Mini Project: Mini Project 2 Rubric (4720)

Team Name:

Criteria	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point	Total Points
The app	The app measures productivity from keyboard and mouse activity. The app clearly classifies activity between work, browsing, leisure. Points for added features and innovative ideas.	The app captures most of the keyboard and mouse data. Classifies activities correctly for the most part. Points for added features and innovative ideas.	The app captures some of the keyboard and mouse data. The app classifies some of the activity correctly.	The app captures some of the keyboard and mouse data. The app classifies very little of the activity correctly.	The app does not account for all keyboard and mouse activity. The app does not classify the activity correctly.	
Analysis	The document clearly explains how you analyzed and designed the solution.	For the most part. the document clearly explains how you analyzed and designed the solution.	Sometimes the document clearly explains how you analyzed and designed the solution.	Does not explain how you analyzed and designed the solution.	. No clear explanations are given to how you analyzed and designed the solution.	
Visualization	The app has excellent visualizations that are easy to understand. Points for added features and innovative ideas.	The app has good visualizations that are easy to understand. Points for added features and innovative ideas.	The app has some visualizations. They are easy to understand for the most part and provides all the information.	The app has some visualizations but are hard to understand or does not provide all the information.	The app does not have visualization of the hours/results.	
Project Report	The document is written well. Gives a clear picture of the design, implementation and use of the app. Discusses interesting observations and improvements needed.	For the most part, the document is written well. Gives a clear picture of the design, implementation and use of the app. Discusses interesting observations and improvements needed.	Sometimes, the document is written well. Does not give a clear picture of the design, implementation and use of the app.	The document is written poorly. Fails to discuss the design, implementation and use of the app clearly.	There is no logical flow to the document. The document fails to discuss the design, implementation and use of the app clearly.	
Spelling and grammar. Citations.	No spelling &/or grammar mistakes. Citing all relevant sources.	Minimal spelling &/or grammar mistakes. Not citing one-or two relevant sources.	Noticeable spelling & grammar mistakes. Citing a few sources less than what is required.	Plenty of number of spelling and/or grammar mistakes. Citing very little works.	Unacceptable number of spelling and/or grammar mistakes. Not citing any work at all.	
Missing style and length	Fulfilling the full criteria. At-least 5 pages.	Fulfilling most of the criteria. At-least 5 pages.	Fulfilling parts of the criteria. At-least 5 pages.	Delivering the document in another style or in a different length. Document between 3-5 pages.	Submitting the document in another style or less than 2 pages.	
Comments:						
Total Score:						

Source: http://course1.winona.edu/shatfield/air/classdebate.pdf