# HoTT Chapter 2 Exercises

### November 4, 2014

```
{-# OPTIONS --without-K #-}
module Ch2 where
open import Base
open import Ch1
```

#### 1

**Lemma** (2.1.2). For every type A and every x,y,z:A there is a function  $(x=y) \to (y=z) \to (x=z)$  written  $p \to q \to p \cdot q$ , such that  $\operatorname{refl}_x \cdot \operatorname{refl}_x \equiv \operatorname{refl}_x$  for any x:A.

We call  $p \cdot q$  the concatenation or composite of p and q.

Exercise 2.1 Show that the three obvious proofs of Lemma 2.1.2 are pairwise equal.

*Proof.* (this justifies denoting "the" concatenation function as •)

First, we need a type to inhabit. The type of any concatenation operator is

$$\prod_{x,y,z:A} \prod_{p:x=y} \prod_{q:y=z} (x=z)$$

Thus far, the only tool we have to inhabit such a type is path induction. So, we first write down a family

$$D_1(x,y,p): \prod_{z:A} (y=z) \to (x=z)$$

That is, given x, y : A and a path from x to y, we want a function that takes paths from y to z to paths from x to z.

Path induction dictates that we now need a

$$d_1: \prod_{x:A} D(x,x,\mathsf{refl}_x)$$

hence

$$d_1(x): \prod_{z:A} (x=z) \to (x=z)$$

So, given a path from x to z, we want a path from x to z. We'll take the easy way out on this one!

For another construction, we do path induction in "the other direction". That is, we will define

$$D_2: \prod_{y,z:A} \prod_{q:y=z} (x=y) \to (x=z)$$

In other words, given y and z and a path from y to z, we want a function that takes paths from x to y to paths from x to z.

Just like the previous proof, we need a

$$d_2(y): (y=z) \to (y=z)$$

This is a bit trickier in Agda, because we really want to define a curried function

$$(\bullet_2 q) p = p \bullet q$$

However, we also want the type to be exactly the same as the types of the other constructions. Hence, we will use a twist map.

Note that these two constructions use path induction to reduce one side or the other to the "identity" path (in the first case  $\mathsf{refl}_x$  and in the second case  $\mathsf{refl}_y$ ). We can also do double induction to reduce both p and q to the  $\mathsf{refl}_x$  and  $\mathsf{refl}_y$ .

We begin with the same type family as the first proof:

$$D_1: \prod_{x,y:A} \prod_{p:x=y} (y=z) \to (x=z)$$

but we now wish to find a different inhabitant

$$d'_1(x): (x=z) \to (x=z)$$

We will use path induction to construct  $d'_1$ . We introduce a family:

$$E: \prod_{x,z:A} \prod_{q:x=z} (x=z)$$

we now need

$$e(x):(x=x)$$

which is gotten quite easily:

$$e(x) = refl_x$$

We now want to show that these constructions are pairwise equal. By this, we mean "propositional equality" - hence we must find paths between each pair of constructions.

In each case, we perform a double induction on paths, first reducing p to refl, and then reducing q to refl.

```
\bullet_1 = \bullet_2 : \forall \{i\} \{A : Type i\} \{x y z : A\}
      (p : x == y) (q : y == z) \rightarrow p \cdot_1 q == p \cdot_2 q
_1=_2 {i} {A} {_} {_} {z} = ind== D d where
      D : (x y : A) \rightarrow x == y \rightarrow Type i
      D - y p = (q : y == z) \rightarrow p \cdot_1 q == p \cdot_2 q
      d : (x : A) \rightarrow D x x refl
      d _ = ind== E e where
         E : (y_1 z_1 : A) \rightarrow y_1 == z_1 \rightarrow Type i
         E \_ q = refl \cdot_1 q == refl \cdot_2 q
         e : (x_1 : A) 
ightarrow E x_1 x_1 refl
         e_r = refl
\bullet_2 = \bullet_3 : \forall \{i\} \{A : Type i\} \{x y z : A\}
      (p : x == y) (q : y == z) \rightarrow p \cdot_2 q == p \cdot_3 q
_2=_3 {i} {A} {x} {y} {z} = ind== D d where
      D : (x y : A) \rightarrow x == y \rightarrow Type i
      D - y p = (q : y == z) \rightarrow p \cdot_2 q == p \cdot_3 q
      d : (x : A) \rightarrow D x x refl
      d x = ind == E e where
         E : (y_1 z_1 : A) 
ightarrow y_1 == z_1 
ightarrow Type i
         E \_ q = refl \cdot q = refl \cdot q
         e : (x_1 : A) \rightarrow E x_1 x_1 refl
         e _ = refl -- : concat2' refl refl == concat3' refl refl
ullet_1=ullet_3: orall {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} (p : x == y) (q : y == z) 
ightarrow p ullet_1 q == p ullet_3 q
_1=_3 {i} {A} {_} {_} {z} = ind== D d where
      D : (x y : A) \rightarrow x == y \rightarrow Type i
       \texttt{D} \texttt{ x} \texttt{ y} \texttt{ p} \texttt{ = } (\texttt{q} \texttt{ : } \texttt{y} \texttt{ == } \texttt{z}) \rightarrow \texttt{ p} \overset{\bullet}{}_{1} \texttt{ q} \texttt{ == } \texttt{p} \overset{\bullet}{}_{3} \texttt{ q} 
      \texttt{d} \; : \; (\texttt{x} \; : \; \texttt{A}) \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{D} \; \; \texttt{x} \; \; \texttt{refl}
      d _ = ind== E e where
         E : (y z : A) \rightarrow (q : y == z) \rightarrow Type i
         E _ q = refl _1 q == refl _3 q
         e : (y : A) \rightarrow E y y refl
         e _ = refl -- : concat1' refl refl == concat3' refl refl
```

4

**Lemma** (2.2.1). The three equalities of proofs constructed in the previous exercise form a commutative triangle. In other words, if the three definitions of concatenation are denoted by  $(p \cdot 1q)$ ,  $(p \cdot 2q)$ , and  $(p \cdot 3q)$ , then the concatenated equality

$$(p \cdot {}_1q) = (p \cdot {}_2q) = (p \cdot {}_3q)$$

is equal to the equality

$$(p \cdot {}_1q) = (p \cdot {}_3q)$$

*Proof.* Despite the fact that we're working with the somewhat myserious type of "equalities of equalities", this remains a statement about the propositional equality of two paths. The only tool we have for establishing such an equality is path induction.

First, we fix the definition of concatenation:

\_•\_ = \_•<sub>1</sub>\_

We must now show that, for all paths p, q, the proof that  $p \cdot _1 q$  is equal to  $p \cdot _2 q$  followed by the proof that  $p \cdot _2 q$  is equal to  $p \cdot _3 q$  is equal to  $p \cdot _3 q$ .

This is exactly expressed in the following type signature:

Since the theorem is quantified over two paths, we shall do double path induction. So, it really just boils down to the theorem being true when both p and q are the identity.

At this point, it might be helpful to review the definitions of the different concatenation functions. In particular, refl. refl  $\equiv$  refl where  $\cdot$  is any of  $\cdot_1$ ,  $\cdot_2$ , or  $\cdot_3$ .

3

#### 4

Let's try to do it one stage at a time:

- a 0-path is a point in A.
- a 1-path is a path between 0-paths.

The boundary of a 0-path is somewhat mysterious, so we shall leave it undefined.

We now would like to define a 2-path as a path between 1-paths. However, two arbitrary 1-paths look like this:

$$a \xrightarrow{p} b$$

$$a' \xrightarrow{q} b'$$

That is, p and q are paths between different points. Hence, a path between p and q doesn't make sense. That is, it's not well typed.

However, suppose we have paths x, y as follows:



It would certainly make sense to ask for a path of type  $p \cdot y = x \cdot q$ .

So, it seems that to define 2-paths, we need pairs of 1-paths together with vertical paths like x and y above. So we'll define it as a  $\Sigma$ -type:

$$\sum_{p,q} \sum_{x:src(p)=src(q)} \sum_{y:dst(p)=dst(q)} p \cdot y = x \cdot q$$

We will write down some helper functions and then formalize this:

```
-- Some convenience functions!
src : \forall \{i\} \{A : Type i\} \{a : A\} \{b : A\} \rightarrow a == b \rightarrow A
src {_} {_} {a} {__} p = a
dst : \forall \{i\} \{A : Type i\} \{a : A\} \{b : A\} \rightarrow a == b \rightarrow A
map : \forall {i} {A : Type i} (p : (1-path A)) -> (fst p) == (fst (snd p))
map p = snd (snd p)
2-path : \forall {i} (A : Type i) -> Type i
2-path A = \Sigma (1-path A) \lambda p -> \Sigma (1-path A) \lambda q \rightarrow
  \Sigma ((src (map p)) == (src (map q))) \lambda x \rightarrow \Sigma ((dst (map p)) == (dst (map q))) \lambda y \rightarrow
    x \cdot (map q) == (map p) \cdot y
-- The boundary of a 2 path as a pair of 1 paths
\delta_2 : \forall {i} {A : Type i} -> 2-path A -> (1-path A) \times (1-path A)
\delta_2 {i} {A} (p, (q, (x, (y, \alpha)))) = p, q
   A boundary of a 2-path can be thought of as a loop. We can formalize this:
-- Definition of inverses. This should be put somewhere else.
inverse : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {a : A} {b : A} -> a == b -> b == a
inverse {i} {A} = ind== D d where
  D : (a b : A) (p : a == b) \rightarrow Type i
  D a b _ = b == a
  d:(x:A)\rightarrow D \times x \text{ refl}
  d_r = refl
-- Just to be cute - the boundary of a 2 path as a loop
\delta_2-loop : \forall {i} {A : Type i} -> 2-path A -> 1-path A
\delta_2-loop (p , (q , (x , (y , \alpha)))) =
  (src (map p) , (src (map p) ,
     ((x • (map q)) • (inverse y)) • (inverse (map p))))
```

If one tries to continue in this manner, the  $\Sigma$ -types will become rather large! So it would be nice to appeal to some kind of recursion at this point.

Luckily, it turns out that equality of inhabitants of  $\Sigma$ -types contain all the lower dimensional equalities to make this work!

```
n-path : \forall {i} {A : Type i} -> \mathbb{N} -> Type i n-path {i} {A} 0 = A n-path {i} {A} (S n) = \Sigma (n-path {i} {A} n) \lambda p -> \Sigma (n-path n) \lambda q -> p == q \delta : \forall {i} {A : Type i} -> (n : \mathbb{N}) -> (n-path {i} {A} (S n)) -> (n-path n) \times (n-path n) \delta n p = fst p , fst (snd p)
```

This is not evidently geometric. To make the connection, we need to use some facts about equalities of sigma types.

```
\Sigma == : \forall \{i\} \{A : Type i\} \{P : A \rightarrow Type i\}
  \rightarrow {w : \Sigma A P} \rightarrow {w' : \Sigma A P} \rightarrow w == w'
     \rightarrow (\Sigma ((fst w) == (fst w')) \lambda p
        -> (transport {i} {i} {A} P p (snd w)) == (snd w'))
\Sigma == \{i\} \{A\} \{P\} = ind == D d where
  D : (w : \Sigma \land P) \rightarrow (w' : \Sigma \land P) \rightarrow w == w' \rightarrow Type i
  D w w' \underline{\ } = (\Sigma ((fst w) == (fst w')) \lambda p
                  -> (transport {i} {i} {A} P p (snd w)) == (snd w'))
  d : (w : \Sigma A P) \rightarrow D w w refl
  d_{-} = refl_{-}, refl_{-}
\Sigma==-inv : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {P : A -> Type i}
  \rightarrow {w : \Sigma A P} \rightarrow {w' : \Sigma A P}
     \rightarrow (\Sigma ((fst w) == (fst w')) \lambda p
        -> (transport {i} {i} {A} P p (snd w)) == (snd w'))
           -> w == w'
\Sigma==-inv {i} {A} {P} {w} {w'} \alpha = p-ind (snd w) (snd w') q where
  p = fst \alpha
  q = snd \alpha
  p-ind = ind== D d p where
     D : (w_1 \ w'_1 : A) \rightarrow (p : w_1 == w'_1) \rightarrow Type i
     D w_1 w'_1 p = \Pi (P w_1) \lambda w_2 -> \Pi (P w'_1) \lambda w'_2
                       \rightarrow (q : (transport P p w_2) == w'_2) \rightarrow (w_1 , w_2) == (w'_1 , w'_2)
     d:(x:A) \rightarrow D \times x \text{ refl}
     d \times x_1 \times_2 q = ind == E e q where
        E: (w_2 w_2': (P x)) \rightarrow (q: (transport P refl w_2) == w_2') \rightarrow Type i
        E w_2 w_2' q = (x , w_2) == (x , w_2')
        e:(y:(Px)) \rightarrow Eyyrefl
        e_r = refl
```

**Lemma.** If n > 1, then the boundary of an n-path is a closed (n - 1)-path.

*Proof.* The following code corresponds to this diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
a & \xrightarrow{p} b \\
\downarrow x & \downarrow x \\
\downarrow x & \downarrow p' \\
a' & \xrightarrow{q} b'
\end{array}$$

```
\delta' : \forall {i} {A : Type i} \rightarrow {n : \mathbb{N}}
    -> (n-path {i} {A} (S (S n))) -> (n-path (S n))
\delta' {i} {_} {n} \alpha = a' , ( a' , q • (inverse p') ) where
   -- first, unpack \alpha
   a : n-path n
   a = fst (fst \alpha)
   b : n-path n
   b = fst (snd (fst \alpha))
   p : a == b
   p = snd (snd (fst \alpha))
   a': n-path n
   a' = fst (fst (snd \alpha))
   b': n-path n
   b' = fst (snd (fst (snd \alpha)))
   q : a' == b'
   q = snd (snd (fst (snd <math>\alpha)))
   -- Apply first sigma equality
   P : n-path n \rightarrow Type i
   P p = \Sigma (n-path n) \lambda q -> p == q
   t : \Sigma (a == a') \lambda x \rightarrow (transport P x (b, p)) == (b', q)
   t = \Sigma == (snd (snd \alpha))
   x : a == a'
   x = fst t
   -- Apply second (nested) sigma equality
   P' : n-path n -> Type i
   P' c = a' == c
   y': \Sigma (n-path n) \lambda c \rightarrow a' == c
   y' = transport P x (b , p)
   t' : y' == (b', q)
   t' = snd t
   t'' : \Sigma ((fst y') == b') \lambda y -> (transport P' y (snd y')) == q
   t', = \Sigma== t'
   y : fst y' == b'
   y = fst t'
   \alpha': (transport P' y (snd y')) == q
```

```
\alpha' = \text{snd t''}

p' : a' == b'

p' = src \alpha'
```

5

6

**Lemma.** Let p: x = y in A. Then for all z: A, the function  $p \cdot - : (y = z) \rightarrow (x = z)$  is an equivalence.

*Proof.* An obvious candidate for a quasi-inverse would be a map that concatinates with inverse(p).

We need to use the groupoid laws for  $\bullet$ , as well as whiskering over higher paths.

Here are some groupoid laws:

```
\cdot-inv-l : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {a b : A} -> (p : a == b) -> ((inverse p) \cdot p) == refl
•-inv-l {i} {A} {a} {b} = ind== D d where
  D : (a b : A) \rightarrow (p : a == b) \rightarrow Type i
  D_p = ((inverse p) \cdot p) == refl
  d : (x : A) \rightarrow D \times x \text{ refl}
  d = refl
-inv-r : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {a b : A} -> (p : a == b) -> p • (inverse p) == refl
--inv-r {i} {A} {a} {b} = ind== D d where
  D : (a b : A) \rightarrow (p : a == b) \rightarrow Type i
  D _ p = p • (inverse p) == refl
  d : (x : A) \rightarrow D \times x \text{ refl}
  d = refl
-func : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {a : A} {b : A} {c : A} → (p : a == b) → (b == c) → (a == c)
-func p q = p \cdot q
\cdot-id-l : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {a b : A} -> (p : a == b) -> refl \cdot p == p
--id-l {i} {A} {_} = ind== D d where
  D : (a b : A) -> (p : a == b) \rightarrow Type i
  D_p = (refl \cdot p) == p
  d : (x : A) \rightarrow D \times x \text{ refl}
  d = refl
•-id-r : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {a b : A} -> (p : a == b) -> p • refl == p
```

```
--id-r {i} {A} {_} = ind== D d where
  D : (a b : A) \rightarrow (p : a == b) \rightarrow Type i
  D_p = p \cdot refl == p
  \texttt{d} \; : \; (\texttt{x} \; : \; \texttt{A}) \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{D} \; \; \texttt{x} \; \; \texttt{refl}
  d = refl
\bullet-assoc : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {w x y z : A}
  \rightarrow (p : w == x) \rightarrow (q : x == y) \rightarrow (r : y == z)
     \rightarrow p · (q · r) == (p · q) · r
-assoc {i} {A} {_} {_} {y} {z} = ind== D d where
  D : (w x : A) \rightarrow (p : w == x) \rightarrow Type i
  D_x p = (q : x == y) \rightarrow (r : y == z) \rightarrow p \cdot (q \cdot r) == (p \cdot q) \cdot r
  d:(x:A) \rightarrow D \times x \text{ refl}
  d _ = ind== E e where
     E : (x y : A) \rightarrow (q : x == y) \rightarrow Type i
     E_y q = (r : y == z) \rightarrow refl \cdot (q \cdot r) == (refl \cdot q) \cdot r
     e : (x : A) \rightarrow E x x refl
     e _ = ind== F f where
       F : (y z : A) \rightarrow (r : y == z) \rightarrow Type i
       F_z r = refl \cdot (refl \cdot r) == (refl \cdot refl) \cdot r
       f : (x : A) \rightarrow F x x refl
       f _ = refl
   And whiskering for 2-paths (really, n+2 paths...)
whisk-r : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} {p p' : x == y} -> (q : y == z)
  -> (p == p') -> ((p \cdot q) == (p' \cdot q))
whisk-r {i} {_} {x} {y} {z} {_} q = ind== D d where
  D : (p p' : x == y) \rightarrow (\alpha : p == p') \rightarrow Type i
  D p p' \alpha = ((p \cdot q) == (p' \cdot q))
  d:(p:x==y) \rightarrow D p p refl
  d = refl
whisk-1 : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A} {q q' : y == z} -> (p : x == y)
  -> (q == q') -> ((p • q) == (p • q'))
whisk-l {i} {_} {x} {y} {z} {_} p = ind== D d where
  D : (q q' : y == z) \rightarrow (\beta : q == q') \rightarrow Type i
  D q q' \beta = ((p \cdot q) == (p \cdot q'))
  d:(q:y==z) \rightarrow D q q refl
  d = refl
```

We now define the quasi inverse to  $p \cdot -$  as  $p^{-1} \cdot -$ . To do this, we need homotopies from  $(p \cdot -) \circ (p^{-1} \cdot -) \sim id$  and  $(p^{-1} \cdot -) \circ (p \cdot -) \sim id$ . By definition,  $(p \cdot -) \circ (p^{-1} \cdot -) \equiv (p \cdot p^{-1} \cdot -)$ , so we really just need a 2-path  $p \cdot p^1 = \text{refl}$  (and a 2-path for the symmetric case). This follows from the groupoid laws above:

Now, we simply observe that every quasi-inverse is an equivalence.

```
--equiv : ∀ {i} {A : Type i} {x y z : A}
-> (p : x == y) -> (is-equiv' (_•_ {i} {A} {x} {y} {z} p))
--equiv p = q-inv-to-equiv' (_•_ p) (•-qinv p)
```

7

8

9

10

11

Oh boy! Homotopy pullbacks!

First, let's define a homotopy commutative diagram. We will stick to the notation used in the book.

The following  $\Sigma$ -type is the type of all pullback squares given types P, A, B, C.

$$P \xrightarrow{h} A$$

$$\downarrow k \qquad \qquad \downarrow f$$

$$B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

```
com-sq : \forall {i} {A B C : Type i} -> (f : A -> C) -> (g : B -> C) -> (P : Type i) -> Type i com-sq {_} {A} {B} {__} f g P = \Sigma (P -> A) \lambda h -> \Sigma (P -> B) \lambda k -> (f \circ h) == (g \circ k)
```

A pullback square is a commutative square together with a certain equivalence. The book defines this in terms of a "canonical pullback" that is defined

in terms of composition. This is analogous to defining pullbacks in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  in terms of presheaves over  $\mathcal{C}$ . A diagram is a pullback square if the upper left corner represents a functor that is equivalent to the pullback of the diagram.

```
open import FunExt
precomp : \forall {i} {A B C : Type i} -> (A -> B) -> (B -> C) -> (A -> C)
precomp f g = g \circ f
precomp-happly : \forall {i} {A B X : Type i} -> (f : X -> A) -> (g g' : A -> B)
  -> (\alpha : (g == g'))
    -> (x : X)
      -> (happly (g \circ f) (g' \circ f) (ap (precomp f) \alpha) x) == (happly g g' \alpha) (f x)
precomp-happly {i} {A} {B} {X} f g g' \alpha = ind== D d \alpha where
  D : (g g' : A -> B) -> (g == g') -> Type i
  D g g' \alpha = (x : X)
    -> (happly (g \circ f) (g' \circ f) (ap (precomp f) \alpha) x) == (happly g g' \alpha) (f x)
  d:(g:A\rightarrow B)\rightarrow Dggrefl
  d g x = refl
homotopy-square : \forall {i} {A B : Type i} -> (f g : A -> B) -> (H : f ~ g)
  -> (x y : A) -> (p : x == y) -> ((H x) \cdot (ap g p)) == ((ap f p) \cdot (H y))
homotopy-square {i} {A} {B} f g H x y = ind== D d where
  D : (x y : A) \rightarrow (p : x == y) \rightarrow Type i
  D \times y p = ((H \times) \cdot (ap g p)) == ((ap f p) \cdot (H y))
  d:(x:A) \rightarrow D \times x \text{ refl}
  d x = -id-r (H x)
ap-id : \forall {i} {A : Type i} {x y : A} -> (p : x == y) -> ap id p == p
ap-id \{i\} \{A\} p = ind== D d p where
  D : (x y : A) \rightarrow (x == y) \rightarrow Type i
  D_p = ap id p == p
  d:(x:A) \rightarrow D \times x \text{ refl}
  d = refl
homotopy-equiv-square : \forall {i} {A : Type i} -> (f : A -> A) -> (H : f ~ id)
  -> (x : A) -> H (f x) == ap f (H x)
homotopy-equiv-square f H x = (inverse (\cdot-id-r (H (f x)))
                                  • (inverse (whisk-l (H (f x)) (•-inv-r (H x)))
                                  • (-assoc (H (f x)) (H x) (inverse (H x))
                                  whisk-r (inverse (H x))
                                        (inverse (whisk-1 (H (f x)) (ap-id (H x)))
                                  homotopy-square f id H (f x) x (H x)))))
                                  • (inverse (-assoc (ap f (H x)) (H x) (inverse (H x)))

    (whisk-l (ap f (H x)) (-inv-r (H x))
```

```
o-app : \forall {i} {A B C : Type i} {x y : A} -> (p : x == y) -> (f : A -> B) -> (g : B -> C)
  \rightarrow (ap g (ap f p)) == ap (g \circ f) p
\circ-app {i} {A} {_} {_} {x} {y} p f g = ind== D d p where
  D : (x y : A) \rightarrow (x == y) \rightarrow Type i
  D \times y p = (ap g (ap f p)) == ap (g \circ f) p
  d : (x : A) \rightarrow D x x refl
  dx = refl
-- Theorem 2.4.3 from the book
q-inv-to-equiv : \forall {i} {A B : Type i} -> (f : A -> B)
  -> (q-inv f) -> (is-equiv f)
q-inv-to-equiv {i} {A} {B} f (g , (\epsilon , \eta)) =
  record { g = g ; \epsilon = \epsilon' ; \eta = \eta ; \tau = \lambda a -> (inverse (\tau a)) } where
  \epsilon' : (b : B) -> f (g b) == b
  \varepsilon' b = (inverse (\varepsilon (f (g b))) ) • (ap f (\eta (g b)) • \varepsilon b)
  \eta-\epsilon-square : (a : A) ->
     ap f (\eta (g (f a))) \cdot (\epsilon (f a)) == \epsilon (f (g (f a))) \cdot (ap f (\eta a))
  \eta-\epsilon-square a =
    whisk-r (\epsilon (f a)) ((ap (ap f) (homotopy-equiv-square {i} {A} (g \circ f) \eta a))
                             • (o-app (η a) (g o f) (f)) )
     • (whisk-r (\epsilon (f a)) (inverse (\circ-app (\eta a) f (f \circ g)))
    • (inverse (homotopy-square (f \circ g) id \epsilon (f (g (f a))) (f a) (ap f (\eta a)))
     • whisk-l (\epsilon (f (g (f a)))) (ap-id (ap f (\eta a))) ))
  \tau: (a : A) \rightarrow \epsilon' (f a) == ap f (\eta a)
  \tau a = whisk-l (inverse (ε (f (g (f a))))) (η-ε-square a)
         • (•-assoc (inverse (\epsilon (f (g (f a))))) (\epsilon (f (g (f a)))) (ap f (\eta a))
         • (whisk-r (ap f (\eta a)) (•-inv-l (\epsilon (f (g (f a)))))
         • •-id-l (ap f (η a))))
\circ-functor : \forall {i} {A B C : Type i}
  -> (f : A -> B) -> (g : B -> C) -> (g' : B -> C)
     -> (g == g') -> (g \circ f) == (g' \circ f)
o-functor f g g' = ap (precomp f)
happly-path : \forall {i} {A B : Type i}
  \rightarrow (f g : A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\alpha : f == g) \rightarrow (\beta : f == g)
     \rightarrow (happly f g \alpha) == (happly f g \beta) \rightarrow \alpha == \beta
happly-path f g \alpha \beta \psi = (inverse (h-inv-h f g \alpha)) • (\psi' • h-inv-h f g \beta) where
     \psi': (funext f g (happly f g \alpha)) == (funext f g (happly f g \beta))
     \psi' = (ap (funext f g)) \psi
p-map : \forall {i} {A B C : Type i} -> (f : A -> C) -> (g : B -> C)
  -> (P : Type i) -> (X : Type i) -> (com-sq f g P)
     -> (X -> P) -> (com-sq f g X)
```

• -- id-r (ap f (H x))))

```
p-map f g P X sq l = h \circ l , (k \circ l , ap (precomp l) \alpha ) where
    h = fst sq
    k = fst (snd sq)
    \alpha = \text{snd} (\text{snd sq})
open import Agda. Primitive using (lsuc)
-- A square (P, _, _) over f,g is a pullback if for all types X,
-- the induced function from maps from X to P to commutative squares
-- over f,g is an equivalence.
is-pullback : \forall {i} {A B C : Type i}
  -> (f : A -> C) -> (g : B -> C) -> (P : Type i)
    -> (com-sq f g P) -> Type (lsuc i)
is-pullback {i} f g P \alpha = \Pi (Type i) \lambda X -> is-equiv (p-map f g P X \alpha)
-- pullback type of f, g
pullback : \forall {i} {A B C : Type i} -> (f : A -> C) -> (g : B -> C) -> Type i
pullback {i} {A} {B} f g = \Sigma A \lambda a -> \Sigma B \lambda b -> (f a) == (g b)
-- pullback type together with projection maps
pullback-sq : \forall {i} {A B C : Type i} -> (f : A -> C) -> (g : B -> C)
  -> (com-sq f g (pullback f g))
-- construct a homotopy and use function extensionality
pullback-sq {_}} {A} {B} f g = h , (k , (funext (f \circ h) (g \circ k) \alpha )) where
  P = pullback f g
  h : P -> A
 h = fst
  k : P -> B
  k p = fst (snd p)
  \alpha : \Pi P \lambda p \rightarrow (f (h p)) == (g (k p))
  \alpha = \lambda p \rightarrow \text{snd (snd p)}
-- We need to factor maps from X to f,g through P
factor : \forall {i} {A B C : Type i} {f : A -> C} {g : B -> C} {X : Type i}
  \rightarrow (com-sq f g X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow (pullback f g))
factor \{ \} \{ \} \{ \} \{ \} \{ \} \{ \} \{ \} (h', (k', \alpha')) x =
  h' x , (k' x , (happly (f \circ h') (g \circ k') \alpha') x)
pullback-is-pullback : \forall {i} {A B C : Type i} -> (f : A -> C) -> (g : B -> C)
  -> (is-pullback f g (pullback f g) (pullback-sq f g))
pullback-is-pullback {_} {A} {B} f g X =
  (q-inv-to-equiv (p-map f g P X P-sq) p-map-q-inv) where
   P = pullback f g
   P-sq = pullback-sq f g
   h = fst P-sq
```

```
k = fst (snd P-sq)
\alpha = \text{snd} (\text{snd P-sq})
\alpha': \Pi P \lambda p \rightarrow (f (h p)) == (g (k p))
\alpha' = \lambda p \rightarrow snd (snd p)
p-map-q-inv : q-inv (p-map f g (pullback f g) X (pullback-sq f g))
p-map-q-inv = factor , (\epsilon , \eta) where
  -- components of the quasi-inverse
  \varepsilon : (sq : (com-sq f g X)) -> (p-map f g P X P-sq (factor sq) == sq)
  \varepsilon sq = \Sigma==-inv (refl , (\Sigma==-inv (refl ,
                 (happly-path (f \circ h') (g \circ k') (snd (snd sq')) (snd (snd sq)) \beta )))) where
     1 : X -> P
     1 = factor sq
     h' = fst sq
     k' = fst (snd sq)
     sq' = p-map f g P X P-sq 1
     \psi : (x : X) -> ((happly (f \circ h') (g \circ k') (snd (snd sq'))) x == (happly (f \circ h) (g \circ
     \psi = precomp-happly 1 (f \circ h) (g \circ k) \alpha
     \varphi: (x : X) -> ((happly (f \circ h) (g \circ k) \alpha) (1 x)) == \alpha' (1 x)
     \varphi x = (happly (happly (f \circ h) (g \circ k) \alpha) \alpha' (h-h-inv (f \circ h) (g \circ k) \alpha')) (1 x)
     \beta : (happly (f \circ h') (g \circ k') (snd (snd sq'))) == (happly (f \circ h') (g \circ k') (snd (snd sq'))
     \beta = funext
           (happly (f \circ h') (g \circ k') (snd (snd sq')))
           (happly (f \circ h') (g \circ k') (snd (snd sq)))
          \lambda x \rightarrow \psi x \cdot \phi x
  \eta : (1 : X -> P) -> factor (p-map f g P X P-sq 1) == 1
  \eta 1 = funext 1' 1 \beta where
     1' = factor (p-map f g P X P-sq 1)
     \gamma' : \Pi X \lambda x \rightarrow (f (h (1 x))) == (g (k (1 x)))
     \gamma' x = snd (snd (1' x))
     \gamma : \Pi X \lambda x \rightarrow (f (h (1 x))) == (g (k (1 x)))
     \gamma x = \text{snd} (\text{snd} (1 x))
     \psi: (x : X) -> (\gamma' x == (happly (f \circ h) (g \circ k) \alpha) (1 x))
     \psi = precomp-happly 1 (f \circ h) (g \circ k) \alpha
     \varphi : (x : X) -> (happly (f \circ h) (g \circ k) \alpha) (1 x) == \gamma x
     \varphi x = (happly (happly (f \circ h) (g \circ k) \alpha)
                     (\lambda p \rightarrow snd (snd p))
                        (h-h-inv (f \circ h) (g \circ k) (\lambda p \rightarrow (snd (snd p))))) (1 x)
     \beta : \Pi X \lambda x \rightarrow 1' x == 1 x
     \beta = \lambda x \rightarrow \Sigma == -inv ( refl , (\Sigma == -inv ( refl , \psi x • \phi x )))
```

## **12**

## 13

Show that  $(2 \simeq 2) \simeq 2$ .

First, we must define equivalence of types.

$$\_\simeq\_$$
 :  $\forall$  {i} -> (A B : Type i) -> Type i  $\_\simeq\_$  A B =  $\Sigma$  (A -> B)  $\lambda$  f -> is-equiv(f)

The obvious thing to do here is to define a map  $\mathbf{2} \to \mathbf{2^2}$  and show that it is an equivalence.

Or, by univalence, we could find a path in the universe  $2 = 2^2$ .

### **14**

Suppose the equality reflection rule holds for a type A. Now show that A is a set.