Advanced Programming Home Assignment 6

Carlo Rosso, Chinar Shah

Contents

1 Intro	1
2 Adding Workers	2
3 Job Cancellation	3
4 Timeouts	4
4.1 Managed by SPC	4
4.2 Managed by workers	4
5 Exception	5
5.1 Managed by workers	5
5.2 Managed by SPC	6

1 Intro

As a general comment, I would say it took a huge amout of time to implement solve the first exercise, but after that the rest was easy and fast and very similar to the exercise. It was quite confusing to understand what needed to be implemented, because there were many things to do so it was easy to loose track of the current task and it was even harder to see what the next step was.

Also I was uncertain whether I had to implement the whole state monad for the workers.

Probably you could also make the SPCM way more complex to handle everything, but I think it would have been a mess.

2 Adding Workers

```
handleMsg :: Chan SPCMsg -> SPCM ()
handleMsg c = do
  schedule
  msg <- io $ receive c
  case msg of
    MsgWorkerAdd wname rsvp -> do
      state <- get
      case lookup wname $ spcWorkers state of
        Just _ -> io $ reply rsvp $ Left "Worker already exists"
        Nothing -> do
          worker <- io $ startWorker c wname</pre>
          put $ state {spcWorkers = (wname, worker) : spcWorkers state}
          workerAssignJob worker
          io $ reply rsvp $ Right worker
    MsgJobDone jobid reason wname -> do
      jobDone jobid reason
      state <- get
      case lookup wname $ spcWorkers state of
        Just worker -> do
          workerAssignJob worker
        Nothing -> pure ()
```

We implemented workerAdd with the following steps:

- 1. implement WorkerM monad which represents a running worker with its state
 - we emulated SPCM monad because they are both stateful monads and they both run a server process to allow communication
- add MsgWorkerAdd to SPCMsg to allow adding workers with the signature MsgWorkerAdd WorkerName (ReplyChan (Either String Worker))
- 3. we implemented workerAdd

Defining a new message we also need to (otherwise we cannot test it):

- 1. add spcWorkers to the SPCState to keep track of the workers
- 2. implement workerAssignJob to assign a job to a worker

- 3. add MsgJobDone to SPCMsg to allow workers to notify the SPC when a job is done
- 4. the same thing goes also for Worker therefore we added the messages
 - WorkerJobNew
 - WorkerJobDone

to WorkerMsg and added the handlers for those in the workerHandle function

- 5. implement the handler for MsgWorkerAdd, which is reported above
- 6. implement the handler for MsgJobDone, which is reported above
- 7. implement schedule to assign jobs to workers if possible
- 8. add spcWaiters to the SPCState to keep track of the waiters for jobs
- 9. implement handler for MsgJobWait to add a waiter to the list of waiters
- 10. implement jobWait to wait for a job to finish
- 11. finally, we added spcChan to the SPCState, which is the channel used by the SPC server to receive messages

3 Job Cancellation

Since we already defined the monad and everything, implementing the cancellation was very easy, straightforward and similar to the exercise.

Here the steps:

- 1. implement WorkerCanelJob in WorkerMsg to allow workers to cancel a job
- 2. implement the handler for the WorkerCancelJob in workerHandle
- 3. implement the handler for MsgJobCancel in handleMsg
- 4. implement jobCancel to cancel a job

Note that if the job is pending, we do not need to interact with any worker, otherwise we need to find the worker that is running the job and tell it to stop. We implemented it, by sending a message to all the workers. The workers have the job id in their state, so they can check if they are running the job with that id, if that is the case they will cancel the job.

4 Timeouts

I implemented both the two solutions, because I wanted to see the difference, below in the question I sum up the workflow of both solutions. I am not too sure about the pros and cons. Probably I prefer the workers to manage the timeouts, because it simplify the SPC, making it more lightweight and thinking just about how to manage the workers, while the workers are focused only on managing the jobs. It looks to me more modular and clean (I am not sure though).

4.1 Managed by SPC

```
checkTimeouts :: SPCM ()
checkTimeouts = do
  now <- io getSeconds
  state <- get
  forM_ (spcJobsRunning state) $ \((jobid, deadline) ->
     when (now >= deadline) $ do
     jobDone jobid DoneTimeout
     io $ send (spcChan state) $ MsgJobCancel jobid
```

The core of the implementation is checkTimeouts:

- 1. update the state of SPCM so that spcJobsRunning contains the job id and the deadline of each job (we do not need the job itself anymore)
- 2. on the server start we add a thread that sends a MsgTick every second just like in the exercise
- at every tick we call checkTimeouts that checks whether a job has exceeded the deadline and it cancels the job

4.2 Managed by workers

```
workerHandle :: Chan WorkerMsg -> WorkerM ()
workerHandle c = do
  msg <- ioW $ receive c
  state <- getW</pre>
```

```
case msg of
  WorkerJobNew jid job -> do
    case exec state of
      Just _ -> pure ()
      Nothing -> do
        tid <- ioW $ forkIO $ do
          let val = do
                jobAction job
                send c $ WorkerJobDone jid Done
              onException :: SomeException -> IO ()
              onException = do
                send c $ WorkerJobDone jid DoneCrashed
          catch val onException
        _ <- ioW $ forkIO $ do</pre>
          threadDelay $ jobMaxSeconds job * 1000000
          killThread tid
          send c $ WorkerJobDone jid DoneTimeout
        putW $ state {exec = Just (jid, tid)}
```

Here we have also the exception handling, which is not yet required. Either way, when a job is started we also start a thread that waits for the timeout and then kills the job and notifies the worker that the job has timed out. Probably this is the simplest way to implement timeouts.

5 Exception

Code above, did not do much, I just copied the exercise code.

Did you decide to implement timeouts centrally in SPC, or decentrally in the worker threads? What are the pros and cons of your approach? Is there any observable effect?

5.1 Managed by workers

- 1. job started: also Timer started
- 2. Timer waits jobMaxTime seconds
- 3. Timer interrupts job's thread
- 4. Timer notifies Worker: job Done Timeout
- 5. Worker notifies SPC: job DoneTimeout
- 6. SPC moves job: Running -> Completed

5.2 Managed by SPC

- 1. SPC started: also Timer started
- 2. Timer sends MsgTick to SPC every second
- 3. SPC receives MsgTick: job exceeds jobMaxTime:
 - SPC moves job: Running -> Completed
 - SPC notifies Worker: job Cancel
- 4. Worker kills job
- 5. Worker notifies SPC: job Cancel