

1.

- Make a graph of the boundary of the absolute stability region for the Runge-Kutta RK4 method on page 24.
- Apply the RK4 method to  $u' = 30u(1 - u)$  with  $u(0) = 0.1$  on the interval  $0 \leq t \leq 2$ . Use 14, 17 and 25 steps. For each run graph the numerical solution and the exact solution on the same plot.
- Explain the previous sequence of graphs in terms of the ODE and the plot from part a. Your answer should contain a quantitative explanation for why the transition occurs at the value of  $h$  you observe.

**Solution, part a:**

The RK4 method applied to  $u' = \lambda u$  becomes

$$u_{i+1} = R(z)u_i$$

where  $R(z) = 1 + z + z^2/2 + z^3/3! + z^4/4!$ . The boundary of the stability region is therefore those values of  $z$  such that  $|R(z)| = 1$ . The worksheet has a graph of this region.

**Solution, part b:**

See worksheet.

**Solution, part c:**

The exact solution of the IVP is

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{1 + 9e^{-30t}}.$$

As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $u(t) \rightarrow 1$ . We can write  $u(t) = 1 + w(t)$  where  $w$  is a small perturbation for large values of  $t$ . Inserting this into the ODE we find

$$w' = 30(1 + w)(-w) = -30w - 30w^2.$$

When  $w$  is close to 0, we can ignore the  $w^2$  term and we find that

$$w' \approx -30w.$$

That is,  $w$  is a transient with large exponential decay. To accurately capture it with RK4, and not experience instability, we need to pick a time step such that  $z = -30h$  lies in the absolute stability region. From the graph of the absolute stability region in part a, we see that this requires  $-3 < -30h$ . Since  $h = 2/M$ , where  $M$  is the number of time steps, this condition becomes  $2/M < 1/10$ , or  $M > 20$ . The unusual behaviour observed for  $M = 14$  and  $M = 17$  are a consequence of the violation of  $z$  laying in the absolute stability region.

2. Newton's method can be used to solve

$$f(x) = 0$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Starting from an initial guess  $x_k$ ,

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - Df(x_k)^{-1}f(x_k).$$

Here,  $Df(x)$  is the Jacobian matrix

$$Df_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$$

Implement Newton's method for systems. Your function should take as arguments  $f$ ,  $Df$  and  $x_0$  (an initial guess). It should terminate whenever either

- $|f(x)|_\infty$  is less than a specified tolerance
- $|f(x)|_\infty$  is less than a specified fraction of  $|f(x_0)|_\infty$ .

These tolerances should be specified with optional arguments as used in your language of choice, with values of  $10^{-8}$  as the default.

Your function should return the estimated root and, as a diagnostic, the number of iterations needed to find the root.

Test your code against solving the simultaneous equations  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  and  $x = y$  starting from  $x = 0$ ,  $y = 3$ . Report the root found and the number of iterations needed to find it.

**Solution:**

See worksheet.

3. The energy for the heat equation  $u_t = u_{xx}$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  is

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (u_x(x, t))^2 dx.$$

- a) Assuming that at  $x = 0$  and at  $x = 1$   $u$  satisfies either a homogeneous Dirichlet condition or a homogeneous Neumann condition, show that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \leq 0.$$

Hint: Take a time derivative, use the PDE, and integrate by parts.

- b) Conclude that the only solution of  $u_t = u_{xx}$  with  $u = 0$  at  $t = 0$ , and at  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$  is the zero solution.

**Solution, part a:**

From integration by parts and applying the heat equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}E(t) &= \int_0^1 u_x(x, t) u_{tx}(x, t) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 u_x(x, t) \frac{d}{dx} u_t(x, t) dx \\ &= - \int_0^1 u_{xx}(x, t) u_t(x, t) dx + u_x u_t \Big|_{x=0}^1 \\ &= - \int_0^1 u_t(x, t) u_t(x, t) dx + u_x u_t \Big|_{x=0}^1 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

At an endpoint where a homogeneous Neuman condition holds,  $u_x = 0$ , so  $u_x u_t = 0$ . On the other hand, at an endpoint where a homogeneous Dirichlet condition holds,  $u_t = 0$  and  $u_x u_t = 0$ . Thus there are no contributions from the boundary terms and we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = - \int_0^1 u_t(x, t) u_t(x, t) dx \leq 0.$$

**Solution, part b:**

Suppose we start with initial data  $u = 0$ . Then the initial energy is zero as well. But energy decreases in time and is non-negative. So  $E(t) = 0$  for all  $t$ . This implies  $u_x = 0$  for all  $x$  and  $t$ . So  $u$  is constant at each time, and from the boundary conditions we conclude  $u = 0$  everywhere.

**4.** The backwards heat equation reads

$$u_t = -u_{xx},$$

so all that differs is a sign on the right-hand side. But this sign makes all the difference.

We will work with this equation for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, so  $u = 0$  at  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$ .

a) Show that

$$v(t) = \sin(k\pi x) e^{k^2 \pi^2 t}$$

is a solution of the PDE and the boundary conditions.

- b) For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , find a solution of the PDE and boundary conditions that satisfies  $|u(0, x)| < \epsilon$  at each  $x$ , but  $|u(1, x)| \geq 1$  at some  $x$ .
- c) Suppose you wish to find the solution  $u$  of the backwards heat equation with initial condition  $u_0$ . But you don't know  $u_0$  exactly, you know  $\hat{u}_0$ , and that  $|u_0(x) - \hat{u}_0(x)| < 10^{-47}$  at every  $x$ . So you solve the backwards heat equation for  $\hat{u}$  instead. Find an  $L$  such that  $|u(x, 1) - \hat{u}(x, 1)| < L$  for all  $x$ , or explain why no such  $L$  exists.

**Solution, part a:**

Evidently  $v_t(x, t) = (k^2 \pi^2) v$  and  $v_{xx} = -(k^2 \pi^2) v$ . So  $v_t = -v_{xx}$ .

**Solution, part b:**

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Pick a natural number  $k$  such that  $e^{k^2 \pi^2} > 1/\epsilon$ . Observe that

$$u(x, t) = \epsilon \sin(k\pi x) e^{k^2 \pi^2 t}$$

solves the backwards heat equation (by part a), and satisfies  $|u| \leq \epsilon$  at  $t = 0$ . But at  $t = 1$  there is a choice of  $x$  such that  $|\sin(k\pi x)| = 1$ , and at that point,

$$|u(x, t)| = \epsilon e^{k^2 \pi^2} > 1$$

by our choice of  $k$ .

**Solution, part c:**

No such  $L$  exists. There is nothing special about the number 1 in the previous argument. For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a solution of the backwards heat equation that satisfies  $|u| \leq \epsilon$  at  $t = 0$  but such that  $|u| \geq L$  at  $t = 1$ . This applies when  $\epsilon = 10^{-43}$ . So in effect, if there is any error in our estimate of the initial data, we have no estimate whatsoever for the value of the solution at  $t = 1$ .