## CS5016: Computational Methods and Applications Least-Square Function Approximations

#### Albert Sunny

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Palakkad

22 February, 2023

## Interpolation vs curve fitting

In function interpolation, our goal was to find a function f that fits some given points  $\{(x_i, y_i), i = 1, 2, ..., m\}$ .

We know that there exists a unique polynomial of degree m-1 that fits m points.

If our goal is to find a cubic polynomial that fits 5 points. This could happen when there are errors/noise. How do we find it?

We know that there is no cubic polynomial that fits all 5 points. Do we consider some 4 out of 5 points? If so, which points do we discard?

We need a way to measure the loss/fitness of a particular curve to a given set of points.

## Parameterized functions and a measure of fitness

Let  $f_{\theta}$  be a function parameterized by  $\theta$ ; which can be a scalar, vector, finite or countable sequence. E.g.,

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \sin(\theta x)$$
 or  $f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \theta_{i} x^{i}$ 

A natural measure of fit is

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - f_{\theta}(x_i))^2$$

We can then find the best fit curve as follows

$$\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_i - f_{\theta}(x_i))^2$$

## Best-fit line

$$\min_{a_0,a_1} \sum_{i=1}^m [y_i - (a_0 + a_1 x)]^2$$

Show that solving the following equations gives the optimal solution.

## Normal equations

$$a_0m + a_1 \sum_{i=1}^m x_i = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$$

$$a_0 \sum_{i=1}^m x_i + a_1 \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i$$

## Best-fit polynomial

$$\min_{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ y_i - \left( \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j \right) \right]^2$$

Show that solving the following equations gives the optimal solution.

## Normal equations

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^{j+k} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i x_i^j \quad \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$$

# Least-squares approximation of a function using monomial polynomials

Given a function f(x), continuous on [a, b], find a polynomial  $P_n(x)$  of degree at most n

$$P_n(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n$$

such that integral of the square of error is minimized. i.e.,

$$\min_{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n} \int_a^b (f(x) - P_n(x))^2 dx$$

We would need to solve the following equations

#### Normal equations

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \cdot \int_a^b x^{j+k} dx = \int_a^b x^j f(x) dx \quad \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$$

## ILL-conditioned matrices!!!

The normal equation has the following matrix form

$$Sa = b$$

In the previous methods, matrix **S** is often **ill-conditioned**.

What is an ill-conditioned matrix? Why do we need to worry about such matrices?

We can make it computationally effective by using special type of polynomials, called **orthogonal polynomials**.

## Orthogonal functions

A set of functions  $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n\}$  in [a, b] are called as **orthogonal** functions, with respect to a weight function w(x) if

$$\int_{a}^{b} w(x)\phi_{i}(x)\phi_{j}(x)dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ c_{j} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

where  $c_j$  is a positive real number. If  $c_j = 1, \forall j$ , then the set is called an **orthonormal set**.

## Using orthogonal functions

We are interested in finding a least-squares approximation of f(x) on [a, b] by means of a polynomial of the form

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \phi_i(x)$$

where  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is a set of orthogonal polynomials on [a,b], such that the least square error in minimized, i.e.,

$$\min_{a_0,a_1,...,a_n} \int_a^b w(x) \cdot (f(x) - Q_n(x))^2 dx$$

## Using orthogonal functions

Setting the partial derivatives to zero, we get

$$\int_a^b w(x)\phi_j(x)f(x)dx = \int_a^b w(x)\phi_j(x)(\sum_{i=0}^n \phi_i(x))dx = c_j a_j$$

Or, we have

$$a_j = \frac{1}{c_j} \int_a^b w(x)\phi_j(x)f(x)dx \quad \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$$

where

$$c_j = \int_a^b w(x)\phi_j^2(x)dx$$

## Legendre polynomial<sup>1</sup>

Consider the following polynomials

$$L_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (x^2 - 1)^n$$

The above polynomials are orthogonal in the interval [-1,1] w.r.t. weight function w(x) = 1.

What are the first 3 Legendre polynomials? Verify that they are indeed orthogonal.

Albert Sunny (CSE, IIT Palakkad)

<sup>1</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legendre\_polynomials + ( ) +

## Chebyshev polynomial<sup>2</sup>

Consider the following polynomial

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n\cos^{-1}(x))$$

Is  $T_n(x)$  really a polynomial? In fact, we have

$$T_0(x) = 1$$
 and  $T_1(x) = x$ 

Further, we have the following recurrence relation

$$T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x)$$

Try to prove the above recurrence relations.

Chebyshev polynomials are orthogonal in the interval [-1,1] w.r.t. weight function  $w(x) = 1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ .

<sup>2</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chebyshev\_polynomials - ( ) 2 0 0 0

## Fourier Series

For any positive integer n, the set of functions  $\{\cos(0), \cos(x), \ldots \cos(nx), \sin(0), \sin(x), \ldots \sin(nx)\}$  is **orthogonal** in the interval  $[-\pi, \pi]$  with respect to the weight function w(x) = 1.

Try to verify the above statement.

Let

$$S_n(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cos(kx) + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \sin(kx)$$

such that the least square error in minimized, i.e.,

$$\min \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (f(x) - S_n(x))^2 dx$$

Equating partial derivatives to zero, due to orthogonality, we get

$$a_k = rac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cos(kx) dx, \quad b_k = rac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \sin(kx) dx$$

Suppose we have 2m data points  $x_k, y_k$  where

$$x_k = -\pi + \frac{k\pi}{m}$$
 and  $y_k = f(x_k), k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m - 1\}$ 

The discrete least squares fit of a trigonometric polynomial does the following

$$\min \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} (S_n(x_k) - y_k)^2$$

#### Lemma

If r is not a multiple of 2m,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \cos(rx_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \sin(rx_k) = 0$$

#### Lemma

If  $r \neq 0$  is not a multiple of m,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} [\cos(rx_k)]^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} [\sin(rx_k)]^2 = m$$

#### Lemma

If  $r \neq l$  and r + l is not a multiple of 2m,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \cos(rx_k) \cos(lx_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \sin(rx_k) \sin(lx_k) = 0$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \cos(rx_k) \sin(lx_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \sin(rx_k) \cos(lx_k) = 0$$

Then, for any n < m, the best approximation is

$$S_n(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cos(kx) + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \sin(kx)$$

Due the previous 3 lemmas, we have

$$a_k = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} y_j \cdot cos(kx_j)$$
 and  $b_k = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} y_j \cdot sin(kx_j)$ 

Let us choose n = m - 1. Then,

$$\{y_j\}_{j=0}^{2m-1} \xrightarrow{DFT} \{(a_k, b_k)\}_{k=0}^{m-1}$$

Is there any issue if  $n \ge m$ ?

## Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)

We need  $O(m^2)$  operations to compute  $\{(a_k, b_k)\}_{k=0}^{m-1}$ .

However, there is a fast  $O(m \log_2(m))$  algorithm known as Fast Fourier Transform<sup>3</sup> that can compute these coefficients.

The SciPy module scipy.fft is a more comprehensive package for discrete Fourier transform. To know more visit https://docs.scipy.org/doc/scipy/reference/fft.html

To know about a Python sub-package for efficiently dealing with polynomials, visit https://numpy.org/doc/stable/reference/routines.polynomials.package.html

<sup>3</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fast\_Fourier\_transform

## Thank You