

## ePortfolio Assessment Rubric

This rubric assesses the implementation, content quality, design, and professionalism of an ePortfolio built using Quarto with Git version control, hosted on GitHub, and published with GitHub Pages.

Criteria	Not Achieved	Acceptable	Proficient	Exemplary
<b>Version Control (Git &amp; GitHub)</b>	No use of Git version control. No GitHub repository created.	GitHub repository exists but lacks proper commit history. Minimal use of branches and commits.	Regular commits with meaningful messages. Some use of branches and pull requests.	Excellent Git usage with clear commit messages, effective branching strategy, and well-maintained history.
<b>Quarto Implementation &amp; GitHub Pages Deployment</b>	ePortfolio is not built using Quarto, or not deployed via GitHub Pages.	Quarto is used, but with default settings and minimal configuration. Some deployment issues.	Quarto project is well-structured with effective configuration. Successfully deployed on GitHub Pages.	Fully customised Quarto project with advanced configurations (e.g., <code>_quarto.yml</code> tuning). Seamless deployment on GitHub Pages.
<b>Landing Page &amp; Navigation</b>	No landing page or navigation structure.	Basic landing page and navigation, but lacks organisation or clarity.	Well-structured landing page with clear, functional navigation.	Professionally designed landing page with intuitive navigation, responsive layout, and engaging content.
<b>About Page &amp; CV Integration</b>	Missing About page and CV.	Includes an About page with minimal content. CV file is present but not formatted well.	Well-written About page. CV is included as a properly formatted PDF and linked correctly.	Highly professional About page. CV is well-designed, easily accessible, and dynamically linked if updated.
<b>Professional</b>	No SQL or Python code	Some SQL and Python	SQL and Python code are	SQL and Python code are

<b>Project (SQL &amp; Python Code, Visualisations)</b>	included. No visualisations.	code included, but lacks explanation or proper formatting. Visualisations may be missing or unclear.	well-structured with explanations. Visualisations enhance understanding.	well-documented, functional, and properly formatted. Visualisations are interactive and insightful.
<b>HTML, CSS, Sass &amp; Template Customisation</b>	No customisation beyond default Quarto template.	Minor CSS or HTML modifications applied.	Well-customised HTML templates, CSS or Sass modifications to improve design.	Advanced HTML, CSS, and Sass customisation with a unique, polished look and excellent user experience.
<b>Multimedia Integration</b>	No multimedia elements.	Basic multimedia (e.g., images, videos) added but lacks cohesion.	Multimedia elements enhance understanding and engagement.	Seamless multimedia integration that significantly enriches user experience.
<b>Academic Conventions &amp; Professionalism</b>	Poor grammar, spelling, or missing citations. No copyright considerations.	Some grammar or citation issues. Copyright considerations are inconsistent.	Well-written content with accurate referencing and proper citations.	Flawless writing with well-integrated references, appropriate attributions, and professional tone.
<b>Reflection &amp; Critical Thinking</b>	No reflection on learning or project development.	Some reflection but lacks depth and critical thought.	Thoughtful reflection on project development, learning, and skills acquired.	Deep, insightful reflection connecting experiences, skills, and future learning goals.
<b>Overall Design &amp; Usability</b>	Poorly structured, difficult to navigate, unattractive design.	Basic design with minor usability issues. Some inconsistent styling.	Clean, structured, and visually appealing design. Good usability.	Outstanding design with sophisticated aesthetics, excellent usability, and smooth interactivity.