

# MA 503 : Homework 13

Dane Johnson

October 28, 2020

## Problem 2.40

Let  $F$  be a closed set of real numbers and  $f$  a real valued function which is defined and continuous on  $F$ . Show there is a function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g$  is continuous and  $f(x) = g(x)$  for each  $x \in F$ .

**Proposition 15** Let  $E$  be a given set. The following five statements are equivalent.

- i.  $E$  is measurable.
- ii. Given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an open set  $O \supset E$  such that  $m^*(O \setminus E) < \epsilon$ .
- iii. Given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a closed set  $F \subset E$  such that  $m^*(E \setminus F) < \epsilon$ .
- iv. There is a  $G \in \mathcal{G}_\delta$  with  $E \subset G$  such that  $m^*(G \setminus E) = 0$ .
- v. There is an  $F \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$  with  $F \subset E$  such that  $m^*(E \setminus F) = 0$ .

If  $m^*(E) < \infty$ , the above statements are equivalent to:

- vi. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a finite union  $U$  of open intervals such that  $m^*(U \triangle E) < \epsilon$ .

**Proposition 22** Let  $f$  be a measurable function defined on an interval  $[a, b]$ , and assume that  $f$  takes on the values  $\pm\infty$  only on a set of measure zero. Then given  $\epsilon$ , we can find a step function  $g$  and a continuous function  $h$  such that

$$|f - g| < \epsilon \text{ and } |f - h| < \epsilon$$

except on a set of measure less than  $\epsilon$ ; i.e.,  $m(\{x : |f(x) - g(x)| \geq \epsilon\}) < \epsilon$  and  $m(\{x : |f(x) - h(x)| \geq \epsilon\}) < \epsilon$ . If in addition,  $m \leq f \leq M$ , then we may choose the functions  $g$  and  $h$  such that  $m \leq g, h \leq M$ .

**Proposition 24** Let  $E$  be a measurable set of finite measure, and  $(f_n)$  a sequence of measurable functions that converge to a real-valued function  $f$  almost everywhere on  $E$  (the set  $B$  of points such that  $f_n$  does not converge to  $f$  pointwise is such that  $m(B) = 0$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise on  $E \setminus B$ ). Then given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there is a set  $A \subset E$  with  $m(A) < \delta$ , and an  $N$  such that for all  $x \notin A$  and for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon.$$

**Problem 30 (Proving Egoroff's Theorem)** If  $(f_n)$  is a sequence of measurable functions that converge to a real-valued function  $f$  almost everywhere on a measurable set  $E$  with  $m(E) < \infty$ , then given  $\eta > 0$ , there is a subset  $A \subset E$  with  $m(A) < \eta$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $E \setminus A$ .

Proof: Let  $\eta > 0$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists by Proposition 24 a measurable set  $A_n \subset E$  such that  $m(A_n) < \delta_n := 2^{-n}\eta$  and an  $N_n$  such that for all  $k \geq N_n$  and all  $x \in E \setminus A_n$ ,  $|f_k(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon_n := 1/n$ . Since each  $A_n \subset E$  is measurable,  $\cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$  is measurable and  $\cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \subset E$ . Let  $A := \cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$ . By Proposition 13 (subadditivity),

$$m(A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(A_n) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}\eta = \eta.$$

So  $A$  is a subset of  $E$  such that  $m(A) < \eta$ . If we can show that  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $E \setminus A$  then we will have proven Egoroff's Theorem. Now let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then there is an  $m$  such that  $A_m \subset E$  and a corresponding  $N_m$  such that for all  $k \geq N_m$  and  $x \in E \setminus A_m$ ,  $|f_k(x) - f(x)| < 1/m < \epsilon$ . But then for  $x \in E \setminus A$ ,  $x \in (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)^c = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c$ . In particular,  $x \in E \setminus A_m$ . Therefore, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a positive integer  $N_m$  such that for all  $k \geq N_m$  and  $x \in E \setminus A$ ,  $|f_k(x) - f(x)| < 1/m < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, conclude that there is a measurable set  $A \subset E$  with  $m(A) < \eta$  such that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $E \setminus A$ .

**Problem 31 (Proving Lusin's Theorem)** Let  $f$  be a measurable real-valued function on  $[a, b]$ . Given  $\delta > 0$ , there is a continuous function  $\phi$  on  $[a, b]$  such that  $m(\{x : f(x) \neq \phi(x)\}) < \delta$ .

Proof: Since  $f$  is real-valued,  $m(\{x : f(x) = \pm\infty\}) = m(\emptyset) = 0$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists by Proposition 22 a continuous function  $h_k$  such that  $|f - h_k| < 1/k$  except on a set of measure less than  $1/k$ , i.e.  $m(\{x \in [a, b] : |f(x) - h_k(x)| \geq 1/k\}) < 1/k$ . Then the sequence  $(h_k)$  of continuous (and therefore measurable) functions converges to  $f$  almost everywhere on  $[a, b]$ . By Egoroff's Theorem, there is a set  $A \subset [a, b]$  with  $m(A) < \delta/2$  such that  $h_k \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[a, b] \setminus A$ . By Proposition 15 (iii) (more specifically (i)  $\iff$  (iii) and the fact that  $[a, b] \setminus A$  is a measurable set), there exists a closed set  $F \subset ([a, b] \setminus A)$  such that  $m^*([a, b] \setminus A \setminus F) < \delta/2$ . Since  $F \subset [a, b] \setminus A$ ,  $h_k \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $F$ . Since the convergence of  $(h_k)$  to  $f$  is uniform on  $F$  and each  $h_k$  is continuous,  $f$  must be continuous on  $F$ . By Problem 2.40, there exists a continuous function  $\phi$  defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  (and so  $\phi$  is defined and continuous on  $[a, b]$  - we may as well assume  $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ) such that  $\phi(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in F$ . Therefore, if  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $f(x) \neq \phi(x)$ , it must be the case that  $x \notin F$ . So  $x \in ([a, b] \setminus A) \setminus F$  or  $x \in A$ . That is,

$$m(\{x \in [a, b] : f(x) \neq \phi(x)\}) = m([(a, b] \setminus A) \setminus F \cup A] \leq m([a, b] \setminus A \setminus F) + m(A) < \delta/2 + \delta/2 = \delta.$$

If instead  $f$  is measurable real-valued function on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , consider that given  $\delta > 0$ , there is a continuous function  $\phi_n$  on  $[n, n+1]$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m(\{x : f(x) \neq \phi_n(x)\}) < \delta/2^{|n|}$  by the above. Let  $\phi$  be the function defined piecewise on  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $\phi(x) = \phi_n(x)$  for  $x \in [n, n+1]$ . Then,

$$m(\{x : f(x) \neq \phi(x)\}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta/2^n + \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} \delta/2^{-n} = 3\delta.$$