

## Assignment 2

Due: Wednesday, 5 June 2019

### Problem 1 (1 point)

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{R}$  and consider  $\mathcal{H}$  the class of 3-piece classifiers (signed intervals):

$\mathcal{H} = \{h_{a,b,s}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \{-1,1\}, a \leq b, s \in \{-1,+1\}\}$ , where

$$h_{a,b,s}(x) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } x \in [a, b] \\ -s & \text{if } x \notin [a, b] \end{cases}$$

Give an efficient ERM algorithm for class  $\mathcal{H}$  and compute its complexity for each of the following cases:

- realizable case.
- agnostic case.

Justify the correctness of your algorithm.

*Note: Solutions which do not give the most efficient algorithm in terms of complexity will be penalized.*

**Problem 2** (1 point) - problem 8.2 in the book “Understanding Machine Learning: From Theory to Algorithms”.

Let  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots$  be a sequence of hypothesis classes for binary classification. Assume that there is a learning algorithm that implements the ERM rule in the realizable case such that the output hypothesis of the algorithm for each class  $\mathcal{H}_n$  only depends on  $O(n)$  examples out of the training set. Furthermore, assume that such a hypothesis can be calculated given these  $O(n)$  examples in time  $O(n^2)$ , and that the empirical risk of each such hypothesis can be evaluated in time  $O(mn)$ . For example, if  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is the class of axis aligned rectangles in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , we saw that it is possible to find an ERM hypothesis in the realizable case that is defined by at most  $2n$  examples. Prove that in such cases, it is possible to find an ERM hypothesis for  $\mathcal{H}_n$  in the unrealizable case in time  $O(m \times n \times m^{O(n)})$ .

**Problem 3** (1.5 points)

Consider the boosting algorithm described (page 4) in the article “[Rapid object detection using a boosted cascade of simple features](#)”, P. Viola and M. Jones, CVPR 2001. Consider that the number of positives is equal with the number of negative examples ( $l = m$ ).

- Prove that the distribution  $w_{t+1}$  obtained at round  $t + 1$  based on the algorithm described in the article is the same with the distribution  $\mathbf{D}^{(t+1)}$  obtained based on the procedure described in lecture 11 (slides 11-13).
- Prove that the two final classifiers (the one described in the article and the one described in the lecture) are equivalent.
- Assume that at each iteration  $t$  of AdaBoost, the weak learner returns a hypothesis  $h_t$  for which the error  $\epsilon_t$  satisfies  $\epsilon_t \leq 1/2 - \gamma$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ . What is the probability that the classifier  $h_t$  (selected as the best weak learner at iteration  $t$ ) will be selected again at iteration  $t+1$ ? Justify your answer.

**Bonus Problem** (1 point)

Consider  $H_{2DNF}^d$  the class of 2-term disjunctive normal form formulae consisting of hypothesis of the form  $h: \{0,1\}^d \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ ,

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = A_1(\mathbf{x}) \vee A_2(\mathbf{x}),$$

where  $A_i(\mathbf{x})$  is a Boolean conjunction of literals (in  $H_{conj}^d$ ).

It is known that the class  $H_{2DNF}^d$  is not efficiently properly learnable but can be learned improperly considering the class  $H_{2CNF}^d$ .

- Give a  $\gamma$ -weak-learner algorithm for learning the class  $H_{2DNF}^d$  which is not a stronger PAC learning algorithm for  $H_{2DNF}^d$  (like the one considering  $H_{2CNF}^d$ ). Prove that this algorithm is a  $\gamma$ -weak-learner algorithm for  $H_{2DNF}^d$ .
- Compute the bound obtained with  $L(\mathcal{B}, T)$ . Compare this bound with the bound based on VC-dimension of the class  $H_{2CNF}^d$ .

*Hint: Find an algorithm that returns  $h(x)=0$  or the disjunction of 2 literals.*