Final report :

Conclusion drawn

Difficulties encountered during the project

• What was the main scientific obstacle encountered during this project?

The toughest part of this project was figuring out the data and making the code work. The data was a bit tricky – to understand at first but also to analyze, and getting the code to run without errors took a lot of effort. It felt like solving puzzles, but every problem I faced helped me get better at understanding the data and coding. The project was a big challenge for me, but I also felt that I learned a lot from it.

- For each of the following points, if you encountered difficulties, detail how they slowed you down in setting up your project.
 - o Forecast: tasks that took longer than expected, etc.

Cleaning the dataset/getting it ready for modeling. Running the machine learning algorithms (I had to fix errors all the time). I had to go back and update some of the preprocessing steps once I started working on the modeling part because I realized that some of my assumptions no longer suit me. Interpreting the results also took more time than I expected.

o Datasets: acquisition, volumetry, processing, aggregation, etc.

The dataset was not easy/intuitive to understand at first, I spent a lot of time learning what the variables are and how to use them for what. The dataset was also huge, I realized too late that maybe I should have used only part of the data (sorted maybe by date) to make the code run easier and to interpret the results easier. The preprocessing was challenging too, as I wasn't sure if I'm doing the right thing throughout. Also, picking the target variable and the explanatory ones was difficult. I felt like there's so many directions that the project can go to.

 Technical/theoretical skills: timing of skill acquisition, skill not offered in training, etc.

I had to go over the course material many times and research lots of things online. And from there, sort of, form my opinion on things. I felt like the project was more complex than the examples we've done in training and often I wasn't sure if I'm doing the right thing.

Relevance: of the approach, model, data, etc.

Not sure what this question means.

IT: storage power, computational power, etc.

I started the project in Google Collab but after the preprocessing part, I started running into issues with the algorithms because there was not enough space/RAM to execute these in the notebook. So, I created a Kaggle account and started executing the code there and it worked better.

Report

• Detail what was your main contribution to achieving the project's goals.

My main contribution to achieving the project's goals involved implementing and adapting machine learning models to predict tennis match outcomes. I preprocessed and cleaned the dataset to ensure its quality and relevance for the models. Throughout the project, I was dealing with various challenges, such as analyzing the data, running the code, and trying to fix errors. Despite these obstacles, I followed the steps we learned in the training and applied different strategies to optimize and improve the results. Although, I did not achieve the objective fully (beat the bookmaker's algorithms), I still think it was a successful project.

• Have you changed the model since the last iteration? If yes, provide details.

No.

Present the results obtained and compare them to the benchmark.

My most successful model was the AdaBoost model, which gave these results:

Test Accuracy: 0.419200954084675 Precision: 0.4280393161228633 Recall: 0.419200954084675 F1-Score: 0.4173056320120354

I tried to compare the model results to the benchmark (the bookmaker's odds from the initial dataset) but I ran into issues I couldn't resolve. My code looked like this:

```
1. import pandas as pd
 2. import numpy as np
 3. from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, precision_score, recall_score
 7. # 1: calculate probabilities from bookmakers' Odds
8. df['B365W_Prob'] = 1 / df['B365W']
9. df['B365L_Prob'] = 1 / df['B365L']
10. df['PSW Prob'] = 1 / df['PSW']
11. df['PSL Prob'] = 1 / df['PSL']
12.
13. # 2: compare model predictions to bookmakers probabilities
15. y_true = df['Winner'] # Actual outcomes
16. y_pred_model = best_adaboost_model.predict(X_test_final)
17.
18. # convert model predictions to probabilities
19. df['Model_Prob_Winner'] = best_adaboost_model.predict_proba(X_test_final)[:, 1]
20. df['Model_Prob_Loser'] = 1 - df['Model_Prob_Winner']
21.
22. # check model performance
23. # a threshold for converting probabilities to binary predictions
24. threshold = 0.5
26. # convert probabilities to binary predictions
27. df['Model_Pred_Winner'] = (df['Model_Prob_Winner'] > threshold).astype(int)
28. df['Model_Pred_Loser'] = 1 - df['Model_Pred_Winner']
29.
```

```
30. \# accuracy, precision, and recall for both winner and loser
31. accuracy winner = accuracy score(y true, df['Model Pred Winner'])
32. precision_winner = precision_score(y_true, df['Model_Pred_Winner'])
33. recall_winner = recall_score(y_true, df['Model_Pred_Winner'])
34.
35. accuracy_loser = accuracy_score(1 - y_true, df['Model_Pred_Loser'])
36. precision_loser = precision_score(1 - y_true, df['Model_Pred_Loser'])
37. recall_loser = recall_score(1 - y_true, df['Model_Pred_Loser'])
38.
39. # results
40. print("Winner Metrics:")
41. print("Model Accuracy:", accuracy_winner)42. print("Model Precision:", precision_winner)
43. print("Model Recall:", recall_winner)
45. print("\nLoser Metrics:")
46. print("Model Accuracy:", accuracy_loser)
47. print("Model Precision:", precision_loser)
48. print("Model Recall:", recall_loser)
```

But I kept getting errors for this that I just couldn't fix after a few days of trying. I kept updating my preprocessing step to get it to work but it still wouldn't. I also tried another approach using ROI (Return of investment) as a metric and that wouldn't work either. So, I gave up on this.

I found another possibility, which is to use a dummy classifier that predicts the most frequent class in the training data.

```
    from sklearn.dummy import DummyClassifier

 2.
 3. # create a dummy classifier that predicts the most frequent class
 4. dummy_classifier = DummyClassifier(strategy='most_frequent')
 5. dummy classifier.fit(X train final, y train)
 6. y_dummy_pred = dummy_classifier.predict(X_test_final)
 7.
 8. # evaluate dummy classifier predictions
 9. accuracy_dummy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_dummy_pred)
10. precision_dummy = precision_score(y_test, y_dummy_pred, average='weighted')
11. recall_dummy = recall_score(y_test, y_dummy_pred, average='weighted')
12. f1_dummy = f1_score(y_test, y_dummy_pred, average='weighted')
13.
14. # results
15. print("Dummy (Most Frequent) Metrics:")
16. print("Accuracy:", accuracy_dummy)
17. print("Precision:", precision_dummy)
18. print("Recall:", recall dummy)
19. print("F1-Score:", f1_dummy)
20.
21. print("\nAdaBoost Metrics:")
22. print("Accuracy:", accuracy_adaboost)
23. print("Precision:", precision_adaboost)
24. print("Recall:", recall_adaboost)
25. print("F1-Score:", f1_adaboost)
26.
```

Results:

Dummy (Most Frequent) Metrics: Accuracy: 0.022063208109719738 Precision: 0.0004867851520928028 Recall: 0.022063208109719738 F1-Score: 0.0009525539090544109 AdaBoost Metrics:

Accuracy: 0.419200954084675 Precision: 0.4280393161228633 Recall: 0.419200954084675 F1-Score: 0.4173056320120354

These results indicate that the model performs better than the benchmark but it isn't as certain as the direct comparison that I wanted to have working initially.

• For each of the project's goals, detail how they were achieved or not.

In terms of following the steps of the Analysis process, I think I achieved my goal to analyze, clean, preprocess the data and get results from the machine learning models. I also ran multiple models and tried to understand their results afterwards. This gave me the opportunity to look for ways to improve the most promising model. The main part that I wasn't satisfied with is not being able to run the comparison correctly to see how well my model performs compared to the bookmaker's presented in the initial dataset.

• If they have been reached, in which process(es) can your model fit? Detail.

Data Analysis and Preprocessing: Successfully analyzed and cleaned the data, preparing for machine learning modeling.

Modeling and Evaluation: Ran multiple machine learning models, analyzed their results, and worked on improving the most promising one.

Model Comparison and Improvement: It was challenging running the comparison, but there was a clear intention to assess the model against bookmaker predictions.

Continuation of the project

- What avenues for improvement do you suggest to increase the performance of your model?
- Fine-tuning parameters in the AdaBoost model
- Investigate the data more thoroughly to identify patterns or outliers that I might have overlooked
- I would also consider changing the preprocessing to only look at data from a certain date and ignore the very old matches
- Try suggested techniques that I haven't (SHAP, LIME, Skater)
- Make the comparison work as intended.
- How has your project contributed to an increase in scientific knowledge?

Not sure. Probably not, but it increased my knowledge ∅