

DFA minimization

Hopcroft's algorithm

문순원

From regex to DFA

- convert regex into ϵ -NFA (Thompson's construction)
- convert ϵ -NFA into NFA (Dealing with ϵ -closure)
- convert NFA into DFA (Powerset construction)
- minimize DFA (Hopcroft's algorithm)

From regex to DFA

- convert regex into ϵ -NFA (Thompson's construction)
- convert ϵ -NFA into NFA (Dealing with ϵ -closure)
- convert NFA into DFA (Powerset construction)
- minimize DFA (Hopcroft's algorithm)

Definition of minimal DFA and some facts about it

DFA M is said to be *minimal* if no DFA with fewer states recognizes $L(M)$.

The minimal DFA for any recognizable language is unique up to isomorphism.

Given an arbitrary DFA M , one can effectively construct the minimal DFA equivalent to M .

State equivalence and reduced DFA

Let DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$

Two states a and b are *equivalent* if $(a, b) \in \rho_M$ where

$$\rho_M = \{(a, b) \mid (\forall w \in \Sigma^*) (\delta_w(a) \in F \Leftrightarrow \delta_w(b) \in F)\}$$

State equivalence and reduced DFA

Let DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$

Two states a and b are *equivalent* if $(a, b) \in \rho_M$ where

$$\rho_M = \{(a, b) \mid (\forall w \in \Sigma^*) (\delta_w(a) \in F \Leftrightarrow \delta_w(b) \in F)\}$$

DFA M is *reduced* if equivalence implies identity. i.e.

$$(a, b) \in \rho_M \Rightarrow a = b$$

State equivalence and reduced DFA

Let DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$

Two states a and b are *equivalent* if $(a, b) \in \rho_M$ where

$$\rho_M = \{(a, b) \mid (\forall w \in \Sigma^*) (\delta_w(a) \in F \Leftrightarrow \delta_w(b) \in F)\}$$

DFA M is *reduced* if equivalence implies identity. i.e.

$$(a, b) \in \rho_M \Rightarrow a = b$$

Reduced DFA is minimal!

How can we construct reduced DFA?

Congruence and quotient of DFA

An equivalence relation $\rho \subseteq Q \times Q$ is a *congruence* of M if

- δ_x is compatible with ρ
 $(a, b) \in \rho \Rightarrow (\delta_x(a), \delta_x(b)) \in \rho$
- F is a union of some ρ -classes (ρ saturates F)
 $[a]_\rho \in F/\rho \Rightarrow [a]_\rho \subseteq F$

Congruence and quotient of DFA

An equivalence relation $\rho \subseteq Q \times Q$ is a *congruence* of M if

- δ_x is compatible with ρ
 $(a, b) \in \rho \Rightarrow (\delta_x(a), \delta_x(b)) \in \rho$
- F is a union of some ρ -classes (ρ saturates F)
 $[a]_\rho \in F/\rho \Rightarrow [a]_\rho \subseteq F$

The *quotient DFA* M/ρ by a congruence ρ is defined as follows

$$M/\rho = (Q/\rho, \Sigma, \delta/\rho, [q_0]_\rho, F/\rho)$$

where $(\delta/\rho)_x([a]_\rho) = [\delta_x(a)]_\rho$

Quotient preserves recognizable language. i.e. $L(M) = L(M/\rho)$

Congruence and quotient of DFA

An equivalence relation ρ is coarser than π if

$$(a, b) \in \pi \Rightarrow (a, b) \in \rho$$

Congruence and quotient of DFA

An equivalence relation ρ is coarser than π if

$$(a, b) \in \pi \Rightarrow (a, b) \in \rho$$

Following two statements are true

- ρ_M is the coarsest congruence
- M/ρ_M is reduced. Hence it's minimal

The classical minimization algorithm

We can find ρ_M by following iterative algorithm

Let ρ_i be a sequence of equivalence relation on Q such that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0 &= \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in F\} \cup \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in Q \setminus F\} \\ \rho_{i+1} &= \{(a, b) \in \rho_i \mid (\forall x \in \Sigma) (\delta_x(a), \delta_x(b)) \in \rho_i\}\end{aligned}$$

The classical minimization algorithm

We can find ρ_M by following iterative algorithm

Let ρ_i be a sequence of equivalence relation on Q such that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0 &= \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in F\} \cup \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in Q \setminus F\} \\ \rho_{i+1} &= \{(a, b) \in \rho_i \mid (\forall x \in \Sigma) (\delta_x(a), \delta_x(b)) \in \rho_i\}\end{aligned}$$

Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\rho_{k+1} = \rho_k$ and

ρ_k is the coarsest congruence of M . (i.e. $\rho_M = \rho_k$)

Hopcroft's algorithm

$Q/\rho \leftarrow \{F, Q \setminus F\}$

$L \leftarrow \{F\}$

while there exists $A \in L$ do

$L \leftarrow L \setminus \{A\}$

 for each $x \in \Sigma$ do

 let $X = \delta_x^{-1}(A)$

 for each $Y \in Q/\rho$ s.t. $(Y' = Y \cap X \neq \emptyset) \wedge (Y'' = Y \setminus X \neq \emptyset)$ do

$Q/\rho \leftarrow (Q/\rho \setminus \{Y\}) \cup \{Y', Y''\}$

 if $Y \in L$ then

$L \leftarrow (L \setminus \{Y\}) \cup \{Y', Y''\}$

 else

$L \leftarrow L \cup \{\min(Y', Y'')\}$

 end

end

end

Hopcroft's algorithm

$Q/\rho \leftarrow \{F, Q \setminus F\}$

$L \leftarrow \{F\}$

while there exists $A \in L$ do

$L \leftarrow L \setminus \{A\}$

 for each $x \in \Sigma$ do

 let $X = \delta_x^{-1}(A)$

 for each $Y \in Q/\rho$ s.t. $(Y' = Y \cap X \neq \emptyset) \wedge (Y'' = Y \setminus X \neq \emptyset)$ do

$Q/\rho \leftarrow (Q/\rho \setminus \{Y\}) \cup \{Y', Y''\}$

 if $Y \in L$ then

$L \leftarrow (L \setminus \{Y\}) \cup \{Y', Y''\}$

 else

$L \leftarrow L \cup \{\min(Y', Y'')\}$

 end

 end

end

$L \subseteq Q/\rho$ is a loop invariant!

Modified version

Let $R = Q/\rho \setminus L$

$R \leftarrow \{Q \setminus F\}$

$L \leftarrow \{F\}$

while there exists $A \in L$ do

$L \leftarrow L \setminus \{A\}$

$R \leftarrow R \cup \{A\}$

 for each $x \in \Sigma$ do

 let $X = \delta_x^{-1}(A)$

 for each $Y \in R$ s.t. $(Y' = Y \cap X \neq \emptyset) \wedge (Y'' = Y \setminus X \neq \emptyset)$ do

$R \leftarrow (R \setminus \{Y\}) \cup \{\max(Y', Y'')\}$

$L \leftarrow L \cup \{\min(Y', Y'')\}$

 end

 for each $Y \in L$ s.t. $(Y' = Y \cap X \neq \emptyset) \wedge (Y'' = Y \setminus X \neq \emptyset)$ do

$L \leftarrow (L \setminus \{Y\}) \cup \{Y', Y''\}$

 end

 end

end

Contribution to open source project

Alex: A lexical analyser generator for Haskell

- Found some inefficiency in the alex's implementation of Hopcroft's algorithm

Contribution to open source project

Alex: A lexical analyser generator for Haskell

- Found some inefficiency in the alex's implementation of Hopcroft's algorithm
- I improved it in various ways
 1. Use better data structure and functions
 2. Exclude trivial cases
 3. The idea described before in this presentation

<https://github.com/haskell/alex/pull/176>

Contribution to open source project

Alex: A lexical analyser generator for Haskell

- Found some inefficiency in the alex's implementation of Hopcroft's algorithm
- I improved it in various ways
 1. Use better data structure and functions
 2. Exclude trivial cases
 3. The idea described before in this presentation

<https://github.com/haskell/alex/pull/176>

Most performance gain was came from 2.

Re-describing an algorithm by Hopcroft(Timo Knuutila, 2001)