

# HoTT Chapter 2 Exercises

October 13, 2014

```
{-# OPTIONS --without-K #-}
```

```
module Ch2 where
```

```
open import Base
```

```
open import Ch1
```

## 1

**Lemma** (2.1.2). *For every type  $A$  and every  $x, y, z : A$  there is a function  $(x = y) \rightarrow (y = z) \rightarrow (x = z)$  written  $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow p \bullet q$ , such that  $\text{refl}_x \bullet \text{refl}_x \equiv \text{refl}_x$  for any  $x : A$ .*

*We call  $p \bullet q$  the concatenation or composite of  $p$  and  $q$ .*

Exercise 2.1 Show that the three obvious proofs of Lemma 2.1.2 are pairwise equal.

*Proof.* (this justifies denoting “the” concatenation function as  $\bullet$ )

First, we need a type to inhabit. The type of any concatenation operator is

$$\prod_{x,y,z:A} \prod_{p:x=y} \prod_{q:y=z} (x = z)$$

Thus far, the only tool we have to inhabit such a type is path induction. So, we first write down a family

$$D_1(x, y, p) : \prod_{z:A} (y = z) \rightarrow (x = z)$$

That is, given  $x, y : A$  and a path from  $x$  to  $y$ , we want a function that takes paths from  $y$  to  $z$  to paths from  $x$  to  $z$ .

Path induction dictates that we now need a

$$d_1 : \prod_{x:A} D(x, x, \text{refl}_x)$$

hence

$$d_1(x) : \prod_{z:A} (x = z) \rightarrow (x = z)$$

So, given a path from  $x$  to  $z$ , we want a path from  $x$  to  $z$ . We'll take the easy way out on this one!

```

_·1_ : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} → (x == y) → (y == z) → (x == z)
_·1_ {i} {A} {x} {y} {z} = ind== D d where
  D : (x y : A) → (p : x == y) → Type i
  D x y _ = y == z → x == z

  d : (x : A) → D x x refl
  d _ = λ q → q

```

For another construction, we do path induction in “the other direction”. That is, we will define

$$D_2 : \prod_{y,z:A} \prod_{q:y=z} (x = y) \rightarrow (x = z)$$

In other words, given  $y$  and  $z$  and a path from  $y$  to  $z$ , we want a function that takes paths from  $x$  to  $y$  to paths from  $x$  to  $z$ .

Just like the previous proof, we need a

$$d_2(y) : (y = z) \rightarrow (y = z)$$

This is a bit trickier in Agda, because we really want to define a curried function

$$(\cdot_2 q) p = p \cdot q$$

However, we also want the type to be exactly the same as the types of the other constructions. Hence, we will use a twist map.

```

_·2_ : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} → (x == y) → (y == z) → (x == z)
_·2_ = twist concat2 where
  concat2 : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} → (y == z) → (x == y) → (x == z)
  concat2 {i} {A} {x} {y} {z} = ind== D d where
    D : (y z : A) → (q : y == z) → Type i
    D y z _ = x == y → x == z

    d : (y : A) → D y y refl
    d _ = λ q → q
  twist : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} → ((y == z) → (x == y) → (x == z))
    → ((x == y) → (y == z) → (x == z))
  twist f = λ p → λ q → f q p

```

Note that these two constructions use path induction to reduce one side or the other to the “identity” path (in the first case  $\text{refl}_x$  and in the second case  $\text{refl}_y$ ). We can also do double induction to reduce both  $p$  and  $q$  to the  $\text{refl}_x$  and  $\text{refl}_y$ .

We begin with the same type family as the first proof:

$$D_1 : \prod_{x,y:A} \prod_{p:x=y} (y = z) \rightarrow (x = z)$$

but we now wish to find a different inhabitant

$$d'_1(x) : (x = z) \rightarrow (x = z)$$

We will use path induction to construct  $d'_1$ . We introduce a family:

$$E : \prod_{x,z:A} \prod_{q:x=z} (x = z)$$

we now need

$$e(x) : (x = x)$$

which is gotten quite easily:

$$e(x) = \text{refl}_x$$

```

_•3_ : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} → x == y → y == z → x == z
_•3_ {i} {A} {_} {_} {z} = ind== D d where
  D : (x y : A) → (p : x == y) → Type i
  D x y _ = y == z → x == z

  d : (x1 : A) → D x1 x1 refl
  d _ = ind== E e where
    E : (x z : A) (q : x == z) → Type i
    E x z _ = x == z

    e : (x : A) → E x x refl
    e _ = refl

```

We now want to show that these constructions are pairwise equal. By this, we mean “propositional equality” - hence we must find paths between each pair of constructions.

In each case, we perform a double induction on paths, first reducing  $p$  to  $\text{refl}$ , and then reducing  $q$  to  $\text{refl}$ .

```

•₁=•₂ : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A}
  (p : x == y) (q : y == z) → p •₁ q == p •₂ q
•₁=•₂ {i} {A} {x} {y} {z} = ind== D d where
  D : (x y : A) → x == y → Type i
  D _ y p = (q : y == z) → p •₁ q == p •₂ q

```

```

d : (x : A) → D x x refl
d _ = ind== E e where
  E : (y₁ z₁ : A) → y₁ == z₁ → Type i
  E _ _ q = refl •₁ q == refl •₂ q

e : (x₁ : A) → E x₁ x₁ refl
e _ = refl

```

```

•₂=•₃ : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A}
  (p : x == y) (q : y == z) → p •₂ q == p •₃ q
•₂=•₃ {i} {A} {x} {y} {z} = ind== D d where
  D : (x y : A) → x == y → Type i
  D _ y p = (q : y == z) → p •₂ q == p •₃ q

```

```

d : (x : A) → D x x refl
d x = ind== E e where
  E : (y₁ z₁ : A) → y₁ == z₁ → Type i
  E _ _ q = refl •₂ q == refl •₃ q

e : (x₁ : A) → E x₁ x₁ refl
e _ = refl -- : concat2' refl refl == concat3' refl refl

```

```

•₁=•₃ : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} (p : x == y) (q : y == z) → p •₁ q == p •₃ q
•₁=•₃ {i} {A} {x} {y} {z} = ind== D d where
  D : (x y : A) → x == y → Type i
  D x y p = (q : y == z) → p •₁ q == p •₃ q

```

```

d : (x : A) → D x x refl
d _ = ind== E e where
  E : (y z : A) → (q : y == z) → Type i
  E _ _ q = refl •₁ q == refl •₃ q

e : (y : A) → E y y refl
e _ = refl -- : concat1' refl refl == concat3' refl refl

```

□

## 2

**Lemma (2.2.1).** *The three equalities of proofs constructed in the previous exercise form a commutative triangle. In other words, if the three definitions of concatenation are denoted by  $(p \bullet_1 q)$ ,  $(p \bullet_2 q)$ , and  $(p \bullet_3 q)$ , then the concatenated equality*

$$(p \bullet_1 q) = (p \bullet_2 q) = (p \bullet_3 q)$$

*is equal to the equality*

$$(p \bullet_1 q) = (p \bullet_3 q)$$

*Proof.* Despite the fact that we're working with the somewhat mysterious type of "equalities of equalities", this remains a statement about the propositional equality of two paths. The only tool we have for establishing such an equality is path induction.

First, we fix the definition of concatenation:

`_•_ = _•1_`

We must now show that, for all paths  $p, q$ , the proof that  $p \bullet_1 q$  is equal to  $p \bullet_2 q$  followed by the proof that  $p \bullet_2 q$  is equal to  $p \bullet_3 q$  is equal to the proof that  $p \bullet_1 q$  is equal to  $p \bullet_3 q$ .

This is exactly expressed in the following type signature:

Since the theorem is quantified over two paths, we shall do double path induction. So, it really just boils down to the theorem being true when both  $p$  and  $q$  are the identity.

```
concat-commutative-triangle : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} (p : x == y) (q : y == z) →
  (•1=•2 p q) • (•2=•3 p q) == •1=•3 p q
```

```
concat-commutative-triangle {i} {A} {_} {_} {z} = ind== D d where
```

```
  D : (x y : A) → x == y → Type i
```

```
  D _ y p = (q : y == z) →
```

```
    (•1=•2 p q) • (•2=•3 p q) == •1=•3 p q
```

```
  d : (x : A) → D x x refl
```

```
  d _ = ind== E e where
```

```
    E : (y z : A) → (q : y == z) → Type i
```

```
    E _ _ q =
```

```
      (•1=•2 refl q) • (•2=•3 refl q) == •1=•3 refl q
```

```
  e : (y : A) → E y y refl
```

```
  e _ = refl
```

□

At this point, it might be helpful to review the definitions of the different concatenation functions. In particular,  $\text{refl} \cdot \text{refl} \equiv \text{refl}$  where  $\cdot$  is any of  $\cdot_1$ ,  $\cdot_2$ , or  $\cdot_3$ .

### 3

### 4

Define, by induction on  $n$ , a general notion of  $n$ -dimensional path in a type  $A$ , simultaneously with the type of boundaries for such paths.

We'll define  $n$ -paths recursively in terms of  $n - 1$  paths by recursion on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

There are two cases. Given a type  $A$ :

A 0-path is an inhabitant of  $A$ .

A  $n$ -path, for  $n > 0$ , is an inhabitant of  $p = q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are  $(n - 1)$ -paths.

I'm going to take two steps and then settle it once and for all!

```
data _==2_ {i} {A : Type i} {a : A} {b : A} (p : a == b) : (a == b) -> Type i where
  refl2 : p ==2 p
```

```
data _==3_ {i} {A : Type i} {a : A} {b : A} {p : a == b} {q : a == b}
  (α : p == q) : (p == q) -> Type i where
  refl3 : α ==3 α
```

```
npaths : ∀ {i} (A : Type i) -> ℕ -> Type i
npaths {i} A 0 = A
npaths {i} A (S n) = Σ (npaths A n) λ q -> Σ (npaths A n) (λ p → p == q)
```

This is not required by the exercise, but let's define the  $n$ -dimensional identity by induction on  $\mathbb{N}$ . In topology, this would be the constant map from the  $n$ -cube to a point.

```
refln : ∀ {i} (A : Type i) (a : A) -> (n : ℕ) -> npaths A n
-- TODO: Fix module stuff so I can write "indN" instead of "Ex1-4.indN"
refln A a = Ex1-4.indN a E where
  E = λ n → λ q → q , (q , refl)
```

Okay, now we have to define a boundary map on  $n$ paths. The boundary of an  $n$ -path should be a pair of  $(n - 1)$ -paths:

```
boundary : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {n : ℕ} ->
  (npaths A (S n)) -> (npaths A n) × (npaths A n)
boundary {i} {A} {n} (p , (q , α)) = (p , q)
```