## Coursework 1

## Due: Tuesday 10 March, 5.00pm

For this coursework you are asked to implement the semantics of various extensions of IMP in Haskell. You are given predefined functions in <code>coursework\_1.hs</code>, and you may re-use any code provided in this unit, including the solutions to tutorial exercises. Your solutions should consist of a single Haskell file, which uses the specified function names, and is accepted by the compiler without errors. Non-working partial solutions may be included as comments, and will be considered for partial marks. Submission is through Moodle, by the above deadline. This coursework is an individual assignment.

**Assignment 1 (65%):** Programming languages such as Java and C include *post-increment* and *post-decrement* operators on variables. An expression of the form v++ returns the value stored in variable v, but has the side-effect of incrementing the stored value by 1. In this exercise we give a formal semantics to an extension of IMP with these operators. The syntax of arithmetic expressions becomes:

$$A ::= n \mid v \mid A + A \mid A * A \mid A - A \mid v + + \mid v - - A \mid v + + \mid v - A \mid v + A \mid v +$$

The syntax of Boolean expressions and commands is as for IMP. The interpretation functions have the following type, and the semantic domains are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{A}[\![-]\!] : Aexp \to \mathbf{Arit} & \mathbf{Arit} = \mathbf{ST} \to (\mathbf{ST} \times \mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathcal{B}[\![-]\!] : Bexp \to \mathbf{Bool} & \mathbf{Bool} = \mathbf{ST} \to (\mathbf{ST} \times \mathbb{B}) \\ \mathcal{C}[\![-]\!] : Comm \to \mathbf{Comm} & \mathbf{Comm} = \mathbf{ST} \to \mathbf{ST} \end{array}$$

Note that the domains for arithmetic and Boolean expressions have been amended so that expressions return not only a value but also a new state. The semantics of *post-increment* and *post-decrement* are as follows. In accordance with the domain **Arit**, the interpretation returns a new state t as well as a value n.

$$\mathcal{A}[\![v++]\!](s)=(t,n) \quad \text{where} \quad t=s[v\mapsto n+1] \quad \text{and} \quad n=s(v)$$
 
$$\mathcal{A}[\![v--]\!](s)=(t,n) \quad \text{where} \quad t=s[v\mapsto n-1] \quad \text{and} \quad n=s(v)$$

The semantics of all binary operators, both for A and for B, is to evaluate the left argument before the right argument. For example:

$$\mathcal{A}\llbracket A+B \rrbracket(s)=(u,a+b)$$
 where  $\mathcal{A}\llbracket A \rrbracket(s)=(t,a)$  and  $\mathcal{A}\llbracket B \rrbracket(t)=(u,b)$ 

While the semantics of commands remains has not changed from that of IMP, the evaluation function  $\mathcal{C}[-]$  still needs to be adapted to the new semantics of  $\mathcal{A}[-]$  and  $\mathcal{B}[-]$ . The changes are the following:

$$\mathcal{C}[\![v:=A]\!](s) = t[v\mapsto a] \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{A}[\![A]\!](s) = (t,a)$$
 
$$\mathcal{C}[\![\text{if }B\text{ then }C_1\text{ else }C_2]\!](s) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}[\![C_1]\!](t) & \text{if }b = \text{true} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![C_2]\!](t) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!](s) = (t,b)$$

$$\mathcal{C}[\![\mathsf{while}\ B\ \mathsf{do}\ C]\!](s) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}[\![\mathsf{while}\ B\ \mathsf{do}\ C]\!](u) & \text{if}\ b = \mathsf{true} \\ t & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \quad \mathsf{where}\ \mathcal{B}[\![B]\!](s) = (t,b) \ \mathsf{and}\ \mathcal{C}[\![C]\!](t) = u \end{cases}$$

The function evalC in coursework\_1.hs implements the above semantics. You are also given a sample factorial program (in comments) to test your answers to this assignment. It implements the following algorithm:

$$\text{factorial } x \ = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y = 1 \, ; \\ \text{while } 1 \leq x \ \text{do} \ y = y \times (x - -) \, ; \\ \text{return } y \end{array} \right.$$

- a) Complete the data type Aexp for Aexp given in coursework\_1.hs, using the constructors Incr and Decr for post-increment and post-decrement. Uncomment factorial and runfactorial, which should pass type-checking.
- b) Give type signatures to the functions evalA and evalB matching the given semantic domains.
- c) Complete the evaluation functions evalA and evalB, implementing  $\mathcal{A}[-]$  and  $\mathcal{B}[-]$ . Use runFactorial to test your code.
- d) The pre-increment and pre-decrement operators ++v and --v first change the value of the stored variable v by 1, and then return the new value. Implement pre-increment and pre-decrement: add suitable constructors PreIncr and PreDecr to Aexp, and add the corresponding cases to evalA.

Assignment 2 (25%): The Boolean operators (:&:) and (:|:) we have asked you to implement in the previous assignment are the *eager* operators: they evaluate both arguments. Not all programming languages have eager Boolean operators: for example, C and Haskell do not. Instead, the standard implementation of the Boolean operators is as *short-circuit* operators, which only evaluate their second argument when needed. For example, an expression (a || b) in Haskell evaluates a, returns True (without inspecting b) if the result is True, and otherwise returns the evaluation of b.

- a) Add syntax for short-circuit Boolean operators to your implementation. Use the constructor names (:&&:) and (:||:).
- b) Implement a suitable semantics of (:&&:) and (:||:) in your function evalB. If the first argument of (:&&:) evaluates to False, the second argument should not affect the state; and similarly for (:||:) and True.
- c) Define expressions b1 :: Bexp, b2 :: Bexp, and st :: State such that the following two expressions return a different state:

```
evalB (b1 :&: b2) st
evalB (b1 :&&: b2) st
```

Give expressions b3 :: Bexp and b4 :: Bexp that are identical up to replacing eager operators by the corresponding short-circuit ones (in either direction), such that b3 and b4 evaluate to distinct values in the state st.

**Assignment 3 (10%):** For the last part of this coursework we will extend your implementation with a command print A that outputs the value of an arithmetic expression A. **Note:** this assignment makes the final 10% of your mark hard-earned, and represents considerably more than 10% of the total effort.

Output will be modeled by sequences of integers:

$$\text{Out} = \mathbb{Z}^*$$

We will change the semantic domain for commands to keep track of output:

$$C[-]: Comm \rightarrow CommOut$$
  $CommOut = ST \rightarrow (ST \times Out)$ 

You are given the semantics of the following three commands, where  $\varepsilon$  is the empty sequence and  $(\cdot)$  concatenates two sequences:

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{C}[\![\mathsf{print}\ A]\!](s) = (t,a) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{A}[\![A]\!](s) = (t,a) \\ &\mathcal{C}[\![v := A]\!](s) = (t[v \mapsto a],\varepsilon) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{A}[\![A]\!](s) = (t,a) \\ &\mathcal{C}[\![C_1\ ; C_2]\!](s) = (u,\alpha \cdot \beta) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{C}[\![C_1]\!](s) = (t,\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}[\![C_2]\!](t) = (u,\beta) \end{split}$$

(Note that in the case for print A the value a is an integer in one case, and a sequence of a single integer in the other case.)

- a) We will use a list of integers to model output. Give a type synonym Output for lists of integers.
- b) Amend the type of evalC to reflect the new semantic domain. (To pass type-checking, you should return the definitions to undefined, and comment out runFactorial.)
- c) Add a suitable constructor named Print to the syntax of commands Comm.
- d) Re-implement the function evalC to reflect the new semantics of commands. Give a suitable interpretation to the three remaining commands skip, if-then-else-, and while-do-.