

# CS 130 Project 4: File Systems Design Document

Tianyi Zhang

2018533074

zhangty2@shanghaitech.edu.cn

Haoran Dang

2018533259

danghr@shanghaitech.edu.cn

Derun Li

2018533152

lidr@shanghaitech.edu.cn

## 0 PRELIMINARIES

### 0.1 Preliminary Comments

No preliminary comment for this project.

### 0.2 References

- Pintos Guide by Stephen Tsung-Han Sher: [https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5b18aa0955b02c1de94e4412/t/5e1bb4809e4b0a78012be132/1578874001361/Sher%282016%29\\_Pintos\\_Guide](https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5b18aa0955b02c1de94e4412/t/5e1bb4809e4b0a78012be132/1578874001361/Sher%282016%29_Pintos_Guide)

## 1 INDEXED AND EXTENSIBLE FILES

### 1.1 Data Structures

1.1.1 Copy here the declaration of each new or changed 'struct' or 'struct' member, global or static variable, 'typedef', or enumeration. Identify the purpose of each in 25 words or less.

- In file

1.1.2 What is the maximum size of a file supported by your inode structure? Show your work.

### 1.2 Synchronization

1.2.1 Explain how your code avoids a race if two processes attempt to extend a file at the same time.

1.2.2 Suppose processes A and B both have file F open, both positioned at end-of-file. If A reads and B writes F at the same time, A may read all, part, or none of what B writes. However, A may not read data other than what B writes, e.g. if B writes nonzero data, A is not allowed to see all zeros. Explain how your code avoids this race.

1.2.3 Explain how your synchronization design provides "fairness". File access is "fair" if readers cannot indefinitely block writers or vice versa. That is, many processes reading from a file cannot prevent forever another process from writing the file, and many processes writing to a file cannot prevent another process forever from reading the file.

### 1.3 Rationale

1.3.1 Is your inode structure a multilevel index? If so, why did you choose this particular combination of direct, indirect, and doubly indirect blocks? If not, why did you choose an alternative inode structure, and what advantages and disadvantages does your structure have, compared to a multilevel index?

## 2 SUBDIRECTORIES

### 2.1 Data Structures

2.1.1 Copy here the declaration of each new or changed 'struct' or 'struct' member, global or static variable, 'typedef', or enumeration. Identify the purpose of each in 25 words or less.

- In file

### 2.2 Algorithms

2.2.1 Describe your code for traversing a user-specified path. How do traversals of absolute and relative paths differ?

### 2.3 Synchronization

2.3.1 How do you prevent races on directory entries? For example, only one of two simultaneous attempts to remove a single file should succeed, as should only one of two simultaneous attempts to create a file with the same name, and so on.

2.3.2 Does your implementation allow a directory to be removed if it is open by a process or if it is in use as a process's current working directory? If so, what happens to that process's future file system operations? If not, how do you prevent it?

### 2.4 Rationale

2.4.1 Explain why you chose to represent the current directory of a process the way you did.

## 3 BUFFER CACHE

### 3.1 Data Structures

3.1.1 Copy here the declaration of each new or changed 'struct' or 'struct' member, global or static variable, 'typedef', or enumeration. Identify the purpose of each in 25 words or less.

- In file `filesystems/cache.c`

```
/* Size of buffer cache */
#define BUFFER_CACHE_SIZE 64
/* Period to flush all the cache into the
   disk */
#define BUFFER_CACHE_FLUSH_INTERVAL 20

/* Entries of buffer cache */
struct buffer_cache_entry
{
    /* Whether this sector is being used */
    bool using;

    /* Information of the cache */
```

```

/* Sector on the disk of the cached file */
block_sector_t sector;
/* Dirty bit */
bool dirty;
/* Last access time */
int64_t access_time;

/* Data storage for a block */
uint8_t buffer[BLOCK_SECTOR_SIZE];
};

/* Buffer cache entries */
static struct
buffer_cache_entry
buffer_cache[BUFFER_CACHE_SIZE];
/* Lock for buffer cache operations */
static struct lock buffer_cache_lock;
/* Flag that the buffer cache is initialized */
bool buffer_cache_initialized = false;

/* Last time buffer cache flushed */
int64_t buffer_cache_last_flush = 30;
/* Last sector read, 0 for no need to read
ahead */
block_sector_t
buffer_cache_last_sector_loaded = 0;

```

### 3.2 Algorithms

**3.2.1 Describe how your cache replacement algorithm chooses a cache block to evict.** This implementation uses LRU to choose the cache to evict. Each time when accessing a cache block, including reading, writing and reading ahead, the current timer ticks will be stored in `access_time` of `buffer_cache_entry`.

When eviction is needed, we find the cache block with the smallest `access_time` and evict it.

**3.2.2 Describe your implementation of write-behind.** A boolean variable `dirty` is set to `false` when data is freshly loaded, and only set to `true` when this part of cache is written.

The written data in cache are not directly written to the disk. Instead, it will be written back only during the flushing process, which will be invoked during eviction, periodically flushing and halting.

**3.2.3 Describe your implementation of read-ahead.** When reading a data, the sector read will be stored in `buffer_cache_last_sector_loaded`. A thread repeatedly executing function `buffer_cache_period` will keep checking whether read-ahead is needed. If `buffer_cache_last_sector_loaded` is not zero, then the function will load the next sector into the cache if it is not loaded.

Since this function is executed in a separate thread, it will be done asynchronously only when this thread is scheduled.

### 3.3 Rationale

**3.3.1 When one process is actively reading or writing data in a buffer cache block, how are other processes prevented from evicting that**

*block?* A global lock of the whole buffer cache is implemented, and any operation on the buffer cache need to be done with the lock acquired. This prevents conflict eviction and reading/writing processes.

## 4 SURVEY QUESTIONS

*In your opinion, was this assignment, or any one of the three problems in it, too easy or too hard? Did it take too long or too little time?*

*Did you find that working on a particular part of the assignment gave you greater insight into some aspect of OS design?*

*Is there some particular fact or hint we should give students in future quarters to help them solve the problems? Conversely, did you find any of our guidance to be misleading?*

*Do you have any suggestions for the TAs to more effectively assist students, either for future quarters or the remaining projects?*

*Any other comments?*

## CONTRIBUTORS

Task		Tianyi Zhang	Haoran Dang	Derun Li
Task 1 Indexed and Extensible Files	Concept	✓	✓	✓
	Implementation	✓	✓	✓
	Debugging	✓	✓	✓
	Design Document	✓	✓	✓
Task 2 Subdirectories	Concept	✓	✓	✓
	Implementation	✓	✓	✓
	Debugging	✓	✓	✓
	Design Document	✓	✓	✓
Task 3 Buffer Cache	Concept		✓	
	Implementation		✓	
	Debugging	✓	✓	✓
	Design Document		✓	