

$L^1[0, b]$:

Start with $R[0, b]$. Riemann integrable functions.

Include continuous and piecewise continuous.

Fact: If $f \in R[0, b]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a continuous g , $\int_a^b |f-g| < \varepsilon$.

$(C[0, b], L^1)$ is fine, but not complete.

are Cauchy seq's that ought to converge to something disjoint.

$(R[0, b], L^1)$ is no good: not a norm!

$$f = 0 \quad g = \begin{cases} 1 & x=0 \\ 0 & x \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{on } [0, 1]$$

$$\int |f| = 0, \quad \int |g| = 0. \quad \text{oops.}$$

L^1 is equivalence classes of $R[0, b]$

$$f \sim g \text{ if } \int |f-g| = 0.$$

Integration is well defined on \hat{L}' .

$$\int g = \int f + \int g-f$$

$$|\int g-f| \leq \int |g-f| = 0.$$

Exercise: \hat{L}' is a vectorspace and

$\| [f] \| \equiv \int |f|$ for any rep is
well defined and
a norm.

Exercise $\hat{L}'_{[a,b]}$ is not complete.

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} & x \geq \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \geq M$$

$$\frac{1}{M^2} \geq x$$

$[f_n]$ is Cauchy in \hat{L}' but does not

converge [if $|f| \leq M$. show $\|[f_n] - [f]\| \geq \int_a^{\frac{1}{M^2}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{M}$
 $= 2 \times \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{M^2} - \frac{1}{a} \right) = \frac{1}{M}$]

$\hat{L}^1[a,b]$ is the completion of $\hat{L}^1[a,b]$

For each $F \in L^1[a,b]$ is $\{f_n\}$ of Riemann integrable.

(Cauchy in L^1 norm.)

$$[f_n] \xrightarrow[L^1]{} F.$$

But F is just an abstract thing.

Still $\int_a^b F$ is well defined by extension

I will say $f: [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a representative of F

if there is a sequence in $R[a,b]$, $\{f_n\}$,

and $[f_n] \xrightarrow{} F$

$f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise.

More commonly, $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy in L' , so it converges to some F , and $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise.

- If f is a rep of F we define

$$\int_a^b f = \int_a^b F = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n \text{ for any } f_n \rightarrow F.$$

Facts

$$f_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ pw, } \{f_n\} \text{ Cauchy} \\ \Rightarrow$$

- * 1) Every $F \in L'$ admits a representative f
 $(H' F = [f] \quad f \in R[a,b])$ Then f is a rep!
- * 2) There are (bounded!) functions $f: [a,b] \rightarrow R$ that are not reps.

- * 3) If $f \in R[a,b]$ is a rep of F then $\int_a^b F = \int_a^b f$

[if $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise and $\{f_n\}$ is Cauchy in L' ,

$$\left[\int_a^b f_n \rightarrow \int_a^b f \right]$$

- 4) If f, g are reps of F, G $f+g$ is a rep of $F+G$,
 af is a rep of aF

f_n, g_n conv F, G $f_n \rightarrow f$ pw $g_n \rightarrow g$ pw $f_n + g_n \rightarrow F+G$

etc.

* 5) If f is a rep of $F \in L'$ then

$|f|$ is a rep of an $H \in L'$ and

$$\int |f| = \|F\|, \quad \text{We'll call } f = |F|. \quad \boxed{}$$

6) If f is a rep of F and f is a rep of G
then $G = F$ (If 0 is a rep of F , $F = 0$)

7 $[C_{[a,b]}]$ is dense in $L^1[a,b]$

$[C_c(\mathbb{R})]$ is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ← carries up - ...



= 0 outside some interval

We will identify \mathbb{F} with any of its rings.

Same game works for L^p spaces.

Similar game for \mathbb{R} :

\hat{L}' equivalence classes of functions f

$$f \in \mathbb{R}[a, b] \quad h \in \mathbb{R}[a, b]$$

$$\int_R |f| = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-N}^N |f| \quad \text{finite}$$

$$f \sim g \quad \text{if} \quad \int_R |f-g| = 0$$

Composition

$$S: X \rightarrow Y$$

$$T: Y \rightarrow Z$$

$T \circ S : X \rightarrow Z$ iscts:

$$\begin{aligned} \| (T \circ S)(x) \|_Z &\leq \| T \| \| Sx \|_Y \\ &\leq \| T \| \| S \| \| x \|_X \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \| T \circ S \| \leq \| T \| \| S \|.$$

But strict inequality is possible:

$$S(y, y) = (x, 0) \quad \| S \| = 1$$

$$T(x, y) = (0, y) \quad \| T \| = 1$$

$$(T \circ S)(x, y) = (0, 0) \quad \| T \circ S \| = 0.$$

$$B(X) = \{B(X, X) \mid \text{If } X \text{ is Banach so is } B(X)\}$$

Closed under addition but also composition.

Forms what is known as an algebra

(vector space with mult that ~~sets~~
along with vector space:

$$(A+B)C = AC + BC$$

$AB \neq BA$ in general, though.

Our mult is composition

This algebra has an identity, a map

I , $IA = AI = A \forall A$, namely the identity

Some elements of $B(\mathbb{X})$ admit inverses, and some do not.

$$BA = I \leftarrow B \text{ is a left inverse}$$

$$AB = I \leftarrow B \text{ is a right inverse}$$

both: B is an invert.

O has one of the above.

$$r(x) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$$

$$l(x) = (x_2, x_3, \dots)$$

$$(l \circ r)(x) = x$$

We'll focus on
continuous inverses
and then
see why
it doesn't matter.

l is r 's left inverse

r is l 's right inverse

l doesn't have a left inverse.

$$(L \circ l)(x) = x$$

$$x = (1, 0, \dots)$$

$$l(x) = 0$$

$$L(l(x)) = 0 \neq x \text{ no matter what } x \text{ is}$$

$$(r \circ R)(1, 0, \dots)$$

$$= (1, 0, \dots)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\text{but } r(w) = (0, \dots)$$

Then: If X is Banach,
 $A \in B(X)$ and $\|A\| < 1$ then

$I + A$ is invertible and

$$(I + A)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k$$

Pf: Note $\|A^k\| \leq \|A\|^k$. Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|A^k\|$ converges to $\frac{1}{1 - \|A\|}$

(This uses $\|A\| < 1$), So does $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|A^k\|$ and hence
 the series is abs conv \Rightarrow convergent.

$$\text{Now } (I - A) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k = I - A^{k+1}$$

and $A^{k+1} \rightarrow 0$.

$$AB_n \rightarrow AB \quad \|AB_n - AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B_n - B\| !$$

$$\text{So } (I - A) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k = I .$$

$$\text{But } \sum_{k=0}^N A^k (I - A) = I - A^{N+1} \text{ as well...}$$

What is this saying?

I is the finest invertible operator around.

If we joggle I by a bit

$$T = I - A$$

(A bit being $\|A\| < 1$) then the result is invertible as well.

Exercise: If B has a continuous inverse

and $\|A\| \leq \|B^{-1}\|^{-1}$ then $B + A$ has a continuous inverse

$$B + A = B [I + B^{-1}A]$$

$$\|B^{-1}A\| \leq \|B^{-1}\| \|A\|$$

Cor: The set of elements of $B(X, Y)$ with continuous inverses is open.

Pf: Suppose $T \in B(X, Y)$ has an inverse T^{-1} .

Suppose $\|A\| < \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$.

Observe $T + A = T(I + T^{-1}A)$.

Moreover, $\|(T^{-1}A)\| \leq \|T^{-1}\| \|(A)\| < 1$.

So $T + A$ is a composition of continuous invertible maps and is invertible. (and cts).

$$(T + A)^{-1} = (I + T^{-1}A)^{-1} T^{-1}.$$

But then there is a ball of radius $\epsilon = \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$ about T made of the set of its invertible maps.

Baire Category Theorem

A complete metric space is not a countable union of nowhere dense sets.

Nowhere dense: \overline{A} does not contain an open ball.

E.g. \mathbb{Q} with usual metric.

Singlets are nowhere dense. $(a-\epsilon, a+\epsilon) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is a ball.

\mathbb{Q} is a countable union of its singlets, so it can't be

Let's apply, then return to it.