

1 Lecture 1 (March 4th)

Chapter 2 Modular Arithmetic

Remark. In abstract algebra, we learn algebraic structures such as rings (eg. $(\mathbf{Z}, +, \times)$) and groups (eg. $(\mathbf{Z}, +)$).

Remark. By convention we are going to denote the set of integers equipped with addition and multiplication by the triple $(\mathbf{Z}, +, \times)$.

Chapter 2.2 Congruence modulo n

Recall. Fix an integer $n > 0$, for example, $n = 5$. We can group integers (create a partition) that have the same remainder when divided by $n = 5$. This creates a congruence relation, denotable as “ $7 = 12$ ” (In number theory, we would say that 7 and 12 are congruent mod 5). We let \bar{a} denote the equivalence class of a with respect to the congruence modulo n .

Remark. Giving a partition on \mathbf{Z} is equivalent to giving an equivalence relation on \mathbf{Z} . For example, we declare $6 \equiv_5 -4$. To summarize, $\bar{a} = \{b \mid b \equiv_n a\}$ or $[a]$. There is a mathematical reason why we prefer the former.

2 Lecture 2 (March 6th)

Last class, we have learnt $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ as a set. In this lecture, we will learn algebraic structures on $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$.

Definition. (2.1) Let a and b be integers. We say that a is congruent to b modulo n if $a - b = nk$ for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. In this case, we write $a \equiv_n b$ (or $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$).

Remark. (2.2) $a \equiv_n b$ if and only if a and b have the same remainder after division by n .

Remark. \equiv_n is an equivalence relation on \mathbf{Z} . Accordingly, $\bar{a} = \{b \in \mathbf{Z} \mid b \equiv_n a\}$.

Proof. (i) $a \equiv_n a$

(ii) $a \equiv_n b \implies b \equiv_n a$

(iii) $a \equiv_n b, b \equiv_n c \implies a \equiv_n c$

□

Definition. (2.5) We denote by $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ the set of congruence classes modulo n .

Remark. (i) $\bar{a} = \bar{b} \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ if and only if $a \equiv_n b$

(ii) If $\bar{a} \cap \bar{b} \neq \emptyset$, then $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$

(iii) $\mathbf{Z} = \bar{0} \amalg \bar{1} \amalg \dots \amalg \overline{n-1}$

Lastly, we also use $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ instead of \mathbf{Z}_n .

Remark. (2.9)

(i) $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ is a finite set having exactly n elements (how about $n = 0$?)

(ii) $\mathbf{Z}/0\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}$

Chapter 2.3 Algebra in $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$

We want to define $+$ and \cdot on $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$. For example, $n = 5$ and we have $\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}\}$. Can we simply define $\bar{2} + \bar{3} = \bar{5}$? However, in process of formulating addition, we bump into the problem that we can add different representatives every time. In other words, we don't know whether " $+$ " is well-defined! Let's phrase this differently. Let $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$ and $\bar{c} = \bar{d}$. Then we want $\overline{a+c} = \overline{b+d}$.

Lemma. (2.9) Let a, b, c, d be in \mathbf{Z} . If $a \equiv_n b$ and $c \equiv_n d$ then $a+c \equiv_n b+d$ and $ac \equiv_n bd$.

3 Lecture 3 (March 11th)

Last time, we dealt with the well-definedness of $+$ and \cdot on $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$.

Lemma. (2.9) Let $n > 0$ be an integer and let a, b, c , and d be integers. If $a \equiv_n c$ and $b \equiv_n d$, then $a+b \equiv_n c+d$ and $a \cdot b \equiv_n c \cdot d$. A start of a proof would be by considering $(a+b) - (c+d) = (a-c) + (b-d)$.

Lemma. (2.13) Let a, b , and c in \mathbf{Z} . Then,

1. $(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + \bar{c} = \bar{a} + (\bar{b} + \bar{c})$
2. $\bar{a} + \bar{0} = \bar{a} = \bar{0} + \bar{a}$
3. For each $\bar{a} \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$, there exists $\bar{b} \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ such that $\bar{a} + \bar{b} = \bar{0} = \bar{b} + \bar{a}$
4. $\bar{a} + \bar{b} = \bar{b} + \bar{a}$
5. $\bar{a}(\bar{b}\bar{c}) = (\bar{a}\bar{b})\bar{c}$
6. $\bar{a}\bar{1} = \bar{a} = \bar{1}\bar{a}$
7. $\bar{a}(\bar{b} + \bar{c}) = \bar{a}\bar{b} + \bar{a}\bar{c}$
8. $(\bar{a} + \bar{b})\bar{c} = \bar{a}\bar{c} + \bar{b}\bar{c}$
9. $\bar{a}\bar{b} = \bar{b}\bar{a}$

The first three imply that \mathbf{Z} is a group and four implies that it is abelian also. From five to eight, the properties tells us that group is a ring and the ninth tells us that it is a commutative one.

Proof. For the first property, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) + \bar{c} &= \overline{a + b + c} \\ &= \overline{(a + b) + c} \\ &= \overline{a + (b + c)} \\ &= \bar{a} + \overline{b + c} \\ &= \bar{a} + (\bar{b} + \bar{c})\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark. Unlike in \mathbf{Z} , $\bar{2} \cdot \bar{3} = \bar{6} = \bar{0}$ in $\mathbf{Z}/6\mathbf{Z}$. Note that $\bar{2} \neq \bar{0}$ in $\mathbf{Z}/6\mathbf{Z}$. Like so, two non-zero numbers can multiply to become zero in $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$.

Theorem. (2.15) Let n be an integer greater than 1. Then the following are equivalent.

1. The integer n is a prime number.
2. Let a and b be in \mathbf{Z} . If $\bar{a}\bar{b} = \bar{0}$, then $\bar{a} = \bar{0}$ or $\bar{b} = \bar{0}$.
3. For all $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0}$ in $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$, \bar{a} has a multiplicative inverse.

Proof. We will prove that $2 \implies 3$. Let $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0}$ be an element of $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$. Consider the subset of $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ consisting $\{\bar{a}\bar{0}, \bar{a}\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{a}\overline{n-1}\}$. We claim that if $\bar{a}\bar{i} = \bar{a}\bar{j}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$, then $i = j$. Consequently, $\{\bar{a}\bar{0}, \dots, \bar{a}\overline{n-1}\} = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$. In particular, $\bar{1} = \bar{a}\bar{b}$ for some $\bar{b} \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$. □

4 Lecture 4 (March 13th)

Last class, we have learned some properties of $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$. Today, we will learn about rings.

Proposition. (2.16) Let n be an integer greater than 1. Then $\bar{a} \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ has a multiplicative inverse if and only if $(a, n) = 1$.

Proof. We know the following

$$1 = (a, n) = ax + ny$$

for some $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$. □

Theorem. (2.18) (Fermat's little theorem) Let p be a prime number and let a be an integer. Then $\bar{a}^p = \bar{a}$. In fact, $\bar{a}^{p-1} = \bar{1}$ for $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0}$. The proof is up to you.

Chapter 3 Rings

Chapter 3.1 Definition & Examples

Definition. (3.1) A ring is a set R equipped with two binary operations (a function $R \times R \rightarrow R$), an addition $+$ and a multiplication \cdot which satisfies the following.

- (i) $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$
- (ii) There exists an element $0 \in R$ such that for every $a \in R$, $a + 0 = a = 0 + a$
- (iii) For each a , there exists an a' such that $a + a' = 0 = a' + a$
- (iv) $a + b = b + a$
- (v) $(ab)c = a(bc)$
- (vi) There exists an element $1 \in R$ such that for all $a \in R$, $a \cdot 1 = a = 1 \cdot a$
- (vii) $a(b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$
- (viii) $(a + b)c = ac + bc$

Example. Some examples of groups are

- (i) $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}$ are rings
- (ii) $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ is a ring
- (iii) $5\mathbf{Z}$ is not a ring as it has no multiplicative identity
- (iv) $\mathbf{Z}^{\geq 0} = \{m \in \mathbf{Z} \mid m \geq 0\}$ is not a ring
- (v) $(M_{n \times n}(\mathbf{R}), +, \cdot)$
- (vi) $\mathbf{R}[x]$

Chapter 3.2 Basic Properties

Proposition. (3.14) The additive and multiplicative identities are unique.

Proof. Suppose there O and O' are two additive identities. Then,

$$O = O + O' = O'$$

□

Proposition. (3.15) The additive inverse is unique.

Proof. Let a be an element of R . Assume that both b and c are additive inverses of a .

$$c = O + c = (b + a) + c = b + O = b$$

□

Remark. (Notation)

- (i) $a \cdot b = ab$
- (ii) $a + a + \dots + a = na$ and $a \cdot a \cdot \dots \cdot a = a^n$
- (iii) $a^0 = 1$ by convention
- (iv) For $n > 0$, $(-n)a = (-a) + \dots + (-a)$

Proposition. (3.17) Let R be a ring. If $a + c = b + c$, then $a = b$.

5 Lecture 5 (March 18th)

Last class, we have dealt with the basic properties of rings. Today, we learn more about rings.

Recall. Notation-wise, we have noted that

- (i) $a \cdot b = ab$
- (ii) a^n for $n > 0$ and a^0 is defined as 1.
- (iii) na for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$

Proposition. (3.14, 15, 16) The uniqueness of 0, 1, and $-a$.

Proposition. (3.17) Let R be a ring. If $a + c = b + c$ then $a = b$.

Corollary. (3.18) For every a in a ring R ,

$$0a = 0 = a0$$

Proof.

$$0 + 0a = (0 + 0)a = 0a + 0a$$

□

Remark. There is no cancellation law for multiplication ($ac = dc$ does not imply that $a = d$).

Chapter 3.3 Special Types of Rings

Example. (3.19) Not every ring is commutative. For instance, consider $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbf{R})$.

Definition. (3.20) A ring is commutative if $ab = ba$ for all $a, b \in R$.

Definition. (3.22) Let a be an element of R . We say that a is a zero divisor if there exists a non-zero $b \in R$ such that $ab = 0$ or $ba = 0$.

Example. In $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbf{R})$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Observe that both matrices are zero-divisors.

Definition. (3.23) Let R be a commutative ring. We will say that R is an integral domain if $1 \neq 0$ and $ab = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

Example. \mathbf{Z} or equivalently $\mathbf{Z}/0\mathbf{Z}$ are integral domains whereas $\mathbf{Z}/1\mathbf{Z}$ is not as its multiplicative and additive identities are equal to each other.

Example. (3.26) Let $n > 1$ be an integer. Then $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ is an integral domain if and only if n is a prime.

Proposition. Let $a \in R$ be an element. If a is not a zero-divisor, then the multiplicative cancellation holds for a . That is, if $ab = ac$ or $ba = ca$, then $b = c$.

Proof. (3.26) If $ab = ac$, then $0 = a(b - c) = a(b + (-c))$. □

Definition. (3.27) If a has a multiplicative inverse (that is, there exists $b \in R$ such that $ab = 1 = ba$), then we say that a is invertible or a unit.

Remark. We denote the set of units in R by R^\times .

Proposition. (3.28) Let $n > 0$ be an integer.

$$(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^\times = \{\bar{a} \mid (a, n) = 1\}$$

Definition. (3.27) We say that R is a field if

- (i) R is commutative
- (ii) $1 \neq 0$ in R

(iii) Every nonzero element is invertible

Remark. If R is a field, then $R^\times = R - \{0\}$.

Example. (3.30)

(i) $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}$

(ii) $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ where p is a prime

Proposition. (3.31) Every field is an integral domain.

Proof. Yours! □

Remark. The converse doesn't hold.

6 Lecture 6 (March 20th)

Last time, we have learned integral domains & fields. Today, we will learn Cartesian products and subrings.

Recall. (i) (3.22) $a \in R$ is a zero-divisor if there exists a $b \neq 0$ such that $ab = 0$ or $ba = 0$

(ii) (3.23) R is an integral domain if (1) R is commutative, (2) $1 \neq 0$, and (3) R has no nonzero zero-divisors

(iii) R has no nonzero zero-divisors

(iv) $a \in R$ is a unit for $ab = ba = 1$ for some $b \in R$

(v) R is a field if (1), (2), and every nonzero element is a unit

Remark. The fact that $1 = 0$ in R is equivalent to saying that R is the trivial ring $\{0\}$

Proposition. (3.28) When $n > 0$,

$$(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^\times = \{\bar{a} \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \mid (a, n) = 1\}$$

The problem with this definition is that we don't know whether the condition $(a, n) = 1$ works for the entirety of \bar{a} . However, we know from number theory that if $a \equiv_n b$, then $(a, n) = (b, n)$.

Remark. We note that

$$\text{Fields} \subset M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{R}[x] \in \text{Integral Domains} \subset \mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z} \in \text{Rings}$$

Proposition. (3.33) Let R be an integral domain having finitely many elements. Then R is a field.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Fermat's little theorem. Set $R = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$. It suffices to prove that We now prove that this is a field. Let's fix $a_i \neq 0$. It suffices to prove that $a_i \in R^\times$. Consider the subset of R $a_i R = \{a_i \cdot a_1, a_i \cdot a_2, \dots, a_i \cdot a_n\}$. Since R is an integral domain, $a_i R = R$. Indeed, if $a_i \cdot a_j = a_i \cdot a_k$, $a_j = a_k$. Then, $1 = a_i \cdot a_j$ for some j . Consequently, every nonzero element in R has a multiplicative inverse. \square

Chapter 4 The Category of Rings

Chapter 4.1 Cartesian Products

Definition. (4.1) Let R and S be rings. The cartesian product of R and S is the set $R \times S$ equipped with component-wise addition and multiplication.

Remark. In $R \times S$,

$$\begin{cases} (r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2) = (r_1 + r_2, s_1 + s_2) \\ (r_1, s_1) \cdot (r_2, s_2) = (r_1 \cdot r_2, s_1 \cdot s_2) \end{cases}$$

forms a ring. If it exists, the inverse of an element would look like (r^{-1}, s^{-1}) . We remind ourselves that there exists projection functions (pr_1, pr_2) from $X \times Y$ to X and Y .

Example. (4.2, 4.3)

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \neq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$$

7 Lecture 7 (March 25th)

Last class, we have learned the properties of rings and subrings. Today, we will learn about ring homomorphisms.

Proposition. (3.33) A finite integral domain is a field

Definition. (4.1) Let R and S be rings. The set

$$(R \times S, (r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2) = (r_1 + r_2, s_1 + s_2), (r_1, s_1) \cdot (r_2, s_2) = (r_1 r_2, s_1 s_2))$$

is called the cartesian product of R and S .

Example. (4.2, 4.3)

- (i) Comparing $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z}$ we find that these are very different sets. Adding identical elements in one results in the 0 whereas this isn't always the case for the other.
- (ii) Comparing $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}/6\mathbf{Z}$, we find that they are identical.

Definition. (4.5) Let S be a subset of a ring R . We say that S is a subring of R if

- (i) $0, 1 \in S$
- (ii) S is closed under $+$ and \cdot
- (iii) $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a ring

Note that a subring is not only a ring of its own but also manifests the algebraic structure of the original ring.

Example. (4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9, 4.11, 4.12, 4.13)

- (i) $\mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{C}$
- (ii) $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{Z}$
- (iii) $\Delta_R = \{(r, r) \mid r \in R\} \subset R \times R$
- (iv) $R \subset R[x]$
- (v) $\mathbf{Z}[i] = \{m + in \mid m, n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \subset \mathbf{C}$
- (vi)

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in R \right\} \subset M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbf{R})$$

Proposition. (4.14) S is a subring of R if and only if ...

Chapter 4.3 Ring Homomorphisms

Chapter 4.4 Isomorphisms of Rings

Definition. (cf. definition (4.29)) Let R and S be rings, and let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a function. We will say that ϕ is a ring isomorphism if it satisfies

- (i) ϕ is bijective
- (ii) ϕ preserves the ring operations, or $\phi(r_1 + r_2) = \phi(r_1) + \phi(r_2)$ and $\phi(r_1 r_2) = \phi(r_1) \phi(r_2)$

Definition. (4.15) We will say that ϕ is a ring homomorphism if

- (i) $\phi(r_1 + r_2) = \phi(r_1) + \phi(r_2)$
- (ii) $\phi(r_1 r_2) = \phi(r_1) \phi(r_2)$

8 Lecture 7 (March 27th)

Last time, we have learned about isomorphisms. Today, we will learn about homomorphisms.

Definition. (4.29) Let R and S be rings. A function $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is said to be an isomorphism if

- (i) ϕ is a bijection
- (ii) $\phi(r_1 + r_2) = \phi(r_1) + \phi(r_2)$
- (iii) $\phi(r_1 r_2) = \phi(r_1)\phi(r_2)$

We don't need conditions such as $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(-r) = -\phi(r)$, and $\phi(1) = 1$ as they are implied by the conditions above.

Definition. (4.15) Let R and S be rings. A function $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is called a (ring) homomorphism if

- (i) $\phi(r_1 + r_2) = \phi(r_1) + \phi(r_2)$
- (ii) $\phi(r_1 r_2) = \phi(r_1)\phi(r_2)$
- (iii) $\phi(1) = 1$

As the function isn't bijective, there doesn't need to be a mapping of $\phi(1)$, and we require the third condition.

Example. The function $f : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$ defined by $n \mapsto (n, 0)$ is not a ring homomorphism.

Definition. (4.32) We will say that two rings R and S are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : R \rightarrow S$.

Remark. (4.33) The isomorphic relation is an equivalence relation.

Example. (4.38 - 4.43)

- (i) $\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$
- (ii) $\mathbf{Z}/6\mathbf{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}$
- (iii) The complex conjugation $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} : z \mapsto \bar{z}$ is an isomorphism
- (iv) The function

$$\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$$

defined as

$$a + bi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

is an isomorphism.

(v) $R \rightarrow \Delta_R = \{(r, r) \mid r \in R\}$ defined as $r \mapsto (r, r)$ is an isomorphism

(vi) $R[x, y]$ and $(R[x])[y]$ is isomorphic

9 Lecture 9 (April 1st)

Last class, we have learned about isomorphisms and homomorphisms. This class, we learn about some properties of homomorphisms.

Definition. (4.29) A function $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is called an isomorphism if it is a homomorphism and bijective.

Proposition. (4.16) Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then $\phi(0) = 0$

Proof. $\phi(0 + 0) = \phi(0) + \phi(0) = \phi(0) = \phi(0) + 0$ □

Example. (4.17 – 26)

- (i) The unique function $0 : R \rightarrow 0$ is a homomorphism
- (ii) $0 \rightarrow R : 0 \mapsto 0$ is not a ring homomorphism if R is nontrivial
- (iii) $pr_1 : R \times S \rightarrow R$ and $pr_2 : R \times S \rightarrow S$ are ring homomorphisms
- (iv) $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} : m \mapsto \bar{m}$ is a ring homomorphism
- (v) $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow R : n \mapsto n \cdot 1$ is a ring homomorphism
- (vi) $\mathbf{Z}/12\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z} : \bar{n} \mapsto \bar{n}$ is a ring homomorphism
- (vii) Fix $r \in R$. $R[x] \rightarrow R : f(x) \mapsto f(r)$ is a ring homomorphism
- (viii) $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} : a + bi \mapsto a - bi$ is a homomorphism
- (ix) $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} : n \mapsto 2n$ is not a ring homomorphism
- (x) $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ there is no such ring homomorphism
- (xi) $\det : M_{2 \times 2}(R) \rightarrow R$ is not a ring homomorphism

We MUST check whether the function in (vi) is well defined.

Corollary. (4.31) Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then ϕ is an isomorphism if and only if there exists a ring homomorphism $\psi : S \rightarrow R$ such that $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_R$ and $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_S$.

Chapter 5 Canonical Decomposition, Quotients, and Isomorphism Theorems

Chapter 5.1 Rings: Canonical Decomposition I

Remark. Any function can be written as a composition of a surjection and an injection.

Proposition. (5.1) Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism. Then the image of ϕ (denoted as $\text{Im } \phi$) is a subring of S .

Remark. (5.2) If R' is a subring of R , then $f(R')$ is a subring of S .

Chapter 5.2 Kernels and Ideals

Definition. (5.3) Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism. The kernel of ϕ is the subset $\{r \in R \mid \phi(r) = 0\} \subset R$ and will be denoted by $\text{Ker } \phi$. Note that $\text{Ker } \phi = \phi^{-1}(\{0\})$.

Example. (5.4, 5.5)

- (i) Let n be a nonnegative integer. Then the kernel of the homomorphism $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ (given by $m \mapsto \bar{m}$) is $n\mathbf{Z}$
- (ii) Let $\text{ev}_0 : R[x] \rightarrow R$ be the homomorphism defined by the evaluation at 0. Then, $\text{Ker } \text{ev}_0$ is the set of all polynomials with no constant terms.

Proposition. (5.6) The set $(\text{Ker } \phi, +)$ satisfies the four ring axioms.

10 Lecture 10 (April 3rd)

Last time, we learned homomorphisms and kernels. Today, we will learn ideals and quotient rings.

Recall. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism.

Proposition. (5.1) $\text{Im } \phi = \phi(R) \subset S$ is a subring.

Remark. (5.2) If $R' \subset R$ is a subring, then $\phi(R') \subset S$ is also a subring.

Definition. (5.3) $\text{Ker } \phi = \phi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{r \in R \mid \phi(r) = 0\}$ is called the kernel of ϕ .

Proposition. (5.6) $(\text{Ker } \phi, +)$, closed under addition, satisfies the ring properties (i) through (iv). That is, it is an abelian group.

Proposition. (5.17) For all $a \in \text{Ker } \phi$ and all $r \in R$ both ra and ar belong to $\text{Ker } \phi$.

Definition. (5.8) Let R be a ring and I be a subset of R . I is an ideal if it is:

- (i) Closed under addition

- (ii) The additive identity is in I ($0 \in I$)
- (iii) (Absorption property) For all $a \in I$ and $r \in R$, ar and ra are in I

Remark. (i) If $a \in I$, then $(-1) \cdot a = -a \in I$

- (ii) If I is nonempty, then the condition that $0 \in I$ is redundant for I to be an ideal

Example. (5.10 – 15)

- (i) $\text{Ker } \phi$ is an ideal of R
- (ii) 0 and R are ideals of R
- (iii) $\mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{Q}$ is not an ideal
- (iv) $m\mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{Z}$ is an ideal for all $m \in \mathbf{Z}$
- (v) The set of all polynomials $f(x, y)$ in $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$ that have no constant term is an ideal.

Proposition. (5.16) Let R be a commutative ring and let $r \in R$ be an element. Then the subset

$$(a) = \{ra \mid r \in R\}$$

is an ideal of R .

Definition. (5.17) Let R be a commutative ring and let $a \in R$ be an element. We say that (a) is a principle ideal generated by a .

Remark. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be elements of a commutative ring R . Then the subset $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{r_1a_1 + r_2a_2 + \dots + r_na_n \mid r_i \in R\}$ is an ideal and called the ideal generated by a_1, \dots, a_n .

Chapter 5.3 Quotient Rings

The following diagram shows what we are trying to do.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Z} & \longleftrightarrow & R \\ n\mathbf{Z} & \longleftrightarrow & I \\ \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} & \longleftrightarrow & R/I \end{array}$$

Alike how we partitioned the integers using the relationship of multiples of integers, we are going to partition a ring using the relation that elements are in identical ideals.

Definition. (5.19) Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R . We define a relation \sim_I on R by declaring that $a \sim_I b$ if and only if $b - a \in I$. We say that a is congruent to b modulo the ideal I .

Proposition. (5.20) The relation \sim_I is an equivalence relation.

Remark. Let $R = \mathbf{Z}$ and $I = n\mathbf{Z}$ then

$$a \sim_I b \iff a \equiv_n b$$

Remark. For each $a \in R$, the equivalence class a can be described as follows:

$$[a] = \{r \in R \mid a \sim_I r\} = \{a + i \mid i \in I\} = a + I$$

For example,

$$\bar{2} = 2 + 5\mathbf{Z}$$

Definition. (5.22) We call \bar{a} the coset of a modulo I . We will denote by R/I the set of all cosets and call it the quotient of R modulo I .

11 Lecture 11 (April 8th)

Last class, we have learned kernels and ideals. Today, we will learn quotient rings and isomorphism theorems.

Definition. (5.3) Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism.

$$\ker \phi = \{r \in R \mid \phi(r) = 0\} = \phi^{-1}(\{0\})$$

Definition. (5.8) Let I be a subset of a ring R . Then I is said to be an ideal if

- (i) It is closed under $+$ and additive inverses
- (ii) $0 \in I$
- (iii) (Absorption property) $ar = ra \in I$ for all $r \in R$ and all $a \in I$

Proposition. (5.16) If R is commutative, then $\ker \phi$ is an ideal of R .

Definition. (5.19) Let R be a commutative ring and I be an ideal of R . $a \sim_I b$ if and only if $b - a \in I$. Then, we say " a is congruent to b modulo I ".

Remark. (i) Here, \sim_I is an equivalence relation

- (ii) The equivalence class of $a \in R$

$$[a] = \bar{a} + I = \{a + i \mid i \in I\} \subset R$$

is called the (left) coset of a modulo I .

Definition. (5.22) R/I (the set of all cosets $\{\bar{a} \mid a \in R\}$) is called the quotient of R modulo I .

Remark. We can give a ring structure to $R/I = \{\bar{a} \mid a \in R\}$ by defining

$$\begin{cases} R/I \times R/I \rightarrow R/I : (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \mapsto \overline{a+b} \\ R/I \times R/I \rightarrow R/I : (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \mapsto \overline{ab} \end{cases}$$

Theorem. (5.26) Let R be a (commutative) ring and let $I \subset R$ be an ideal. Then $(R/I, +, \cdot)$ is a ring.

Proof. We first show well-definedness of $+$ and \cdot . Then, we can show the eight ring properties. \square

Example. (5.27 - 34)

- (i) $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$
- (ii) $R/R = \{\bar{a} \mid a \in R\} = \{R\} \neq R$ In this case, $\bar{a} = R$ for every $a \in R$.
- (iii) If R is commutative, then R/I is also commutative ($\bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a+b} = \overline{b+a} = \bar{b} + \bar{a}$).
- (iv) R/I is not necessarily an integral domain, even if R is an integral domain. For example, $\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z}$ is not an integral domain, since $\bar{2} \cdot \bar{2} = \bar{0}$.
- (v) Consider $R[x] / (x)$. $\overline{f(x)} = \overline{1 + 2x + 3x^2} = \bar{1} + \bar{2} \cdot \bar{x} + \bar{3}(\bar{x})^2$.
- (vi) For a commutative ring R , $R[x] / (x - r) \cong R$
- (vii) $\mathbf{R}[x] / (x^2 + 1) \cong \mathbf{C}$. For $f(x) \in \mathbf{R}[x]$, $f(x) = (x^2 + 1)q(x) + ax + b$ and $\overline{f(x)} = \overline{ax + b}$. The function would be $\overline{f(x)} \rightarrow ai + b$.

12 Lecture 12 (April 10th)

Last time we have learned about quotient rings. Today, we learn about the first isomorphism theorems.

Chapter 5.3 Rings: Canonical Decomposition II

Proposition. (5.35) Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R . Then the natural projection $\pi : R \rightarrow R/I$ given by $r \mapsto \bar{r}$ is a surjective ring homomorphism with $\ker \pi = I$.

Proof. Almost yours!

$$\begin{aligned} r \in \ker \pi &\iff \pi(r) = \bar{r} = \bar{0} \\ &\iff r = r - 0 \in I \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem. (5.37 5.38) (The 1st isomorphism theorem) Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then

- (i) The function $\bar{\phi} : R / \ker \phi \rightarrow S$ given by the rule $\bar{\phi}(\bar{r}) = \phi(r)$ is a well-defined ring homomorphism
- (ii) $\bar{\phi}$ is an injective ring homomorphism
- (iii) $\text{Im } \bar{\phi} = \text{Im } \phi$

In particular, $\bar{\phi}$ induces an isomorphism $R / \ker \phi \rightarrow \text{Im } \phi$.

Remark. (i) For the projection function $\pi : R \rightarrow R / I$, applying the above, we have $R / \ker \pi \cong \text{Im } \pi$.

- (ii) We recall that a function can be decomposed into a surjection and an injection. Likewise, a homomorphism can be decomposed into a projection, isomorphism, and surjection.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\
 \pi \downarrow & & \uparrow \\
 R / \ker \varphi & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Im } \varphi
 \end{array}$$

- (iii) In linear algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
 L : V \rightarrow W &\implies V / \ker L \cong \text{Im } L \\
 &\implies \dim V - \dim \ker L = \dim V / \ker L = \dim \text{Im } L = \text{rank } L \\
 &\implies \dim L = \dim \ker L + \text{rank } L
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The steps are as follows.

- (*) Well-definedness of $\bar{\phi} : R / \ker \phi \rightarrow S : \bar{r} \mapsto \phi(r)$. We claim that if $\bar{r}_1 = \bar{r}_2$, then $\phi(r_1) = \phi(r_2)$. Note that $r_1 - r_2 \in \ker \phi$. Then,

$$0 = \phi(r_1 - r_2) = \phi(r_1) + \phi(-r_2) = \phi(r_1) - \phi(r_2)$$

- (i) Let $\bar{\phi}$ be a ring homomorphism. Prove + separately.

$$\bar{\phi}(\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}) = \bar{\phi}(\overline{ab}) = \overline{\phi(ab)} = \overline{\phi(a)\phi(b)} = \overline{\phi(a)} \cdot \overline{\phi(b)} = \bar{\phi}(\bar{a}) \cdot \bar{\phi}(\bar{b})$$

- (ii) We now prove that $\bar{\phi}$ is injective. Suppose that $\bar{r} \in \ker \bar{\phi}$. We want to prove that $\bar{r} = \bar{0}$. By the assumption,

$$0 = \bar{\phi}(\bar{r}) = \phi(r)$$

that is, $r \in \ker \phi$, which completes the proof.

- (iii) $\text{Im } \bar{\phi} = \text{Im } \phi$

□

Example. (5.40, 5.41)

- (i) Let R be a ring and $r \in R$. Consider the evaluation homomorphism

$$\text{ev}_r : R[x] \rightarrow R : f(x) \mapsto f(r)$$

Note that $\ker \text{ev}_r = (x - r)$. Applying the 1st isomorphism theorem,

$$R[x] / (x - r) = R[x] / \ker \text{ev}_r \rightarrow \text{Im } \text{ev}_r = R$$

13 Lecture 13 (April 15th)

Last time we have learned

Theorem. (5.37, 5.38) Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then:

- (i) The induced map $\bar{\phi} : R / \ker \phi \rightarrow S$ is defined by $\bar{\phi}(\bar{r}) = \phi(r)$ is a well-defined ring homomorphism
- (ii) $\bar{\phi}$ is injective
- (iii) $\text{Im } \bar{\phi} = \text{Im } \phi$

In particular, there is an isomorphism of rings $\bar{\phi} : R / \ker \phi \rightarrow \text{Im } \phi$.

Example. (i) For each $r \in R$ there is an isomorphism

$$R[x] / (x - r) \rightarrow R$$

- (ii) There is an isomorphism of rings

$$\mathbf{R}[x] / (x^2 + 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

Chapter 5.6 The Chinese Remainder Theorem

Example. Let's examine whether we can solve the following system of congruences.

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv_3 2 \\ x \equiv_7 2 \\ x \equiv_8 5 \end{cases}$$

We attempt to generalize this from \mathbf{Z} to R .

Definition. (5.43) Let R be a ring and let I and J be ideals of R . The sum $(I + J)$ of I and J is defined to be

$$\{a + b \mid a \in I, b \in J\}$$

The product (IJ) of I and J is defined to be

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \mid a_i \in I, b_i \in J \right\}$$

Remark. (i) $I + J$ and IJ are ideals of R

(ii) $I + J$ is the smallest ideal containing both I and J

(iii) $IJ \subset I \cap J \subset I$ or $J \subset I + J$

(iv) In $R[x]$, set $I = J = (x)$. Then $IJ = (x^2) \not\subset (x) = I \cap J$

(v) In $R[x]$, let $I = (x, 2)$. Then $x^2 + 4$ cannot be written as a product of two elements of I . Moreover, $I^2 \not\subset I$

Example. In \mathbf{Z} ,

(i) $(a) + (b) = (\gcd(a, b))$

(ii) $(a) \cap (b) = (\text{lcd}(a, b))$

(iii) $(a) \cdot (b) = (ab)$

Theorem. (5.52) (Chinese Remainder Theorem) Let R be a commutative ring, and let I and J be ideals of R . If $I + J = R$, then

$$R / IJ \cong R / I \times R / J$$

Corollary. (5.53) Let n_1, \dots, n_r be pairwise relatively prime positive integers. Let $N = n_1 n_2 \dots n_r$. Then $\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/n_1\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/n_2\mathbf{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbf{Z}/n_r\mathbf{Z}$.

Remark. Going back to solving a system of congruences, $(3, 7) = (7, 8) = (3, 8) = 1$ implied that there existed a unique solution in modulo $3 \cdot 7 \cdot 8$.

$$\mathbf{Z}/3 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cong \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/8\mathbf{Z}$$

Proposition. (5.48) Let R be a ring, let I and J be ideals of R . Assume that $I + J = R$. Then the homomorphism $\pi : R \rightarrow R/I \times R/J : r \mapsto (\bar{r}, \bar{r})$ is surjective.

Proof. For a given $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in R/I \times R/J$, we can find a $x \in R$ such that $\pi(x) = (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in R/I \times R/J$. Let $x - a = i \in I$ and $x - b = j \in J$. \square