APPENDIX: Model-Driven Optimization: Generating Smart Mutation Operators for Multi-Objective Problems*

April 11, 2022

.1 RQ1: Operator generation

For each initialization method, we applied our technique to model A, configuring the upper tier to a population size of 60 and 15 iterations with each a timeout of 180 seconds, and the lower tier to a population size of 8 and a maximum of 200 evaluations. We repeated the generation 5 times. We performed the experiment for all five initialization strategies. While testing, we experienced that using the ruleset produced by extremes initialization outperformed rand+x initialization when using rand+x initialization. Therefore, we ignore the rand+x ruleset and use the extremes ruleset instead for evaluation using rand+x initialization. Per initialization method, we used top-scoring generated mutation operator for that initialization method to models A-E, with the exception of rand+x, for which we used the extremes mutation operator (discussed in paper body).

.2 RQ2: Initialization strategies and operator generation

Like in the multi-objective case in RQ1, the initialization method has a significant impact on the results of the mono-objective implementation. Because of that, we use different initialization methods for evaluation. Given the results from Table 1, we decided to include both random and complete initialization in our further evaluation: Random initialization seems to perform best for larger models and/or fewer iterations. Complete initialization seems to work best for small models and/or more iterations and seems to lead to a smaller standard deviation and smallest run time.

Using the single objective function shown in the paper, we generated the mutation operator for each initialization method using the smallest model A and reuse it for all models to limit overhead. To create our own mutation operators, we applied our technique to input model A two times. The first time, we generated mutation operators using *complete* initialization, configuring the

^{*}Paper submitted to SEAA 2022

Table 1: RQ2: Results using the fixed (baseline) mutation operator, times in mm:ss:x.

_		Empty				Complete				Random			
	Input		NRP	P CJ	Time		NRP	ристе	Time		NRP	uom	Time
	model	best	median	stdev	median	best	median	stdev	median	best	median	stdev	median
	A	0.407	0.353	0.022	00:12.1	0.457	0.446	0.013	00:10.0	0.454	0.439	0.017	00:11.4
	В	0.408	0.347	0.020	00:39.9	0.526	0.504	0.013	00:35.3	0.589	0.554	0.023	00:38.4
	\mathbf{C}	0.311	0.279	0.012	01:04.0	0.379	0.357	0.012	00:47.8	0.508	0.461	0.025	00:59.2
	D	0.418	0.399	0.007	01:26.3	0.314	0.276	0.010	01:04.3	0.434	0.403	0.019	01:20.9
	\mathbf{E}	0.198	0.145	0.015	02:11.9	0.218	0.207	0.007	01:48.6	0.272	0.226	0.023	02:15.0

Table 2: RQ1: Results for model B (mean, (stdev)), times denoted as mm:ss.xxx.

111111.00.2222	В	aseline (fi	xed)	${\bf Contribution~(fixed XORgen)}$				
Initialization	HV	Spread	Runtime	HV	Spread	Runtime		
Complete	0.6967	0.9358	00:21.951	0.1853	0.4311	00:20.488		
Complete	(0.0414)	(0.0314)	(00:00.546)	(0.019)	(0.0536)	(00:00.398)		
Empty	0.4125	0.7319	00:32.047	0.3926	0.705	00:21.658		
Empty	(0.073)	(0.058)	(00:00.718)	(0.0271)	(0.0329)	(00:00.428)		
Extremes	0.2278	0.468	00:27.213	0.231	0.4649	00:21.131		
Extremes	(0.0161)	(0.0424)	(00:00.315)	(0.0215)	(0.0493)	(00:01.417)		
Rand+x	0.222	0.4697	00:29.022	0.2102	0.4708	00:20.806		
	(0.0193)	(0.04)	(00:00.339)	(0.0179)	(0.0428)	(00:00.401)		
Random	0.267	0.7146	00:28.057	0.178	0.5283	00:23.433		
Random	(0.0267)	(0.0737)	(00:00.399)	(0.0255)	(0.0488)	(00:02.271)		

upper tier to a population size of sixty and fifteen iterations with each a timeout of ninety seconds, and the lower tier to a population size of two and twenty iterations. We repeated the generation ten times; the median run took 13:28 minutes. Next, we generated mutation operators using random initialization, configuring the upper tier to a population size of sixty and fifteen iterations with each a timeout of ninety seconds, and the lower tier to a population size of ten and ten iterations. We repeated the generation ten times; the median run took 10:08 minutes.