

Submission format:



Docignação de	a Unidado sumis	ular:					
	unidade curric	ular:					
Ciência do Projeto							
Science of Pro	ject						
Designação do	o Ciclo de Estud	os:					
Doutoramento Design PhD in							
Código UC		Área científi	са	Ano	Semestre		
		PR		1	1		
Duração	Horas de trabalho	Horas de contacto	EC.	TS			
	208	21	8				
Docente respo	onsável e respet	iva carga letiva	na unidad	le curricula	r: (1000 caracteres)		
Outros docent	tes e respetivas	cargas letivas n	a unidade	curricular:	(1000 characters)		
Assignment							
Research proje	ect and docume	ntation in writte	n and vide	eo format			
Deadline							
Date 26 Janua	ry, 2024 before	midnight in any	timezone				

Electronic submission via Blackboard

The video can also include a url/link to a hosted, downloadable version (eg. youTube, Vimeo, spiderOak, dropbox etc)

Deliverables:

1) A conference style position paper in .pdf format using the ACM extended Abstracts Template.

Your paper will include a variety of material, including (if appropriate) tables, diagrams and photographic evidence

2) A 90 second video describing your research, context process, and/or conclusions - suitable for a conference audience

Your video will include titles at beginning and end, voice over, subtitles

The titles will include: Project title (this will most likely be the same as the title of your paper), your name, contact details and affiliation.

Assignment Tasks:

For this assignment you will undertake four key tasks;

- Conduct an (auto) Ethnographic evaluation using a design probe methodology. Investigating a
 task, activity or specific context you are engaged with toward making a personal wellbeing or
 socially supportive intervention into an everyday area or activity.
- Collate, code and evaluate research observations and evidence from your auto/ethnographic design probe/observational study.
- Document your research as an ACM position paper; 4-6 pages in ACM Extended abstracts format
- Create a clear, high quality 90 second conference / project video illustrating your work

The proficiency of these tasks will be evaluated in the quality and depth of the two deliverable items. Communication of your methodology and observations are the essential elements being sought in the deliverables.

Marking rubric:

The percentage marking for the assignment and deliverable items is as follows;					
Conference Position Paper: 50%					
Elements considered;					
•	Formatting and presentation				
•	Research methodology				
•	Research design process				
•	Analysis of evidence				
Communication (including clarity, language, argumentation, citation and warranting of statements)					
Video overview 25%					
Elements considered;					
•	Structure and presentation of project and ideas. Quality of audio and visual elements ess of Communication				
Discretionary marks 25%					
Total 100% (marked on a 0-20 grade)					
	Data da aprovação da FUC aquando da (re)acreditação do ciclo de estudos em causa:				
	Data da aprovação da FOC aquando da (rejacreditação do cicio de estudos em causa: Data da revisão desta FUC:				
	Data da Tevisão desta FOC:				
Regente da UC responsável pela revisão desta FUC:					
Coordenador do CE responsável pela validação :					

Marking Guidance 50-60% 60-70% <50% 70+% **Position Paper** Very little convincing Some convincing Some good and Excellent and very documentation undertaken convincing convincing documentation documentation for the paper. Poor clarity of undertaken for paper. documentation undertaken for the paper. idea and structure of Reasonable clarity of idea undertaken for paper. There is excellent clarity of the idea and the structure and structure of There is good clarity of descriptions. A poor understanding/summary of descriptions. A reasonable the idea and the of descriptions. An excellent final project. A very weak understanding/summary structure of descriptions. understanding/summary of presentation of problem of final design. A fairly A good understanding/ final design. An excellent and solution. No incentive average presentation of summary of final design. and convincing presentation in place for reader to want problem and solution. A good and convincing of the problem and presentation of the to know more. Some incentive for reader methodology. Some to want to know more problem and solution. excellent incentives in place Some good incentives in for reader to want to know place for reader to want more. to know more. **Research methods** A discussion with very little A fair discussion with A discussion with a good A discussion with an understanding/ some understanding/ understanding/ excellent understanding/ documentation of the documentation of the documentation of the documentation of the specific research methods specific research methods specific research specific research methods used. No/little mention of used. Some mention of methods used. A used. A very detailed and an who and how the who and how the detailed and good excellent description of who participants will be participants will be description of who and and how you will recruit recruited for the research. recruited for the research. how the participants will them for any research. An Poor discussion of the A fair discussion of the be recruited for the excellent discussion of the advantages and advantages and research. A good advantages and disadvantages of the disadvantages of the disadvantages of the chosen discussion of the chosen method/s. Weak chosen method/s. advantages and method/s. Excellent descriptions of how the Reasonably fair disadvantages of the descriptions of how the user user testing with descriptions of how the chosen method/s. Good testing with participants will participants will be user testing with descriptions of how the be conducted. Excellent conducted. No / little participants will be user testing with reflections on how the tests reflections on how the tests participants will be will inform the final design conducted. Some average will inform the final design reflections on how the conducted. Good and why the specific reflections on how the tests will inform the final and why the specific research methods are research methods are design and why the tests will inform the final appropriate for your project. appropriate for your specific research methods design and why the project. are appropriate for your specific research project. methods are appropriate for your project. Video An excellent Video with lots A poorly designed video A fairly reasonably A well designed video of interesting detail. Video with no in-depth detail designed video with with a good amount of provided. Video showing average amount of detail. detail and quality. Video showing an excellent insight little to no insight into the Video showing some showing a good amount into the student's design student's design journey of insight into the student's of insight into the journey of the prototype. the prototype. design journey of the student's design journey prototype. of the prototype.