# ECE M148, Homework 5

# Damien Ha, UID 905539967

## Question 1

## Part A

```
In [1]:
        import pandas as pd
        data = {
            'Day': list(range(1, 15)),
            'Humidity': ['High', 'High', 'Normal', 'Normal', 'High',
        'Normal', 'High', 'Normal', 'High', 'High', 'High', 'High', 'High',
        'Normal'],
            'Wind': ['Weak', 'Strong', 'Weak', 'Weak', 'Strong', 'Strong',
        'Weak', 'Weak', 'Strong', 'Strong', 'Weak', 'Weak', 'Strong',
        'Strong'],
            'Play Tennis': ['Yes', 'No', 'Yes', 'Yes', 'No', 'Yes', 'Yes',
        'Yes', 'Yes', 'No', 'Yes', 'No', 'No', 'No']
        df = pd.DataFrame(data)
        def calculate gini index(groups, classes):
            total instances = sum(len(group) for group in groups)
            gini index = 0.0
            for group in groups:
                size = len(group)
                if size == 0:
                    continue
                score = 0.0
                for class val in classes:
                    proportion = [row[-1] for row in group].count(class val)
        / size
                    score += proportion * proportion
                gini index += (1.0 - score) * (size / total instances)
            return gini index
```

```
def calculate gini index gain(data, feature):
    current gini index = calculate gini index([data.values], df['Play
Tennis'].unique())
    unique values = df[feature].unique()
    feature index = df.columns.get loc(feature)
    weighted gini index = 0.0
    for value in unique values:
        subset = data[data[feature] == value]
        subset gini index = calculate gini index([subset.values],
df['Play Tennis'].unique())
        weighted gini index += len(subset) / len(data) *
subset gini index
    gini index gain = current gini index - weighted gini index
    return gini index gain
gini index humidity = calculate gini index gain(df, 'Humidity')
gini index wind = calculate gini index gain(df, 'Wind')
print("Gini Index Gain (Humidity):", gini index humidity)
print("Gini Index Gain (Wind):", gini index wind)
```

```
Gini Index Gain (Humidity): 0.05804988662131538
Gini Index Gain (Wind): 0.16326530612244905
```

### Part B

Wind, based on the results above, provides the best Gini Index Gain

### Part C

```
In [2]: import math

def calculate_entropy(data):
    total_instances = len(data)
    class_counts = data['Play Tennis'].value_counts()
    entropy = 0.0
    for count in class_counts:
        proportion = count / total_instances
        entropy -= proportion * math.log2(proportion)
```

```
return entropy
def calculate information gain(data, feature):
    current entropy = calculate entropy(data)
    unique values = df[feature].unique()
    feature index = df.columns.get loc(feature)
    weighted entropy = 0.0
    for value in unique values:
        subset = data[data[feature] == value]
        subset entropy = calculate entropy(subset)
        weighted entropy += len(subset) / len(data) * subset entropy
    information gain = current entropy - weighted entropy
    return information gain
information gain humidity = calculate information gain(df,
'Humidity')
information gain wind = calculate information gain(df, 'Wind')
print("Information Gain (Humidity):", information gain humidity)
print("Information Gain (Wind):", information gain wind)
```

```
Information Gain (Humidity): 0.09027634939276485
Information Gain (Wind): 0.2578314624597723
```

### Part D

Wind is still the best feature

# Question 2

```
In [4]: import numpy as np

data = np.array([[1, 1], [2, 4], [4, 1], [6, 3], [5, 7], [8, 1], [4,
4], [3, 6], [3, 3]])
centers = np.array([[2, 2], [5, 5]])

distances = np.zeros((data.shape[0], centers.shape[0]))
for i in range(centers.shape[0]):
    distances[:, i] = np.linalg.norm(data - centers[i], axis=1)
```

```
cluster_assignments = np.argmin(distances, axis=1)
print("Cluster Assignments (after one iteration):",
cluster_assignments)

new_centers = np.zeros_like(centers)
for i in range(centers.shape[0]):
    new_centers[i] = np.mean(data[cluster_assignments == i], axis=0)

print("New Cluster Centers (after one iteration):\n", new_centers)
```

```
Cluster Assignments (after one iteration): [0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0]

New Cluster Centers (after one iteration):

[[2 2]

[5 4]]
```

## Question 3

#### Part A

The circled points appear to be the support vectors as we can visually see that the circled points are where + and - points are seperated. Removing one of the non-circled points therefore shouldn't have any effect on the decision boundary, none of them are close to it and the support vectors would remain.

#### Part B

A hard margin SVM seeks a perfect separation of classes and assumes they can be separated linearly, while a soft margin SVM allows for some misclassifications and includes a margin of error to handle overlapping or noisy data points

## Part C

5, the remaining 3 +'s would become support vectors as they'd be equally close to the decision boundary, and the orginal 2 -'s would remain giving us 5

# Question 4

## Part A

For the first expression:

$$P(Y=i \mid X) = rac{e^{eta_{0i} + eta_{1i} X}}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{K-1} e^{eta_{0i} + eta_{1i} X}}, 1 \leq i \leq K-1$$

$$= \frac{e^{\beta_{0i}+\beta_{1i}X}e^{\beta_{0K}+\beta_{1K}X}}{e^{\beta_{0K}+\beta_{1K}X} + \sum_{j=1}^{K-1}e^{\beta_{0i}+\beta_{1i}X}}$$

$$=e^{eta_{0i}+eta_{1i}X}\cdotrac{e^{eta_{0K}+eta_{1K}X}}{e^{eta_{0K}+eta_{1K}X}+\sum_{j=1}^{K-1}e^{eta_{0i}+eta_{1i}X}}$$

 $=e^{eta_{0i}+eta_{1i}X}\cdot a\ constant$ 

For the second expression:

$$P(Y=i\mid X)=rac{e^{\hat{eta_{0i}}+eta_{1i}^{X}X}}{1+\sum_{j=1}^{K-1}e^{\hat{eta_{0i}}+eta_{1i}^{X}X}},1\leq i\leq K$$

$$=rac{e^{\hat{eta_0}i^+\hat{eta_1}i^X}}{e^{\hat{eta_0}K^+\hat{eta_1}\hat{K^X}\cdot C}}$$

Subbing in and canceling terms we get

$$egin{align*} &= rac{e^{\hat{eta_{0i}}+\hat{eta_{1i}}Xe^{eta_{0i}+eta_{1i}X}}}{e^{\hat{eta_{0i}}+\hat{eta_{1i}}X}} \ &= e^{\hat{eta_{0i}}+\hat{eta_{1i}}X}e^{eta_{0i}+eta_{1i}X} \ &= e^{\hat{eta_{0i}}+\hat{eta_{1i}}X+eta_{0i}+eta_{1i}X} \ &= e^{\hat{eta_{0i}}+eta_{1i}X} \ &= e^{eta_{0i}+eta_{1i}X} \ \end{aligned}$$

The two are equivalent

#### Part B

$$P(Y=1\mid X) = rac{e^{-0.2+0.06\cdot 5}}{1+e^{-0.2+0.06\cdot 5}+e^{-0.2+0.04\cdot 5}} = 0.146$$

$$P(Y=2\mid X) = rac{e^{0.2+0.04\cdot 5}}{1+e^{-0.2+0.06\cdot 5}+e^{-0.2+0.04\cdot 5}} = 0.252$$

$$P(Y=3\mid X) = rac{e^{-0.3+0.5\cdot 5}}{1+e^{-0.2+0.06\cdot 5}+e^{-0.2+0.04\cdot 5}} = 0.602$$

Class 3, as it has the highest probability

# Question 5

#### Part A

False, we would actually want to pick the feature whose split minimizes MSE, so there is a lower error

## Part B

False, K-means is sensitive to the initial points/centroids, so different initializations can lead to drastically different clusters and different solutions

#### Part C

False, its goal is to combine similar clusters and then create a hierarchy of clusters which is not necessarily minimizing distortion

## Part D

False, larger  $\lambda$  implies a narrower margin due to a higher penalty

## Part E

True, by bootstrapping and considering a subset of features for each node, we reduce the variance and generalize the performance, avoiding overfitting