

# ON THE MONODROMY ACTION FOR $f(x, y) = g(x) + h(y)$

DANIEL LÓPEZ-GARCIA AND FABRICIO VALENCIA

## 1. EXAMPLE

In this text we compute an explicit example in order to illustrate the codes <sup>1</sup> using in the proof of the Lemma 2.5 in [1]. Consider the polynomial  $f(x, y) = x^6 + y^8$ , thus a Dynkin diagram associated to  $f(x, y)$  is presented in (1).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \delta_1 & \leftarrow & \delta_8 & \rightarrow & \delta_{15} & \leftarrow & \delta_{22} & \rightarrow & \delta_{29} \\
 \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \swarrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \swarrow & \uparrow \\
 \delta_2 & \leftarrow & \delta_9 & \rightarrow & \delta_{16} & \leftarrow & \delta_{23} & \rightarrow & \delta_{30} \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
 \delta_3 & \leftarrow & \delta_{10} & \rightarrow & \delta_{17} & \leftarrow & \delta_{24} & \rightarrow & \delta_{31} \\
 \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \swarrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \swarrow & \uparrow \\
 \delta_4 & \leftarrow & \delta_{11} & \rightarrow & \delta_{18} & \leftarrow & \delta_{25} & \rightarrow & \delta_{32} \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
 \delta_5 & \leftarrow & \delta_{12} & \rightarrow & \delta_{19} & \leftarrow & \delta_{26} & \rightarrow & \delta_{33} \\
 \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \swarrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow & \swarrow & \uparrow \\
 \delta_6 & \leftarrow & \delta_{13} & \rightarrow & \delta_{20} & \leftarrow & \delta_{27} & \rightarrow & \delta_{34} \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
 \delta_7 & \leftarrow & \delta_{14} & \rightarrow & \delta_{21} & \leftarrow & \delta_{28} & \rightarrow & \delta_{35}
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

The first code that we explain *VanCycleSub*. We prove Lemma 2.5, for the vanishing cycle  $\delta_{24}$  which is in the row 3, and the column 4 in (1). Let us denote by  $\mathcal{V}$  the subspace generated by the orbit of the monodromy action on  $\delta_{24}$ .

Some of the linear combination in  $\mathcal{V}$  described in Lemma 2.5 are:

$$\delta_{24}, \delta_{10}, \delta_{17} + \delta_{31}, \delta_{16} + \delta_{18} + \delta_{30} + \delta_{32}, \delta_{22}, \delta_{23}, \delta_{25}, \delta_{26}, \delta_{27}, \delta_{28}. \tag{2}$$

Note that, because we can generate  $\delta_{10}$ , then  $\delta_8, \delta_9, \dots, \delta_{14}$  are also in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Moreover,  $\delta_{k-7} + \delta_{k+7}$ , with  $k = 8, \dots, 14$  or  $k = 22, \dots, 28$  are in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Also,  $\delta_{k-8} + \delta_{k-6} + \delta_{k+8} + \delta_{k+6}$  with  $k = 9, \dots, 13$  or  $k = 23, \dots, 27$  are in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

However, for the proof of Lemma 2.5 it is enough to consider the vanishing cycles in (2), since the others that are missing will be checked when the same procedure is carried out with the vanishing cycles in (2). For example, if we can to check that  $\delta_{19} + \delta_{21} + \delta_{33} + \delta_{35}$  is in  $\mathcal{V}$ , we just need to know that those cycles are in the subspace generate by the monodromy action on  $\delta_{27}$ .

The use of *VanCycleSub* is as follows

```
[Dim, Tlvc, U, W , CoeffNonZero]=VanCycleSub(6,8,3,4);
```

and we get:

- **Dim** is the number of different eigenvalues of the monodromy matrix.

*Date:* December 2, 2023.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D05, 32S40, 34M35.

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/danfelmath01/Mondromy-and-tangential-problems-for-direct-sum-of-polynomials>

- Then,  $\mathbf{Dim} = 35$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{Tlvc}$  is in (3).

[illegible]

The norm of the coefficients appearing in the matrix  $\mathbf{W}$  are presented in the matrix (4).

$$\begin{array}{l}
1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 30 \\ 31 \\ 32 \\ 33 \\ 34 \\ 35
\end{array}
\begin{pmatrix}
0.1722 & 0.1722 & 0.4808 & 0.5511 & 0.0713 & 0.2124 & 0.3004 & 0.1722 & 0.2124 & 0.0713 \\
0.1722 & 0.1722 & 0.4808 & 0.5511 & 0.0713 & 0.2124 & 0.3004 & 0.1722 & 0.2124 & 0.0713 \\
0.1394 & 0.1394 & 0.3594 & 0.3415 & 0.1394 & 0.2935 & 0.0000 & 0.1394 & 0.2935 & 0.1394 \\
0.1394 & 0.1394 & 0.3594 & 0.3415 & 0.1394 & 0.2935 & 0.0000 & 0.1394 & 0.2935 & 0.1394 \\
0.1928 & 0.1928 & 0.2637 & 0.3562 & 0.0798 & 0.2018 & 0.2854 & 0.1928 & 0.2018 & 0.0798 \\
0.1928 & 0.1928 & 0.2637 & 0.3562 & 0.0798 & 0.2018 & 0.2854 & 0.1928 & 0.2018 & 0.0798 \\
0.0837 & 0.0837 & 0.1841 & 0.1110 & 0.2022 & 0.1964 & 0.2777 & 0.0837 & 0.1964 & 0.2022 \\
0.0837 & 0.0837 & 0.1841 & 0.1110 & 0.2022 & 0.1964 & 0.2777 & 0.0837 & 0.1964 & 0.2022 \\
0.1523 & 0.1523 & 0.1983 & 0.2153 & 0.1523 & 0.2804 & 0.0000 & 0.1523 & 0.2804 & 0.1523 \\
0.1523 & 0.1523 & 0.1983 & 0.2153 & 0.1523 & 0.2804 & 0.0000 & 0.1523 & 0.2804 & 0.1523 \\
0.0877 & 0.0877 & 0.1030 & 0.0671 & 0.2117 & 0.1904 & 0.2692 & 0.0877 & 0.1904 & 0.2117 \\
0.0877 & 0.0877 & 0.1030 & 0.0671 & 0.2117 & 0.1904 & 0.2692 & 0.0877 & 0.1904 & 0.2117 \\
0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
0.2500 & 0.2500 & 0.4330 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 \\
0.2500 & 0.2500 & 0.4330 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 \\
0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
0.2500 & 0.2500 & 0.2500 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 \\
0.2500 & 0.2500 & 0.2500 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 & 0.0000 & 0.2500 \\
0.1063 & 0.1063 & 0.1450 & 0.1409 & 0.2566 & 0.1547 & 0.2188 & 0.1063 & 0.1547 & 0.2566 \\
0.1063 & 0.1063 & 0.1450 & 0.1409 & 0.2566 & 0.1547 & 0.2188 & 0.1063 & 0.1547 & 0.2566 \\
0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
0.2637 & 0.2637 & 0.1928 & 0.4873 & 0.1092 & 0.1475 & 0.2086 & 0.2637 & 0.1475 & 0.1092 \\
0.2637 & 0.2637 & 0.1928 & 0.4873 & 0.1092 & 0.1475 & 0.2086 & 0.2637 & 0.1475 & 0.1092 \\
0.1983 & 0.1983 & 0.1523 & 0.2804 & 0.1983 & 0.2153 & 0.0000 & 0.1983 & 0.2153 & 0.1983 \\
0.1983 & 0.1983 & 0.1523 & 0.2804 & 0.1983 & 0.2153 & 0.0000 & 0.1983 & 0.2153 & 0.1983 \\
0.2075 & 0.2075 & 0.2415 & 0.5083 & 0.2075 & 0.1972 & 0.0000 & 0.2075 & 0.1972 & 0.2075 \\
0.2075 & 0.2075 & 0.2415 & 0.5083 & 0.2075 & 0.1972 & 0.0000 & 0.2075 & 0.1972 & 0.2075 \\
0.1030 & 0.1030 & 0.0877 & 0.0789 & 0.2487 & 0.1620 & 0.2292 & 0.1030 & 0.1620 & 0.2487 \\
0.1030 & 0.1030 & 0.0877 & 0.0789 & 0.2487 & 0.1620 & 0.2292 & 0.1030 & 0.1620 & 0.2487 \\
0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
0.2776 & 0.2776 & 0.2982 & 0.8883 & 0.1150 & 0.1318 & 0.1864 & 0.2776 & 0.1318 & 0.1150 \\
0.2776 & 0.2776 & 0.2982 & 0.8883 & 0.1150 & 0.1318 & 0.1864 & 0.2776 & 0.1318 & 0.1150
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The non-zero coefficients appearing  $\mathbf{W}$  are in (5)

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\
 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 7 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\
 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 8 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\
 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 11 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\
 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 12 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\
 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 21 & 9 & 9 & 9 \\
 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 22 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\
 11 & 11 & 11 & 11 & 11 & 11 & 25 & 11 & 11 & 11 \\
 12 & 12 & 12 & 12 & 12 & 12 & 26 & 12 & 12 & 12 \\
 15 & 15 & 15 & 21 & 15 & 21 & 31 & 15 & 21 & 15 \\
 16 & 16 & 16 & 22 & 16 & 22 & 32 & 16 & 22 & 16 \\
 19 & 19 & 19 & 25 & 19 & 25 & 34 & 19 & 25 & 19 \\
 20 & 20 & 20 & 26 & 20 & 26 & 35 & 20 & 26 & 20 \\
 21 & 21 & 21 & 27 & 21 & 27 & 0 & 21 & 27 & 21 \\
 22 & 22 & 22 & 28 & 22 & 28 & 0 & 22 & 28 & 22 \\
 25 & 25 & 25 & 29 & 25 & 29 & 0 & 25 & 29 & 25 \\
 26 & 26 & 26 & 30 & 26 & 30 & 0 & 26 & 30 & 26 \\
 27 & 27 & 27 & 31 & 27 & 31 & 0 & 27 & 31 & 27 \\
 28 & 28 & 28 & 32 & 28 & 32 & 0 & 28 & 32 & 28 \\
 29 & 29 & 29 & 34 & 29 & 34 & 0 & 29 & 34 & 29 \\
 30 & 30 & 30 & 35 & 30 & 35 & 0 & 30 & 35 & 30 \\
 31 & 31 & 31 & 0 & 31 & 0 & 0 & 31 & 0 & 31 \\
 32 & 32 & 32 & 0 & 32 & 0 & 0 & 32 & 0 & 32 \\
 34 & 34 & 34 & 0 & 34 & 0 & 0 & 34 & 0 & 34 \\
 35 & 35 & 35 & 0 & 35 & 0 & 0 & 35 & 0 & 35
 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

In order to prove that the vectors in (2) are in  $\mathcal{V}$ , we check that the position of the coefficients different from zero in each column of  $\mathbf{W}$  are contained in the position of the coefficients different from zero in the first column of  $\mathbf{W}$ . In other words, we check that the columns in (5) are contained in the first column of (5).

The second code that we explain is *Proof\_lemma\_2\_5*. This code is faster than using the previous one with each evanescent cycle for  $(d, e)$  given, since it calculates the monodromy matrix and the eigenvalues and eigenvectors only once. The use of *Proof\_lemma\_2\_5* is as follows

```
[Proof, FailVanCycle]=Proof_lemma_2_5(6,8);
```

and we get:

- **Proof** is a logical value. The value 1 means that the Lemma 2.5 is true for the given  $(d, e) = (6, 8)$ . The value 0 means that the lemma fail for the given  $(d, e)$  for some vanishing cycles.
- **FailVanCycle** is a list of the vanishing cycles for which the Lemma 2.5 is not true when  $Proof = 0$ .

In this particular example **Proof** = 1 and **FailVanCycle** = 0. However, for  $(d, e) = (6, 3)$  for example,

```
[Proof, FailVanCycle]=Proof_lemma_2_5(6,3);
```

we get **Proof** = 0 and **FailVanCycle** = 0.

**Remark:** Let  $d, e > 1$  such that  $\gcd(d, e) \leq 2$ . If the **Proof** = 0 for some values  $(d, e)$ , the rounding function used to determine whether a coefficient is zero must be checked. To do this the list **FailVanCycle** would be useful. However, for the values with  $\gcd(d, e) \leq 2$  studied in [1] **Proof** = 1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Lopez Garcia and F. Valencia: *On the monodromy action for  $f(x, y) = g(x) + h(y)$* , <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.05563>

D. LÓPEZ-GARCIA, F. VALENCIA - INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, RUA DO MATÃO 1010, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA, 05508-090 SÃO PAULO - BRASIL.  
DFLOPEZGA@IME.USP.BR, FABRICIO.VALENCIA@IME.USP.BR