point

You are working on a spam classification system using regularized logistic regression. "Spam" is a positive class (y = 1) and "not spam" is the negative class (y = 0). You have trained your classifier and there are m = 1000 examples in the cross-validation set. The chart of predicted class vs. actual class is:

	Actual Class 4 Actual Cl	
	Actual Class: 1	Actual Class: 0
Predicted Class: 1	85	890
Predicted Class: 0	15	10

For reference:

- Accuracy = (true positives + true negatives) / (total examples)
- Precision = (true positives) / (true positives + false positives)
- Recall = (true positives) / (true positives + false negatives)
- F_1 score = (2 * precision * recall) / (precision + recall)

What is the classifier's recall (as a value from 0 to 1)?

Enter your answer in the box below. If necessary, provide at least two values after the decimal point.

0.85

point

Suppose a massive dataset is available for training a learning algorithm. Training on a lot of data is likely to give good performance when two of the following conditions hold true.

Which are the two?

We train a learning algorithm with a large number of parameters (that is able to learn/represent fairly complex functions).

The features \boldsymbol{x} contain sufficient information to predict y accurately. (For example, one way to verify this is if a human expert on the domain can confidently predict y when given only x). We train a model that does not use regularization.

We train a learning algorithm with a small number of parameters (that is thus unlikely to overfit).

point Currently, you predict 1 if $h_{ heta}(x) \geq ext{threshold}$, and predict 0 if $h_{ heta}(x) < ext{threshold}$, where currently the threshold is set to 0.5. Suppose you decrease the threshold to 0.3. Which of the following are true? Check all that apply. The classifier is likely to now have lower recall. The classifier is likely to have unchanged precision and recall, and thus the same F_1 score. The classifier is likely to have unchanged precision and recall, but higher accuracy.

Suppose you have trained a logistic regression classifier which is outputing $h_{\theta}(x)$.

The classifier is likely to now have lower precision.

point

point

Suppose you are working on a spam classifier, where spam emails are positive examples (y=1) and non-spam emails are negative examples (y = 0). You have a training set of emails

in which 99% of the emails are non-spam and the other 1% is

spam. Which of the following statements are true? Check all

that apply. If you always predict non-spam (output

y=0), your classifier will have an accuracy of 99%.

A good classifier should have both a high precision and high recall on the cross validation set.

If you always predict non-spam (output y=0), your classifier will have 99% accuracy on the training set, but it will do much worse on the cross validation set because it has overfit the training data.

If you always predict non-spam (output y=0), your classifier will have 99% accuracy on the training set, and it will likely perform similarly on the cross validation set.

It is a good idea to spend a lot of time

Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.

collecting a large amount of data before building your first version of a learning algorithm. Using a **very large** training set

makes it unlikely for model to overfit the training data. If your model is underfitting the

training set, then obtaining more data is likely to help. On skewed datasets (e.g., when there are

more positive examples than negative examples), accuracy is not a good measure of performance and you should instead use F_1 score based on the precision and recall.

After training a logistic regression classifier, you **must** use 0.5 as your threshold for predicting whether an example is positive or negative.

✓ I understand that submitting work that isn't my own may result in permanent failure of this course or

deactivation of my Coursera account. Learn more about Coursera's Honor Code

Attila Földvárszky

Submit Quiz