

Supporting Developers in Porting Software via Combined Textual and Structural Analysis of Software Artifacts

Kostadin Damevski¹, David Shepherd², Nicholas Kraft² and Lori Pollock³

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Virginia State University

²ABB Corporate Research, Raleigh, NC

³Department of Computer Science, University of Delaware

In the engineering and scientific domains software commonly has a long lifespan, lasting decades instead of years. Due to this lifespan, software often outlives the current generation of hardware, and in turn needs to be modified to execute on newer classes of hardware architectures [1]. Supporting developers in this difficult software maintenance activity is very important in order to improve their productivity, reduce bugs, and prevent architectural erosion [3].

Software engineering researchers have found that developers commonly begin maintenance tasks by first identifying and then comprehending the relevant program units for that task [5]. Program comprehension at the outset of maintenance tasks has been well studied and supported by a number of tools, utilizing static and dynamic information extracted from the code base. Research in the last decade in applying textual analysis approaches to source code, adapted from the fields of natural language processing and information retrieval, has produced notable results and resulted in the proliferation of program comprehension tools based on this type of information, often combined with static or dynamic sources [2]. Textual approaches have now matured to be applicable to the problem of enabling long-lasting high performance scientific and engineering software, a domain where natural language information is usually more challenging to extract than in general purpose software.

Based on these opportunities, our position is that **the use of textual and structural analyses coupled with platform usage patterns extracted by mining similar open source projects can improve the quality and efficiency of scientific and engineering software maintenance**. Our position is based on two key insights: 1) combining structural and textual analyses can reveal information to support scientific and engineering software maintenance; 2) mining software repositories of projects that exist within the same platform can reveal patterns that could aid developers in identifying and comprehending relevant program elements for porting. We envision that research in these directions could yield software comprehension and recommendation tools that could be applied to a code base in order to improve porting to new platforms during maintenance. In the following we discuss each of the two key ideas in turn.

Combining structural and textual analyses. Natural language processing of identifiers and comments embedded in source code has become recognized as a necessary tool in aiding developers accomplish certain software engineering tasks, such as feature location [2], software modularization [4], and automated code summarization [6]. Numerous text analysis algorithms and approaches, including topic modeling, text retrieval, and others have been found to produce meaningful results on source code terms, when configured and adapted to this type of textual information. Such approaches that adapt text analysis to software often include the use of abbreviation expansion techniques (e.g. `attr` → attribute, `param` → parameter, `println` → print line), the use of identifier

splitting techniques (e.g. `sortedList` → sorted list, `textbox` → text box), as well as the construction of various dictionaries (e.g. for synonyms) containing words from this context. Certain kinds of text analyses are heavily reliant on the quality of names in the source code, while other kinds (e.g., topic modeling, statistical models) are reliant only on the consistency of names (e.g., to relate artifacts based on similar term co-occurrences), which makes them able to overcome certain types of naming deficiencies in source code.

While natural language text is commonly organized in documents, software offers a much richer set of structural organization, including class inheritance hierarchy, method call graph, control flow graphs, class (or file) dependencies, and data flow graphs. In working with natural language in the context of software engineering, one has to choose a structural granularity at which to process text. Instead of just as a means of decomposition, using the program structure as another source of information to the embedded natural language text has recently produced improved results on many software engineering applications.

While complex scientific programs can be written in low level languages, and without much documentation, textual analysis for source code is likely mature enough to overcome these challenges. Coupled with statically-extracted structural information, it should yield a wealth of information to be presented to developers and aid porting to a new platform.

Mining software repositories of projects that execute in similar environment. In interacting with hardware resources, applications tend to follow a fixed set of patterns, exhibited by the API calls and other program structure, as well as by the natural language terms embedded in that portion of the source code. By mining existing examples of applications on the same platform to learn how hardware resources are accessed, a tool could help developers by identifying resource-relevant source code and, via its natural language representation, characterizing that code's intent. Many repositories containing such information in their histories are publicly available, e.g. as part of the more than 20 million repositories hosted on GitHub.

Research in approaches that mine and distill hardware environment information from source code repositories can be important in the construction of recommendation systems for developers in porting code to new platforms.

References

- [1] Jeffrey C Carver, Richard P Kendall, Susan E Squires, and Douglass E Post. Software development environments for scientific and engineering software: A series of case studies. In *Software Engineering, 2007. ICSE 2007. 29th International Conference on*, pages 550–559. IEEE, 2007.
- [2] Bogdan Dit, Meghan Revelle, Malcom Gethers, and Denys Poshyvanyk. Feature location in source code: a taxonomy and survey. *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, 25(1):53–95, 2013.
- [3] Stuart Faulk, Eugene Loh, Michael L. Van De Vanter, Susan Squires, and Lawrence G. Votta. Scientific Computing's Productivity Gridlock: How Software Engineering Can Help. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 11(6):30–39, November 2009.
- [4] Joshua Garcia, Igor Ivkovic, and Nenad Medvidovic. A comparative analysis of software architecture recovery techniques. pages 486–496. IEEE, November 2013.
- [5] Andrew J Ko, Brad Myers, Michael J Coblenz, Htet Htet Aung, et al. An exploratory study of how developers seek, relate, and collect relevant information during software maintenance tasks. *Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, 32(12):971–987, 2006.
- [6] Laura Moreno, Jairo Aponte, Giriprasad Sridhara, Andrian Marcus, Lori Pollock, and K Vijay-Shanker. Automatic generation of natural language summaries for java classes. In *Program Comprehension (ICPC), 2013 IEEE 21st International Conference on*, pages 23–32. IEEE, 2013.