此外,神经方法已应用于图像分割,这将在下一节中讨论
                                                                                                                                                    he
```

In the proposed work, we have implemented image clustering using any classical technique such as k-means clustering. The proposed technique has been applied to a brain Image. First we have chosen an image of sectional view of brain defined in pixel grid of 256 x 256. SNN is then applied with unsupervised learning on the same sample image. K-means clustering is used to group the pixels of the image into several clusters. Pixels of the image are divided into 8*8 matrix blocks and a feature vector is extracted for each block of pixels. A label or index is assigned to each feature vector and the colour assignment to each block of pixel is done based on this index. Using the function kmeans, five iterations are performed to form five clusters of different colors. Finally, a segmented image is obtained using k-means clustering. Further, neural approaches have been applied for image segmentation which is being discussed in the next section.

V. NEURAL APPROACHES TO SEGMENTATION

The result of image segmentation is a set of segments that collectively cover the entire image, or a set of contours extracted from the image. Each pixel in a region is similar with respect to some characteristic or computed property, such as color, intensity, or texture. In the proposed work, the feed forward neural networks are initialized and trained with

```
faster back-propagation algorithm. The algorithm we have
used to train the network is the Backpropagation Algorithm.
The general idea with the backpropagation algorithm is to
use gradient descent to update the weights so as to minimize
the squared error between the network output values and the
target output values. The update rules are derived by taking
the partial derivative of the error function with respect to the
weights to determine each weight's contribution to the error.
Then, each weight is adjusted, using gradient descent,
according to its contribution to the error. The various
features extracted from the image are assigned as the input
patterns to the ANNs. To create a network that can handle
noisy input vectors, it is best to train the network on both
ideal and noisy vectors. The selection of a learning
algorithm and parameters such as number of neurons,
number of layers, and the transfer functions, determine the
accuracy of the network, resulting in complex architectures
to solve the problem [18].
```

Network is first trained on ideal vectors until it has low sum squared error. All training is done using back-propagation momentum with the WimAs首先在理想向量上训练,直到它具有低的平方误

ful使用函数trainbpx,使用具有自适应学习速率和动量的 the 反向传播完成所有训练。 由反向传播完成所有训练。 定义可选参数以设置训练期间反馈之间的时期数。 Fil 最后创建一个训练有素的网络。 证进一步模拟该训练有素的网络。 ful 小波的概念已被用于特征提取。在提议的工作中,我们调整了5000个时期来训练网络,并且每20个时期之后 fol显示进度显示。 现在现代的现象进行错误测试 亚环过度亚尔。 最后,对经过训练的网络进行错误测试。 最后,计算了ANN和SNN的INSE。 仿真结果表明,与人了 申经网络相比,Spiking神经网络可以最大限度地减少 . 与人工

d work, we adapted k and the progress Finally the trained

network is tested for errors. At last, the MSE is calculated for both ANN and SNN. Simulation results show that the MSE error is minimized with Spiking Neural Networks compared to Artificial Neural Networks.

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

A. Results of Spike Generation




Fig 2. Simulation of LIF Model of SNN

域中的每个像素在某些特征或计算属性方面类似, 神经网络被初始化并用更快的反向作腊算法训练。 向用于训练网络的算法是反向传播等法训练。 向任务 州史(KP) (XP) (河南東バム河南京) 法是反向传播算法。 想是使用梯度下降来更新权重,以便最小化网络输出值和目标输出值之间的 是。 信误差函数的偏导数相对于权重来导出更新规则,以确定每个权重对误差的贡献。 根据其对误差的贡献,使用梯度下降来调整每个权重。 中提取的各种特征被指定为AMI的输入模式。 可以处理噪声输入何量的网络,最好在理想和噪声向量上训练网络。 5习算法和参数,如神经元数量,层数和传递函数,决定了网络的准确性,导致等 网络的准确性,导致复杂的架构制





Simulation results show that a spike is generated at 1.5V. Hence it is clear that when the membrane potential of a neuron reaches a threshold value i.e. 1, a spike or pulse is generated which is passed on to other neuron. The LIF model is one of the most widely used in computational neuroscience. One of the reasons for this is that it is the easiest to implement. Its model is so simple that the computational cost is minimum compared against other spiking neuron models [20].

B. Segmentation Results






图4显示了使用k均值聚类算法形成五 个不同的聚类。 它实际上是一个映射,显示了不同颜色的聚类如何彼此 分离以及聚类彼此之间的距离。

Original image of brain2.jpg

Figure 4 shows the formation of five different clusters using k-means clustering algorithm. It is actually a mapping which shows how clusters of different colors are seperated from one another and how close the clusters are from one another.




Fig.4. Formation of 5 clusters



Figure 5 represents the segmented image obtained using kmeans clustering. It is clearly seen that red colour blocks of pixels are more in frequency compared to yellow, blue, purple and white color blocks. Also, the blocks of different colors are clearly discriminated from one another. It is possible to count the number of blocks of different colors.




Fig 6.Training of Neural Network with Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm







Figure 6 shows the training of feed forw此外,因此, with back-propagation algorithm. The right back is kept minimum for good accuracy.



versus number of epochs.

Figure 7 shows the graph plotted between Sum squared error and number of epochs. It is seen that as the number of epochs increases the sum squared error decreases and the performance goal was meet after 1106 iterations and the neural network took about 42 minutes and 16 seconds to train itself.

Segmented Image ANN

Fig 8 Segmented image of brain2.jpg with ANN

Fig9. Segmented image of brain2.jpg with SNN

Figure 8 shows the segmented image obtained with ANN. It is seen that only the yellow and white colour blocks are recognized by ANN most of the times. Also the frequency of yellow color blocks are more compared to the purple, blue, red, white color blocks. Hence, the clusters of different colors are not clearly discriminated in the segmented image obtained with ANN. Figure 9 shows the segmented image obtained with SNN. This image closely resembles the

different colors are easily discriminated from one another. It is clear that with the K-means algorithm, one may get some faulty segmented pixels while the use of neural network techniques may increase the performance but some errors might remain. Spiking neural networks usually results in improved quality of segmentation reflecting the mean square error to be minimum. SNNs have emerged as a paradigm that more accurately model the biological functions of the human brain, offering the potential to recreate more biologically plausible computing systems [17].

segmented image obtained with k-means. Also, the frequency nature of clusters is preserved and clusters of

C. MSE Calculation

Parameters	Typical Values
R	2
Q	1024
S 1	400
S2	1
MSE (ANN)	51.2695
MSE (SNN)	1.4063

[R,Q] denotes the size of the input patterns to the ANN and [S2,Q] denotes the size of targets, S1 is the number of hidden neurons.



[4]

NN的均方误差是用ANN获得的分割图象的像素块之间的差异以及用比均值获得的分割图像。 以及用比均值获得的分割图像。 SNN的均方误差是用SNN获得的分割图象的像素块与用K均值获得的分割图象的格素块 之间的差。 方误差从ANN的情况下的51.2695减

Mean square error with ANN is the difference between the blocks of pixels of segmented image obtained with ANN and the segmented image obtained with K-means. Mean

square 在目前的工作中,我们已经回顾了一些基于聚类和神经网络的现有分割方法。
MLF神经网络非常稳健,即。在存在越来越多的噪音的情况下,它们的性能会优雅地降低。
of pix 最大的问题是人工神经网络先达解释他们的预测,在网络培训期间发生的过程不能很好地解释,这个领域仍在
来发中[15,16]。
segme 人工神经网络中的权重数量通常很大,培训人工神经网络的时间太长。
该方法使用小波分解在越来越精细的分解水平上执行聚类。基于小波的聚类是无监督聚类的,并且对于有效的
中CFTOT 特征提取给出了良好的结果。
1.406 表见显示了样本图像DTaln2. jpg的均方误差的计算值。
1.406 表见显示了样本图像DTaln2. jpg的均方误差最小的第三代尖峰神经网络获得了改进的分割质量。
"训练之后,我们观察到属于同一类的输入模式产生几乎相同的发射率(低标准偏差)和属于不同类别的输入模
工产生不同的发射速率(每个级别的平均尖峰率)分开)区分不同的阶级。
SM的结果非常通真并且很容易依赖

In the 与ANN的计算形式。 En the 与ANN的前馈网络相比,LIF模型的计算成本较低[20]。 metho 已经证明尖峰神经元可以被认为是执行不同模式识别任务的替代方式。 使用k-means进行图像聚类也证明了有效地为集群分配索引的更好逻辑。

performance degrades gracefully in the presence of increasing amounts of noise. The big problem is the fact that ANNs cannot explain their prediction, the processes taking place during the training of the network are not well interpretable and this area is still under development [15,16]. The number of weights in an ANN is usually quite large and time for training the ANN is too high. The approach uses wavelet decomposition to perform clustering at increasingly finer levels of decomposition. Wavelet based clustering is unsupervised one and give good results for the effective feature extraction. Table 2 shows the calculated values of mean square error for the sample image brain2.jpg. It is clear that amongst all the segmentation methods used, an improved quality of segmentation is obtained with the third generation spiking neural networks reflecting the mean square error to be minimum.

After training, we observed that input patterns, which belong to the same class, generate almost the same firing rates (low standard deviation) and input patterns, which belong to different classes, generate firing rates different enough (average spiking rate of each class widely separated) to discriminate among the different classes. In this paper we applied spiking neural networks to image segmentation. Results of SNN are quite realistic and easily depend on biological activity of brain signals. .The computational cost of LIF model is low compared to the feed-forward network of ANN [20]. It has been proved that spiking neurons can be considered as an alternative way to perform different pattern recognition tasks. Image Clustering using k-means also proved better logic for assigning indexes to clusters effectively.

REFERENCES

- J. Vreeken, "Spiking neural networks, an introduction," [1] Institute for Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University Technical Report UU-CS-2003-008, 2002.
- [2] L. N. Long and A. Gupta, "Scalable massively parallel artificial neural networks," Journal of Aerospace Computing, Information, and Communication, vol. 5, 2008.
- [3] P. Werbos, The Roots of Backpropagation: From Ordered Derivatives to Neural Networks and Political Forecasting. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1994.

- B. Berninger and G. Q. Bi, "Synaptic modification in neural circuits:a timely action," BioEssays, vol. 24, pp. 212-222, 2002.
 - n and M. Poo, "Spike time dependent plasticity of l circuits," *Neuron*, vol. 44, pp. 23-30, 2004.
 - ong, K. D. Miller, and L. F. Abbott, "Competitive ian learning through spike-timing-dependent otic plasticity," vol. 3,pp. 919-926, 2000.
 - ugam-Moisy, "Spiking neuron networks a survey", P-RR, 06-11, 2006.
 - . Hodgkin and A. F. Huxley, "A quantitative iption of ion currents and its applications to action and excitation in nerve membranes," Journal ysiology, vol. 117, pp. 500-544, 1952.
- W. Gerstner and W. M. Kistler, Spiking Neuron Models: [9] Single Neurons, Populations, Plasticity: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [10] E. M. Izhikevich, "Which model to use for cortical neurons?," IEEE transactions on neural networks, vol. 15, 2004.
- [11] L. N. Long and A. Gupta, "Biologically-Inspired Spiking Neural Networks with Hebbian Learning for Vision Processing," in 46th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting, Reno, NV, 2008.
- [12] L. N. Long and A. Gupta, "Biologically-Inspired Spiking Neural Networks with Hebbian Learning for Vision Processing," in 46th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Reno, NV: AIAA, 2008.
- [13] B. Meftah, A. Benyettou, O.Lezoray, and W. QingXiang," Image Clustering with Spiking Neuron Networks" 2008 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN 2008).
- Maass, W. "Networks of spiking neurons: the third [14] generation of neural network models." Neural Networks 10(9): 1659-1671, 1997.
- [15] R. Andrews, J. Diedrich, A.B. Tickle, A survey and critiques for extracting rules from trained artificial neural networks, Internal printing of the Neurocomputing Research centre, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, 1995.
- [16] J.W. Shavlik, A Framework for Combining Symbolic and Neural Learning, CS-TR-92-1123, November 1992, The University of Wisconsin.
- Maass, W.: Computation with Spiking Neurons: [17] Handbook of Brain Theory & Neural Nets.. MIT Press (2001)
- [18] Garro, B. A., Sossa, H. and Vazquez, R. A. "Design of Artificial Neural Networks using a Modified Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm," In: Proc. IEEE Int. Joint Conf. Neural Networks, pp. 938--945, 2009.
- Gerstner, W., Kistler, W. "Spiking Neuron Models", [19] Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [20] Roberto A. Vazquez, Aleister Cachón, "Integrate and Fire Neurons and their Application in Pattern Recognition" 7th International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computing Science and Automatic Control (CCE 2010) Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México. September 8-10, 2010.

