

# **Chapter 3 (cont)**

## **Database security**

1

1

### **Outline**

- ☐ Access control mechanisms:
  - ☐ DAC.
  - ☐ MAC.
  - ☐ RBAC.

2

2

### 3 Access Control Models

- ☐ DAC (Discretionary Access Control)
  - ☒ A type of security access control that grants or restricts the access of a user to an object.
  - ☒ A subject can grant/revoke privileges to/from another subjects conforming to a set of rules.
- ☐ MAC (Mandatory Access Control)
  - ☒ Define for a class of subjects a set of principles to directly or indirectly access classes of objects.
- ☐ RBAC (Role-based Access Control)
  - ☒ A role is a set of privileges. Grant roles instead of individual privileges to a subject, then the subject will have all the privilege included in that role.

3

3

### DAC

- ☐ Discretionary access control (DAC) is a type of security access control that grants or restricts object access via an access policy determined by an object's owner group and/or subjects.
- ☐ DAC mechanism controls are defined by user identification with supplied credentials during authentication, such as username and password.
- ☐ DACs are discretionary because the subject (owner) can transfer authenticated objects or information access to other users. In other words, the owner determines object access privileges.

4

4

## DAC in commercial DBMS

- ☐ All commercial DBMS implement DAC.
- ☐ Current DAC models bases on System R model.
- ☐ System R: developed by Griffiths and Wade in 1976, is one of the first models introduced for Relational DBMS.
- ☐ System R: Base on authorizing object owner's admin privileges.

5

5

## System R

- ☐ Managed objects: table and view.
- ☐ Privilege: select, insert, update, delete, drop, index (only for table), alter (only for table).
- ☐ Only support group, not support role.
- ☐ Use GRANT command to grant privilege, with GRANT OPTION.

6

6

## System R

- ☐ The authorization is expressed via GRANT OPTION, meaning the granted user can re-grant the privilege to other users.
- ☐ A user can grant privileges on a relation to other users if he is the owner of the relation, or he is granted those privileges with GRANT OPTION.

7

7

## GRANT command

```
GRANT PrivilegeList | ALL[PRIVILEGES]  
ON Relation | View  
TO UserList | PUBLIC  
[WITH GRANT OPTION]
```

- ☐ Can grant privilege on table and view.
- ☐ Privilege applied to the entire table (or view).
- ☐ For update privilege, indicating specific updatable columns is required.

8

8

## GRANT – Ex:

A: GRANT select, insert ON NHANVIEN TO B  
WITH GRANT OPTION;

A: GRANT select ON NHANVIEN TO C  
WITH GRANT OPTION;

B: GRANT select, insert ON NHANVIEN TO C;

- ☐ C receives select privilege (from A and B) and insert privilege (from B).
- ☐ C can grant select privilege to other users, but C can not grant insert privilege.

9

9

## GRANT command

- ☐ For each user, DBMS records:
  - ☐ A: Set of privileges this user has.
  - ☐ B: Set of privileges this user can grant to other users.
- ☐ When a user execute GRANT command, DBMS will
  - ☐ Identify the intersection of B and the privileges in Grant command.
  - ☐ If the intersection is empty, the command will not be run.

10

10

## GRANT – Ex:

A: GRANT select, insert ON NHANVIEN TO C WITH GRANT OPTION;  
A: GRANT select ON NHANVIEN TO B WITH GRANT OPTION;  
A: GRANT insert ON NHANVIEN TO B;  
C: GRANT update ON NHANVIEN TO D WITH GRANT OPTION;  
B: GRANT select, insert ON NHANVIEN TO D;

11

11

## GRANT – Ex:

☐ In this example:

- ☐ 1. Which command will be executed entirely?
- ☐ 2. Which command will not be executed?
- ☐ 3. Which command is partially executed?

TRẢ LỜI:

- 1. The first 3 commands (A is the table's owner).
- 2. The 4<sup>th</sup> command, C does not have Update privilege.
- 3. The 5<sup>th</sup> command, B has select, insert privilege, but B does not have grant option for insert privilege, so D only receive select privilege.

12

12

## Revoke command

REVOKE *PrivilegeList* | ALL[PRIVILEGES]  
ON *Relation* | *View*  
FROM *UserList* | PUBLIC

- ☐ For revoking granted privileges.
- ☐ User can only revoke privileges granted by himself.
- ☐ User can not revoke grant option.
- ☐ A user will only loose a privilege if all users who granted the privilege to him have revoked it.

13

13

## Revoke – Ex:

A: GRANT select ON NHANVIEN TO C WITH  
GRANT OPTION;

A: GRANT select ON NHANVIEN TO B WITH  
GRANT OPTION;

C: GRANT insert ON NHANVIEN TO D;

B: GRANT select ON NHANVIEN TO D;

C: REVOKE select ON NHANVIEN FROM D;

- ☐ After all these command, D can still select from NHANVIEN as B has not revoked this privilege from D.

14

14


## Revoke

- Recursive revocation: When A revoke some privileges from B, DBMS will also revoke the privileges from all users who are granted by B.

15

15

## Recursive revocation



16

16



## Recursive revocation

- ☐ In fact, when a user A leaves or changes his position, we only want to revoke his privileges. We do not want to revoke other users' privileges granted by A.

17

17


## Recursive revocation

- ☐ Recursive revocation in System R bases on timestamps of every time privileges are granted.
- ☐ A variation of this approach is not based on timestamps, the purpose is to avoid Recursive revocation.
- ☐ Then, if C is revoked by B and C has the same privileges granted by another user (although later), the privileges that C granted to other users are still held.

18

18

## A variation of Recursive revocation



19

19

## Thu hồi quyền không dây chuyền (Noncascading revoke)

- ☐ Khi A thu hồi quyền truy xuất trên B thì tất cả quyền truy xuất mà B đã cấp cho chủ thể khác được thay bằng A đã cấp cho những chủ thể này.

20

20

## Non-cascading revoke


Notice:

- Because B is granted privileges from multiple users (other than A), not all the privileges granted by B are actually granted by A. And A is only considered to be a substitute for B when B grants the privileges (A has granted B) to other users.
- A will be the user who granted the privileges B granted after receiving that authorization from A with WITH GRANT OPTION. With the privileges granted to B by  $C \neq A$ , then B in turn grants to others, B is still the user who grants these privileges.

21

21


## Non-cascading revoke



22

22

## Non-cascading revoke



23

23

## Non-cascading revoke

- Note that with the privilege H granted to L, after revocation of the privilege, it is not allowed to replace I as the granter because this privilege was granted before I granted to H.

24

24

## View and the content-based authorization

- ☐ In most RDBMSs, view is a commonly used mechanism to support content-based access control
- ☐ Use predicates to limit the content of data that needs authorization.
- ☐ Only those records satisfying the predicate are considered the objects of authorization.

25

25

## View and the content-based authorization

- ☐ Content-based access control in RDBMS is done as follows:
  - ☒ Define a view  $V$  that uses predicates to select the data rows that you want to grant to subject  $S$ .
  - ☒ Grant  $S$  with privileges on view  $V$ .

26

26

## View and the content-based authorization

- ☐ For example, suppose we want to grant user B permission to access only employees who have salary less than 20,000 :
  - ☒ **CREATE VIEW V\_NHANVIEN AS**  
    **SELECT \* FROM NHANVIEN**  
    **WHERE LUONG < 20000;**
  - **GRANT Select ON V\_NHANVIEN TO B;**

27

27

## Query processing steps

- ☐ Parsing
  - ☐ Catalog lookup
  - ☐ Authorization checking
  - ☐ View Composition
    - ☒ The query on the view will be converted to the query on the base tables through this step.
    - ☒ The result will be based on the predicate of the query and the predicate that defines the view.
- B: **SELECT \* FROM V\_NHANVIEN**  
    **WHERE CONGVIEC = 'Lap trinh vien';**
- Query after view composition:  
**SELECT \* FROM NHANVIEN**  
    **WHERE LUONG < 20000 AND**  
    **CONGVIEC = 'Lap trinh vien';**
- ☐ Query optimization

28

28

## Comment

- ☐ Because authorization checking is done before the view composition step, the privileges checked are based on the view, not on the base tables used to define the view.
- ☐ View is useful when granting permissions on columns - just create the view of the columns to which we want to grant access.
- ☐ View is also useful in granting access to statistical data (data generated from AVG, SUM, etc.)
- ☐ Privileges on Views can be granted or revoked like privileges on tables.
- ☐ Users who want to create a View must have Select privilege on the base tables.

29

29

## View and the content-based authorization

- ☐ View definer: User who defines the view.
  - ☐ The privileges view definer has on view depend on :
    - The semantics of views or the base tables used to create views.
    - The privileges that view definer has on base tables.
  - ☐ The alter and index privileges do not apply to view, so the view definer never has these privileges on view.
- ```
A: CREATE VIEW V1 (MANV, TONGTIEN)
  AS SELECT MANV, LUONG+THUONG
  FROM NHANVIEN WHERE CONGVIEC = 'Lap trinh vien'
```

The update operation is not defined on the TONGTIEN field of the view, so A will not be able to update this field.

30

30

## Authorization on view

- ☐ To determine the privileges that view definer has on his view, BDMS must:
  - ☒ Identify the intersection of privileges that the view definer has on base tables and the privileges for operations that can be performed on the view.

31

31

## Authorization on view – Ex:

- ☐ Consider the table NHANVIEN and suppose A is the person who created table NHANVIEN  
A: GRANT Select, Insert, Update ON NHANVIEN to D;  
D: CREATE VIEW V1 AS SELECT MANV, LUONG FROM NHANVIEN;  
D: CREATE VIEW V2 (MANV, LUONG\_NAM) AS SELECT MANV, LUONG\*12 FROM NHANVIEN;
- ☐ D can perform all the operations on V1 as D can do on table NHANVIEN, namely Select, Insert, Update.
- ☐ However, D can only perform on V2 the Select and Update command on column MANV.

32

32



## Authorization on view – Ex:

- ☐ Can definitely grant privileges on view:
  - ☐ The privileges that a user can grant are the privileges that he has with a grant option on base tables.
  - ☐ For example, user D cannot grant any privileges on view V1 and view V2 that D has defined because D was not granted with a grant option before.

33

33

## Authorization on view – Ex:

- ☐ Consider the following statements :
    - ☐ A: GRANT Select ON NHANVIEN TO D WITH GRANT OPTION;
    - ☐ A: GRANT Update, Insert ON NHANVIEN TO D;
    - ☐ D: CREATE VIEW V4 AS SELECT MANV, LUONG FROM NHANVIEN;
- D's privileges on V4:
- Select with Grant Option;
  - Update, Insert without Grant Option;

34

34

## DAC – Positive permissions / Negative permissions

- ☐ System R and most DBMS use close policies.
  - ☐ With closed policy, lack of privileges means that there is no access.
- ☐ When user accesses a data object, DBMS looks up in the list of privileges that he has, if no suitable privileges found, access is denied.
  - ☐ Drawback: The lack of access privileges does not prevent the user from receiving privileges from another user.
  - ☐ For example, x does not have privileges on object o, but in the case of a system that uses a policy of sharing administrative rights, the owner who has the privileges to grant access on o may accidentally grants privileges on o to x.
- ☐ Negative permission is introduced to solve this problem.

35

35

## DAC – Positive permissions / Negative permissions

- ☐ Positive permissions : List of can-use privileges.
- ☐ Negative permissions: List of can-NOT-use privileges.
- ☐ However, this can cause some conflict.  
*Eg:* A can WRITE to table NHANVIEN.  
A can not READ from table PHONGBAN.  
A can not WRITE to column LUONG of table NHANVIEN.
- ☐ Often Negative permissions get the priority.

36

36

## DAC – Positive permissions / Negative permissions

- Negative permissions is enforced as privileges blocking.
- When a user is assigned Negative permissions on an object, his Positive permissions on the object are blocked, until the Negative permissions are revoked.

### Advantages:

- If accidentally assigned negative permissions to users, they can be revoked.
- It is possible to block a person's access for a period of time by assigning negative permissions and then revoking them.

37

37

## DENY command

- DENY {ALL [PRIVILEGES] | *permission*[...*n*]} {  
[[*column*[...*n*]]] ON { *table* | *view* } |  
ON {*table* | *view*} [( *column*[...*n*])] | {*procedure* |  
*extended\_procedure*} }  
TO *security\_account*

Ex:

```
DENY SELECT, INSERT, UPDATE  
ON NHANVIEN  
TO A, B
```

38

38

## Revoking Granted and Denied Permissions

- ☐ **Use REVOKE:**
  - ☐ **We can revoke Positive permissions (granted by GRANT command)**
  - ☐ **We can revoke Negative permissions (blocked by DENY command)**
- ☐ REVOKE and DENY are alike in that they prohibit some operations.
- ☐ REVOKE and DENY differ in that REVOKE delete a privilege granted in the past, while DENY blocks a privilege to be used in future.

39

39

## DAC – Context constraint

- ☐ In fact, users are only allowed to access data for a certain period of time.
- ☐ There should be a mechanism to support access within a given period.
  - ☐ Ex: the mechanism which only allowing part-time workers to access data only between 9am and 1pm from 1/1/98.
- ☐ In most DBMS, this is often implemented in application programs.
  - ☐ Disadvantage: When confirming and changing access control policies, it is not guaranteed that this policy is enforced.
- ☐ The Time-based access control model is proposed to address this problem.

40

40

## DAC – Context constraint

- Effective time :
  - Each access privileges has a Effective time
  - After the expiry of the Effective time, the access privileges are automatically revoked without the need of the administrator revoking them.
- Usage cycle of access privileges :
  - Cyclic access rights can be positive or negative permissions. If in the same period of time the user has both a positive or negative permissions on the same object and the same access method, then the priority is negative.
- Inference mechanisms based on inference rules
  - Inference rules denote the constraint of privileges over time.
  - These rules allow inference of new access privileges based on the existence or non-existence of other access privileges for a specified period of time.
  - For example: If two users work on the same project, they must have the same access privileges on the same objects.

41

41

## DAC – Context constraint

- Access privileges are defined as a set of 5 attributes  $auth = (s, o, m, pn, g)$ .

Where as:

- $s$  (subject),  $g$  (granter)  $U$  (user list).
- $m \in M$  (access method).
- $o \in O$  (object).
- $pn \in \{+, -\}$  (pos/neg permission).

□ *Ex:*

$(B, o1, read, +, C) : C$  grant to  $B$  privilege to read  $o1$ .

$(B, o1, write, -, C) : C$  block  $B$  from writing to  $o1$ .

42

42

## DAC – Context constraint

- Time-based access control is the triple  $([begin, end], P, auth)$ .

Where :

- **begin** is start time.
- **end** is end time.
- **P** is the cycle expression.
- **auth** is the access privilege.

- The privileges will take effect in cycle P with the access day  $\geq t_b$  (begin day) and  $\leq t_e$  (end day).

Ex 2:  $A1 = ([1/1/94, ], Mondays, (A, o1, read, +, B))$  granted by B, denote that A can read from o1 on Monday from 1/1/94.

43

43

## DAC – Context constraint

- Using negative permissions can lead to some conflict.

□ Ex:

- Assume that we have  $A2 = ([1/1/95, ], Working-days, (A, o1, read, -, B))$  along with  $A1 = ([1/1/94, ], Mondays, (A, o1, read, +, B))$ .
- From 1/1/95, A has Neg and Pos permissions on o1 at the same time for the same read operation.
- Solve: The negative permissions take priority.

44

44

## DAC – Context constraint

□ The inference rule is defined as the triple  $([begin, end], P, A <OP> \mathcal{A})$

where:

- **begin** is the start day.
- **end** is the end day .
- **P** is the cycle expression,
- **A** is the privilege.
- $\mathcal{A}$  is the Bool expression of the access privilege.
- **OP** is one of the operators: WHENEVER, ASLONGAS, UPON.

□ The semantics of each operator in the inference rules :

$([begin, end], P, A \text{ WHENEVER } \mathcal{A})$  : privilege A takes effect at time  $t \in$  cycle P and  $t \in [t_b, t_e]$  when  $\mathcal{A}$  takes effect.

□ Ex :

$A1 = ([1/1/95, 1/1/96], \text{Working-days}, (M, o1, \text{read}, B))$

$R1 = ([1/1/95, ], \text{Summer-time}, (S, o1, \text{read}, +, \text{Bob}) \text{ WHENEVER } (M, o1, \text{read}, +, B)).$

→ S can only read object o1 in summer time, from 1/1/95 when M can read o1.

45

45

## DAC - Comment

□ Advantage:

- DAC is flexible in policy, so it is applied by most DBMS

□ Disadvantage:

- Lack of information flow control to protect DB against Trojan Horse attack.

46

46

- ☐ RBAC (Role based Access Control)
  - ☐ Most DNMS support RBAC.
  - ☐ RBAC can be used in conjunction with DAC or MAC or used independently.
  - ☐ Most DBMS only support flat RBAC.

47

47

## Role and Group

- ☐ At a basic level, roles can be considered equivalent to groups.
  - ☐ A privilege can be assigned to one or more groups or one or more roles, and a group or role is associated with one or more privileges.
  - ☐ Assigning a user to a group or role allows the user to use the privileges of that group or role.
  - ☐ The main difference between group and role is that group is a set of users (not a set of permissions). A role is a collection of users as well as a collection of permissions. A role is the intermediary object to bring these two sets together.

48

48




# RBAC

- ☐ Applied in the early 1970s.
- ☐ The main concept of RBAC is that the privileges are associated with roles.
- ☐ When the number of subjects and objects is large → the number of privileges can become extremely large.
- ☐ If users are in high demand, granting and revoking will happen regularly.
- ☐ With RBAC, it is possible to pre-limit role- privilege relationships, which makes assigning users to predefined roles easier.
- ☐ Without RBAC it would be difficult to determine which privilege is to be granted to which user.
- ☐ Users are assigned the appropriate roles. This makes it simple to manage permissions.
- ☐ In an organization, different job position are categorized into roles and users are assigned roles based on their responsibilities and capabilities.

49

49


Ex:



50

50

Ex:



51

51

Ex:

| Account                  | Permission assigned | Result                                                                                                                                            |
|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Role A                   | GRANT SELECT        | Members of role A have SELECT permission                                                                                                          |
| Role B, member of role A | GRANT INSERT        | Members of role B have SELECT permissions (because role B is a member of role A) and INSERT permission                                            |
| User A, member of role B | DENY INSERT         | User A has SELECT permission because it is a member of role A. User A does not have INSERT permission because INSERT has been denied to this user |
| Role A                   | DENY SELECT         | Members of role A do not have SELECT permission                                                                                                   |

| Account                  | Permission assigned | Result                                                                                                                   |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Role B, member of role A | GRANT SELECT        | Members of role B do not have SELECT permission because role B is a member of role A, which denies the SELECT permission |
| User A, member of role B | GRANT INSERT        | User A has INSERT permission only                                                                                        |
| Role A                   | GRANT SELECT        | Members of role A have SELECT permission                                                                                 |
| Role B, member of role A | REVOKE SELECT       | Members of role B have SELECT permission because they still get it from role A                                           |
| User A, member of role B | GRANT INSERT        | User A has SELECT permissions (because the user is a member of role B) and INSERT permissions                            |

52

## MAC

- ☐ MAC (Mandatory Access Control)

53

53

## MAC

- ☐ Access control is based on the classification of subjects and data object.
- ☐ MAC is used in environments that need strict security control, such as government, military, ...
- ☐ MAC is installed in ORACLE Database.

54

54


## MAC

- ☐ Object: tables, views, tuples.
- ☐ Subject: users, user programs.
- ☐ Security class (or level, or labels)
  - ☒ Top Secret (TS), Secret (S), Confidential (C), Unclassified (U), where  $TS > S > C > U$
- ☐ Each subject and each object is classified into a class.
  - ☒ No read – up: Subject S can read object O if  $\text{Class}(S) \geq \text{Class}(O)$ .
  - ☒ No write – down: Subject S can write O if  $\text{class}(S) \leq \text{Class}(O)$ .
    - ☒ However, in fact, most DBMSs do not allow write ups, only write to the same levels object. Check this out with Oracle?

55

55

## MAC



56

56

## MAC – Comment

- ☐ The principle of the data unit of the security object.
  - ☒ The entire database, or file, or columns or in each item.
- ☐ There is no automatic technique for assigning security labels.
- ☐ Many users request access simultaneously.
  - ☒ Because of the information flow policy, people with higher levels of security can not write to a data categories of lower level. For example, assume 2 subjects  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  with label  $(s_1) > \text{label}(s_2)$ , data item  $d$  with label  $(d) = \text{label}(s_2)$ , and commercial rule states that writing data to  $d$  by  $s_2$  requires  $s_1$ 's approval. This is not suitable for commercial applications of MLS database technology.

57

57

## MAC

- ☐ MAC is also called Multilevel security – MLS, applied to Multilevel Relational Model - MLR.
- ☐ The DBMS that satisfies the properties of multi-level security is designed based on the Bell and LaPadula platform models.

58

58

## MLR

- ☐ In a Multilevel security model, data items and subjects have their own access levels, for example TS (Top Secret), S (Secret), U (Unclassified), etc., including classification and permission to use confidential information (clearance).
- ☐ The subject, when accessing data, is restricted by the mandatory access controls, the "no read up, no write down" model by Bell and LaPadula.

59

59

## MLR

- ☐ A multi-level relation is described by two components :
  - $R(A_1, C_1, \dots, A_n, C_n, TC)$  where:
    - $A_i$  is a property in range  $D_i$ .
    - $C_i$  is a classification property for  $A_i$ ; Its domain is a collection of access levels that can be associated with the value of  $A_i$ .
    - $TC$  is a classification property for  $(TC=TUPLE-CLASS)$ , is the highest access level for  $c_i$ .
  - Classification property can not be null.

| <u>Name</u> | $C_{Name}$ | Dept# | $C_{Dept\#}$ | Salary | $C_{Dept\#}$ | TC   |
|-------------|------------|-------|--------------|--------|--------------|------|
| A           | Low        | Dept1 | Low          | 100K   | Low          | Low  |
| B           | High       | Dept2 | High         | 200K   | High         | High |
| S           | Low        | Dept1 | Low          | 150K   | High         | High |

60

60

## MLR

- ❑ An instance of the relation at level  $c$  contains all the data that the subject at class  $c$  sees. Therefore, it contains all the data that access level  $\leq c$ .
- ❑ All elements with access level higher than  $c$ , or not comparable are hidden behind the null value.

| Name | C <sub>Name</sub> | Dept# | C <sub>Dept#</sub> | Salary | C <sub>Dept#</sub> | TC  |
|------|-------------------|-------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|-----|
| Bob  | Low               | Dept1 | Low                | 100K   | Low                | Low |
| Sam  | Low               | Dept1 | Low                | null   | Low                | Low |

### Low instance

| Name | C <sub>Name</sub> | Dept# | C <sub>Dept#</sub> | Salary | C <sub>Dept#</sub> | TC   |
|------|-------------------|-------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|------|
| Bob  | Low               | Dept1 | Low                | 100K   | Low                | Low  |
| Ann  | High              | Dept2 | High               | 200K   | High               | High |
| Sam  | Low               | Dept1 | Low                | 150K   | High               | High |

### High instance

61

61

## MLR

- ❑ The required conditions :
  - ❑ A multi-level relation must satisfy the following conditions :
    - For each tuple in a multilevel relation, the primary key's attributes must have the same access level.
    - For each tuple in a multilevel relation, the access level associated with a property other than the PK must be greater than or equal to the access level of the primary key.
  - ❑ Keys and multiple instances :
    - In the standard relational DB model, each tuple is uniquely identified by its key.
    - When apply an access levels, there may be concurrent sets of equal values at key properties, but with different access levels, this phenomenon is called multiple instances.

62

62

## MLR

### □ Polyinstantiation :

#### ■ Occurs in the following two states :

- *Invisible* Polyinstantiation : When a lower level user inserts data into a field that already contains data at a higher or incomparable level.
- *Visible* Polyinstantiation : When a high level user inserts data into a field that already contains data at a lower level.

| <u>Name</u> | C <sub>Name</sub> | Dept# | C <sub>Dept#</sub> | Salary | C <sub>Dept#</sub> | TC   |
|-------------|-------------------|-------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|------|
| A           | Low               | Dept1 | Low                | 100K   | Low                | Low  |
| B           | High              | Dept2 | High               | 200K   | High               | High |
| S           | Low               | Dept1 | Low                | 150K   | High               | High |
| B           | Low               | Dept1 | Low                | 100K   | Low                | Low  |

Tuples with name "B" are multi instance

63

63

## MLR

### □ *Invisible* Polyinstantiation :

#### ■ Suppose a user at a low level requires inserting data with the same primary key of a tuple that exists at a higher level; DBMS has three options :

1. Inform the user that a tuple with the same primary key exists at a high security level and refuses to insert.
2. Replace existing higher level tuple with the new inserted tuple at a lower level.
3. Insert new tuple at lower level without changing existing tuple at higher level (ie multi-instance entity).
  - Choose 2) allows the low-level user to overwrite data that he does not see and thus loses the data integrity.
  - Choose 3) is a reasonable choice; because its importance is to introduce a Polyinstantiation entity.

64

64



## MLR

- ☐ *Visible Polyinstantiation :*
  - ☒ Suppose a high-level user requires inserting data with the same primary key as a lower-level tuple; DBMS has three options :
    1. Inform the user that a tuple with the same primary key exists and refuses to insert.
    2. Replace existing tuple at a lower level with the new tuple inserted at a higher level.
    3. Insert new tuple at a higher level without changing existing tuple at lower level (ie Polyinstantiation entity).
- ☐ Choose 3) is a reasonable choice; because its importance is to introduce a multi-instance entity.

65

65

## MLR

- ☐ 5 constraint:
  - ☒ Entity integrity
  - ☒ Polyinstantiation integrity
  - ☒ Data-borrow integrity
  - ☒ Foreign key integrity
  - ☒ Referential integrity
- ☐ 5 commands(insert, delete, select, update, UPLEVEL) manipulating in multi-level relations.

☐ Ref: Ravi Sandhu, Fang Chen, *The multilevel Relational (MLR) data Model*, ACM, 1998.

66

66

☐ The end of chapter 3.

67

67