BIOST 534: Homework 1

Allen Roberts

2020-03-30

1. As mentioned in the recorded lecture, using logarithms can help reduce numerical errors when working with large values that may not be easily represented by a computer. In this example, I calculated the log of the determinant of a matrix by summing the log of the eigenvalues of the matrix. This operation is expressed in the logdet function shown below:

```
logdet <- function(mat) {</pre>
 return(sum(log(eigen(mat, only.values = TRUE)$values)))
}
mat \leftarrow matrix(c(2, -1, 0, -1, 2, -1, 0, -1, 2), nrow = 3, ncol = 3)
print(paste("My function's result:", logdet(mat)))
## [1] "My function's result: 1.38629436111989"
print(paste("Result using R's log(det(mat)):", log(det(mat))))
```

[1] "Result using R's log(det(mat)): 1.38629436111989"

Using a simple 3x3 positive definite matrix, the logdet function returns the same answer as taking the log of the built-in det function in R. To better understand why the logdet function is a useful approach, I created a "bad" version of the function that takes the logarithm of the product of the eigenvalues of the matrix, as shown below:

```
logdet_bad <- function(mat) {</pre>
  return(log(prod(eigen(mat, only.values = TRUE)$values)))
print(logdet_bad(mat))
```

[1] 1.386294

As the matrix dimension increases, the product of the eigenvalues will increase very rapidly, so this function may result in an overflow error for larger matrices. I created a large positive definite matrix using this method as a test case to compare different methods for calculating the log determinant.

```
set.seed(503)
n <- 1000
p <- qr.Q(qr(matrix(rnorm(n^2), n)))</pre>
large_matrix <- crossprod(p, p*(n:1))</pre>
print(paste("My function's result:", logdet(large_matrix)))
## [1] "My function's result: 5912.12817848816"
print(paste("My bad function's result:", logdet_bad(large_matrix)))
## [1] "My bad function's result: Inf"
```

[1] "Result using R's determinant(large_matrix, logarithm = TRUE): 5912.12817848816"

As shown above, my logdet function returns the correct determinant, as verified by using a built-in R function that also calculates the determinant on the log scale. In comparison, the version of my function that works with the product of the eigenvalues returns Inf, indicating that an overflow error has occurred. This also occurs if I take the log of the built-in det function in R.

2. Using the equation given for the marginal likelihood $p(D_1, D_A|[1|A])$, the function below calculates the log marginal likelihood for any $A \subset \{2, ..., p\}$. The function works on the additive scale using the logs of the terms in the right-hand side of the equation provided for $p(D_1, D_A|[1|A])$, thus avoiding potential numerical instability that might result when instead calculating the product of the terms. Note the function uses the logdet function defined in problem 1 to calculate the log determinant. The log marginal likelihood calculation specified in the problem is calculated at the end.

[1] "logmarglik(data, c(2,5,10)) = -59.978932648833"