HoTT Chapter 2 Exercises

October 13, 2014

```
{-# OPTIONS --without-K #-}
module Ch2 where
open import Base
open import Ch1
```

1

Lemma (2.1.2). For every type A and every x, y, z : A there is a function $(x = y) \to (y = z) \to (x = z)$ written $p \to q \to p \cdot q$, such that $\operatorname{refl}_x \cdot \operatorname{refl}_x \equiv \operatorname{refl}_x$ for any x : A.

We call $p \cdot q$ the concatenation or composite of p and q.

Exercise 2.1 Show that the three obvious proofs of Lemma 2.1.2 are pairwise equal.

Proof. (this justifies denoting "the" concatenation function as •)

First, we need a type to inhabit. The type of any concatenation operator is

$$\prod_{x,y,z:A} \prod_{p:x=y} \prod_{q:y=z} (x=z)$$

Thus far, the only tool we have to inhabit such a type is path induction. So, we first write down a family

$$D_1(x,y,p): \prod_{z:A} (y=z) \to (x=z)$$

That is, given x, y : A and a path from x to y, we want a function that takes paths from y to z to paths from x to z.

Path induction dictates that we now need a

$$d_1: \prod_{x:A} D(x,x,\mathsf{refl}_x)$$

hence

$$d_1(x): \prod_{z:A} (x=z) \to (x=z)$$

So, given a path from x to z, we want a path from x to z. We'll take the easy way out on this one!

For another construction, we do path induction in "the other direction". That is, we will define

$$D_2: \prod_{y,z:A} \prod_{q:y=z} (x=y) \to (x=z)$$

In other words, given y and z and a path from y to z, we want a function that takes paths from x to y to paths from x to z.

Just like the previous proof, we need a

$$d_2(y): (y=z) \to (y=z)$$

This is a bit trickier in Agda, because we really want to define a curried function

$$(\bullet_2 q) p = p \bullet q$$

However, we also want the type to be exactly the same as the types of the other constructions. Hence, we will use a twist map.

Note that these two constructions use path induction to reduce one side or the other to the "identity" path (in the first case refl_x and in the second case refl_y). We can also do double induction to reduce both p and q to the refl_x and refl_y .

We begin with the same type family as the first proof:

$$D_1: \prod_{x,y:A} \prod_{p:x=y} (y=z) \to (x=z)$$

but we now wish to find a different inhabitant

$$d'_1(x): (x=z) \to (x=z)$$

We will use path induction to construct d'_1 . We introduce a family:

$$E: \prod_{x,z:A} \prod_{q:x=z} (x=z)$$

we now need

$$e(x):(x=x)$$

which is gotten quite easily:

$$e(x) = refl_x$$

We now want to show that these constructions are pairwise equal. By this, we mean "propositional equality" - hence we must find paths between each pair of constructions.

In each case, we perform a double induction on paths, first reducing p to refl, and then reducing q to refl.

```
\bullet_1 = \bullet_2 : \forall \{i\} \{A : Type i\} \{x y z : A\}
      (p : x == y) (q : y == z) \rightarrow p \cdot_1 q == p \cdot_2 q
_1=_2 {i} {A} {_} {_} {z} = ind== D d where
     D : (x y : A) \rightarrow x == y \rightarrow Type i
     D - y p = (q : y == z) \rightarrow p \cdot_1 q == p \cdot_2 q
     d : (x : A) \rightarrow D x x refl
     d _ = ind== E e where
        E : (y_1 z_1 : A) \rightarrow y_1 == z_1 \rightarrow Type i
        E \_ q = refl \cdot_1 q == refl \cdot_2 q
        e : (x_1 : A) 
ightarrow E x_1 x_1 refl
        e_r = refl
\bullet_2 = \bullet_3 : \forall \{i\} \{A : Type i\} \{x y z : A\}
      (p : x == y) (q : y == z) \rightarrow p \cdot_2 q == p \cdot_3 q
_2=_3 {i} {A} {x} {y} {z} = ind== D d where
     D : (x y : A) \rightarrow x == y \rightarrow Type i
     D - y p = (q : y == z) \rightarrow p \cdot_2 q == p \cdot_3 q
     d : (x : A) \rightarrow D x x refl
     d x = ind == E e where
        E : (y_1 z_1 : A) 
ightarrow y_1 == z_1 
ightarrow Type i
        E \_ q = refl \cdot q = refl \cdot q
        e : (x_1 : A) \rightarrow E x_1 x_1 refl
        e _ = refl -- : concat2' refl refl == concat3' refl refl
ullet_1=ullet_3: orall {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} (p : x == y) (q : y == z) 
ightarrow p ullet_1 q == p ullet_3 q
_1=_3 {i} {A} {_} {_} {z} = ind== D d where
     D : (x y : A) \rightarrow x == y \rightarrow Type i
     D x y p = (q : y == z) \rightarrow p \cdot_1 q == p \cdot_3 q
     \texttt{d} \; : \; (\texttt{x} \; : \; \texttt{A}) \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{D} \; \; \texttt{x} \; \; \texttt{refl}
     d _ = ind== E e where
        E : (y z : A) \rightarrow (q : y == z) \rightarrow Type i
        E _ q = refl _1 q == refl _3 q
        e : (y : A) \rightarrow E y y refl
        e _ = refl -- : concat1' refl refl == concat3' refl refl
```

4

Lemma (2.2.1). The three equalities of proofs constructed in the previous exercise form a commutative triangle. In other words, if the three definitions of concatenation are denoted by $(p \cdot 1q)$, $(p \cdot 2q)$, and $(p \cdot 3q)$, then the concatenated equality

$$(p \cdot {}_1q) = (p \cdot {}_2q) = (p \cdot {}_3q)$$

is equal to the equality

$$(p \cdot {}_1q) = (p \cdot {}_3q)$$

Proof. Despite the fact that we're working with the somewhat myserious type of "equalities of equalities", this remains a statement about the propositional equality of two paths. The only tool we have for establishing such an equality is path induction.

First, we fix the definition of concatenation:

• = _•₁_

We must now show that, for all paths p, q, the proof that $p \cdot _1 q$ is equal to $p \cdot _2 q$ followed by the proof that $p \cdot _2 q$ is equal to $p \cdot _3 q$ is equal to the proof that $p \cdot _1 q$ is equal to $p \cdot _3 q$.

This is exactly expressed in the following type signature:

Since the theorem is quantified over two paths, we shall do double path induction. So, it really just boils down to the theorem being true when both p and q are the identity.

```
concat-commutative-triangle : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} (p : x == y) (q : y == z) \rightarrow (•1=•2 p q) • (•2=•3 p q) == •1=•3 p q concat-commutative-triangle {i} {A} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-} {_}-}
```

At this point, it might be helpful to review the definitions of the different concatenation functions. In particular, refl. refl \equiv refl where \cdot is any of \cdot_1 , \cdot_2 , or \cdot_3 .

3

4

Define, by induction on n, a general notion of n-dimensional path in a type A, simultaneously with the type of boundaries for such paths.

We'll define n-paths recursively in terms of n-1 paths by recursion on \mathbb{N} . There are two cases. Given a type A:

A 0-path is an inhabitant of A.

A *n*-path, for n > 0, is an inhabitant of p = q where p and q are (n-1)-paths. I'm going to take two steps and then settle it once and for all!

```
data \_==2 {i} {A : Type i} {a : A} {b : A} (p : a == b) : (a == b) -> Type i where refl2 : p ==2 p
```

data _==3_ {i} {A : Type i} {a : A} {b : A} {p : a == b} {q : a == b} (α : p == q) : (p == 0 refl3 : α ==3 α

```
npaths : \forall {i} (A : Type i) -> \mathbb{N} -> Type i npaths {i} A 0 = A npaths {i} A (S n) = \Sigma (npaths A n) \lambda q -> \Sigma (npaths A n) (\lambda p \rightarrow p == q)
```

This is not required by the exercise, but let's define the n-dimensional identity by induction on \mathbb{N} . In topology, this would be the constant map from the n-cube to a point.

```
refln : \forall {i} (A : Type i) (a : A) -> (n : \mathbb{N}) -> npaths A n -- TODO: Fix module stuff so I can write "indN" instead of "Ex1-4.indN" refln A a = Ex1-4.indN a E where E = \lambda n \rightarrow \lambda q \rightarrow q , (q , refl)
```

Okay, now we have to define a boundary map on npaths. The boundary of an n-path should be a pair of (n-1)-paths:

```
boundary : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {n : \mathbb{N}} -> (npaths A (S n)) -> (npaths A n) \times (npaths A n) boundary {i} {A} {n} (p , (q , \alpha)) = (p , q)
```