

Reconsidering literal operator templates for strings

Document #: D0424R1
Date: 2017-10-14
Project: Programming Language C++
Audience: Evolution Working Group
Reply-to: Louis Dionne <ldionne.2@gmail.com>
Hana Dusíková <hanicka@hanicka.net>

1 Revision history

- R0 – Initial draft
- R1 – Rewrite with different UDL form per EWG direction, and update motivation

2 Introduction

C++11 added the ability for users to define their own literals suffixes. Several forms of literal operators are available, but none of them allows getting a string literal as a compile-time entity from within the user-defined literal operator. This prevents the user-defined literal to create an object whose type depends on the *contents* of the string literal. This paper proposes solving that problem by allowing user-defined literal operators of the following form to be considered for string literals:

```
template <typename CharT, CharT const* str, std::size_t length>  
auto operator"" _udl();  
  
auto x = "abcd"_udl; // calls the above function
```

3 History

1. [N3599] (in 2013) proposed adding the missing literal operator using a `char...` parameter pack, but the paper was rejected at that time with the following conclusion ([CWG66]):

Revise with additional machinery for compile time string processing

2. [P0424R0] (the initial revision of this paper) was presented in Issaquah in 2016 and argued for adding the missing literal operator using a `char...` parameter pack, but the paper was rejected because implementers were concerned with the compile-time cost of instantiating a function template with such a parameter pack.

3. This paper addresses implementer's concerns by using a `char const*` template parameter instead of a `char...` parameter pack.

4 Motivation

There are many use cases for such an operator, some of which were covered in the previous version of this paper ([P0424R0]). However, some interesting use cases have recently come up, the most notable ones being compile-time JSON parsing and compile-time regular expression parsing. For example, a regular expression engine can be generated at compile-time as follows (example taken from the [CTRE] library):

```
#include "pregexp.hpp"
using namespace sre;

auto regexp = "^(?:[abc]|xyz).+$"_pre;

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    if (regexp.match(argv[1])) {
        std::cout << "match!" << std::endl;
        return EXIT_SUCCESS;
    } else {
        std::cout << "no match!" << std::endl;
        return EXIT_FAILURE;
    }
}
```

Under the hood, `constexpr` functions and metaprogramming are used to parse the string literal and generate a type like the following from the string literal:

```
RegExp<
    Begin,
    Select<Char<'a','b','c'>, String<'x','y','z'>>,
    Plus<Anything>,
    End
>
```

Since the regular expression parser is generated at compile-time, it can be better optimized and the resulting code is much faster than `std::regex` (speedups of 3000x have been witnessed).

Similar functionality has traditionally been achieved by using expression templates and template metaprogramming to build the representation of the regular expression instead of simply parsing the string at compile-time. For example, the same regular expression with [Boost.Xpressive] looks like this:

```
auto regexp = bos >> ((set='a','b','c')|(as_xpr('x') >> 'y' >> 'z')) >> +_ >> eos;
```

It is worth noting that the specific use case of parsing regular expressions at compile-time came up at CppCon during a lightning talk, and the room showed a very strong interest in getting a

standardized solution to this problem. Today, we must rely on a non-standard extension provided by Clang and GCC, which allows user-defined literal operators of the following form to be considered for string literals:

```
template <typename CharT, CharT ...s>
constexpr auto operator"" _udl();

"foo"_udl // calls operator""_udl<char, 'f', 'o', 'o'>()
```

5 How would that work?

The idea behind how this operator would work is that the compiler would generate a constexpr string and pass that to the user-defined literal. For example:

```
template <typename CharT, CharT const* str, std::size_t length>
auto operator"" _udl();

"foobar"_udl;

// should be roughly equivalent to

constexpr char __unnamed[] = "foobar";
operator""_udl<char, __unnamed, sizeof(__unnamed)-1>();
```

Calling a function template with such a template-parameter-list works in [Clang](#) and [GCC](#) today.

6 Implementation experience

As mentioned above, both Clang and GCC already provide a very similar user-defined literal operator. The authors think that it should be fairly straightforward to implement the operator proposed in this paper.

7 Wording

Wording will be provided if the proposal makes it through EWG.

8 Discussion

- A reasonable person could argue that what we need is in fact a solution for compile-time strings instead of this operator. While we do need a solution for compile-time strings (and in fact one of the authors is involved in the compile-time programming proposals currently trying to solve that problem), the operator proposed in this paper solves a slightly different

problem. Indeed, the problem we're solving here is the ability to access the contents of the string as constant expressions from within the body of the operator, which requires passing the contents of the string as a template parameter (since C++ does not have `constexpr` parameters). We use a `char const*` to pass it since that type is one we can already use for non-type template parameters. Another choice would have been to pass a `std::string_view`, but that would require expanding the set of types we can use as non-type template parameters, which is beyond the scope of what we want to do.

- A reasonable person could also request that more machinery for manipulating strings at compile-time be provided with this paper. Our answer is that such machinery is being worked on independently of this paper, and this proposal is useful on its own (even without such machinery). For information, the plan is to expand what parts of the standard library we can use at compile-time in such a way that we can use the same string processing machinery at compile-time and at runtime.

9 References

- [N3599] Richard Smith, *Literal operator templates for strings*
<http://open-std.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2013/n3599.html>
- [P0424R0] Louis Dionne, *Reconsidering literal operator templates for strings*
<http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2016/p0424r0.pdf>
- [CWG66] Richard Smith, *EWG Issue #66*
<http://cplusplus.github.io/EWG/ewg-active.html#66>
- [Boost.Xpressive] Eric Niebler, *Boost.Xpressive*
<http://www.boost.org/doc/libs/release/doc/html/xpressive.html>
- [CTRE] Hana Dusíková *Compile Time Regular Expression library*
<https://github.com/hanickadot/compile-time-regular-expressions>