Seminar class 2

Exercise 1 (exercise 3.7 in the book)

(*) The Bayes optimal predictor: Show that for every probability distribution \mathcal{D} , the Bayes optimal predictor $f_{\mathcal{D}}$ is optimal, in the sense that for every classifier g from \mathcal{X} to $\{0,1\}$, $L_{\mathcal{D}}(f_{\mathcal{D}}) \leq L_{\mathcal{D}}(g)$.

Exercise 2 (exercise 2.6 in the book "Foundations of Machine Learning", 2nd edition)

Learning in the presence of noise — rectangles. In example 2.4, we showed that the concept class of axis-aligned rectangles is PAC-learnable. Consider now the case where the training points received by the learner are subject to the following noise: points negatively labeled are unaffected by noise but the label of a positive training point is randomly flipped to negative with probability $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. The exact value of the noise rate η is not known to the learner but an upper bound η' is supplied to him with $\eta \leq \eta' < 1/2$. Show that the algorithm returning the tightest rectangle containing positive points can still PAC-learn axis-aligned rectangles in the presence of this noise. To do so, you can proceed using the following steps:

- (a) Using the same notation as in example 2.4, assume that P[R] > ε. Suppose that R(R') > ε. Give an upper bound on the probability that R' misses a region r_j, j ∈ [4] in terms of ε and η'?
- (b) Use that to give an upper bound on P[R(R') > ε] in terms of ε and η' and conclude by giving a sample complexity bound.

Exercise 3 (exercise 2.8 in the book "Foundations of Machine Learning", 2nd edition)

Learning intervals. Give a PAC-learning algorithm for the concept class Cformed by closed intervals [a, b] with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Exercise 4 (exercise 2.9 in the book "Foundations of Machine Learning", 2nd edition)

Learning union of intervals. Give a PAC-learning algorithm for the concept class C_2 formed by unions of two closed intervals, that is $[a, b] \cup [c, d]$, with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Extend your result to derive a PAC-learning algorithm for the concept class C_p formed by unions of $p \geq 1$ closed intervals, thus $[a_1, b_1] \cup \cdots \cup [a_p, b_p]$, with $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k \in [p]$. What are the time and sample complexities of your algorithm as a function of p?

Exercise 5 (exercise 3.5 in the book)

Let \mathcal{X} be a domain and let $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_m$ be a sequence of distributions over \mathcal{X} . Let \mathcal{H} be a finite class of binary classifiers over \mathcal{X} and let $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Suppose we are getting a sample S of m examples, such that the instances are independent but are not identically distributed; the ith instance is sampled from \mathcal{D}_i and then y_i is set to be $f(\mathbf{x}_i)$. Let \bar{D}_m denote the average, that is, $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_m = (\mathcal{D}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_m)/m$.

Fix an accuracy parameter $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Show that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ s.t. } L_{(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_m,f)}(h) > \epsilon \text{ and } L_{(S,f)}(h) = 0\right] \leq |\mathcal{H}|e^{-\epsilon m}.$$

Hint: Use the geometric-arithmetic mean inequality.