1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY		
2	STATE OF OKLAHOMA		
3			
4	STATE OF OKLAHOMA, )		
5	Plaintiff, )		
6	vs. ) Case No. CF-2019-1273		
7	DALLAS CHRISTOPHER NORTON, )		
8	Defendant. )		
9	_		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
11	HAD ON JANUARY 31, 2022		
12	AT THE CLEVELAND COUNTY COURTHOUSE		
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE THAD BALKMAN		
14	DISTRICT JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	REPORTED BY: ANGELA THAGARD, CSR, RPR, CRR		

# APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE STATE: MS. JENNIFER AUSTIN MS. JACOBI WHATLEY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS CLEVELAND COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 201 S. JONES, SUITE 300 NORMAN, OK 73069 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: MR. KEITH NEDWICK ATTORNEY AT LAW 130 EAST EUFAULA NORMAN, OK 73069

1	INDEX	
2	INDEX	PAGE:
3		FAGE.
4		
5	BAILEY FERGUSON TESTIFIES:  DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. AUSTIN  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NEDWICK  REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. AUSTIN  RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NEDWICK	5 29 40 42
7	STATE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT	43
8	DEFENDANT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT	45
10	STATE'S FINAL CLOSING ARGUMENT	49
11	DEFENDANT'S FURTHER ARGUMENT	52
12	STATE'S FURTHER ARGUMENT	53
13	DEFENDANT'S FINAL ARGUMENT	55
14	COURT'S RULING	57
15	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	60
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
ı	ı	

### PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Before I left out of town, we had a hearing and we heard from Ms. Cornett. And then we also talked about the res gestae *Burks* evidence. I believe we were going to hear from Ms. Ferguson before trial, or is she available today? I wasn't quite sure.

MS. AUSTIN: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: On Ms. Ferguson?

MS. AUSTIN: She's present and ready.

THE COURT: So I know we have some other housekeeping

matters. Do we want to do that first?

2.1

MS. AUSTIN: I think as far as motions go, I think the other -- the child hearsay, we had two different portions to it. One was Ms. Cornett and one was Mr. Jackson, who lives in Arizona. And so we had talked about doing his child hearsay right before he testifies. And he's getting on the road today, so he'll be here to testify.

And then the *Burks* res gestae motion, the only witness we had was Ms. Ferguson. So I think after the testimony today, we can resolve that motion and the child hearsay. But for Mr. Jackson, we're finished with that. And I don't believe the State has any other motions. And I think the defendant's motions were mainly objections to those.

MR. NEDWICK: That's right. And the Court does have -- already have the interview, the recording of the

```
1
                 That's the other thing to remind you of.
     interview.
 2
               THE COURT: I do, yeah. I have not listened to it
 3
    yet, but I will.
 4
               MR. NEDWICK: Okay.
 5
               MS. AUSTIN:
                            Okay.
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's go ahead and call --
 6
 7
    State may call its witness.
 8
               MS. AUSTIN:
                            Okay.
 9
                              BAILEY FERGUSON
    was called as a witness, and after having been first duly
10
11
    sworn, testified as follows:
12
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MS. AUSTIN:
13
          Would you please state your name and spell your name,
14
15
    please, for the record.
          Bailey Ferguson. B-A-I-L-E-Y, F-E-R-G-U-S-O-N.
16
17
          And, Ms. Ferguson, how old are you?
18
          21.
19
          21?
              Okay. Do you know a Dallas Norton?
20
    Α
          Yes.
21
         How do you know him?
22
          A family friend.
23
          Okay. And how long would you say that you've known him or
    known of him?
24
25
          Since I was probably 12.
```

Okay. Did you live close to each other when you were 1 2 younger? 3 Yes. Α At some point, did you start to babysit for Mr. Norton's 4 children? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 And do you see Mr. Norton in the courtroom today? 8 Yes. Α Can you please tell us where he's seated and what he's wearing? 10 11 Blue shirt. 12 Q Okay. 13 MS. AUSTIN: May the record reflect identification of the defendant? 14 THE COURT: Yes, it will. 15 (By Ms. Austin) When you were babysitting for his 16 children, where would you do that? 17 I did it in two places. They lived in Lexington Crossing 18 for just a little bit; there, and then Fawn Run Crossing in 19 Norman. 20 2.1 So Lexington Crossing, is that over kind of by Norman 22 North? 23 Yeah. And then Fawn Run Crossing, is that what you said? 24

25

Α

Yes.

- Q And is that a neighborhood, is that a street name, apartment name, what is that?
  - A I think that was a street name.
- $4 \parallel Q$  Okay. And was that in Norman also?
- 5 A Yes, ma'am.

- 6 Q Okay. And you said that you babysat for his children.
- 7 Was the job you were going to babysit for all of his children
- 8 or mainly one of them, or how was it?
- 9 A Mainly one.
- 10 Q And who was that?
- 11 A Austin.
- 12 Q And how old was Austin when you were babysitting him?
- 13 A Ten, maybe.
- 14 Q Okay. And did he attend school?
- 15 A Home school.
- 16 Q Okay. And you said that you watched him at Lexington
- 17 Crossing and at Fawn Run Crossing. And did you know a person
- 18 by the name of Tiffany Norton?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q And did Tiffany have children also that you babysat
- 21 sometimes?
- 22 A Sometimes, yes.
- 23 Q And did you babysit -- when you babysat at Lexington
- 24 Crossing, was Tiffany Norton and her children, were they in the
- 25 picture at that time?

- A Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q And at Fawn Run Crossing?
- 3 A Uh-huh.

- 4 Q And how many kids were there total that you would babysit?
- 5 A Total at a time would be like four to five.
- 6 Q But would it mainly be Austin like during the day?
- 7 A Mainly, yeah, Austin during the day.
- 8 Q Did the other children go to school?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And how old were you when you were babysitting?
- 11 A 17 and 18.
- 12 Q Okay. And you said 17 and 18. When you finished
- 13 babysitting, was it at the Fawn Run Crossing or the Lexington
- 14 Crossing?
- 15 A Fawn Run.
- 16 Q So started at Lexington, they moved to Fawn Run, and you
- 17 continued over there?
- 18 A Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q Okay. And you said that Austin was home schooled. Were
- 20 you home schooled also?
- 21 A Uh-huh.
- 22 Q Is that a yes?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Okay. She's typing down what you're saying, so.
- 25 Did you guys do the home schooling together on those days

- that you were there?
- 2 A Sometimes. Just helping him mostly.
- Q And what was the babysitting job? Was it all day every
- 4 day?

- 5 A All day, Monday through Friday, sometimes Saturdays.
- 6 Q Like 8:00 to 5:00 or 7:00 to 4:00 or?
- 7 A Yeah, like 8:00 to 6:00.
- 8 Q Okay. And you said that sometimes, 4 to 5 kids. Would
- 9 the other kids come home from school and then you would watch
- 10 | them too?
- 11 A Yeah.
- 12 Q When you were babysitting for the defendant, did you
- 13 communicate mainly with him or Ms. Norton, or how was it?
- 14 A More so Tiffany, sometimes Dallas.
- 15 Q Okay. And you said that you had known Dallas for a period
- of time. Did you have communications with him in person also?
- 17 A Yeah.
- 18 Q Do you know when you stopped working or stopped
- 19 babysitting for them?
- 20 A The exact date, I do not.
- 21 Q Do you know about the timeframe?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q When was that?
- 24 A Close to the end of the year of 2018.
- 25 Q Okay. So when do you remember -- when's your birthday?

A October 9, 2000.

1

2

3

4

- Q 2000? Okay. And do you remember whether or not you were working for them or babysitting for them when you turned 18?
  - A Yes, I was babysitting for them when I turned 18.
- 5 Q And how do you remember that?
- A I remember because I remember having -- they got me like a cookie cake for my 18th birthday.
  - Q So for sure, you were still working there October of 2018?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q And then do you think that you were working there at
- 11 Christmas time?
- 12 A I don't think so. I think I quit before Christmas.
- 2 So sometime between October and Christmas you quit working
- 14 there. Would that be accurate?
- 15 A Yes. Yes.
- 16 Q And did you start working someplace else in January of
- 17 19?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Now, before you quit -- well, when you quit, was there a 20 reason that you quit?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q What were the reasons?
- 23 A I wasn't getting paid enough, the kids had trouble
- 24 | listening to me, and then just -- I just started to get
- 25 uncomfortable.

- Q And you say kids not listening. Just Austin or all of them together?
  - A All of them together, yeah.
- Q When you had four or five kids all at once, that was probably kind of a difficult job as an 18-year-old?
- A Yeah. Some of them were a little bit older, but, I mean...
- Q And then you said not getting paid enough. Were you getting paid -- you said you were Monday through Friday, sometimes Saturday all day. Did you feel like you weren't making enough money there doing that?
- 12 A Yeah, I was not making enough money.
- Q Was it also kind of inconvenient for you getting there and back?
- 15 A Sometimes, yes.
- 16 Q Did you have your own car?
- 17 A No.

2

3

4

5

6

- Q And you said that you also became uncomfortable. What were you uncomfortable about?
- 20 A Just towards the end, before I quit, Dallas would start
  21 making, you know, uncomfortable comments towards me.
- Q And you said towards the end before you quit. So is that after your 18th birthday?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q What kind of comments was he making towards you?

- A First it was just, You look nice, You look pretty. Ther it just started escalating to my whole body in general.
  - Q And what do you mean by, "my whole body in general"?
  - A Just that, You filled out nicely, or stuff like that.
- 5 Q And would that be in person he would say those things?
- 6 A Yes.

2

3

- $7 \parallel Q$  And what did you say in response to some of those things?
- 8 A Thank you.
- 9 Q Okay. And you said they started out just nice and pretty.
- 10 Did they become -- I mean, when he told you you looked nice or
- 11 pretty, did that make you uncomfortable?
- 12 A No.
- Q Was it the things he was saying later that made you
- 14 uncomfortable?
- 15 A Yeah. It just started kind of escalating, and I started
- 16 to get a little uncomfortable.
- 17 Q Did you tell him it was making you uncomfortable?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q And would anyone else be around or hear these comments
- 20 that you know of?
- 21 A Not that I know of.
- 22 Q Okay. And you said that they were in person. Did he
- 23 also -- did he ever text or message you in any way with
- 24 comments that made you uncomfortable during that timeframe?
- 25  $\blacksquare$  A During the timeframe when I was babysitting?

Q Yes.

1

- 2 A No, not text.
- 3 Q Okay. Did you communicate over phone somehow about --
  - A Sometimes, yeah.
- 5 Q -- how to deal with the kids, pick up, drop off, that kind
- 6 of stuff?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Would you communicate mainly with Dallas Norton or Tiffany
- 9 Norton?
- 10 A Mainly Tiffany.
- 11 Q Now, you said that it was making you uncomfortable. Did
- 12 you ever say anything to Tiffany that he was making you
- 13 uncomfortable?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Okay. Now, these things he said to you, you filled out
- 16 nicely and things like that, did he ever attempt to touch you
- 17 or do anything in furtherance of that?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Just the words?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Okay. And I think you said that you quit sometime before
- 22 Christmas. How was it that you quit?
- 23 A I sent Dallas a text message.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q And what did you say in the text, if you remember?
- 25 A Just that I was quitting and I wasn't coming back.

- Q Okay. And did you give him a notice or anything like that?
- 3 A No.
- Q So did you text like a few days before you were supposed to work, or how was it?
- A I think I messaged him on a Saturday morning, and I was supposed to go back to work Monday morning.
- 8 Q And did you message Tiffany also or just Dallas?
- 9 A No. Just Dallas.
- 10 Q And why did you do just Dallas instead of Tiffany?
- 11 A Because I was mainly there to watch Austin. Austin is his
- 12 kid.
- 13 Q Tiffany's stepson, but Dallas's son?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Did he have any response to that when you text him
- 16 that you were quitting?
- 17 A Yeah. He just told me that he wished I would have given
- 18 him a longer notice.
- 19 Q And why didn't you give a longer notice?
- 20 A I just didn't want to go back.
- 21 Q And why didn't you want to go back?
- 22 A I was just tired of working there and --
- Q Was it the combination of everything?
- 24 A Yeah, it was the combination of everything.
- Q Okay. Did Tiffany ever message you after that, after you

quit?

- 2 A Not that I recall.
- Q Okay. Now, after you left there, did you have further
- 4 communications with Dallas Norton?
- $5 \parallel A \parallel \text{In what}?$
- 6 Q Any way. Phone, person, any contact with him after that?
- 7 A Not really.
- 8 Q Okay. Did you ever see him again after that?
- 9 A Not that I recall.
- 10 Q Okay. What about you said that you didn't have -- that he
- 11 texted you and said, Good luck. Did he ever reach out to you
- 12 again via phone, text message, app, any of those things?
- 13 A A while after that, after I quit.
- 14 0 A little while after?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q I'm sorry. Did you say a while or a little while?
- 17 A A little while after.
- 18 Q Okay. And what type of contact was that?
- 19 A It was just, How are you doing.
- 20 Q And was it like to call you or text you, or how was it?
- 21 A It was through Snapchat.
- 22 Q And you said, asking how you were doing.
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q So just very -- just polite conversation?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q Anything wrong with that? Were you offended by that in any way?
  - A No.

- 4 Q And did you respond to him when he sent that?
- $5 \parallel A \quad \text{Yes.}$
- Q And what -- I mean, did you respond and tell him what was going on in your life?
  - A Yeah. Just that I was good and I was here working.
- 9 Q Did you tell him where you were working or anything like 10 that?
- 11 A I don't believe so.
- Q Okay. Did that -- him reaching out to you, did that start you guys communicating?
- 14 A Not regularly. Not very often at all.
- Q Okay. And when you say not very often at all, how often would it be, if you know?
- 17 A Probably once every month or every couple of months.
- 18 Q So would it be him reaching out or you reaching out?
- 19 A Him reaching out.
- Q And when he would reach out, would it cause you guys to message back and forth a little bit?
- 22 A Sometimes, depending on what was being said.
- 23 Q Okay. And what type of conversations would they be?
- 24 A Sometimes just, How are you doing. Other times, it was
- just sliding up on Snapchat on my selfies. It just depended.

- Q And were there times when he would make those comments again like he had made when you were leaving?
  - A Yes.

- 4 Q What kind of things did he say to you?
- 5 A Just that I look beautiful, you look nice, you look 6 pretty.
  - Q And would you respond when he said those things?
- 8 A Yes.
- Q And what would you say?
- 10 A Thank you.
- Q And you said that it was every -- like every other month
- or every couple of months. So did this go on for a few months,
- 13 this type of communication?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q How many messages would you say that you total sent back
- 16 and forth to each other?
- 17 A Probably ten, max.
- 18 Q Okay. Like ten little conversations, like, How are you
- 19 doing. Fine. Or --
- 20 A Yeah. It was very short conversations.
- 21 Q Okay. And then at what point did you stop the
- 22 communications between you and Mr. Norton?
- 23 A After he sent me some photos on Snapchat.
- 24 Q Okay. Do you remember when that was that he sent you
- 25 photos?

A No.

1

- Q You said that you started working at a new job in January.
- 3 Is that right?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Were you still working at that job?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And where were you when you got these photos sent to you?
  - A I was in my car on my lunch break.
- 9 Q And were they -- how did the photos come? What messaging
- 10 system, or how did they come to you?
- 11 A Snapchat.
- 12 Q Okay. And had you -- I mean, Snapchat, you have to
- obviously accept someone and follow them and that type of
- 14 thing. Had you accepted him as a friend and following him back
- 15 and forth?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And so when these messages came to you on Snapchat, did
- 18 they come under his user name?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q You said it was photos. Did they come in separate or were
- 21 they in one message, how was it?
- 22 A It was one message with a few pictures.
- 23 Q And was there any communication earlier that day to let
- 24 you know he was going to be sending anything?
- 25 A Not that I recall.

- Q Okay. And what were they pictures of?
- 2 A They were pictures of his penis.
- 3 Q Okay. And you say his penis. Could you see his face?
- 4 A No.

- 5 Q Okay. They came from him, though, is that right, in his
- 6 user name?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And did you -- well, could you tell, how many pictures
- 9 were there?
- 10 A There were multiple. No more than three I would say.
- 11 Q Okay. And could you see backgrounds in these pictures?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And could you tell like what type of location they were
- 14 taken in?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q What were they?
- 17 A I believe a car and then I know a couch.
- 18 Q Okay. And was it a couch that you recognized?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And what was that couch that you recognized? From where?
- 21 A It was a brown couch from Newalla, Skyridge Road.
- 22 Q From, I'm sorry?
- 23 A Skyridge Road.
- 24 Q Skyridge Road? Had the defendant lived on Skyridge Road
- 25 before?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Is that where you lived by him growing up?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q So you recognized the couch in one of the photos as the one from his house on Skyridge Road?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And then the car, did you -- could you recognize any
- 8 surroundings from the car?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Could you just tell from what was around?
- 11 A Yeah.
- 12 Q Were there any other items in these pictures?
- 13 A Yes, ma'am.
- 14 0 What was there?
- 15 A A Monster can.
- 16 Q And was the Monster can just sitting there, or how was it?
- 17 A It was sitting in his hand.
- 18 Q Okay. And you said that they were of his penis. Did he
- 19 have on clothing?
- 20 A Yes. It looked like a shirt.
- 21 Q But no pants?
- 22 A Not that I could see.
- 23 Q And the penis that you could see, was it erect?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Was there any message that came along with those

- photos?
- 2 A No.

- Q So when you -- I think you said you were sitting in your car at lunch when you got those. What did you do when you got those pictures?
- A I opened them and then I swiped out of it and then I blocked him.
  - Q When you said you swiped out of it, what does that mean?
- 9 A I opened the Snapchat and then I looked at it and then I
  10 swiped away from it.
- 11 Q Does that mean like closed -- close it?
- 12 A Close it, yes.
- Q Did you close the whole program, like the whole app, or did you just close that message?
- 15 A I closed that message, and then I blocked him.
- 16 Q When you say you blocked him, what does that mean?
- A I -- where he cannot contact me on Snapchat, can't see
  what I post or anything.
- Q Did you comment anything back to him before you blocked him and closed that out?
- 21 A Not that I recall.
- Q Once you blocked him, did you ever unblock him to go back and see if the pictures were there?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q And so when you block someone, are you able to go back and

- look at them? 1 2 No. Does it tell the other person that you've been blocked? 3 4 Α No. 5 If they try to contact you, do they know they've 6 been blocked? 7 Yes. 8 It doesn't like send them a message and say so and so blocked you, but if they try to reach out again they can't? They can't. 10 Okay. Did you try to save or preserve these pictures in 11 12 any way? 13 Α No. On Snapchat, you're probably the most familiar person in 14 this room with Snapchat maybe. On Snapchat, when you are given 15 or sent pictures or images, do they save automatically to your 16 phone or how is it? 17 18 No. You have to save them yourself. 19 And did you save them? 20 Α No. 21 If you save them, does it tell the person that sent them
- . . .

Yes.

Q But you did not save them?

that they're being saved?

25 A No, ma'am.

22

- Q Okay. After you got those pictures, did you reach out to anyone and tell anyone about those?
  - A No.

2

3

4

5

7

- Q Okay. After you left babysitting for the defendant, had you been around or had you seen Tiffany Norton at all?
- 6 A Yes.
  - Q And when did you see her?
  - A I saw her at Wal-Mart sometime this summer.
- 9 Q And was that after these pictures had been sent to you?
- 10 A Yes.
- Q Okay. So from the time you quit there at their house up until you saw Tiffany Norton at Wal-Mart, had you talked to her
- 13 at all?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Okay. Had you messaged with her or anything like that?
- 16 A No, not that I recall.
- Q So when you saw her in Wal-Mart, what -- tell us how that conversation went.
- 19 A I saw her in Wal-Mart and she called out to me and I went
- 20 up to her. We started talking. She showed me who she was
- 21 with, a boyfriend, and then we kind of talked. And then I
- 22 asked how the kids were doing.
- 23 Q So did you do a little bit of catching up on how things
- 24 were?
- 25 A Yeah, for a minute.

- Q Did the subject of why you left babysitting come up?
- 2 A Yes.

- 3 Q Okay. And do you know who brought that up?
- 4 A Tiffany.
- Q Okay. And do you remember how she brought it up or what
- 6 she said?
- 7 A I do not remember exactly how she brought it up, no.
- 8 Q Do you remember kind of what the nature of it was?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q What was that?
- 11 A Just asking me where I went and just kind of why I quit.
- 12 Q And did -- looking back now, do you -- did you feel like
- 13 you quit rather abruptly?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. At the time, did you notice that at all when you
- 16 were 18?
- 17 A No. I just knew I didn't want to go back.
- 18 Q Okay. And so when the subject came up about you quitting,
- 19 did she ask you why you quit?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And what did you tell her?
- 22 A That I wasn't making enough money, the kids weren't
- 23 listening that well, and that Dallas just made me
- 24 uncomfortable.
- 25 Q Did that cause her to ask you any questions?

A Yes.

1

- 2 Q And what did you tell her?
- 3 A I told her exactly what -- I mean, what he was saying,
- 4 just that some of his comments made me feel uncomfortable, and
- then I told her about the pictures that he sent me.
- 6 Q So everything we've talked about here today?
- 7 A Yes.
  - Q And when you told her that, did she appear upset?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. Did you guys talk about anything further after
- 11 that?
- 12 A I don't believe so.
- 13 Q Okay. And did she say anything about what she was going
- 14 to do or anything?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Okay. Was there -- I mean, did she keep talking for a
- 17 long time after that, or was that it?
- 18 A That was pretty much it.
- 19 Q And did you have any further contact with her right around
- 20 that time?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Okay. At some point after that, did the police contact
- 23 you?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. About this?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

- Q And did you talk with a detective about what we have talked about here today?
  - A Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q And was that pretty soon after you talked with Tiffany?
  - A Yeah, it was pretty soon.
- Q If the records show that Tiffany talked to the police in 8 July of 2019, does that sound about right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And so if you left working for them around December
- of '18 and this happened in July of '19, obviously, it had to
- 12 happen sometime in the beginning or first six months of '19.
- 13 Would that be safe?
- 14 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Was it a long time before you saw Tiffany at the store or
- 16 was it a short time before that he had sent the pictures to
- 17 you?
- 18 A I believe it was a short time before or after. I
- 19 apologize. After he sent me the pictures.
- 20 Q So was it more like a couple of months, three months, a
- 21 month?
- 22 A Only about a month.
- Q Okay. Now, did you document in any way exactly what day
- 24 those pictures were sent to you or what day you blocked him or
- 25 anything like that?

- A No, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Had you -- after you received those pictures, had you planned on doing anything whatsoever about that?
- 4 A No.

- 5 Q Just blew it off and went on?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q So did it matter to you to document them?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Okay. Now, after you saw Tiffany and the police contacted 10 you, did you try to tell them everything that you remembered?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Now, I know we've talked a little bit about timeframes
- 13 here, and we've kind of gone over timeframes quite a bit, would
- 14 | that be safe to say, with getting out dates of when this
- 15 happened and when your birthday was, and things like that?
- 16 A Uh-huh.
- 17 \| Q Did you go over it like that with the detective?
- 18 A Not as far into it, I would say.
- 19 Q Okay. And did you tell the detective like it was years
- 20 that it had been since this happened?
- 21 A Since -- from now to then?
- 22 Q From when you talked to the detective, did you think it
- 23 had been a longer time?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. But when we go through it here, you worked for them

- up until December-ish of 2018?
- 2 A Yes.

- Q Okay. And I think you have been very clear both with the detective and when we've talked that you don't think that any of these comments that the defendant made or the pictures that were sent to you, you were over 18 when all of those were sent, right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And all of those comments made?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q I know we talked a little bit about the comments that he

  12 was making to you that made you uncomfortable. Did you feel

  13 like those comments -- well, what did you feel like those

comments were that he was making to you at the house before you

15 quit?

- 16 A Kind of just flirty, flirty comments.
- 17 Q Okay. Never tried to act on any of those comments?
- 18 A No, ma'am.
- 19 Q Okay. Were they always flirty, or did they start out
- 20 differently?
- 21 A They did start out as flirty, and then it just escalated 22 to more flirty.
- 23 Q And is that when you became uncomfortable?
- 24 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Did you tell your mom or anyone about these things?

- A I told my mom, but I mean, other than that, nothing really came out of it.
  - Q Okay. All right. Thank you, Ms. Ferguson.

MS. AUSTIN: Pass the witness.

Mr. Nedwick is going to have some questions for you.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

### BY MR. NEDWICK:

- Q Hello, Ms. Ferguson.
- A Hello.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 10 Q My name is Keith Nedwick. I have a few questions for you
- 11 if you don't mind?
- 12 | A Uh-huh.
- 13 Q Let's start where you ended there. You said you told your
- 14 mom. What was it that you told your mom?
- 15 A Just that Dallas had said a few things to me and it just
- 16 $\parallel$  didn't really turn out to be anything.
- 17 Q Was it -- I take it that was before you talked to Tiffany
- at the Wal-Mart in the summer of 2019?
- 19 A Yes, that was before.
- 20 Q Do you remember about when that was when you talked to
- 21 your mom about it or told her about it?
- 22 A Pretty sure as soon as it happened or when I got home.
- 23 Q So we're just talking about the comments from --
- 24 A Yes, the comments.
- 25 Q So you just told your mom that Dallas had made some

- comments that you didn't like?
- 2 A Yes, sir.

- 3 Q Okay. And was that while you were still babysitting?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q So right before you quit?
- 6 A Yes.
- Q What about the pictures? Did you tell your mom about the pictures?
- 9 A No.
- 10  $\square$  Q What did you tell your mom about the comments?
- A Just what Dallas had said and that I thought that it was
- 12 weird.
- 13 Q And what was her reaction?
- 14 A Nothing really.
- Q Okay. Did you tell her at that time that because of that,
- 16 you might consider finding a new job?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q So is that kind of the context in which you brought it up
- 19 to her?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Okay. I know that you said that when you talked to
- 22 Tiffany in, we'll call it July of 2019, at the Wal-Mart, that
- 23 you told her the same things that you testified to before we
- 24 had got to that point in your testimony when you were
- 25 responding to, what did you say to Tiffany. It's kind of

important to know exactly what you told her.

2.1

Would you mind being a little more detailed about -- and just think about it a moment -- of what you told her and what you didn't tell her. In other words, you might have told her more or less than what you testified to today, and it's important to me, anyway, that I know what exactly you told her.

- A Yes. I know for a fact I told her about the pictures and even about the comments, I believe. You know, just that he was making comments about me that I didn't feel -- that made me feel uncomfortable. That was the first thing I brought up.

  And then the pictures were brought up.
- Q Okay. So when you said he made some comments that I didn't like or made me feel uncomfortable, you didn't tell her what the comments were, or you did tell her what the comments were?
- A I don't think I told her what the comments were.
  - Q And then -- and forgive me. But when you told her about the pictures, did you -- what kind of detail did you say about that? Did you say he sent me inappropriate pictures, or did you say he sent me photos of his penis, or?
- A Yes. I said, He sent me dick pics.
- Q Okay. And did she ask questions about that?
- A No, not really. Just asked when it was and when it happened. And that was just the end of it.
  - Q Do you remember what you told her, as far as when it

# happened?

- 2 A I can't remember what I told her as to when it happened.
- 3 I know that it happened not shortly after I saw her at
- 4 Wal-Mart.
- Q Okay. That summer was also eventful for you -- or was it
- in the summer when you graduated? You graduated somewhere
- 7 around between the time you left the babysitting job and --
- 8 A Uh-huh.
- 9 Q -- the time you ran into Tiffany at Wal-Mart, correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q When was that?
- 12 A My graduation?
- 13 Q Yes.
- 14 A June of 2019.
- 15 Q Okay. Were the pictures sent before or after your
- 16 graduation?
- 17 A I believe they were sent after my graduation.
- 18 Q So that would have been very close then in time to when
- 19 you ran into Tiffany?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q Did you go to -- did Mr. Norton go to your -- attend your
- 22 graduation?
- 23 A No.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q And you had invited him to the graduation, correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q Were you expecting him to attend the graduation?
- 2 A Not really.

3

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

- Q Didn't he say he was going to?
- A Not that I recall.
- Q Okay. Let's go back to the -- well, let's talk about those photos that you mentioned. Those were sent via Snap -- and when I say Snap, I'm talking about Snapchat.
- A Yeah.
- 9 Q And other than coming from his account, there was nothing that identified those photos as being him, was there?
- 11 A No.
- Q There was no conversations about, Hey, look at this, or anything like that?
- 14 A Not that I recall.
  - Q And what about -- were there any conversations -- I was unclear on that -- you mentioned that you guys had talked or exchanged messages, I was unclear, about once a month after you left before the pictures. Was that via text message, or was that via Snapchat?
- 20 A Mostly Snapchat.
- Q Okay. And if I understood you correctly, he would comment on photos that you would have up on Snapchat and say the same kind of things that he told you in person, Oh, you look beautiful in this photo, or what have you, correct?
- 25 A Correct.

- Q Now, there were other people -- you knew that there were other people in the house that you had been babysitting in, right?
- A Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

- Q A number of children and then Tiffany. Tell me about the children. What were their ages? We had some teenage children? Is that correct?
- A Yeah. There was -- I think only one was a teenager at the time. The others were close; around 12, 13 maybe, at the most.

  And then the younger, Austin, I think was 10. Brianna was 10 as well, I believe, and then the smaller children were, I
- 13 Q You're referring to Ryley?

believe, first grade age.

- 14 A Yes.
- Q Okay. What about -- so there was a teenager, Tara, was in the home, correct?
- A Yeah. Dylan was a teenager; Tara, 12, 13; and then
  Connor, I believe, was 12.
- Q And these teenagers, I know that you mentioned that one of the reasons you didn't want to stay at that job is nobody was listening to you?
- 22 A Yeah.
- Q Tell me, there were times when some of the male children acted inappropriately towards you sexually. Isn't that
- 25 correct?

A Yes.

1

6

7

- Q Who was that, and what did you do?
- A That was just Connor, and he just would talk
  inappropriately more so, you know, say that I was sexy, things
  like that.
  - Q And he -- there were times when he touched you inappropriate, correct, grabbing your butt, that kind of stuff?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Was that kind of conversation going on not just directed
  10 towards you, but amongst the kids in the house? Was there
  11 some, let's say, age inappropriate conversations about sexual
  12 stuff going on from time to time with Connor and the other
  13 kids, not just directed towards you?
- 14 A No, not that I recall.

In the house?

- Q Did they show an interest in stuff, like on the internet, that kind of thing?
- 17 A Not that I recall.
- Q Okay. So other than the comments he was making to you -anybody else making comments of that nature to you, other than
  Connor?
- 22 0 Yes.

2.1

- 23 A Just Dallas. Dallas and Connor.
- Q Well, Dallas's, we talked about, those were complimentary.
- 25 Made you feel uncomfortable, but there was nothing sexually

- explicit about those comments, right?
- 2 A No.

- Q Okay. You saw then Mr. Norton and Brianna from time to
- 4 time interact with one another during these hours and weeks,
- 5 week after week --
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q -- that you would babysit, correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And did Brianna show any kind of indication that she was
- 10 uncomfortable around Dallas?
- 11 A Never.
- 12 Q Did she seem to be excited to see him and playful with him
- 13 and that kind of stuff?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And that was true for the entirety of the time that
- 16 you babysat?
- 17 A As long as I was there, yes.
- 18 Q There was never a change in the way she treated Dallas or
- 19 Dallas treated her?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q And forgive me. When I say Dallas, I'm talking about
- 22 Mr. Norton.
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Okay. Some of these other kids, they did have their own
- 25 social media accounts. Is that correct?

A Yes.

1

- Q Did they ever interact with Dallas on social media, to your knowledge?
  - A Not to my knowledge.
- Q Did they as a family look at stuff together on their phones or on computers, including with Dallas?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Sometimes he would show them stuff, they would show him 9 stuff. Hey, look at this funny video, that kind of thing?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Why didn't -- if I understood, you didn't tell your mother
  12 about the pictures, correct?
- 13 A Yeah, correct.
- 14 Q Were you still living with her when that happened?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Was there -- is there a reason why you didn't tell her about those?
- 18 A I just didn't want to tell her about them.
- Q Okay. And you said that there was a boyfriend that was with Tiffany at Wal-Mart when you guys had the conversation?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Do you remember that person's name?
- 23 A No.
- 24 \ Q You had not met him before. Is that correct?
- 25 A I had never met him before that time.

- Q None of the children were present? At least not -- they didn't interact with you or you didn't see them?
- A Not that I recall. I think it was just Tiffany and the boyfriend.
  - Q Okay. Now, you mentioned that when you quit, Mr. Norton had sent you a message saying, look, I really wish you would have given more notice. Is that right?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 9 Q Now, leading up to that, you had started to be a little
  10 bit -- it had become a problem for you to get to work
  11 sometimes. Is that right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And there started to be a little bit of -- I'm not going
  14 to say drama, I don't think it was that strong -- but started
  15 to be a little bit of complaints about, hey, we've got to be
  16 able to rely upon you, this really puts us in a bind when you
  17 can't get here to watch Austin, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- Q And how long did that go on before you quit, where they
  were expressing to you a problem with you not getting over
  there to babysit on schedule?
- A That was only a few times. I would say probably three to four times.
- Q Okay. For several months leading up to you leaving, though?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q Were there times when you were babysitting -- I know you said normally you were there all day from like 8:00 to 6:00 or something like that, but were there times when you actually would spend the night at the Norton house?
- A Yes.
  - Q And when you would do that, what was the sleeping arrangement there in the house? Like did the girls --
  - A Where I would sleep?
- 10 Q Yeah. Did the girls have a room and the boys have a room?
- 11 A Yes. The girls had a room, the boys had a room, and then
- 12 I slept on an air mattress in the living room.
- 13 Q In the living room?
- 14 A Uh-huh.
- Q Okay. And what was Mr. Norton's schedule? Was he home during the daytime or no?
- 17 A No.
- Q Okay. So in the evenings that you were there, did you ever see Mr. Norton watching television back in the master bedroom, or would that take place in the living room?
- 21 A I mean, he would watch television in the living room.
- 22 Q Okay. Did you -- was that normally with all the kids?
- 23 A Yeah.
- Q Did you -- was there ever a time when he was -- was it common, or to your knowledge anyway, for him to just be like,

I'm going in to watch TV in here, I'm tired of these kids, or, I don't want to, you know, be bothered, or anything like that? Not to my knowledge. He was always in the living room. Okay. All right. That's all I have, your Honor. MR. NEDWICK: REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. AUSTIN: So just so we can clear up for a second, Ms. Ferguson. When you left or told them you weren't coming back, had there been a discussion about you were going to be fired if you weren't on time or anything like that? Α No. Okay. We had talked earlier on direct about how it was hard for you to get there sometimes because you didn't have a car? Right. Did you have to rely on others to get you there? Most of the time, if I wasn't able to take my family's car. Okay. And so there had been some issues maybe, you said three or four times, and I think what -- I just wanted to clear up, as Mr. Nedwick said, for several months. Are you telling us it was like three or four times every month this was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

happening or three or four times over several months?

Three or four times over several months.

- Q Did it happen in the weeks leading up to this, like right before you quit?
  - A I think it started just getting harder. Whenever I quit, it was just too much between trying to get the other kids to school, making sure I was where I needed to be at the time I needed to be there.
    - Q Okay. Did you take the other kids to school, or did someone else take them?
- 9 A Someone else took them. And my mother's kids, not Dallas
  10 or Tiffany's children.
- 11 Q But when you left and you sent the text saying you were
  12 quitting, was there any bad blood between you and the defendant
  13 that you were aware of?
- 14 A That I was aware of, no.
- 15 Q What about bad blood between you and Tiffany Norton?
- 16 A No.

3

5

6

7

- 17 Q You and the kids getting along?
- 18 A Yeah. I just didn't see them that much after.
- Q Now, this issue that we talked about that was brought up about Connor touching inappropriate, I think you said touching your butt and making comments, did you address that with
- 22 Tiffany and Dallas?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q And did you guys talk about that and address it?
- 25  $\blacksquare$  A They talked to Connor about that.

- Q So did you tell them about it, or did they witness it?
- A I think maybe they saw it one time, and then I believe I had to come to them about it before.
  - Q Okay. And was -- you didn't watch Connor every day all day, did you?
  - A No.

1

4

5

6

- 7 Q He was just there, after school times?
- 8 A Yeah.
- 9 Q And this time that you're babysitting them, was it just 10 during school time, or was it summer also?
- 11 A Just during school time, I believe.
- 12 Q Okay. Thank you, Ms. Ferguson.
- MR. NEDWICK: Just a couple of questions from that.

## 14 | RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 15 BY MR. NEDWICK:
- Q Some of those times, Mr. Norton would drive you home after babysitting. Is that correct?
- 18 A That is correct.
  - Q And he never did anything to make you feel uncomfortable when you guys were alone in the vehicle or anything like that, right?
- **II**

19

20

2.1

- 22 A Never.
- Q You mentioned that you told Tiff and Dallas about that touching that you didn't like. Was it common for the kids to tell on one another if they did something wrong and stuff like

that to Tiffany and Mr. Norton?

A Yes.

2.1

- Q And did that include Brianna? Did she ever have any trouble expressing -- telling on people when somebody did something she didn't like?
- A Not most of the time. I mean, sometimes she wouldn't tell on them for stuff. If Tiffany would come home and ask, Who did this, she wouldn't. And then she would -- Tiffany would have to just talk to her.
- Q But if somebody picked on her or made her mad, she would tell -- she would make it clear that she was upset, correct?

  A Correct.
- MR. NEDWICK: That's all I have, Judge.
- THE COURT: All right. Ms. Ferguson, thank you. You may be excused.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- THE COURT: All right. Ms. Austin, do you want to make any closing arguments?
  - MS. AUSTIN: Judge, I believe we argued this the other day when we were here for motions. I told the Judge -- I told the Court my reasonings and the reasons that it's admissible, and they were both cited in my motion under Jackson vs. State and Warner vs. State.
  - And Jackson vs. State is the one that gives the language that the evidence is necessary to give the jury a complete

understanding of the crime and is central to the chain of events, that it's intwined with the crime charged, and that it doesn't require a limiting instruction because it's res gestae.

2.1

I would argue still that this is res gestae. This is not bad acts because I don't think anything that Ms. Ferguson has testified to would be anything that's a chargeable offense. She said very clearly that she was over 18 when the comments were made. I don't believe any of the comments were illegal that were made in any way. And the sending of the photo, she was over 18 when the defendant sent those to her also.

So I think that in order to give this jury the picture, the complete picture of why Ms. Norton then had her ex-husband approach her daughter as, did anything inappropriate happen when Dallas Norton was living in the home, gives the full picture for the jury to understand.

I know that when we addressed this the other day, we argued our case law, we argued all of our reasons, and the Court said you wanted to hear from Ms. Ferguson first before you made your finding, just basically for clear and convincing, to determine whether or not her testimony was admissible.

I know Mr. Nedwick was arguing that it should come in through Ms. Norton and not through Ms. Ferguson, but I believe it's appropriate to come in through Ms. Ferguson because it happened to her. It would be hearsay coming in through what she told Ms. Norton. She told us today what she told

Ms. Norton and where she was and what happened and what happened to her.

I think that based on the cases that we have cited and previous argument, that this would be admitted under res gestae.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Austin.

Mr. Nedwick?

2.1

MR. NEDWICK: Thank you, your Honor.

Your Honor, first, to address counsel's argument about it not being a crime and therefore not fitting under the general rule about uncharged bad acts, that's what they call it, uncharged bad acts, not just uncharged crimes. And it, of course, applies to charged crimes as well.

And even the jury instruction, it has -- in the alternative, it has misconduct, bad acts, crimes, uncharged crimes, and you pick one. So clearly, it's all kinds of what would be perceived as bad acts, not just criminal acts.

Those -- that's what's intended to be excluded, that's what must be excluded in order to give a defendant a fair trial and make sure that he's found guilty, if at all, based upon the evidence of the crime that he's charged with, not based upon them thinking he's just the kind of guy that would do something like this or he's a -- you know, he's a bad guy, a creepy guy, because he was sending photos of his penis, albeit even if it was legal. It applies all the same. So that's of no

significance.

2.1

And a case that stands for that principle, Judge, Mahorney vs. State, 664 P.2d 1042, and that's Court of Criminal Appeals.

Next, your Honor, to counsel's point about my argument from whenever that was, a couple of Fridays ago, to be clear, I wasn't saying that Ms. Norton should be able to come in and testify to it. I was saying if anybody should be able to come in and testify to it, it would be Ms. Norton.

The reason being -- the only reason I've understood that counsel gave for that testimony coming in is to explain why the child was asked. If that's the case, whether it's true or not, regardless of the details that Ms. Ferguson has, the only thing that matters is what was relayed to Ms. Norton.

So Tiffany now -- because it's going to get confusing; she's an ex now, so -- but Tiffany. It's -- she's the one, according to the State, that makes this relevant. She heard this, and then therefore, that's what caused her to ask the child what happened, if something ever happened to her.

So assuming that that's proper for that kind of evidence to come in at all, it's certainly not proper for it to come in through Ms. Ferguson. It would just come in through Ms. Norton.

Now, the reason it shouldn't even come in through
Ms. Norton is this, Judge. Counsel talks about this Jackson
case and this Warner case, and she does so in the context of

DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA - OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

it's necessary to explain the events, it's an integral part of the events of the crime. That's the part she doesn't focus on. You look at both of those cases and all the cases, it's an essential element and event involved in the crime. Not in the reporting of the crime. That's not something that we allow them to prove through bad conduct.

2.1

Think about it this way. If we took counsel's argument that they should be able to -- a jury should be able to hear the reason why they became suspicious, think about an officer, a law enforcement officer, investigating a rape, unknown -- they don't know who the assailant is.

Commonly, they're going to, from their own memory if they're in a small town, they're going to know the five or six prime suspects from, you know, if they've been there a while. But then they might also do a search to see recent sexual offenders who had been released. Happens all the time. And they focus their investigation on that.

They go to that person's home and they knock on the door and they start an investigation into that person based upon the fact that they know that they have done stuff to completely other people in the past. That clearly, they would not be allowed to come in and testify to that in front of a jury, come in and say, Well, yeah, I got the call, and then the next thing you know, I went back to my office, and of course, I knew Jim Brown there, he's a known sex offender around here, I've known

him for years, so I went and knocked on his door along with a couple other creeps that I knew from my experience on the force the last 20 years, and he was the only one that didn't have an alibi, so that's why I focused my investigation towards that. That's the equivalent of what we're talking about here.

2.1

So to allow her -- it's not an essential part of the crime. It's an essential part of maybe the investigation, but those cases that counsel cited and many more like them, when they talk about res gestae, it is necessary because they're a necessary event in the crime, leading up to the crime.

These things didn't have anything to do with this crime. It had one thing, and that is the investigation well after the crime is alleged to have occurred. That's never been found to be important enough to put that kind of evidence in front of a jury and allow them to hear what has been universally identified as being so prejudicial that we specifically exclude it from every criminal trial unless there's -- it falls under one of the specific enumerated exceptions.

Counsel hasn't identified which one, if she's doing her fallback to *Burks* instead of res gestae. I'm not sure which one of the motive, intent, so on and so forth, she is relying upon, but I would certainly welcome the opportunity to address that if she does. My understanding is she's primarily focused on the res gestae element, so thus my argument has been towards that.

Even -- and this is to a lesser degree because that's the important part of this argument. Even if the Court were going to consider allowing her, Ms. Ferguson, to testify, the reality is she got pictures of a penis she had never seen before, can't identify it as being his, comes strictly from a Snapchat account, no context to it, nothing more than the fact that it comes from his Snapchat account to suggest that it came from him.

2.1

We could have a whole trial on that issue, Judge. If whether or not he sent pictures is so important in this trial, we could have a whole trial on that issue. It's not necessary, it's uncalled for, prejudicial, confusing, gets us way off course of what this jury is going to need to focus on, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Nedwick.

MS. AUSTIN: Judge, I would cite the Court to Eizenber, which is E-I-Z-E-N-B-E-R vs. State, 164 P.3d, 208. And in that case, the Court of Criminal Appeals explained that evidence of bad acts and/or other crimes charged should only be considered res gestae when one of these three: One, it is so closely connected to the charged offense as to form part of the entire transaction. Two, it is necessary to give the jury a complete understanding of the crime. Or, three, it is central to the chain of events.

In that case, it says: Evidence of a defendant's deceit

and affair with a married woman was held admissible as res gestae because it provided the jury with a complete understanding of the events they were about to hear at trial.

2.1

And that's exactly what we have here, Judge, is it is central to the chain of events, and that's why I've offered it under both *Burks* and res gestae. It's kind of a notice that we give, a motion that I file for notice, to let this defendant know that we are offering this evidence.

It is admissible under the cases that are cited in my motion and under *Eizenber*. Very clearly said it is central to the chain of events, and that's what we have here. This chain of events that we have for this jury to see is the disclosure — or the statements that are made to Ms. Norton by Ms. Ferguson that this happened to me, and Ms. Norton felt that it was necessary for her then to check with her child.

Otherwise, we just have Ms. Norton coming in to say, I called my ex-husband and told -- I asked did Dallas ever do anything inappropriate to her. It makes no sense, and it doesn't have the full picture for the jury to understand the chain of events of how this crime unfolded and how this crime came to be.

In the *Eizenber* case, they're talking about his deceit and affair with a married woman was admissible in his murder trial. So it wasn't that was happening at the time of the murder.

It's something prior that leads up to the entire chain of

events, and that's what we have in this particular case.

2.1

Ms. Norton will be testifying before this Court and before this jury and talk about receiving that information from Bailey Ferguson. Obviously, she would be judging Ms. Ferguson's credibility when Ms. Ferguson's making those statements to her before she decides, This is truthful, I need to go and go down this path and even have my ex-husband ask my daughter, who's in Arizona, what happened.

If she didn't believe what Ms. Ferguson said, she wouldn't have gone down there, but she had to believe what Ms. Ferguson was saying in order to go and ask her daughter about that. So that is also very important for this jury to hear.

It's so central, the information is so connected to the charged offense to form the entire transaction necessary to give the jury the complete understanding of the crime and is central to the chain of events. That's what Jackson vs. State suggests.

Now, counsel wants to say that, you know, someone has sent pictures of his penis to Ms. Ferguson. Remember Ms. Ferguson's testimony. She said she had been communicating with him on that account. She had been communicating with him for a couple of months, she said, and then when these messages were sent to her that had the photographs, she recognized one of them as the couch in his house that was across the street from hers. So she recognized that as the defendant's home, and these were

being sent from the defendant's account, after he had been saying these same things to her that he was saying when she was babysitting for him: You're beautiful, you look nice, those types of things.

2.1

So it is clear that she believed it to be him. She believed it, and based on factors, other than it just coming from him, but from things she knew from personal of this defendant and personal things that had happened to her using the same words with the defendant.

So I think under the cases that we have cited, the Jackson vs. State, Warner vs. State, and Eizenber vs. State, that this is necessary and is central to the chain of events for the jury to understand and is admissible under res gestae.

MR. NEDWICK: Your Honor, just briefly.

That Eizenber case is like so many others. They all stand for the same principle as the same, Jackson and Warner, that counsel cited in her brief. That one says the same thing.

Central to the chain of events of the crime leading to the crime. It tells the story of the crime, not the investigation.

Counsel completely ignored the analogy about an officer being able to testify as to why he went and did something relating to an investigation, had nothing to do with the crime being committed. It wasn't a chain of event, didn't tell the story of the crime; it told the story, if at all, of the investigation, why he did -- why he started the investigation,

why he went to the door of this particular person. That's clearly, clearly inadmissible. I don't think anybody would argue that it's not. And that's exactly what they're asking to do here.

2.1

They think that it's so important to know why this investigation got going, that we should be able to bring otherwise inadmissible, prejudicial evidence in and let the jury hear it. That's unheard of.

Counsel continues to beat that drum about is central to the chain of events. That's always the same. Central to the chain of events of the crime charged, not the investigation.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Nedwick.

MS. WHATLEY: Judge, I just want to be very clear, and I'm not trying to get into a tit-for-tat. That is not what Eizenber says. Eizenber says: Central to the chain of events.

In the *Eizenber* case, the evidence that was brought in was that the defendant was having an affair with a married woman. That's not about the crime. That's central to what happened. And again, in that *Jackson* case that Ms. Austin has already cited several times, that *Jackson* case was a death penalty case because the defendant in that case had beat up the decedent's child.

He was watching the child. She was a nurse. He beat up that child, hit the child, strangled the child, did all sorts of bad stuff to the child, realized the child was about to die

or he had hurt the child bad, went and hid the child in a vacant house that was like on the same road as the house he had been keeping the child in. And then when the mother got home from her shift at the hospital, he stabbed and killed the mother. And the State's theory of that case was that obviously he did that because he was scared she was going to find out what he had done with the child.

2.1

But in that case, the child -- what he did to the child, the Court ruled that that was res gestae as well and not *Burks* because it was the reason for what happened. It's the whole reason there was an altercation between the decedent, the mother of the child that he had beat, and the defendant when he killed her.

And so in those two cases, it's very clear that it's this part of this entire chain of events. It does not say, of the crime. I know Mr. Nedwick continues to say that over and over and over, but that's not what it says, because clearly, in that <code>Eizenber</code> case, it was about the prior affair and how that -- what that had to do with the way that the crime took place. And certainly, somebody having an affair or having an extramarital affair is obviously not an element of any crime, or no crime that I at least know of.

And it's -- you know, the State of Oklahoma has, to a certain degree, anticipate what possible defenses are. It's absolutely important to paint a clear understanding for the

jury of how exactly this disclosure happened. If you take away the conversation with the former babysitter and, I mean, for lack of a better word, the dick pics that were discussed at that discussion at the grocery store or whatever store it was, it doesn't make sense.

2.1

So otherwise, the jury's going to be left with, why is there this random -- this random disclosure. Why is Jeffrey, the father of the victim, asking her in Arizona out of the blue, Hey, did anything ever happened that made you feel uncomfortable, has anybody ever done anything to you that makes you feel uncomfortable. That does not make sense. That does not make sense unless you have the original part of the chain of events to explain why he's even asking that question to begin with. It just doesn't make sense to the jury, and it's very confusing for the jury.

Now, I'm not going to disagree with Mr. Nedwick, sure, it's prejudicial. I would argue all of the State of Oklahoma's evidence is always prejudicial. Always. But that's not what we have to show. It has to be substantially more prejudicial than probative, and it's absolutely probative because it's part of that whole chain of events, and it's necessary to give the jury a full and clear understanding of what happened in this event.

MR. NEDWICK: Your Honor, apparently, I'm not doing as well at making my point as I thought I was. But when

counsel was describing the Jackson case in support of her position, it seemed obvious to me the distinction between what I'm talking about and what they're talking about. Of course it was -- the Court found that the murder of the child, or the abusing of the child and hiding the child was part of the necessary chain of events to explain why he had to kill the wife, or the mother of the child. Because otherwise, he was going to be in trouble. He had committed this, and so the only way -- that was the reason why he killed her, because he's going to get busted.

2.1

That's -- all those cases tell that same story, whether it's in that Jackson case or all of them. It tells the events leading up to the crime, and it's not about the elements. It can be part of telling the whole story. It doesn't have to go to a specific element necessarily, but it's never to explain the investigation. That's never been important enough.

And when counsel says that, Well, how's it going to make sense to the jury. Again, using my example about law enforcement, it happens that way all the time. We have trials like that all the time. It's not an essential element to tell why the investigation started or what have you if it's based upon inadmissible evidence.

So I don't know why counsel doesn't look at that scenario that I described in both instances for both points and distinguish it on those grounds, because, again, officers don't

get to come in here and testify that way, but yet the investigation started somehow.

2.1

THE COURT: Okay. I've heard enough. I am going to allow for the State to elicit this testimony from Bailey Ferguson over the objection of the defendant.

Here's why. I believe that they've shown that it would be helpful to a jury, as it is central to the chain of events pursuant to that line of cases; the *Eizenber* case, *Jackson*, the *Warner* case, I think this is consistent with what those cases stand for. And so the State may elicit that testimony from Ms. Ferguson at trial.

MR. NEDWICK: Your Honor, are we going to have a limiting instruction? What are -- is that coming in for the substance of it, or are we going to have a limiting instruction that identifies the reason for it to come in and they're not to consider it as proof that he committed the charged crimes?

MS. WHATLEY: Your Honor, if it's res gestae, there is no limiting instruction. I mean, that's a specific instruction that is given for 2404-B evidence, and it's usually given at the time that the evidence is received from the jury. If it's res gestae, then by definition, it's not 2404-B and there would be no limiting instruction.

I mean, I don't want to comment on what -- I mean, what Mr. Nedwick wants to have happen. But sometimes -- well, if you give limiting, then that means you define it as *Burks*, and

DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA - OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

you haven't defined it as Burks.

2.1

THE COURT: I haven't. My intent is not to have a specific instruction, other than the State and the defense needs to be aware of what the rules of evidence are, what needs to be proven at trial, and if this testimony is used inappropriately, then it can -- you know, I suppose I would entertain a motion for a specific instruction.

But as we have determined these matters today, the testimony from Ms. Ferguson relating to the exchanges with Mr. Norton is res gestae evidence that would not qualify or necessitate a separate instruction, as would typical *Burks* evidence.

MR. NEDWICK: In that case, if it's coming in for the substance, then I would assume that Ms. Norton will not be able to testify to it, because, obviously, it would be hearsay coming through her.

MS. AUSTIN: That's correct, Judge. I don't plan on asking her for the hearsay when we've got the person who actually said what she said to her. I intend upon asking Ms. Norton, Did you have a conversation with Bailey. Based on that conversation, what did you do.

THE COURT: And if you feel like you need to object at trial, you can, and we can discuss that. But I'm obviously going to sustain a hearsay objection unless there's some specific exception to it.

1	MR. NEDWICK: Thank you, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Let's go off the record.
3	(End of proceedings)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ı	
1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLEVELAND COUNTY
2	STATE OF OKLAHOMA
3	
4	STATE OF OKLAHOMA, )
5	) Plaintiff, )
6	vs. ) Case No. CF-2019-1273
7	) DALLAS CHRISTOPHER NORTON, )
8	Defendant. )
9	CERTIFICATE OF THE COURT REPORTER
10	I, Angela Thagard, Certified Shorthand Reporter and
11	Official Court Reporter for Cleveland County, do hereby certify
12	that the foregoing transcript in the above-styled case is a
13	true, correct, and complete transcript of my shorthand notes of
14	the proceedings in said cause.
15	I further certify that I am neither related to nor
16	attorney for any interested party nor otherwise interested in
17	the event of said action.
18	Dated this 28th day of March, 2022.
19	
20	ANGELA THAGARD, CSR, RPR, CRR
21	STATE OF OKLAHOMA  CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
22	CSR# 1711  MY CERTIFICATE EXPIRES: 12/31/2022
23	111 CHRITICATE HAT HAD. 12/31/2022
24	
25	

1	MARCH 28, 2022
2	INVOICE
3	
4	TO: MR. KEITH NEDWICK Attorney at Law
5	
6	RE: STATE vs. NORTON Case No. CF-2019-1273
7	Case No. C1 2013 1273
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10	HAD ON JANUARY 31, 2022
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE THAD BALKMAN
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	
14	
15	Transcript = \$420.00
16	<u>Less deposit 0.00</u>
17	TOTAL AMOUNT DUE: \$420.00
18	THANK YOU!
19	ANGELA THAGARD, CSR, RPR, CRR 200 S. PETERS
20	NORMAN, OK 73069 (405) 366-0298
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	