

Subject: Re: Median forcing requirements from 2014 GCB paper
From: Julia Laube <julia.laube@wzw.tum.de>
Date: 7/26/17, 5:00 PM
To: Elizabeth Wolkovich <lizzie@oeb.harvard.edu>

Dear Lizzie,

sure I remember you, hope you are still doing fine in Harvard! I'm sorry for the late reply, I stopped working at Annettes's lab, and am currently changing flat, so I didn't check mails regularly.

Oh a meta analysis is interesting. Sure you know that Constantin Zohner (his Nature Climate Change article) thinks that American trees are adapted to erratic springs, and thus need higher forcing. However I'm not sure if that effect wasn't produced by Richard Primack keeping very high nighttime temperatures, actually.

So well, the sums were calculated as day sums with no base temperature or let's say a 0°C base temperature from January 1st onwards. The daily sums were not summed up as (daily min + daily max) / 2, but just as daily mean temperatures.

Hope that's clear? If you need any further value/information, please let me know.

Best wishes,

Julia

Am 17.07.2017 um 22:44 schrieb Elizabeth Wolkovich:

Hi Julia,

I hope this note finds all well with you. I am not sure if you will remember meeting me, but we met in Vienna couple years ago (and perhaps once before) then, and we exchanged emails regarding postdocs etc..

I am writing now because I am working on a meta-analysis of growth chamber studies and would like to include your 2014 Global Change Biology study. We extracted some of the data from figure 2 but to use it in our analysis we need to know how you calculated your forcing units and I did not see an description. I assume it is a GDD sum? Is that correct and if so how did you calculate it (e.g., what base temperature)?

Thank you for any information!

All the best,

Lizzie