

CORRECTION

Correction: Survival of viral pathogens in animal feed ingredients under transboundary shipping models

Scott A. Dee, Fernando V. Bauermann, Megan C. Niederwerder, Aaron Singrey, Travis Clement, Marcelo de Lima, Craig Long, Gilbert Patterson, Maureen A. Sheahan, Ana M. M. Stoian, Vlad Petrovan, Cassandra K. Jones, Jon De Jong, Ju Ji, Gordon D. Spronk, Luke Minion, Jane Christopher-Hennings, Jeff J. Zimmerman, Raymond R. R. Rowland, Eric Nelson, Paul Sundberg, Diego G. Diel

Due to new calculations, there are revisions to the Results section under the sub-heading "Half-life estimates." Please see the corrected text here:

To determine the rate of decay of virus in feed, half-life estimates were calculated for five pathogens: SVA, PSV, FCV, BHV-1 and ASFV using end point titers determined on Batch 4 samples (Table 4). Overall, half-life appeared to be influenced by virus and ingredient type, with FCV and SVA displaying extended half-lives in samples of conventional soybean meal, 44.4 days and 22.3 days, respectively. SVA appeared to be the most stable virus in feed, with half-lives ranging from 3.9 to 22.3 days across the 10 ingredients in which it survived. Remarkably, FCV presented the longest half-life of all viruses in conventional soybean meal (44.6 days), but its half-life was much shorter (4.3 to 7.9 days) in the other three ingredients that contained viable virus. In contrast, PSV (5.1 to 8.6 days), ASFV (4.1 to 5.1 days) and BHV-1 (4.4 days) displayed shorter, but relatively consistent half-lives across the ingredients in which they survived. Interestingly, the half-life of the ASFV stock virus (4.7 days), was similar to that of virus in the presence of feed matrices.

As a result of the new calculations, there are updates to <u>Table 4</u>. Please see the corrected <u>Table 4</u> here.



GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Dee SA, Bauermann FV, Niederwerder MC, Singrey A, Clement T, de Lima M, et al. (2019) Correction: Survival of viral pathogens in animal feed ingredients under transboundary shipping models. PLoS ONE 14(3): e0214529. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214529

Published: March 21, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Dee et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



Table 4. An overview of viability across all viruses tested in the study, including PEDV [14] highlighting half-life estimates (in days) of viruses presenting measurable endpoint titers across ingredients on Batch 4 samples.

INGREDIENT	SVA	ASFV	PSV	PEDV	FCV	PCV2	PRRSV	BHV-1
SBM-Conventional	22.3*	4.6*	6.2*		44.5*	•	+	4.4*
SBM-Organic	•	4.7*	6.2*		•	•	•	•
Soy oil cake	7.4*	5.0*	7.2*			•	•	4.4*
DDGS	14.8*	•	•			•	+	•
Lysine	5.9*	•	•		6.6*	+	•	•
Choline	•	5.1*	•		•	+	•	•
Vitamin D	3.9*	•	7.7*		•	+	•	•
Moist cat food	14.9*	4.6*	6.7*		•	•	•	•
Moist dog food	8.9*	4.2*	8.6*		•	•	•	•
Dry dog food	6.4*	4.1*	6.6*			•	•	•
Pork sausage casings	12.7*	4.4*	5.1*		7.9*	•	•	•
Complete feed (+ control)	8.9*	4.3*	6.2*		4.3*	+	•	
Complete feed (- control)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Stock virus control	•	4.7*	•			•	•	•

Note: All ingredients tested for IAV-S, BVDV, CDV, VSV were negative by both VI and bioassay.

Light grey shading = While viable PEDV was recovered from these samples, viral titers were expressed in units of FFU, not TCID50 Dark grey shading = Feed ingredients not included in this study

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214529.t001

Reference

 Dee SA, Bauermann FV, Niederwerder MC, Singrey A, Clement T, de Lima M, et al. (2018) Survival of viral pathogens in animal feed ingredients under transboundary shipping models. PLoS ONE 13(3): e0194509. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194509 PMID: 29558524

^{* =} Endpoint titer T ½estimate (in days) expressed in units of TCID50

^{• =} Negative by both VI and bioassay

^{+ =} Negative by VI and positive by bioassay