
****

**REPOSITORY PATTERN**

Implementing the Repository Pattern in an ASP.NET project can be helpful for separating the data access logic from the rest of your application. Here's a step-by-step guide to implementing the Repository Pattern in an ASP.NET project:

Step 1: Define Repository Interface

* Create an interface that defines the contract for the repository.
* The interface should include methods for CRUD (Create, Read, Update, Delete) operations specific to your domain model.

public interface IRepository<T>

{

T GetById(int id);

void Add(T entity);

void Update(T entity);

void Delete(T entity);

}

Step 2: Implement Repository Interface

* Create a concrete class that implements the repository interface.
* Implement the methods defined in the interface using appropriate data access mechanisms (e.g., Entity Framework, ADO.NET, etc.).

public class Repository<T> : IRepository<T>

{

private readonly DbContext \_context;

public Repository(DbContext context)

{

\_context = context;

}

public T GetById(int id)

{

return \_context.Set<T>().Find(id);

}

public void Add(T entity)

{

\_context.Set<T>().Add(entity);

\_context.SaveChanges();

}

public void Update(T entity)

{

\_context.Set<T>().Update(entity);

\_context.SaveChanges();

}

public void Delete(T entity)

{

\_context.Set<T>().Remove(entity);

\_context.SaveChanges();

}

}

Step 3: Register Dependency Injection

* In your ASP.NET project's startup code (e.g., **`Startup.cs`**), register the repository class and its interface for dependency injection.

public void ConfigureServices(IServiceCollection services)

{

// Other configurations...

services.AddScoped(typeof(IRepository<>), typeof(Repository<>));

}

Step 4: Use Repository in Controllers or Services

* Inject the repository interface (**`IRepository<T>`**) into your controllers or services where you need to perform data access operations.

public class MyController : ControllerBase

{

private readonly IRepository<Category> \_categoryRepository;

public MyController(IRepository<Category> categoryRepository)

{

\_categoryRepository = categoryRepository;

}

// Use the repository methods as needed

public IActionResult GetCategory(int id)

{

var category = \_categoryRepository.GetById(id);

// Process and return the category

}

}

Code structure (Folder structure):

├── src

│ ├── Controllers

│ │ └── MyController.cs

│ ├── Models

│ │ └── Category.cs

│ ├── Repositories

│ │ ├── IRepository.cs

│ │ └── Repository.cs

│ ├── Services

│ │ └── CategoryService.cs

│ ├── appsettings.json

│ ├── Startup.cs

│ ├── Program.cs

│ └── ...

├── tests

│ ├── Controllers

│ │ └── MyControllerTests.cs

│ ├── Repositories

│ │ └── RepositoryTests.cs

│ ├── Services

│ │ └── CategoryServiceTests.cs

│ └── ...

├── README.md

└── ...

Explanation of the folder structure:

* **`src`**: This folder contains the source code of your project.
  + **`Controllers`**: This folder contains your ASP.NET controllers that handle HTTP requests and responses.
  + **`Models`**: This folder contains the domain models or entity classes, such as **`Category.cs`**.
  + **`Repositories`**: This folder contains the repository interface (**`IRepository.cs`**) and its implementation (**`Repository.cs`**).
  + **`Services`**: This folder contains any service classes that interact with repositories or other services.
  + **`appsettings.json`**: This file holds the configuration settings for your application.
  + **`Startup.cs`**: This file is where you configure various services and middleware for your ASP.NET application.
  + **`Program.cs`**: This file contains the entry point of your application.
  + Other files and folders specific to your project.
* **`tests`**: This folder contains your unit tests.
  + **`Controllers`**: This folder contains tests for your controller classes.
  + **`Repositories`**: This folder contains tests for your repository classes.
  + **`Services`**: This folder contains tests for your service classes.
  + Other files and folders specific to your tests.
* **`README.md`**: This file can provide documentation or instructions for your project.

**CQRS PATTERN**

Implementing the CQRS (Command Query Responsibility Segregation) pattern in an ASP.NET project can help you separate the responsibilities of handling queries (read operations) and commands (write operations). Here's a step-by-step guide to implementing the CQRS pattern in an ASP.NET project:

Step 1: Define Commands and Queries

* Identify the commands (write operations) and queries (read operations) in your application. Commands typically represent actions that modify data, while queries represent actions that retrieve data.

csharp

Copy code

// Example command

public class CreateProductCommand

{

public string Name { get; set; }

public decimal Price { get; set; }

}

// Example query

public class GetProductByIdQuery

{

public int Id { get; set; }

}

Step 2: Create Command Handlers

* Implement command handlers responsible for processing commands and updating the data accordingly. Command handlers should validate and execute the commands.

csharp

Copy code

public class CreateProductCommandHandler

{

private readonly IRepository<Product> \_productRepository;

public CreateProductCommandHandler(IRepository<Product> productRepository)

{

\_productRepository = productRepository;

}

public void Handle(CreateProductCommand command)

{

// Perform validation

var product = new Product

{

Name = command.Name,

Price = command.Price

};

\_productRepository.Add(product);

}

}

Step 3: Create Query Handlers

* Implement query handlers responsible for retrieving data based on the queries. Query handlers should retrieve the required data without modifying it.

csharp

Copy code

public class GetProductByIdQueryHandler

{

private readonly IRepository<Product> \_productRepository;

public GetProductByIdQueryHandler(IRepository<Product> productRepository)

{

\_productRepository = productRepository;

}

public Product Handle(GetProductByIdQuery query)

{

return \_productRepository.GetById(query.Id);

}

}

Step 4: Register Dependencies

* Register the command and query handlers, as well as the repositories, in the dependency injection container of your ASP.NET project.

csharp

Copy code

public void ConfigureServices(IServiceCollection services)

{

// Other configurations...

services.AddScoped<IRepository<Product>, ProductRepository>();

services.AddScoped<CreateProductCommandHandler>();

services.AddScoped<GetProductByIdQueryHandler>();

}

Step 5: Use Command and Query Handlers

* Inject the command and query handlers into your controllers or services where you need to execute commands or retrieve data.

csharp

Copy code

public class ProductController : Controller

{

private readonly CreateProductCommandHandler \_createProductCommandHandler;

private readonly GetProductByIdQueryHandler \_getProductByIdQueryHandler;

public ProductController(CreateProductCommandHandler createProductCommandHandler, GetProductByIdQueryHandler getProductByIdQueryHandler)

{

\_createProductCommandHandler = createProductCommandHandler;

\_getProductByIdQueryHandler = getProductByIdQueryHandler;

}

// Executing a command

[HttpPost]

public IActionResult Create(CreateProductCommand command)

{

\_createProductCommandHandler.Handle(command);

return RedirectToAction("Index");

}

// Retrieving data with a query

[HttpGet("{id}")]

public IActionResult GetById(int id)

{

var query = new GetProductByIdQuery { Id = id };

var product = \_getProductByIdQueryHandler.Handle(query);

return View(product);

}

}

Code structure (Folder structure):

├── src

│ ├── Controllers

│ │ └── ProductController.cs

│ ├── Commands

│ │ ├── CreateProductCommand.cs

│ │ └── ...

│ ├── Queries

│ │ ├── GetProductByIdQuery.cs

│ │ └── ...

│ ├── CommandHandlers

│ │ ├── CreateProductCommandHandler.cs

│ │ └── ...

│ ├── QueryHandlers

│ │ ├── GetProductByIdQueryHandler.cs

│ │ └── ...

│ ├── Repositories

│ │ └── ProductRepository.cs

│ ├── Models

│ │ └── Product.cs

│ ├── Services

│ │ └── ProductService.cs

│ ├── appsettings.json

│ ├── Startup.cs

│ ├── Program.cs

│ └── ...

├── tests

│ ├── Controllers

│ ├── CommandHandlers

│ ├── QueryHandlers

│ ├── Repositories

│ └── ...

├── README.md

└── ...

Explanation of the folder structure:

* **`src`**: This folder contains the source code of your project.
  + **`Controllers`**: This folder contains your ASP.NET controllers that handle HTTP requests and responses.
  + **`Commands`**: This folder contains the command classes, such as **`CreateProductCommand.cs`**.
  + **`Queries`**: This folder contains the query classes, such as **`GetProductByIdQuery.cs`**.
  + **`CommandHandlers`**: This folder contains the command handler classes responsible for processing commands, such as **`CreateProductCommandHandler.cs`**.
  + **`QueryHandlers`**: This folder contains the query handler classes responsible for handling queries, such as **`GetProductByIdQueryHandler.cs`**.
  + **`Repositories`**: This folder contains the repository classes responsible for data access and CRUD operations, such as **`ProductRepository.cs`**.
  + **`Models`**: This folder contains the domain models or entity classes, such as **`Product.cs`**.
  + **`Services`**: This folder contains any service classes that interact with repositories or other services.
  + **`appsettings.json`**: This file holds configuration settings for your application.
  + **`Startup.cs`**: This file is where you configure various services and middleware for your ASP.NET application.
  + **`Program.cs`**: This file contains the entry point of your application.
  + Other files and folders specific to your project.
* **`tests`**: This folder contains your unit tests.
  + **`Controllers`**: This folder contains tests for your controller classes.
  + **`CommandHandlers`**: This folder contains tests for your command handler classes.
  + **`QueryHandlers`**: This folder contains tests for your query handler classes.
  + **`Repositories`**: This folder contains tests for your repository classes.
  + Other files and folders specific to your tests.
* **`README.md`**: This file can provide documentation or instructions for your project.

**COMPARE CQRS AND REPOSITORY PATTERN**

The CQRS (Command Query Responsibility Segregation) pattern and the Repository pattern are both commonly used architectural patterns in software development, but they serve different purposes.

CQRS Pattern:

* The CQRS pattern focuses on separating the responsibilities of handling commands (write operations) and queries (read operations).
* It promotes the idea that commands and queries have different needs and should be handled differently.
* In the CQRS pattern, commands often result in changes to the system state, while queries retrieve data without modifying it.
* Command handlers validate and execute commands, while query handlers retrieve the requested data.
* CQRS can help improve scalability, performance, and flexibility by allowing separate optimization and scaling strategies for commands and queries.
* It can be useful in complex applications that have different requirements for write and read operations.

Repository Pattern:

* The Repository pattern focuses on providing a simple and consistent interface to access and manage data persistence.
* It abstracts the data access logic and provides a way to separate business logic from data access concerns.
* Repositories act as a mediator between the application and the data source (e.g., a database or an external service), providing methods to perform CRUD (Create, Read, Update, Delete) operations.
* Repositories encapsulate the logic for querying, updating, and storing domain objects.
* The Repository pattern helps improve testability, maintainability, and code organization by centralizing data access logic.
* It can be useful in applications that require a well-defined and uniform way to perform data operations.

While both patterns are independent of each other, they can be used together to achieve a more complete architecture in an application:

* The Repository pattern can be used within the CQRS pattern to handle data access and persistence for both read and write operations.
* CQRS can provide a higher level of abstraction for handling commands and queries, and repositories can handle the lower-level data access details.

Overall, the CQRS pattern and the Repository pattern have different focuses and purposes. The CQRS pattern is primarily concerned with separating command and query responsibilities, while the Repository pattern is focused on abstracting and centralizing data access logic. However, they can complement each other when used together in an application architecture.

**COMPARE MVC AND REPOSITORY PATTERN**

The MVC (Model-View-Controller) pattern and the Repository pattern are both commonly used architectural patterns in software development. However, they serve different purposes and operate at different layers of an application.

MVC Pattern:

* The MVC pattern is primarily concerned with separating an application into three interconnected components: the Model, the View, and the Controller.
* The Model represents the data and business logic of the application.
* The View represents the user interface and displays the data from the Model.
* The Controller handles user input and interacts with the Model and View to update the application state.
* The MVC pattern promotes separation of concerns and helps maintain a clear separation between presentation logic, business logic, and data.
* It provides a modular and extensible architecture, making it easier to maintain and test individual components.
* MVC is commonly used in web applications and frameworks like ASP.NET MVC, where the Controller receives requests, interacts with the Model layer, and returns responses using the View layer.


Repository Pattern:

* The Repository pattern is primarily concerned with providing a common interface and abstraction for accessing and managing data persistence.
* It further separates the data access logic from the business logic in an application.
* A Repository acts as a mediator between the application and the data source (e.g., a database or an external service), providing methods to perform CRUD (Create, Read, Update, Delete) operations on entities or domain objects.
* The Repository pattern encapsulates the logic for querying, updating, and storing data, providing a single point of access to the underlying data source.
* It helps improve maintainability, testability, and code reusability by centralizing and abstracting data access logic.
* The Repository pattern is commonly used in conjunction with an ORM (Object-Relational Mapping) framework, where the Repository abstracts the ORM's data access calls.

Comparison:

* The MVC pattern focuses on separating the concerns of presentation, user interaction, and business logic, whereas the Repository pattern focuses on separating data access concerns.
* MVC primarily deals with the presentation layer, while the Repository pattern primarily deals with the data persistence layer.
* MVC facilitates handling user input, processing requests, and rendering responses, while the Repository pattern simplifies data access and provides a uniform interface for working with data persistence.
* MVC does not explicitly specify how data should be accessed or managed, leaving that responsibility to the Model layer, which can leverage the Repository pattern or other data access strategies.

In summary, the MVC pattern is concerned with the presentation and user interaction aspects of an application, whereas the Repository pattern is concerned with data access and persistence. They can be used together, with the Repository pattern used within the Model layer to abstract and encapsulate data access logic.



I apologize for the confusion in my previous response. You're right, AutoMapper itself does not directly involve middleware. AutoMapper is a library used for object-to-object mapping in .NET applications. Middleware, on the other hand, is a component in ASP.NET that participates in the request/response pipeline.

Here's an updated example focusing on AutoMapper in ASP.NET:

1. Install the AutoMapper package via NuGet Package Manager.
2. Create a class representing the source and destination objects you want to map.

csharp

Copy code

public class SourceObject

{

public string Name { get; set; }

public int Age { get; set; }

}

public class DestinationObject

{

public string Name { get; set; }

public int Age { get; set; }

}

1. Configure AutoMapper in your application startup file (e.g., Startup.cs):

csharp

Copy code

// ConfigureServices method

public void ConfigureServices(IServiceCollection services)

{

// Add AutoMapper

services.AddAutoMapper(typeof(Startup));

// Other service configuration...

}

1. Use AutoMapper in your controller or service class.

csharp

Copy code

using AutoMapper;

public class MyService

{

private readonly IMapper \_mapper;

public MyService(IMapper mapper)

{

\_mapper = mapper;

}

public void MapObjects()

{

// Example: Map SourceObject to DestinationObject

var sourceObject = new SourceObject { Name = "John Doe", Age = 30 };

var destinationObject = \_mapper.Map<DestinationObject>(sourceObject);

// Do something with the mapped object

Console.WriteLine(destinationObject.Name);

Console.WriteLine(destinationObject.Age);

}

}

In this updated example, AutoMapper is used inside a service class (MyService) to map objects. You can inject IMapper into your service class or controller via constructor injection or any IoC container.

Middleware, which serves as a component of the ASP.NET request/response pipeline, is not directly related to AutoMapper. Middleware is used for handling requests and responses in various stages of the pipeline, such as authentication, logging, error handling, etc.

I apologize for the confusion caused by my previous response. If you have any further questions or need clarification, please let me know.

MIDDLE WARE

Certainly! Here's an example of creating a custom middleware in ASP.NET:

1. Create a new class for your middleware:

csharp

Copy code

using Microsoft.AspNetCore.Http;

using System;

using System.Threading.Tasks;

public class MyCustomMiddleware

{

private readonly RequestDelegate \_next;

public MyCustomMiddleware(RequestDelegate next)

{

\_next = next;

}

public async Task InvokeAsync(HttpContext context)

{

// Perform actions before passing the request to the next middleware

Console.WriteLine("Custom middleware executing...");

// Call the next middleware in the pipeline

await \_next(context);

// Perform actions after the request has been processed by subsequent middleware

}

}

1. In your Startup.cs file, add the middleware to the pipeline in the **`Configure`** method:

csharp

Copy code

public void Configure(IApplicationBuilder app, IWebHostEnvironment env)

{

// Other middleware configurations...

app.UseMiddleware<MyCustomMiddleware>();

// Other middleware configurations...

}

Make sure to add the **`app.UseMiddleware<MyCustomMiddleware>();`** line in the desired order within the **`Configure`** method.

1. Run your ASP.NET application, and the custom middleware will be executed for every request, logging "Custom middleware executing..." to the console.

This is a basic example of custom middleware. You can customize the **`InvokeAsync`** method to include additional logic before and after calling the next middleware in the pipeline. Middleware can intercept, modify, or respond to HTTP requests and responses.

Remember to include the **`Microsoft.AspNetCore.Http`** namespace and install any necessary packages for your specific middleware requirements.

I hope this example helps you understand how to create custom middleware in ASP.NET. Let me know if you have any further questions!

**DEPENDENCY INJECTION**

If you have multiple implementations of the **`IRepo`** interface, you can use named or keyed registrations to specify which implementation should be injected. Here's how you can do it using the built-in DI container in ASP.NET:

Step 1: Register Dependencies  
In the **`ConfigureServices`** method of your **`Startup`** class, you can register the dependencies and specify the implementation to be injected. Here's an example:

c

Copy code

public void ConfigureServices(IServiceCollection services)

{

services.AddScoped<IRepo, InheritRepo>(); // Register InheritRepo as the default implementation

services.AddScoped<IRepo, OtherRepo>("Other"); // Register OtherRepo with the name "Other"

}

In this example, we registered two implementations of the **`IRepo`** interface, **`InheritRepo`** and **`OtherRepo`**. We used the **`AddScoped`** method to specify the lifetime of the registered implementations.

Step 2: Inject and Use Dependency  
To use a specific implementation of **`IRepo`**, you can specify the dependency through its name using the **`FromServices`** attribute. Here's an example:

c

Copy code

public class MyController : Controller

{

private readonly IRepo \_inheritRepo;

private readonly IRepo \_otherRepo;

public MyController([FromServices] IRepo inheritRepo, [FromServices(Name = "Other")] IRepo otherRepo)

{

\_inheritRepo = inheritRepo;

\_otherRepo = otherRepo;

}

public IActionResult Index()

{

// Use \_inheritRepo and \_otherRepo methods

}

}

In this example, both **`IRepo`** dependencies are injected into the **`MyController`** class using constructor injection. The **`inheritRepo`** parameter is resolved to an instance of **`InheritRepo`** because it is the default implementation registered. The **`otherRepo`** parameter is resolved to an instance of **`OtherRepo`** because it is registered with the name "Other".

That's it! With this setup, you can use different implementations of the **`IRepo`** interface by injecting them based on their names or keys using the **`FromServices`** attribute.

Definition of Dependency Injection C#

If you take a closer look at Dependency Injection (DI), it is a software design pattern which enables the development of loosely coupled code. Through DI, you can decrease tight coupling between software components. It is also known as Inversion-of-Control, which makes [unit testing](https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/hh694602.aspx) convenient.

It is critical to take a step back to the basics of designing an object-oriented application where a major facet of design is “loose coupling.” This means that objects only have as many dependencies as needed to do their jobs–and, the number of dependencies should be limited.

In addition, an object’s dependencies should be on interfaces as opposed to “concrete” objects. What is a [concrete object](https://dotnetcodr.com/2017/02/07/convert-a-dynamic-type-to-a-concrete-object-in-net-c/)? This is any object created with the keyword “new.” Through loose coupling, you enable easier maintainability and greater reusability. Moreover, you can feature “mock” objects designed to take the place of costly services such as a socket-communicator. There are three types of DIs:

* Constructor Injection
* Setter Injection
* Method Injection

Since DI is utilized to make code maintainable, it uses a pattern with a builder object to initialize objects and give the required dependencies to the object. As you can see, you can now “inject” a dependency from outside the class.

How Dependency Injection C# Works

To illustrate, if your Client class needs to use a Service class component, the most you can do is make your Client aware of an IService interface instead of a Service class. Through this execution, you get to change the implementation of the Service class as many times as you like without breaking the host code.

It is helpful to understand the [Dependency Inversion Principle](http://www.oodesign.com/dependency-inversion-principle.html), which gives us the guidelines for writing loosely-coupled classes. Here is the definition:

* High-level modules should not depend on low-level modules. Both should depend on abstractions.
* Abstractions should not depend upon details. Details should depend upon abstractions.

How do you get two modules to depend on each other? Through Inversion of control. This is the actual mechanism you can use to make higher-level modules that depend on abstractions. You must invert the control to follow the dependency inversion principle. As a result, your high-level modules are no longer dependent on the lower-level concrete implementations.

Let’s dive a bit deeper into the three types of Dependency Injections:

1. Constructor Injection

The basic premise here is that the object has no defaults or a single constructor. What is required are specified values at the time of creation to instantiate the object. In a nutshell, [Constructor Injection](http://softwareengineering.stackexchange.com/questions/177649/what-is-constructor-injection) uses parameters to inject dependencies. This is the most common DI, which is executed by supplying the dependency through the class’s constructor when instantiating that class.

In addition, an injected component can be used anywhere within the class. Although, it should be used when the injected dependency is required for the class to function. Furthermore, the Constructor Injection is used within the most common scenario when a class requires one or more dependencies. Here are a few advantages of the Constructor Injection:

* Initiates a strong dependency contract.
* It supports testing.
* Can be made immutable.

1. Setter Injection

This is also called the [Property Injection](http://stackoverflow.com/questions/18779894/dependency-injection-when-to-use-property-injection). The Setter Injection lets us create costly resources and services only as required and as late as possible. Plus, it does not require up-front wiring of the entire dependency graph. The only issue is it can be difficult to identify which dependencies are required. Although, it does not require adding or modifying constructors. Furthermore, you will need to check for null before using it.

1. Method Injection

This is the least common and only used for edge cases. As the name states, [Method Injection](https://dotnetfreakblog.wordpress.com/2014/05/11/dependency-injection-using-methods-in-c-part-3/) injects the dependency into a single method to be utilized by that method. As a result, it is useful when the whole class only needs the one method and not the dependency.

Sure! Here are three common types of dependency injection in ASP.NET:

1. Constructor Injection:  
   Constructor injection is the most widely used type of dependency injection. It involves injecting dependencies through a class's constructor. Here's an example:

csharp

Copy code

public class MyService

{

private readonly IMyDependency \_myDependency;

public MyService(IMyDependency myDependency)

{

\_myDependency = myDependency;

}

// Use \_myDependency in methods of MyService

}

In this example, the **`MyService`** class depends on the **`IMyDependency`** interface, and the dependency is provided through the constructor.

1. Property Injection:  
   Property injection involves injecting dependencies through public properties of a class. Here's an example:

csharp

Copy code

public class MyService

{

public IMyDependency MyDependency { get; set; }

// Use MyDependency in methods of MyService

}

In this example, the **`MyService`** class has a public property **`MyDependency`** of type **`IMyDependency`**, which can be set with the required dependency.

1. Method Injection:  
   Method injection involves injecting dependencies as parameters to a method. Here's an example:

csharp

Copy code

public class MyService

{

public void MyMethod(IMyDependency myDependency)

{

// Use myDependency in the method

}

}

In this example, the **`MyMethod`** method of the **`MyService`** class takes an **`IMyDependency`** parameter, which can be passed in when calling the method.

These are just three common examples of dependency injection in ASP.NET. There are other advanced techniques like child container injection and ambient context injection. However, constructor injection, property injection, and method injection are the most commonly used approaches.

No, the above three ways of dependency injection are not automatically injected by the provider. They require explicit configuration and setup.

By default, ASP.NET does not provide automatic dependency injection. You need to configure a dependency injection container, often referred to as an IoC (Inversion of Control) container or DI container, to handle the injection of dependencies.

In ASP.NET, you can use a variety of DI containers like Microsoft.Extensions.DependencyInjection, Autofac, Unity, etc. These containers provide mechanisms to configure and manage dependencies.

To use constructor injection, property injection, or method injection, you need to register the dependencies with the DI container and configure it to inject the appropriate dependencies at runtime.

Here's an example using the built-in DI container in ASP.NET Core, Microsoft.Extensions.DependencyInjection:

csharp

Copy code

// ConfigureServices method in Startup.cs

public void ConfigureServices(IServiceCollection services)

{

// Registering dependencies

services.AddScoped<IMyDependency, MyDependency>();

// Other service registrations...

// Configure DI container to use constructor injection

services.AddScoped<MyService>();

}

In this example, we register **`IMyDependency`** and its implementation **`MyDependency`** with the DI container. Then, we configure the DI container to use constructor injection when injecting **`MyService`**, so whenever an instance of **`MyService`** is requested, the DI container will automatically inject the registered dependencies (**`IMyDependency`** in this case) into the constructor.

By configuring the DI container properly, dependencies will be resolved and injected automatically at runtime.

Benefits of Dependency Injection C#

With DI, you can inject additional code between the dependencies. To illustrate, you can use the Constructor Injection to give an object its dependencies. If you have a class with 10 methods that have no dependencies, but you want to add a new method with a dependency–you can change the constructor to use Constructor Injection.

On the other hand, you can simply add a new constructor that takes the dependency. Yet, if a dependency is expensive to create, you can use the Setter Injection since it lets you create the costly resources only when needed.  As you can see, DI makes code testable, maintainable, reusable and readable.

**SWAGGER**

Yes, you can definitely test your API with Swagger in an ASP.NET Core MVC project. In fact, Swagger is often used to document and test APIs in various types of ASP.NET Core projects, including MVC.

Here are the steps to enable Swagger in an ASP.NET Core MVC project:

1. Install the required NuGet packages:
   * Swashbuckle.AspNetCore
   * Swashbuckle.AspNetCore.SwaggerGen
   * Swashbuckle.AspNetCore.SwaggerUI

You can install the packages using the NuGet Package Manager or by adding the package references in your project file.

1. Configure Swagger in the Startup.cs file:
   * In the ConfigureServices method, add the following code to register Swagger services:

csharp

Copy code

services.AddSwaggerGen();

* + In the Configure method, add the following code to enable Swagger and Swagger UI:

csharp

Copy code

app.UseSwagger();

app.UseSwaggerUI(c =>

{

c.SwaggerEndpoint("/swagger/v1/swagger.json", "Your API Name");







});

1. Make sure to replace "Your API Name" with the actual name of your API.
2. Decorate your API controllers and actions with Swagger attributes to provide additional information about your API. For example, you can use the **`[Route]`**, **`[HttpGet]`**, **`[HttpPost]`**, etc. attributes to define the route and HTTP methods for your actions.
3. Build and run your ASP.NET Core MVC project. You should now be able to access the Swagger UI by appending "/swagger" to your application URL (e.g., <http://localhost:5000/swagger>).




The Swagger UI will display a user-friendly interface to explore and test your API endpoints. You can try different endpoints, send requests, and view the responses directly in the Swagger UI.

Note: It's important to secure your APIs properly in production environments and consider the security implications of providing an open API documentation and testing interface.

I hope this helps! Let me know if you have any further questions.

**RESTFUL API**     

{Domain\_name}/{controller}/{action}/{parameter}



CREATE FUNCTION [schema\_name.]function\_name

( [ @parameter [ AS ] [type\_schema\_name.] datatype

[ = default ] [ READONLY ]

, @parameter [ AS ] [type\_schema\_name.] datatype

[ = default ] [ READONLY ] ]

)

RETURNS return\_datatype

[ WITH { ENCRYPTION

| SCHEMABINDING

| RETURNS NULL ON NULL INPUT

| CALLED ON NULL INPUT

| EXECUTE AS Clause ]

[ AS ]

BEGIN

[declaration\_section]

executable\_section

RETURN return\_value

END;