Functional Analysis

github.com/dan-gc/analysis

These are preparation notes for a course on Functional Analysis at IMPA, summer 2024.

Contents

1	Measure theory	1
	1.1 σ -algebras	2
	1.2 Measures	
	1.3 Outer measures	3
	1.4 Integration	
2		8
	2.1 Metric spaces	8
	2.2 Topological spaces	10
	2.3 Compact spaces	
	2.4 Locally Compact Hausdorff spaces	
	2.5 Three compactness theorems	
	2.6 The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem	
3	Inner product spaces	15
	3.1 Inner products	15
	3.2 Orthogonal projections	
	3.3 Riesz representation theorem	
	3.4 Adjoint operator	
	3.5 Spectral theorem for normal transofmrations	
	3.6 Unitary and orthogonal transformations	
4	Normed spaces	19
5	Exercises	20

1 Measure theory

A measure should surely satisfy:

1. If E_1, E_2, \ldots is a finite or infinite sequence of disjoint sets,

$$\mu(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \ldots) = \mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2) + \ldots$$

2. If E is congruent to F,

$$\mu(E) = \mu(F)$$

3. If Q is the unit cube,

$$\mu(Q) = 1$$

1.1 σ -algebras

Let *X* be a nonempty set.

- An *algebra of sets* on X is a nonempty collection \mathcal{A} of subsets of X that is closed under finite unions and complements, that is,
 - 1. If $E_1, \ldots, E_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \in \mathcal{A}$.
 - 2. If $E \in \mathcal{A}$, then $E^c \in \mathcal{A}$.
- A σ -algebra is an algebra of sets closed under countable unions.
- The intersection of all σ -algebras containing any subset $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{E} .
- The σ -algebra generated by the open sets of a topological (or metric) space X is the *Borel algebra* \mathcal{B}_X .
- Let $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$ is a collection of nonempty sets, $X=\prod_{\alpha}X_{\alpha}$ and $\pi_{\alpha}:X\to X_{\alpha}$ the coordinate functions. If \mathcal{M}_{α} is a σ -algebra on X_{α} , the *product* σ -algebra on X is the σ -algebra generated by

$$\{\pi_{\alpha}(E_{\alpha}): E_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A\}$$

We denote this σ -algebra by $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} m_{\alpha}$.

Proposition 1.1. $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ is generated by $\{\prod_{\alpha \in A} E_{\alpha} : E_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}\}.$

Proposition 1.2. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be metric spaces and let $X = \prod_i X_i$ be equiped with the product metric. Then $\bigotimes_i \mathcal{B}_i \subset \mathcal{B}_X$. If every X_i is separable equality holds.

Corollary 1.3. $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

1.2 Measures

Let X be a set equipped with a σ -algebra M.

- A *measure* on \mathcal{M} (or on (X,\mathcal{M}) , or simply on X) is a function $\mu:\mathcal{M}\to [0,\infty)$ such that
 - 1. $\mu(\varnothing)$.
 - 2. if $\{E_j\}_1^{\infty}$ is a sequence of disjoint sets in \mathcal{M} , then $\mu(\bigcup_1^{\infty}) = \sum_1^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$.
- If X is a set and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a σ -algebra, (X,\mathcal{M}) is called a *measurable space* and the sets in \mathcal{M} are *measurable sets*. If μ is a measure on (X,\mathcal{M}) , then (X,\mathcal{M},μ) is called a *measure space*.
- If $\mu(X) < \infty$ (and hence $\mu(E) < \infty$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$), μ is called σ -finite. If $X = \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} E_{j}$, with $\mu(E_{j}) < \infty$, μ is called σ -finite. If for every $E \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\mu(E) = \infty$ there exists $F \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $F \subset E$ and $0 < \mu(F) < \infty$, μ is called *semifinite*.

Theorem 1.4 (Properties of measure spaces). Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space.

- 1. **(Monotonicity.)** If $E, F \in \mathcal{M}$ and $E \subset F$, then $\mu(E) < \mu(F)$.
- 2. (Subaditivity.) If $\{E_j\}_1^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$, then $\mu(\bigcup_1^{\infty} E_j) \leq \sum_1^{\infty} \mu(E_j)$.
- 3. (Continuity from below.) If $\{E_j\}_1^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}, E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \ldots$, then $\mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \mu(E_j)$.
- 4. (Continuity from above.) If $\{E_j\}_1^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$, $E_1 \supset E_2 \supset \ldots$, and $\mu(E_1) < \infty$, then $\mu(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \mu(E_j)$.
- If $E \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mu(E) = 0$, E es called a *null set*. If a statement about points in X is true except for points in a null set, we say it is true *almost everywhere*.
- If $\mu(E) = 0$ and $F \subset E$, then $\mu(F) = 0$ provided $F \in \mathcal{M}$. A measure whose domain contains all subsets of null sets is *complete*. Completeness may help avoid technical difficulties, and it can always be achieved by enlarging the domain of μ :

Theorem 1.5. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{N \in \mathcal{M} : \mu(N) = 0\}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \{E \cup F : E \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } F \subset N \text{ for some } N \in \mathcal{N}\}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a σ -algebra, and there is a unique extension $\overline{\mu}$ of μ to a complete measure on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$, called the *completion of* μ .

- Excercises
 - 1. If μ_1, \ldots, μ_n are measures on (X, \mathcal{M}) and $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in [0, \infty)$, then $\sum_1^\infty a_j \mu_j$ is also a measure on (X, \mathcal{M}) .
 - 2. $\mu(E \cup F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F) \mu(E \cap F)$.
 - 3. A set $E \subset X$ is called *locally measurable* if $E \cap A \in \mathcal{M}$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mu(A) < \infty$. If \mathcal{M} equals the collection of the saturated sets $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, it is called *saturated*. The saturated measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ defined by $\tilde{\mu}(E) = \mu(E)$ for $E \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\tilde{\mu}(E) = \infty$ otherwise is called the *saturation of* μ .

1.3 Outer measures

This is used to construct measures. The key idea is to approximate the measure of a set by simpler encosing sets, like with the Riemann integral.

- An *outer measure* on a nonempty set X is a function $\mu^*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to [0,\infty]$ that satisfies
 - 1. $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$,
 - 2. $\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B)$ if $A \subset B$, and
 - 3. $\mu^* (\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{1}^{\infty} \mu^* (A_i)$.

Proposition 1.6. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\rho : \mathcal{E} \to [0, \infty]$ be such that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$, $X \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\rho \emptyset = 0$. For any $A \subset X$, define

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j) : E_j \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } A \subset \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} E_j \right\}$$
 (1)

then μ^* is an outer measure.

• A set $A \subset X$ is called μ -measurable if

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*(E \cap A^c)$$
 for all $E \subset X$

Which makes sense if we think E is a *well-behaved* set such that $A \subset E$, so that $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(E) - \mu^*(E \cap A^c)$.

Theorem 1.7 (Carathèodory). If μ^* is an outer measure on X, the collection \mathcal{M} of μ^* -measurable sets is a σ -algebra, and the restriction of μ^* to \mathcal{M} is a complete measure.

- If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is an algebra, the function $\mu_0 : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$ is a *premeasure* if
 - $\mu_0(\varnothing) = 0$,
 - If $\{A_j\}_1^\infty$ is a sequence of disjoint sets in $\mathcal A$ such that $\bigcup_1^\infty \in \mathcal A$, then $\mu_0\left(\bigcup_1^\infty A_j\right) = \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(A_j)$.

Proposition 1.8. If μ_0 is a premeasure on \mathcal{A} and μ^* is defined by eq. (1) by taking $\rho = \mu_0$, then

- 1. $\mu^* | \mathcal{A} = \mu_0$,
- 2. every set in \mathcal{A} is μ^* measureable.

Theorem 1.9. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ be an algebra, μ_0 a premeasure on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{A} . There exists a measure μ on \mathcal{M} whose restriction to \mathcal{A} is μ_0 —namely $\mu = \mu^* | \mathcal{M}$, where μ^* is given by eq. (1). (This is a consequence of Carathédory's theorem and the last proposition.)

If ν is another measure on \mathcal{M} that extends μ_0 , then $\nu(E) \leq \mu(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$ with equality when $\mu(E) < \infty$. If μ_0 is σ -finite, then μ is the unique estension of μ_0 to a measure on \mathcal{M} .

• A *Borel measure on* \mathbb{R} is a measure on \mathbb{R} whose domain is the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proposition 1.10. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be increasing and right continuous. If $(a_j, b_j]$, j = 1, ..., n are disjoint *half-open intervals*, so that $0 \le a_j, b_j \le \infty$, or (a_j, ∞) , or they are empty, define

$$\mu_0 \left(\bigcup_{1}^{n} (a_j, b_j) \right) = \sum_{1}^{n} [F(b_j) - F(a_j)]$$

and let $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$. Then μ_0 is a premeasure on the algebra \mathcal{A} of finite disjoint unions of half-open intervals.

Theorem 1.11. If $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is any increasing, right continuous function, there is a unique Borel measure μ_F on \mathbb{R} such that $\mu_F((a,b]) = F(b) - F(a) \, \forall a,b$.

If G is another such function, $\mu_F = \mu_G$ if and only if F - G is constant. Conversely, given a μ Borel measure on $\mathbb R$ that is finite on all bounded Borel sets we may define an increasing right continuous function F such that $\mu = \mu_F$.

• By theorem 1.9, there is a complete measure $\bar{\mu}_F$ whose domain includes $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$. In fact $\bar{\mu}_F$ is the completion of μ_F and its domain is strictly larger than $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$. This complete measure is called the *Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure* and is also denoted by μ_F .

In the following μ is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes meause associated to some increasing, right-continuous function F, and M_{μ} is the domain of μ .

Theorem 1.12. If $E \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}$, then

```
\mu(E) = \inf \{ \mu(U) : E \subseteq U \text{ and } U \text{ is open} \}
= \sup \{ \mu(K) : K \subseteq E \text{ and } K \text{ is compact} \}
```

Theorem 1.13. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, the following are equivalent:

- 1. $E \in \mathcal{M}_{u}$.
- 2. $E = V \setminus N_1$ where V is a G_δ (countable intersection of open sets) and $\mu(N_1) = 0$.
- 3. $E = H \cup N_2$ where H is an F_{σ} (countable union of closed sets) set and $\mu(N_2) = 0$.

Proposition 1.14. If $E \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ and $\mu(E) < \infty$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a set A that is a finite union of open intervals such that $\mu(E \triangle A) < \varepsilon$.

• The *Lebesgue measure* is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure of F(x) = x. We denote it by m and its domain by \mathcal{L} .

```
Theorem 1.15. Is E \in \mathcal{L}, then E + s \in \mathcal{L} and rE \in \mathcal{L} for all s, r \in \mathbb{R}. Moreover, m(E + s) = m(E) and m(rE) = |r|m(E).
```

Proposition 1.16. Let C be the Cantor set. C is compact, nowhere dense and totally disconnected (ie. the only connected subsets of C are single points). C has no isolated points. m(C)=0. $\operatorname{card}(C)=\mathfrak{c}$.

1.4 Integration

Now we construct integrals from simple funtions.

• (Measurable maps.) Recall that a mapping $f: X \to Y$ between two sets induces a mapping $f^{-1}: \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}X$ defined by the inverse image, which preserves unions, intersection and complements, so that if \mathcal{N} is a σ -algebra on Y, then $\{f^{-1}(E): E \in \mathcal{N}\}$ is a σ -algebra on X.

If (X,\mathcal{M}) and (Y,\mathcal{N}) are measureable spaces, a mapping $f:X\to Y$ is called *measurable* if $f^{-1}(E)\in\mathcal{M}$ for all $E\in\mathcal{N}$.

Proposition 1.17. A function whose codomain is a product measure space is measurable if precomposing with every projection is measurable.

Corollary 1.18. A function $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ is \mathcal{M} -measurable if and only if Re f and Im f are \mathcal{M} -measurable.

Proposition 1.19. If $\mathcal N$ is generated by $\mathcal E$, then $f:X\to Y$ is measurable if and only if $f^{-1}(E)\in \mathcal M$ for all $E\in \mathcal E$.

Corollary 1.20. If X and Y are metric (or topological spaces), every continuous function is $(\mathcal{B}_X, \mathcal{B}_Y)$ -measurable.

Proposition 1.21. If $f, g: X \to \mathbb{C}$ are \mathcal{M} -measurable, then so are f + g and fg.

Proposition 1.22. If $\{f_j\}$ is a sequence of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ -valued measurable functions on (X, \mathcal{M}) , then the functions

$$\sup_{j} f_{j}(x) \qquad \limsup_{j \to \infty} f_{j}(x)$$
$$\inf_{j} f_{j}(x) \qquad \liminf_{j \to \infty} f_{j}(x)$$

are measurable. If

$$f(x) = \lim_{j \to \infty} f_j(x)$$

exists for every $x \in X$, then f is measurable.

Corollary 1.23. If $f,g:X\to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is measurable, then so are $\max(f,g)$ and $\min(f,g)$.

If $f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ we define de *positive* and *negative* parts of f as:

$$f^{+}(x) = \max(f(x), 0)$$
 $f^{-}(x) \max(-f(x), 0)$

Then $f = f^+ - f^-$, and if f is measurable, so are f^+ and f^- by corollary 1.23.

• Let (X, \mathcal{M}) be a measurable space. If $E \subset X$, the *characteristic or indicator function* χ_E *of* E is

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in E \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin E \end{cases}$$

A simple function is . The integral of a simple function is . The integral of a measurable function .

Theorem 1.24 (Monotone convergence). content...

Theorem 1.25 (Dominated convergence). content...

Proposition 1.26 (Aditivity of the integral). content...

• The *Lebesgue integral* is the integral we have developed then the measure is the Lebesgue measure.

Theorem 1.27 (Fubini-Tonelli).

1.

$$\int f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int \left(\int f(x,y) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) = \int \left(\int f(x,y) d\mu(x) \right) d\nu(y)$$

2.

Theorem 1.28 (2.44).

$$\int \int f(x)dx = |\det T| \int f \circ T(x)dx$$

Theorem 1.29 (2.47, diffeomorphisms). content...

2 Point set topology

2.1 Metric spaces

A *metric* on a set X is a function $\rho: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ such that

- 1. $\rho(x,x) = 0$ if and only if x = 0.
- 2. $\rho(x,y) = \rho(y,x)$ for all $x,y \in X$.
- 3. $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Two metrics ρ_1 and ρ_2 on a set X are *equivalent* if $C\rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq C'\rho_2$ for some C, C' > 0.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X,d) and (Y,d') be metric spaces. If $f:X\to Y$, the following are equivalent conditions for f to be *continuous*:

- 1. $\forall x \in X \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : f(B_{\delta}(x)) \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}(f(x))$
- 2. $\forall x \in X \forall x_n \to x : f(x_n) \to f(x)$.
- 3. $\forall F \subseteq Y$ open, $f^{-1}(F)$ is open.
- 4. $\forall F \subseteq Y$ closed, $f^{-1}(F)$ is closed.

If $f:(X,\rho)\to (Y,\rho')$ is such that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x \in X : f(B_{\delta}(x)) \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}(f(x))$$

we say f is uniformly continuous.

Exercise. If (Y, ρ') is complete and $f: A \to Y$ is uniformly continuous on $A \subset X$ and $\overline{A} = X$, then f has a unique continuous extension $g: X \to Y$ which is uniformly continuous on X. Show that this is not true in general if Y is not complete.

If $f:(X,\rho)\to (Y,\rho')$ is a bijective function such that for any $x,y\in X$, $\rho(x,y)=\rho'(f(x),f(y))$ we say f is an *isometry* and the two spaces are *isometric*. A function $f:(X,\rho)\to (X,\rho)$ is a *contraction* if there exists a 0< a< 1 such that $\rho(f(x),f(y))\leq a\rho(x,y)$ for any $x,y\in X$. Every contraction is continuous, and if X is complete then any contraction has a unique fixed point.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \rho(x_n,x)=0$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called *Cauchy* if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \rho(x_n,x_m)=0$, that is

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n, m > N : \rho(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon.$$

A subset $E \subseteq X$ is *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in E converges to its limit in E. If (X, ρ) and (X^*, ρ^*) are metric spaces and

- 1. (X, ρ) is isometric to a subspace (X, ρ^*) of (X^*, ρ^*) ,
- 2. The closure of X_0 is all of X^* (X_0 is everywhere dense or simply dense),

we say (X^*, ρ^*) is the *completion* of (X, ρ) .

Theorem 2.2. Every metric space (X, ρ) has a completion (X^*, ρ^*) . If (X^{**}, ρ^{**}) is also a completion of (X, ρ^*) , then (X^*, ρ^*) is isometric to (X^{**}, ρ^{**}) ; that is, the completion of a space is unique up to isometry.

Proof. Consider equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences.

Proposition 2.3. A closed subset of a metric space is complete, and a complete subset of an arbitrary metric space is closed.

Theorem 2.4. If *E* is a subset of a metric space (X, ρ) , the following are equivalent:

- 1. E is complete and *totally bounded* (it can be covered by finitely many balls of radius ε).
- 2. **(Bolzano-Wierstrass Property.)** Every sequence in *E* has a subsequence that converges to a point in *E*.
- 3. (Heine-Borel Property) If $\{V_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$ is an open cover of E, then there is a finite subset $F\subseteq A$ such that $\{V_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in F}$ covers E.

A set that satsifies any of these condition s is called *compact*.

Theorem 2.5. If (X, ρ) is a metric space and A is compact, then A is closed and bounded.

If (X, ρ) is a metric space, $A \subseteq X$ is *relatively compact* if \overline{A} is compact. If $\varepsilon > 0$, a subset $N \subset X$ is an ε -net with respect to A if $\forall x \in A \exists n \in N : \rho(x, n) < \varepsilon$. A is *totally boundad* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite ε -net with respect to A.

Theorem 2.6. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space and $A \subseteq X$. If for every sequence of points from A one can select a convergente subsequence, then A is totally bounded.

A set A is *countably compact* if every infinite subset of A has a limit point in A. All compact sets are countably compact. A is *sequentially compact* if every sequence in A has a subsequence that converges to a point in A. In a metric space, compactness is equivalent to countable and sequential compactness.

Theorem 2.7. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space and $A \subseteq X$.

- 1. *A* is relatively compact if and only if a convergent subsequence can be selected from every sequence of points in *A*. (We do not claim that the limit point is a member of *A*.)
- 2. If *A* is relatively compact, it is also totally bounded.
- 3. If (X, ρ) is complete and A is totally bounded, then A is relatively compact.
- 4. If *A* is compact then *A* is closed and totally bounded.

2.2 Topological spaces

If τ_1 and τ_2 are two topologies on a set X, we say τ_1 is *weaker* (or *coarser*) and τ_2 *stronger* (or *finer*). $E \subseteq X$ is called *dense* if $\overline{E} = X$ and *nowhere dense* if \overline{E} has empty interior. X is called *separable* if it has a countable dense subset.

- T_0 If $x \neq y$, there is an open set containing x but not y, or an open set containing y but not x.
- T_1 If $x \neq y$, there is an open set containint y but not x. Equivalently, $\{x\}$ is closed for every $x \in X$.
- T_2 (Hausdorff.) If $x \neq y$ there are disjoint open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.
- T_3 (**Regular.**) X is T_1 and for any closed set $A \subset X$ and any $x \in A^c$ there are disjoint open sets U, V with $x \in U$ and $a \subseteq V$.
- $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ (Tychonoff, Completely regular.) X is T_1 and for each closed $A \subseteq X$ and each $x \notin A$ there exists $f \in C(X, [0, 1])$ such that f(x) = 1 and f = 0 on A.
- T_4 (Normal.) X is T_1 and for any disjoint closed sets A,B in X there are disjoint open sets U,V with $A\subseteq U$ and $B\subseteq V$.

If X is any set and $\{f_\alpha: X \to Y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a family of maps from X into some topological spaces Y_α , there is a unique weakest topology τ on X that makes all the f_α continuous called the *weak topology generated by* $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$. An example of this topology is the *product topology* on $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ with the projections.

Proposition 2.8.

- If X_{α} is Hausdorff for each $\alpha \in A$ then $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A}$ is Hausdorff.
- If X_{α} and Y are topological spaces, a function $f: Y \to X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha}$ is continuous if and only iff $\pi_{\alpha} \circ f$ is continuous for each α .
- If X is a topological space, A is a nonempty set and $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence in X^A , then $f_n \to f$ in the product topology if and only if $f_n \to f$ pointwise.

If X is any set and $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , denote by B(X,K) the set of bounded K-valued functions on X, C(X,K) the set of continuous K-valued functions on X, and BC(X,K) the set of bounded continuous functions on X. If no field is specified we take it to be \mathbb{C} .

For $f \in B(X)$ define the *uniform norm* of f to be

$$||f||_u = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$$

Then the function $\rho(f,g) = |Vertf - g|Vert_u$ is a metric on B(X). Convergence in this metric is simply uniform convergence:

$$\{f_n\}_{tof}^u \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n > N \forall x \in X : |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

B(X) is complete with this metric since \mathbb{C} is complete.

Proposition 2.9. If X is a topological space, BC(X) is a closed subspace of B(X) in the uniform metric; in particular BC(X) is complete.

Lemma 2.10 (Urysohn). Let X be a normal space. If A and B are disjoint closed sets in X, there exists $f \in C(X, [0, 1])$ such that f = 0 on A and f = 1 on B.

Theorem 2.11 (Tietze Extension Theorem). Let X be a normal space. If A is a closed subset of X and $f \in C(A, [a, b])$, there exists $F \in C(X, [a, b])$ such that F|A = f.

Corollary 2.12. If X is normal, $A \subseteq X$ is closed and $f \in C(A)$, there exists $F \in C(X)$ such that F|A=f.

Urysohn's lemma shows that every T_4 space is completely regular $(T_{3\frac{1}{2}})$.

Theorem 2.13 (Dugundji). Sea X un espacio metrizable, $A = \bar{A} \subset X$ y L un espacio vectorial localmente convexo, y $V \subset L$ convexo. Entonces cualquier función $f: A \to V$ admite una extensión F.

$$A \xrightarrow{f} V$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\rtimes}$$

$$Y$$

Además img $F \subset \operatorname{conv} \operatorname{img} F$.

2.3 Compact spaces

A topological space X is called *compact* if whenever $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$ is an open cover of X there is a finite subset B of A such that $X=\bigcup_{{\alpha}\in B}U_{\alpha}$. A subset $Y\subseteq X$ is called *compact* if it is compact in the relative topology and *precompact* if its closure is compact.

A family $\{F_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$ of subsets of X has the *finite intersection property* if $\bigcap_{{\alpha}\in B}F_{\alpha}\neq\varnothing$ for all finite $B\subseteq A$.

Proposition 2.14.

- A topological space X is compact if and only if for every family $\{F_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$ of closed sets with the finite intersection property, $\bigcap_{{\alpha}\in A}F_{\alpha}\neq\varnothing$.
- A closed subset of a compact space is compact.
- If K is a compact subset of a Hausdorff space X and $x \notin K$ then there are disjoint open sets U, V such that $x \in U$ and $K \subseteq V$.
- Every compact subset of a Hausdorff space is closed.
- Every compact Hausdorff space is normal.
- If X is compact and $f: X \to Y$ is continuous then f(X) is compact.
- If X is compact, then C(X) = BC(X).
- If X is compact and Y is Hausdorff, then any continuous bijection f : X → Y is an homeomorphism.

A topological space X is *countably compact* if every countable open cover of X has a finite subcover, and *sequentially compact* if every sequence in X has a convergent subsequence. For metric spaces compactness and sequential compactness are the equivalent. There exists no general relation between compactness and sequential compactness.

2.4 Locally Compact Hausdorff spaces

A topological space is called *locally compact* if every point has a compact neighbourhood (a set $A \subset X$ such that $x \in A^o$). We call locally compact Hausdorff spaces LCH for short.

Proposition 2.15. Let *X* be a LCH space.

- If $U \subseteq X$ is open and $x \in U$, there is a compact neighbourhood K of x such that $K \subset U$.
- If $K \subseteq U \subseteq X$, with K compact and U open, there exists a precompact open V such that $K \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U$.
- (Urysohn's Lemma, Locally Compact Version.) If $K \subset U \subseteq X$, there exists $f \in C(X, [0, 1])$ such that f = 1 on K and f = 0 outside a compact subset of U.
- Every LCH space is completely regular.
- (Tietze Extension Theorem, Locally Compact Version) If $K \subseteq X$ is compact and $f \in C(K)$, there exists $F \in C(X)$ such that F|K = f. F may be taken to vanish outside a compact set.

If $f \in C(X)$, the *support of* f is the closure of $\{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ and denote $C_c(X) := \{f \in C(X) : \text{supp } f \text{ is compact}\}$. We say f *vanishes at infinity* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\{x : |f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is compact and define $C_0(X) := \{f \in C(X) : f \text{ vanishes at infinity}\}$.

Proposition 2.16. If X is an LCH space, $C_0(X)$ is the closure of $C_c(X)$ in the uniform metric.

If X is a topological space, there are many ways of topologizing \mathbb{C}^X . One way is the product topology, that is, the topology of pointwise convergence. Another is the *topology of uniform convergence*, which is generated by the sets

$$\left\{ g \in \mathbb{C}^X : \sup_{x \in X} |g(x) - f(x)| < n^{-1} \right\} \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}, f \in \mathbb{C}^X.$$

In view of a previous proposition (cite?), we know C(X) is a closed subset of \mathbb{C}^X with the topology of uniform convergence. Another topology is the *topology of uniform convergence on compact sets*, generated by the sets

$$\left\{g \in \mathbb{C}^X : \sup_{x \in K} |g(x) - f(x)| < n^{-1}\right\} \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}, f \in \mathbb{C}^X, K \subseteq X \text{ compact.}$$

Proposition 2.17. Let *X* be an LCH space.

- If $E \subseteq X$, then E is closed if and only if $E \cap K$ is closed for every compact $K \subseteq X$.
- C(X) is a closed subspace of \mathbb{C}^X in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.
- If $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is an open cover of a compact subset K of X, then there is a partition of unity on K subordinate to $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^n$ soncisting of compactly supported functions.

Theorem 2.18 (Urysohn Metrization Theorem). Every second countable normal space is metrizable.

2.5 Three compactness theorems

Recall that if $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha}$, an element $x \in X$ is just a mapping from A to $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha}$, with $x(\alpha)$ the α th coordinate of x.

Theorem 2.19. If $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$ is a family of compact topological spaces, then $X=\prod_{{\alpha}\in A}X_{\alpha}$ is compact with the produc topology.

Let X be a topological space and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C(X)$ a family of complex-valued continuous functions on X. We say \mathcal{F} is *equicontinuous at* $x \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighbourhood U of x such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ for all $y \in U$ and all $f \in \mathcal{F}$; and *equicontinuous* if it is equicontinuous at every $x \in X$. Also, \mathcal{F} is *pointwise bounded* if $\{|f(x)|: f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is bounded for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 2.20 (Arzelá-Ascoli I). Let X be a compact Housdorff space. If $\mathcal F$ is an equicontinuous, pointwise bounded subset of C(X), then $\mathcal F$ is totally bounded in the uniform metric, and the closure of $\mathcal F$ in C(X) is compact.

Theorem 2.21 (Arzelá-Ascoli II). Let X be a locally compact Housdorff space. If $\{f_n\}$ is an equicontinuous, pointwise bounded sequence in C(X), then there exists $f \in C(X)$ and a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ that converges to f uniformly on compact sets.

2.6 The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

Recall that the Weierstrass theorem states that any continuous function on a compact interval [a,b] is the uniform limit of polynomials on [a,b]. Throughout this subsection, X will denote a compact Hausdorff space, and C(X) is equipped with the uniform metric.

A subset \mathcal{A} of $C(X,\mathbb{R})$ of C(X) is said to *separate points* if for every $x,y\in X$ with $x\neq y$ there exists $f\in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(x)\neq f(y)$. \mathcal{A} is called an *algebra* if it is a real (resp. complex) vector subspace of $C(X,\mathbb{R})$ (resp. C(X)) such that $fg\in \mathcal{A}$ whenever $f,g\in \mathcal{A}$. \mathcal{A} is called a *lattice* if $\max(f,g)$ and $\min(f,g)$ are in \mathcal{A} whenever $f,g\in \mathcal{A}$. If \mathcal{A} is an algebra or a lattice, so is its closure in the uniform metric.

Theorem 2.22 (Stone-Weierstrass Theorem). Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. If \mathcal{A} is a closed subalgebra of $C(X,\mathbb{R})$ that separates points, then either $A=C(X,\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathcal{A}=\{f\in C(X,\mathbb{R}): f(x_0)=0\}$ for some $x_0\in X$. The first alternative holds if and only if \mathcal{A} contains the constant functions.

Corollary 2.23. Suppose \mathcal{B} is a subalgebra of $C(X,\mathbb{R})$ that separates points. If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{B}$, then \mathcal{B} is dense in $\{f \in C(X,\mathbb{R}) : f(x_0) = 0\}$. Otherwise, \mathcal{B} is dense in $C(X,\mathbb{R})$.

The classical Weierstrass approximation theorem is the special case of this corollary where X is the compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathcal{B} is the algebra of polynomials on \mathbb{R}^n (restricted to X); here \mathcal{B} contains the constant functions, so it is dense in $C(X,\mathbb{R})$.

The Stone-Weirstrass theorem, as stated, is false for complex-valued functions. We may show that $f(z) = \bar{z}$ cannot be approximately uniformly by polynomials on the unit circle.

Theorem 2.24 (Complex Stone-Weirstrass Theorem). Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. If \mathcal{A} is a closed complex subalgebra of C(X) that separates points and is closed under complex conjugation, then either A = C(X) of $\mathcal{A} = \{f \in C(X) : f(x_0) = 0\}$ for some $x_0 \in X$.

Finally, there is a version of the Stone-Weirstrass theorem for noncompact LCH spaces. We state for real functions; the complex analogue is an immediate consequence.

Theorem 2.25 (LCH Stone-Weirstrass Theorem). Let X be a noncompact LCH space. If \mathcal{A} is a closed complex subalgebra of $C_0(X,\mathbb{R})$ that separates points, then either $A=C_0(X,\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathcal{A}=\{f\in C_0(X,\mathbb{R}): f(x_0)=0\}$ for some $x_0\in X$.

3 Inner product spaces

Let *X* be a real or complex vector space.

3.1 Inner products

An *inner product* on *X* is a mapping

$$\langle -, - \rangle : X \times X \to F$$

with the following properties:

- (I₁) if $x, y \in X$ then $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle}$;
- (I₂) if α, β are scalars, $\langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle$;
- (I₃) $\langle x, x \rangle \ge 0$ for all $x \in X$ and equal to zero if and only if x is the zero vector. (Since, by I₁, $\langle x, x \rangle$ must be real.)

Examples.

1. Let X=C[a,b] be complex-valued continuous functions on the closed interval [a,b] with pointwise addition and scalar product. As the inner product of any two vectors f and g in this space take

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$$

2. Let $X = l_2$, the set of all sequences of complex numbers $(a_1, a_2, ...)$ with the property that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i|^2 < \infty$. As the inner product of any two vectors $x = (a_i)$ and $y = (b_i)$ in this space take

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \overline{b}_i$$

which converges by the Hölder inequality.

3. Let Y be the closed interval [a,b], S the Lebesgue measurable sets and μ the Lebesgue measure. Then, for the equivalence clasess of square-integrable functions (complex-valued) on [a,b] we can take as the inner product of two clases f and g,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$$

where the integral is the Lebesgue integral. This space is denoted by $L_2(a, b)$.

Theorem 3.1 (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality). Let X be an inner product space and let $x, y \in X$. Then

$$|\langle x, y \rangle \le ||x|| ||y||$$

with equality holding if and only if x and y are linearly independent.

3.2 Orthogonal projections

Two vectors $x, y \in X$ are *orthogonal* if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$.

Examples.

1. In $L_2(-\pi,\pi)$, the collection (or any subset thereof)

$$x_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{int}, \qquad n = 0, \pm 1, \dots$$

is an orthonormal set of vectors.

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x_n \overline{x}_n dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{int} \overline{e^{int}} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} ||e^{int}||^2 dt = 1,$$

and if m is another integer,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x_n \overline{x}_m dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{int} \overline{e^{imt}} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{(n-m)it} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{e^{(n-m)it}}{(n-m)i} \right]_{-\pi}^{\pi} = 0.$$

2. If we restric out attention to only real-valued functions that are square-integrable on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$, then the collection (or any subset thereof)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\cos t, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\cos 2t, \dots$$
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\sin t, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\sin 2t, \dots$$

is an orthonormal set.

Theorem 3.2. If *S* is an orthonoromal subset of an inner product space, then it is linearly independent (where linear independence is defined as finite sums).

Theorem 3.3 (Gram-Schmidt process). Let X be an inner product space. If $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots\}$ is a linearly independent set of vectors, then there exists an orthonormal set of vectors $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$ such that, for any n,

$$\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$$

where the brackets indicate the subspace spanned by the vectores enclosed.

If S is any subset of X, the *orthogonal complement of* S *in* X is the linear space $S^{\perp} := \{x \in X : x \perp s \text{ for all } s \in S\}.$

Theorem 3.4. If M is a finite-dimensional subspace of X, then $X = M \oplus M^{\perp}$.

3.3 Riesz representation theorem

Theorem 3.5 (Riesz). If X is a finite-dimensional inner product space and f is a linear functional on X, then there exists a unique vector $y \in X$ such that $f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle forall x \in X$.

Proof. Given an orthonormal basis e_i of X, consider $y = \sum_i \overline{f(e_i)} e_i$.

In Riemannian geometry this is called *raising an index* of a 1-form. Indeed, $\omega_p \in \Lambda^1(T_pM)$ is just a linear functional on T_pM , and $(\omega)^\sharp = g^{ij}\omega_jE_i$ at p is just a vector y such that $\omega_p(x) = \langle x,y \rangle$ for all $x \in T_pM$. So the former theorem may also be stated as " $y = f^\sharp$ exists". Recall this is given by viewing the inner product as a nonsingular matrix.

3.4 Adjoint operator

Let $A: X \to X$ be a linear transformation in a finite-dimensional inner product space X. For a given $y \in X$, define the linear functional

$$f^y: X \to F$$

 $x \mapsto \langle Ax, y \rangle$

which, by the Riesz representation theorem yields a unique $z \in X$ such that

$$f^y(x) = \langle x, z \rangle$$

Then the *adjoint of A* is the linear map

$$A^*: X \to X$$
$$y \mapsto z$$

so that $\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, A^*y \rangle$.

Proposition 3.6 (Properties of the adjoint).

- 1. $(\alpha A)^* = \overline{\alpha} A^*$.
- 2. $(A+B)^* = A^* + B^*$.
- 3. $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$.
- 4. $(A^*)^* = A$.

If $A = A^*$ we say A is *self-adjoint*, and if $AA^* = A^*A$ we say A is *normal*.

Theorem 3.7. If *A* is self-adjoint, its eigenvalues are real. Eigenvectors associated to distinct eigenvalues of a self-adjoint operator are orthogonal.

Theorem 3.8. If M is an invariant subspace of X under A, then M^{\perp} is invariant under A^* .

Theorem 3.9. If A is a linear transformation on a finite-dimensional inner product space X, then $\operatorname{range}(A)^{\perp} = \operatorname{null}(A^*)$.

3.5 Spectral theorem for normal transofmrations

Theorem 3.10. Let A be a self-adjoint transformation in a finite-dimensional inner product space X. Then there exists an orthonormal basis of X consistinf of eigenvectors of A.

Lemma 3.11. Let A be a normal transformation in a finite-dimensional inner product space X. Then $||Ax|| = ||A^*x||$ for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.12. Let A be a normal transformation in a complex finite-dimensional inner product space X. Then there exists an orthonormal basis of X consistinf of eigenvectors of A.

Theorem 3.13. If *A* is a normal transformation on a finite-dimensional inner product space. Eigenvectors associated to distinct eigenvalues of a self-adjoint operator are orthogonal.

Recall that the notation $X=M_1\oplus\ldots\oplus M_k$ means that X is the *direct sum* of the M_i , which means that $X=M_1+\ldots+M_k$ and $M_i\cap\{M_1+\ldots\hat{M}_i+\ldots+M_k\}=\{0\}$, (every element in X is expressed as a unique sum of elements in M_i). If $M_i\perp M_j$ for all $i\neq j$, we say this is an *orthogonal direct sum decomposition of* X, and the *orthogonal projection to* M_j is just taking the corresponding component of a given element in its decomposition.

Theorem 3.14 (Spectral decomposition theorem for normal transformations). To every normal transformation A on a complex finite-dimensional inner product space there correspond scalar $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$, the distinct eigenvalues of A, and orthogonal projections E_1, \ldots, E_k with $k \leq \dim X$, such that

- 1. E_i is the orthogonal projection on $Null(A \lambda_i)$ for i = 1, ..., k.
- 2. $E_i \neq 0$ and $E_i E_j = 0$ for i, j = 1, ..., k.
- 3. $\sum_{i=1}^{k} E_i = 1$.
- 4. $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j E_j = A.$

If *A* was self-adjoint, we could weaken the hypotheses to a real inner product space.

3.6 Unitary and orthogonal transformations

Let X be a finite-dimensional inner product space, and $U: X \to X$ a linear transformation with $U^*U = 1$. We say U is **unitary** if X is complex and **orthogonal** if X is real. The condition $U^*U = 1$ implies that $UU^* = 1$.

Theorem 3.15. Let X be a finite-dimensional inner product space, and $U: X \to X$ a linear transformation. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1. $U^*U = 1$.
- 2. $\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$.

3. ||Ux|| = ||x|| for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.16. If U is a unitary transformation on the finite-dimensional inner product space X, then each of the eigenvalues of U must have an absolute value equal to 1.

To summarize:

Theorem 3.17. Let *A* be a normal transformation on a complex finite-dimensional inner product space. Then

- 1. A is self-adjoint is and only if each eigenvalue of A is real.
- 2. A is unitary if and only if each eigenvalue of A has absolute value equal to 1.

4 Normed spaces

- 1. Let *X* be a real or complex vector space. A *norm* on *X* is a function $\|\cdot\|: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that
 - (a) $||x|| \ge 0$ and ||x|| = 0 if and only if x = 0.
 - (b) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - (c) (Triangle inequality.) $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ for all $x, y \in X$.

5 Exercises

Exercise 5.1. Let $A:(C[-1,1],\|\cdot\|_{\infty})\to\mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$Ax = x(0)$$

Show A is linear, bounded and find its norm.

Solution.

- 1. $A(x + \lambda y) = (x + \lambda y)(0) = x(0) + \lambda y(0) = Ax + \lambda Ay$.
- 2. $|Ax| = |x(0)| \le ||x||_{\infty}$, so 1 is a bound.
- 3. The bound is attained with $||1||_{\infty} = 1$, so ||A|| cannot be lower than 1.

Exercise 5.2. Let $A:(C[0,1],\|\cdot\|_{\infty})\to\mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$Ax = \int_{a}^{b} x(t)\varphi(t)dt$$

where $\varphi \in C[a,b]$ is a fixed function. Show that $\|A\| = \int_a^b |\varphi(t)| dt = \|\varphi(t)\|_1$.

Solution. See here. First we show *A* is bounded:

$$|Ax| = \left| \int_a^b x(t)\varphi(t)dt \right|$$

$$\leq \int_a^b |x(t)||\varphi(t)|dt$$

$$\leq ||x||_{\infty} \int_a^b |\varphi(t)|dt$$

$$= ||x||_{\infty} ||\varphi(t)||_1.$$

Which proves that $||A|| \leq ||\varphi||_1$. To show the reverse inequality, define the sequence

$$x_n(t) := \frac{\varphi(t)}{|\varphi(t)| + \frac{1}{n}}$$

so that

$$||x_n||_{\infty} = \frac{||\varphi||_{\infty}}{||\varphi||_{\infty} + \frac{1}{n}} \longrightarrow 1$$

And also

$$Ax_n = \int_a^b \frac{\varphi(t)^2}{|\varphi(t)| + \frac{1}{n}} dt \longrightarrow \int_a^b |\varphi(t)| dt$$

since

And since $Ax_n = |Ax_n| \le ||x_n||_{\infty} ||A||$, we have

$$||A|| \ge \frac{Ax_n}{||x_n||_{\infty}} \longrightarrow \frac{\int_a^b |\varphi(t)| dt}{1} = ||\varphi||_1,$$

so $||A|| \ge ||\varphi||_1$ and we are finished.

Exercise 5.3. Sejam $(C([0,1]), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ um espaço vetorial normado com $\|f\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)|$ e $T: (C([0,1]) \to (C([0,1]))$ dado por

$$(Tf)(x) = x \int_0^x f(y)dy$$

- 1. Mostre que T é linear, limitado e calcule ||T||.
- 2. Mostre que $T^{-1}:\mathcal{R}(T)\to C([0,1])$ existe mais não é limitado.

Solução.

1. T é linear, pois $T(f+\lambda g)=x\int_0^1(f(y)+\lambda g(y))dy=x\int_0^1f(y)dy+\lambda x\int_0^1g(y)dy$. T é limitado, pois

$$Tf(x) = x \int_0^1 f(y) dy \le x \int_0^x ||f||_{\infty} dy = x ((x - 0)||f||_{\infty}) = ||f||_{\infty} x^2$$

$$\implies ||Tf||_{\infty} \le ||f||_{\infty} ||x^2||_{\infty} = ||f||_{\infty}$$

Assim que 1 é uma cota. Para ver que de fato ||T||=1, basta considerar a função constante $f\equiv 1$, caso em que a cota é alcançada: $||Tf||_{\infty}=||x^2||_{\infty}=1=||f||_{\infty}$.

2.