

# Traitors intro

February 20, 2023

1. Spring phenology is ecologically important shaping both ecosystem services and community dynamics
  - (a) timing of start of the spring defines the start and overall length growing season = potential for forest carbon storage
  - (b) also shapes competitive interactions and the abundance and identity of herbivores and pollinators
  - (c) Climate change is shifting these things — changing cues and causing species to start growing earlier
2. Amidst these trends, spring phenology is highly variable across years and species
  - (a) At the start of spring we see the budburst and leaf out of understory shrubs and spring ephemerals
  - (b) Followed later in the season by budburst of taller trees and the closure of the forest canopy.
  - (c) Have identified differences across species (cite Flynn, and Laube)
  - (d) But our understanding of why budburst is so diverse is still limited—does not relate to species larger niche space.
3. Timing of plant phenological events define species' temporal niche = the partitioning of resources across species over time (Gotelli & Granves 1996 - ch5).
  - (a) temporal niche differences determine the abiotic environment during growth and biotic interactions – for example, competitive landscape and pressures from herbivory/disease.
  - (b) Distribution of temporal niche within community influences its potential invasibility— invasive spp tend to be early bb with the can fill vacant niche space early in the season.
4. In budbursting over the span of several weeks, plants experience different selective pressures.
  - (a) Species that bb early in the spring = greater abiotic pressures, such as risk of false spring events and frost = potential loss of tissue, but benefit from more light and resource availability
  - (b) early would thus mean cheap tissues a plant could replace
  - (c) Late spp have greater selection from biotic pressures = less light available and competition for resources
  - (d) late would be costly tissues better at resource acquisition
  - (e) these traits (or trade-offs) relate to a full framework about this ...
5. trait ecology's goal = predict sp-level characteristics by traits alone

- (a) Many leaf and wood traits do follow predictable gradients in their trait values, having associations that range from acquisitive (fast) growth strategies to more conservative (slow) growth strategies.
  - (b) Collectively, these trait relationships led to the development of the leaf economic spectrum and the wood economic spectrum
  - (c) These frameworks have been built into decades of research linking functional traits to species responses to abiotic and biotic factors and community assembly
  - (d) But these frameworks have limitations ...
  - (e) one is that they don't predict how variable are traits .... this is sometimes used to explain why phenology not incl. in traits
6. Despite the lack of integration between functional trait and phenological research, both are likely to shape species growth strategies and the biotic community they interact with.
  - (a) timing of species growth likely to be related to species leaf and wood traits
  - (b) Shrubs and other woody understory species tend to budburst earlier— soil resources and light availability is greatest— shorter, with leaf traits favourable to higher light availability (i.e. photosynthetic potential) and tolerance of late spring frost.
  - (c) Canopy species budburst later— taller, requiring greater wood densities, but more constant light compensates for their slower overall growth rates.
  - (d) But later budbursting species must be better competitors — compete with more species
  - (e) Associations are intuitive but few studies have tested for similar gradients in growth strategies in phenological events across diverse species
7. Based on these likely relationships between phenological and plant traits, we would expect the timing of budburst phenology to follow similar gradients as leaf and wood traits.
  - (a) spp with traits associated with acquisitive growth (high SLA, high LNC, short heights, small seeds) will have cue requirements associated with early tree budburst.
  - (b) Spp that are better competitors with conservative growth and later budburst, with low SLA and LNC, tall heights, and large seeds, will have phenological response associated with later season budburst.
  - (c) This gradient of early-late = driven by cues: early species are low force/chill/photo, later species are high chill or photo or both and likely higher forcing
8. We used available trait data from trait databases and bb data from the OSPREE database of controlled environment studies of woody plant species to test for associations between budburst responses to environmental cues and common functional traits
  - (a) Focus on the effects of forcing, chilling, and photoperiod cues and four commonly measured traits — SLA, LNC, height, & seed mass
  - (b) Our model attributes phenological variation (day of bb) to species' trait values while including residual variation from species (partial-pooling).
  - (c) When traits explain a significant portion of the variation, spp will explain only a small amount — may be able to predict spp growth strategies and phenological responses from trait values.