

FinalExam - ASTR404

Created with Wolfram Mathematica 11.0.1 on December 14, 2016

Daniel George - dgeorge5@illinois.edu

Q1

a)

```
In[276]:= 5. / 100 × 4 × 10-9 10 M⊙ per year
```

```
Out[276]:= 2. × 10-9 M⊙/yr
```

b)

The cool way:

```
In[497]:= FormulaData["LorentzFactor", {"γ" -> 10.}]
```

```
Out[497]:= v == -2.9829 × 108 m/s || v == 2.9829 × 108 m/s
```

The boring way

```
In[540]:= sv = NSolve[10 == 1 / Sqrt[1 - v2 / c2], v][[1, 1]]
```

```
Out[540]:= v → 2.9829 × 108 m/s
```

Fraction of light speed

```
In[541]:= v / c /. sv
```

```
Out[541]:= 0.994987
```

c)

Assuming a photon at 90°,

```
In[369]:= Quiet@Solve[Tan[θ] == c / (γ v) /. γ → 10 /. sv, θ][[1, 1, 2]] / Degree ""
```

```
Out[369]:= 5.73917 °
```

d)

Combining Wien's law and gravitational redshift formula

$$\text{In[303]}:= \frac{\lambda_{\infty}}{\lambda} == \frac{T}{T_{\infty}} == \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 R}}} /. R \rightarrow \frac{6GM}{c^2}$$

$$\text{Out[303]}= \frac{\lambda_{\infty}}{\lambda} == \frac{T}{T_{\infty}} == \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$$

Solving for T_{∞} at given T

$$\text{In[307]}:= T_{\infty} \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \ 3. \times 10^7 \text{ K}$$

$$\text{Out[307]}= T_{\infty} \rightarrow 2.44949 \times 10^7 \text{ K}$$

e)

Total KE

$$\text{In[290]}:= \text{sKE} = \text{KE} \rightarrow \text{electronKE} + \text{protonKE} /. \{ \text{electronKE} \rightarrow (\gamma - 1) m_e c^2, \text{protonKE} \rightarrow (\gamma - 1) m_p c^2 \}$$

$$\text{Out[290]}= \text{KE} \rightarrow c^2 m_e (-1 + \gamma) + c^2 m_p (-1 + \gamma)$$

Fraction of electron's KE

$$\text{In[293]}:= \text{electronKE} / \text{KE} /. \text{electronKE} \rightarrow (\gamma - 1) m_e c^2 /. \text{sKE} // \text{Simplify}$$

$$\text{Out[293]}= \frac{m_e}{m_e + m_p}$$

Q2

a)

$$\text{In[330]}:= \text{sR} = \text{Last@Solve} \left[0.68 M_{\odot} / 1 M_{\odot} \left(R / \boxed{\text{sun radius}} \right)^3 == 1.98 \times 10^{-6}, R \right]$$

$$\text{Out[330]}= \{ R \rightarrow 9.93438 \times 10^6 \text{ m} \}$$

b)

```
In[332]:= sL = First@Solve[ 6220 K == 4. × 107 K ( L / 1 LN⊙ / ( 0.68 M⊙ / 1 M⊙ ) )(2/7), L]
```

```
Out[332]= { L → 1.22688 × 1013 W }
```

c)

Finding cooling age using Mestel model:

```
In[444]:= st = t → QuantityMagnitude@UnitConvert[ 8.6 × 1010 0.68 M⊙(5/7) L(-5/7) /. sL ] s
```

```
Out[444]= t → 1.28089 × 1023 s
```

Time in years:

```
In[445]:= UnitConvert[t /. st, "Years"]
```

```
Out[445]= 4.06168 × 1015 yr
```

The Mestel model assumes that the gas goes from fully degenerate, isothermal, conductive interior to fully nondegenerate, ideal gas at some radius. In reality this transition is more gradual, therefore our cooling age estimate is wrong.

Q3

a)

```
In[362]:= UnitConvert[Solve[ 3 × 1039 J == .007 m c2, m ] [[1, 1, 2]], "SolarMass"]
```

```
Out[362]= 2.39812 × 10-6 M⊙
```

b)

Radius estimate

```
In[363]:= sR = R -> UnitConvert[
  Solve[ 1.37 M⊙ / 1 M⊙ ( R / sun radius )3 == 1.98 × 10-6, R ] [[-1, 1, 2]], "SolarRadius"]
```

```
Out[363]= R → 0.0113094 R⊙
```

Correcting for relativistic effects

In[364]:= $\mathbf{sR} = \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{sR}[[2]] / 4$

Out[364]= $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow 0.00282735 R_{\odot}$

c)

Net force on atmosphere

In[365]:= $\mathbf{sF} = \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \text{ Mwd Matm} / \mathbf{R}^2$

Out[365]= $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Matm Mwd} \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathbf{G} \right)}{\mathbf{R}^2}$

Pressure at base

In[366]:= $\mathbf{sP} = \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} / \mathbf{A} / . \mathbf{sF} / . \mathbf{A} \rightarrow 4 \pi \mathbf{R}^2$

Out[366]= $\mathbf{P} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Matm Mwd} \left(\frac{1}{4 \pi} \mathbf{G} \right)}{\mathbf{R}^4}$

Mass of atmosphere

In[446]:= $\mathbf{sM} = \mathbf{Matm} \rightarrow$

$\text{UnitConvert}[\text{Solve}[10^{19} \text{ N/m}^2 == \mathbf{P} / . \mathbf{sP} / . \mathbf{sR} / . \text{Mwd} \rightarrow 1.37 M_{\odot}, \text{Matm}][[1, 1, 2]], \text{"SolarMass"}]$

Out[446]= $\text{Matm} \rightarrow 5.19736 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot}$

d)

Taking ratio of accreted mass to time between outbursts:

In[452]:= $\mathbf{sMd} = \mathbf{MwdDot} \rightarrow \mathbf{Matm} / 10 \text{ yr} / . \mathbf{sM}$

Out[452]= $\mathbf{MwdDot} \rightarrow 5.19736 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} / \text{yr}$

Using formula from HW 12:

In[455]:= $\text{Solve}[\text{Pdot} / \mathbf{P} == 3 \text{ MwdDot} (\text{Mwd} - \mathbf{Ms}) / (\text{Mwd} \mathbf{Ms}) / . \mathbf{sMd} / .$
 $\{\text{Mwd} \rightarrow 1.37 M_{\odot}, \mathbf{Ms} \rightarrow 1.5 M_{\odot}, \mathbf{P} \rightarrow 1.2306 \text{ days}\}, \text{Pdot}]$

Out[455]= $\{\{\text{Pdot} \rightarrow -3.32552 \times 10^{-10}\}\}$

The period is decreasing, therefore the orbit is contracting (from Kepler's 3rd law).

Q4

a)

```
In[456]:= sv = First@NSolve[ 4 × 1010 m / v - 4 × 1010 m / c == 3 h , v]
```

```
Out[456]:= { v → 3.65851 × 106 m/s }
```

b)

Using virial theorem and equating initial energy of envelope to final energy

```
In[467]:= UnitConvert[
  Solve[1/100 5. × 1046 J + M PE / 2 == 1/2 M v^2 /. PE → -1013 J/kg /. sv, M] [[1, 1, 2]], "SolarMass"]
```

```
Out[467]:= 21.5059 M⊙
```

c)

```
In[474]:= UnitConvert[NSolve[{2 R Sin[42 °] / c == 360 days , 1.66" == 2 R / d}, {d, R}] [[1, 2, 2]], "Kpc"]
```

```
Out[474]:= 56.1168 kpc
```

Q5

a)

Someday all of science will be inside FormulaData:

```
In[477]:= FormulaData["EscapeVelocity", {"m" -> 19.2 M⊙ , "r" -> 16.2 R⊙ }]
```

```
Out[477]:= v == 672 529. m/s
```

Actually doing it:

```
In[480]:= sv = v -> 
$$\sqrt{\frac{(2 G) 19.2 M_{\odot}}{16.2 R_{\odot}}}$$
 // UnitConvert
```

```
Out[480]:= v → 672 529. m/s
```

b)

Distance at which wind velocity is escape velocity

$$\text{In[482]:= } \mathbf{sd} = \text{Solve}\left[\mathbf{v} == \sqrt{\frac{(2 G) 14.8 M_{\odot}}{d}} \text{ /. } \mathbf{sv}, \mathbf{d}\right][[1, 1]]$$

$$\text{Out[482]= } \mathbf{d} \rightarrow 8.68506 \times 10^9 \text{ m}$$

Orbital separation

Using Kepler's 3rd law:

$$\text{In[494]:= } \mathbf{sa} = \text{Solve}\left[5.599829 \text{ days}^2 == 4 \pi^2 / \left(G \left(14.8 M_{\odot} + 19.2 M_{\odot}\right)\right) a^3, \mathbf{a}\right][[-1, 1]]$$

$$\text{Out[494]= } \mathbf{a} \rightarrow 2.99088 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}$$

Roche lobe

Using Eggleton approximation:

$$\text{In[493]:= } \mathbf{srL} = \text{Solve}\left[\mathbf{rL} / \mathbf{a} == .49 q^{2/3} / (.6 q^{2/3} + \text{Log}[1 + q^{1/3}]) \text{ /. } \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 14.8 M_{\odot} / 19.2 M_{\odot} \text{ /. } \mathbf{sa}, \mathbf{rL}\right][[1, 1]]$$

$$\text{Out[493]= } \mathbf{rL} \rightarrow 1.06661 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}$$

Ratio

$$\text{In[495]:= } \mathbf{d} / \mathbf{rL} \text{ /. } \mathbf{sd} \text{ /. } \mathbf{srL}$$

$$\text{Out[495]= } 0.814266$$

c)

Angle θ subtended to tangent of sphere with radius d centered at the black-hole:

$$\text{In[509]:= } \mathbf{se} = \text{Quiet@Solve}\left[\text{Sin}[\theta] == d / a \text{ /. } \mathbf{sd} \text{ /. } \mathbf{sa}, \theta\right][[1, 1]]$$

$$\text{Out[509]= } \theta \rightarrow 0.294628$$

Solid angle for falling into sphere:

$$\text{In[511]:= } \Omega = 2 \pi (1 - \text{Cos}[\theta]) \text{ /. } \mathbf{se}$$

$$\text{Out[511]= } 0.270742$$

Accretion rate of black-hole is probability of falling into sphere times wind rate

```
In[526]:= accRate =  $\Omega / (4 \pi) \frac{2.5}{10^6} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ 
```

```
Out[526]=  $5.38623 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ 
```

d)

Why even bother...

```
In[519]:= FormulaData[{"BlackHoleEventHorizonRadius", "Standard"}, {"M" -> 14.8 M⊙}]
```

```
Out[519]= r == 43 707.2 m
```

Fine I'll do it.

```
In[520]:= rSch = UnitConvert[ $\left( \frac{2 G}{c^2} \right) 14.8 M_{\odot}$ ]
```

```
Out[520]= 43 707.2 m
```

This is much smaller than the wind capture radius d.

Angle subtended at Schwarzschild radius:

```
In[521]:= sθ2 = Quiet@Solve[Sin[θ] == rSch / a /. sd /. sa, θ][[1, 1]]
```

```
Out[521]= θ →  $1.46135 \times 10^{-6}$ 
```

Accretion rate at Schwarzschild radius:

```
In[523]:=  $\frac{2.5}{10^6} M_{\odot}/\text{yr} \cdot 2 \pi (1 - \cos[\theta]) / (4 \pi) /. s\theta2$ 
```

```
Out[523]=  $1.33477 \times 10^{-18} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ 
```

e)

```
In[531]:= L → G M Mdot / R /. {R → 3 rSch, Mdot → accRate, M -> 14.8 M⊙} // UnitConvert
```


```
Out[531]= L →  $5.08721 \times 10^{31} \text{ kg m}^2/\text{s}^3$ 
```

```
In[535]:= LEdd → 4 π G 14.8 M⊙ c / κ /. κ → .2 (1 + .5) cm2/g // UnitConvert
```

```
Out[535]= LEdd →  $2.46646 \times 10^{32} \text{ kg m}^2/\text{s}^3$ 
```

The Eddington luminosity is about 4 times larger.

Yeah, it's a feasible explanation since the value we derived is close to the actual value shown below and still less than Eddington luminosity.

```
In[537]:=  Cygnus X-1 luminosity
```

```
Out[537]=  $1.3 \times 10^{32} \text{ W}$ 
```

Whoops. Ran out of time! Don't want to lose 40% again.